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Abstract. We investigate the quantum dynamics of systems involving small numbers of strongly interacting photons. Specifically, we develop an efficient method to investigate such systems when they are externally driven with a coherent field. Furthermore, we show how to quantify the many-body quantum state of light via correlation functions. Finally, we apply this method to two strongly interacting cases: the Bose–Hubbard and fractional quantum Hall models, and discuss an implementation of these ideas in atom–photon system.

Contents

1. Driven photonic quantum Hall model on a lattice
2. Overlap with Laughlin wavefunction and correlation functions
3. Possible implementations and outlook
Acknowledgments
References

Strongly interacting photonic systems provide new avenues for examining quantum simulation, topological quantum computation and many-body states of matter \[1–5\]. Inspired by analogies to electronic systems, these studies focus on ground state properties. However, a photonic system is naturally an open driven system. At the same time, this is in stark contrast to ultracold...
atomic systems, which have been extensively studied in the context of many-body physics; in most cases atoms are trapped in a potential and the particle number is conserved within the trapping time [6–8]. Therefore, the most relevant approach to understand and manipulate many-photon states involves understanding the non-equilibrium dynamics in such systems [9–14]. For example, in a one-dimensional system strong interaction between photons leads to their fermionization, which can be probed in the output correlation functions of an externally driven system, both in a discrete array [9] and in the continuum limit [11]. Another unique property of photonic system is the lack of chemical potential, in contrast to other bosonic systems. In particular, these differences raises key questions: given the presence of photon loss, how does one prepare a photonic state with many-body features? What is the manifestation of important properties such as incompressibility and collective effects, when the system is coherently driven with a laser field rather than coupled to a thermal bath?

In this paper, we address these questions by studying a driven system of strongly interacting photons and evaluating physical observables that display quantum many-body signatures. We focus on a two-dimensional lattice of interacting photons with an effective gauge field [15–18]. In the presence of strong interaction (nonlinearity) on each site, the system maps into the bosonic fractional quantum Hall (FQH) [19–21] model. Such nonlinearities have been experimentally shown at the single site (resonator), both for optical [22–24] and microwave [25, 26] photons. We demonstrate that by weakly driving the system, a few photon Laughlin state can be prepared. We introduce experimentally-relevant observables such as the correlation function of the common-mode (the common-mode) to investigate the response of the system. Furthermore, we present a scheme to adiabatically prepare such state using many-photon Fock state and compare it to a driven scheme.

The key idea underlying our approach to the driven scheme is to generalize the theoretical technique of weakly driven cavity-QED system (involving one atom interacting with one photon) to the many sites and many-photon regime. We follow Carmichael et al [27] who showed that when an optical resonator with strong nonlinearity is weakly driven, one can truncate the Hilbert space up to two-excitation states, reduce the exact master equation description to an effective Schrödinger equation description. Most importantly, the ‘quantum jumps’ do not contribute in the correlation functions. In particular, while the one-photon state is intact under nonlinearity, the two-photon component exhibits bunching or anti-bunching features. Similarly, in a system of many sites, the photonic state at each photon-number manifold reorganize themselves according to the interaction. Ignoring the quantum jumps has a significant benefit which allows the investigation of larger systems and avoids finite size effects in numerical simulations. In a dilute lattice with $N_\phi$ magnetic flux quanta and strong interaction for a fixed number of bosonic particles ($N_{\text{ph}}$), the system is expected to have fractional quantum Hall states (Laughlin-type) at filling factors $\nu = N_{\text{ph}}/N_\phi = 1/2, 1/4, \ldots$ [31, 32]. We demonstrate that when an optical system is driven with a weak coherent field, which has Poissonian distribution of photon number, the system forms Laughlin state in a photon-number ($N_{\text{ph}}$) manifold which corresponds to the bosonic Laughlin filling factors, at specific pump frequencies. We show that measuring the $N_{\text{ph}}$-body correlation function reveals the existence of such state. Furthermore, we present an alternative adiabatic method to prepare such a state for larger photon number and compare the two methods. While our results are general and can be implemented in various photonic systems, we focus on a physical implementation of these ideas with coupled optical resonators.
1. Driven photonic quantum Hall model on a lattice

