



Response to Commentary on “Force-field functor theory: classical force-fields which reproduce equilibrium quantum distributions”

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. [Please share](#) how this access benefits you. Your story matters

Citation	Babbush, Ryan, John A. Parkhill, and Alán Aspuru-Guzik. 2013. “Response to Commentary on “Force-field functor theory: classical force-fields which reproduce equilibrium quantum distributions”.” <i>Frontiers in Chemistry</i> 1 (1): 33. doi:10.3389/fchem.2013.00033. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2013.00033 .
Published Version	doi:10.3389/fchem.2013.00033
Citable link	http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:12152889
Terms of Use	This article was downloaded from Harvard University’s DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA



Response to Commentary on “Force-field functor theory: classical force-fields which reproduce equilibrium quantum distributions”

Ryan Babbush¹, John A. Parkhill² and Alán Aspuru-Guzik^{1*}

¹ Department of Chemistry, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA

² Parkhill Group, Department of Chemistry, The University of Notre Dame, South Bend, IN, USA

*Correspondence: aspuru@chemistry.harvard.edu

Edited by:

Francesco Aquilante, Uppsala University, Sweden

Keywords: path integral molecular dynamics, density functional Theory, effective potentials, quantum propagation, path integral monte carlo

A commentary on

Commentary on “Force-field functor theory: classical force-fields which reproduce equilibrium quantum distributions.”

Vaia, R. (2013). *Front. Chem.* 1:34. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2013.00034

Dr. Ruggero Vaia has made several claims (Vaia, 2013) about our recent submission entitled *Force-field functor theory: classical force-fields which reproduce equilibrium quantum distributions* (Babbush et al., 2013) which we would like to address. Dr. Vaia points out that the idea of an effective potential which reproduces quantum distributions is a well-known observation. We agree and point out that our paper contains many citations to the relevant literature. The contribution we make is to describe a new perspective on how the effective potential might be obtained in a spirit similar to Density Functional Theory (DFT). To make progress on a DFT-like theory, a proof of the existence and uniqueness of force-field functors is necessary. The main goal of our manuscript is to develop such proofs and to demonstrate and test the idea of functor on various test problems.

After establishing uniqueness and existence proofs, we introduce an intentionally simple example of a functor in order to illustrate the utility of our broader approach. In particular, we use the Jensen–Peierls inequality to construct a variational approximation in which the mapping is linear. This procedure closely parallels the effective potential of Feynman and Hibbs (1965) in which the same approximation

is used to model the effective potential as a linear convolution of the physical potential with a Gaussian of variance $\sigma^2 = \frac{\beta\hbar^2}{12}$ m. Dr. Vaia correctly shows that for a one-dimensional system, our analytical approximation reduces to a Gaussian smearing of the potential with exactly twice the width of the Feynman–Hibbs approximation. This is because the Feynman–Hibbs potential is a centroid effective potential which does not attempt to reproduce the correct particle distribution. While we make reference to this in our paper (we wrote “our [linear mapping] can be imagined as a Gaussian smearing of $V(q)$ ”), we do not discuss the explicit form of the mapping in one-dimension as this obscures the more general point we are trying to make.

Linearity is not an essential feature of our approach; rather, it is an approximation which underlies a particular functor that we construct as a pedagogical example of how the functor approach could be used. In fact, the procedure we demonstrate to invert the linear mapping is significantly more accurate than the explicit Gaussian convolution which Dr. Vaia discusses. We used the bijective nature of the mapping (implied by our uniqueness proof) to empirically fit the optimal linear functor. This was accomplished by computing the exact effective potentials for 1000 random physical potentials and then using a least squares procedure with cross-validation to determine optimal matrix elements which map basis vectors of the physical potential to basis vectors of the effective potential. This cross-validation approach allowed us to test the accuracy

of our method. We show that our functor works surprising well (especially considering its simplicity) and demonstrate its impressive performance on independent test problems including the simulation of liquid hydrogen at temperatures as low as 14 K. Though the error in this approximation grows as temperature decreases, the same is true of all path integral approaches since the number of required integration time slices grows without bound as temperature approaches zero. Degrading in quality at $T = 0$ does not render path integral molecular dynamics, or our technique useless.

Dr. Vaia goes on to compare the linear approximation to the Feynman–Kleinert and Giachetti–Tognetti effective potentials and mentions that those approximations are more robust. While this is true, *we are not trying to demonstrate the superiority of a particular explicit form for the functor under any particular approximation and we are certainly not interested in comparing the performance of this simple example to other paradigm methods in one dimension.* The linear functor is for our theory analogous to what Local Density Approximation (LDA) is for DFT: a simplistic but illustrative example of the type of theory which could emerge from our uniqueness theorem. We have provided ample evidence for a new approach to obtaining effective potentials, in a similar spirit to DFT.

REFERENCES

- Babbush, R., Parkhill, J., and Aspuru-Guzik, A. (2013). Force-field functor theory: classical force-fields which reproduce equilibrium quantum distributions. *Front. Chem.* 1:26. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2013.00026

Feynman R. P., and Hibbs, A. R. (1965). *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*. Mineola:NY; Dover.
Vaia, R. (2013). Commentary on “Force-field functor theory: classical force-fields which reproduce equilibrium quantum distributions”. *Front. Chem.* 1:34. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2013.00034

Received: 06 November 2013; accepted: 23 November 2013; published online: 24 December 2013.

Citation: Babbush R, Parkhill JA and Aspuru-Guzik A (2013) Response to Commentary on “Force-field functor theory: classical force-fields which reproduce equilibrium quantum distributions”. *Front. Chem.* 1:33. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2013.00033

This article was submitted to *Theoretical and Computational Chemistry*, a section of the journal *Frontiers in Chemistry*.

Copyright © 2013 Babbush, Parkhill and Aspuru-Guzik. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.