We consider a two-dimensional interacting photonic system which has the Hamiltonian ($\hbar = 1$)

$$H_{\text{sys}} = -J \sum_{x,y} \hat{a}_{x+1,y}^\dagger \hat{a}_{x,y} e^{i2\pi xy} + \hat{a}_{x,y}^\dagger \hat{a}_{x+1,y} e^{-i2\pi xy} + \hat{a}_{x,y}^\dagger \hat{a}_{x,y+1} + H_{\text{free}} + H_{\text{int}},$$

(1)

where $a_{x,y}^\dagger$ is the creation operator at site $(x, y)$, $J$ is tunneling rate between resonators, $\alpha$ the effective magnetic flux per plaquette (total magnetic flux is $N_\phi = \alpha N_x N_y$) and $H_{\text{free}} = \sum_{x,y} \omega_0 a_{x,y}^\dagger a_{x,y}$. We take an on-site interaction term of the Kerr-type: $H_{\text{int}} = U \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i (\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i - 1)$ where the index stands for the site $i = (x, y)$. In the absence of the magnetic field ($\alpha = 0$), the Hamiltonian describes the Bose–Hubbard model, and can be implemented in an array of coupled optical resonator [1–3]. The non-zero magnetic field can be synthesized using an imbalance in the optical paths that connect resonators. We return to the discussion of implementation of such Hamiltonian extending the scheme proposed in [16] later in the paper.

To include loss and driving, we use the stochastic wave-function approach [27, 33, 34]. The coherent drive is applied uniformly; its effects and that of the associated loss can be described by the non-Hermitian term $H_{\text{pump}} = \sum_i \kappa \beta (e^{i\omega_0 t} \hat{a}_i + e^{-i\omega_0 t} \hat{a}_i^\dagger) - i \kappa \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i$, where $\kappa$ is the coupling rate to the resonators, $\beta$ is the amplitude and $\omega_0$ the frequency of the drive field. In the rotating frame of the pump field, the effective Hamiltonian of the driven system is

$$H_{\text{eff}} = H_{\text{sys}} + \kappa \beta \sum_i (\hat{a}_i + \hat{a}_i^\dagger) - (\Delta + i \kappa) \sum_i \hat{n}_i,$$

(2)

where the pump detuning $\Delta = \omega_0 - \omega_0$ takes the form of a chemical potential. Since the system is open, in the absence of the pump ($\beta = 0$), the system will be in the vacuum state.

We generalize the quantum-jump picture for evaluating the correlation functions [27] to many-photons and many-modes. The evolution of the system is governed by the effective Hamiltonian (equation (2)) and the corresponding quantum jump operators ($\hat{a}_i$). In particular, in the weakly excited system ($\beta \ll 1$), the metastable state of the system can be perturbatively written as

$$|\Psi\rangle \simeq |0\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\beta) |1\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2) |2\rangle + \cdots + \mathcal{O}(\beta^n) |n\rangle + \cdots,$$

(3)

where $|n\rangle = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_n} \hat{a}_{i_1}^\dagger \cdots \hat{a}_{i_n}^\dagger |0\rangle$ represents a state in the $n$-photon manifold of the lattice system. This state is the eigenstate of $H_{\text{eff}}$ with the smallest imaginary eigenvalues, i.e. it is mostly the vacuum state. All other states have at least one photon, and therefore, they decay rapidly into this state. When a photon decays from any site, the system undergoes a quantum jump. These jumps occur at a rate $\kappa \mathcal{O}(\beta^2)$, and the system takes a state of the form $|\Psi\rangle = \hat{a}_i |\Psi\rangle / (|\hat{a}_i| |\Psi\rangle | \simeq |0\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\beta) |1\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2) |2\rangle + \cdots + \mathcal{O}(\beta^n) |n\rangle + \cdots$. Similarly, the system can undergo a two-photon jump with a slower rate $\kappa \mathcal{O}(\beta^4)$. Since the system is continuously pumped, it is restored back into the steady state with a relatively fast rate ($\kappa$). Therefore, the density matrix of the system can be formally written as: $\rho = |\Psi\rangle \langle \Psi| + \mathcal{O}(\beta^2) \rho_1 + \mathcal{O}(\beta^4) \rho_2 + \cdots$, where $\rho_j$ stands for the density matrix after ‘$j$’ consecutive jumps. For a single jump we have $\rho_1 = (1/\sum_i \langle \Psi | \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i | \Psi \rangle) \sum_i \langle \Psi | \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i | \Psi \rangle | \Psi \rangle \langle \Psi |$. Now, we evaluate the $n$-body correlation function of an arbitrary operator $\hat{d}$ which is a linear superposition of the site operators ($\hat{a}_i$). In particular, we are interested in $G^{(n)} = \langle \rho \hat{d}^\dagger \hat{d}^n \rangle$. Using the above picture, this correlation
function can be perturbatively written in powers of pump amplitude:

\[ G^{(n)} = \mathcal{O}(\beta^2 n) \langle n | \hat{d}^{\dagger n} \hat{d}^n | n \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\beta^{2n+2}) \langle n' | \hat{d}^{\dagger n} \hat{d}^n | n' \rangle + \cdots \]  

(4)

Therefore, if we are interested in the \( n \)-photon manifold, the metastable state \(|\Psi_1\rangle\) is sufficient for evaluation of any \( n \)-body correlation function and the corrections due to quantum jumps can be ignored.

In particular, for a two-particle case, we define the two-body observables to characterize the deviation from the classical regime. For a single resonator this deviation is characterized by the equal time second-order correlation function as

\[ g^{(2)} = \langle \hat{a}_i^{\dagger 2} \hat{a}_j^2 \rangle / \langle \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \hat{a}_i \rangle^2. \]

This quantity is useful in characterization of cavity QED experiments. However, this observable cannot encapsulate the collective effects in the system. In particular, in the presence of strong interaction (\( U \gg J \)), such a quantity is always less than one regardless of the collective features of the entire system. Instead, we consider a collective observable which is the second-order correlation function of the common-mode (\( \hat{b}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_i \hat{a}_i^{\dagger} \)):

\[ g_{\text{CM}}^{(2)} = \langle \hat{b}^{\dagger 2} \hat{b}^2 \rangle / \langle \hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} \rangle^2. \]

2. Overlap with Laughlin wavefunction and correlation functions

Using the technique described above, we study the driven system of interacting photons with the Hamiltonian of equation (1). First, we consider the case of hard-core bosons (\( U \gg J \)), and investigate the response of the system as a function of the pump field frequency (\( \Delta_1 \)). For simplicity, we only consider the case of \( \nu = 1/2 \). The input field consists of a Poisson distribution of photons. When photons are injected at the frequency corresponding to the Laughlin state at the \( N_{\text{ph}} \)-photon manifold, photons reconfigure themselves and form a wave function which corresponds to the Laughlin state. The remarkable overlap of this photonic state with the Laughlin wave function in the \( N_{\text{ph}} \)-photon manifold is shown in figure 1(a). Note the frequency required to be resonant with the Laughlin state is at the vicinity of the free photon state (Hofstadter’s spectrum). In the limit of large system (\( N_x N_y \to \infty \)), and dilute magnetic field (\( \alpha \ll 1 \)), these two frequencies coincide since the Laughlin state is the exact ground state of the Hamiltonian in the continuum limit. For numerical simulations, we have used the discrete version of the Laughlin wave function on the lattice with torus boundary condition [32].

Around the resonance, we observe the suppression of the correlation function of the common-mode. The reason behind this suppression is that the external pump is coupled differently to the single particle manifold and \( N_{\text{ph}} \)-photon manifold, corresponding to the Laughlin filing factor. We note that the energy of the single particle state and the Laughlin state per particle is exactly equal to each other in the continuum limit, and the previously

Figure 1. Overlap with the Laughlin wave function (ν = 1/2), and the correlation function of the zero mode (g_{CM}^{(2)}) are shown as a function of: (a) the pump frequency for hard-core bosons (b) the interaction strength for Δ = −3.36 J, as shown by an arrow on (a). We have evaluated the overlap with the Laughlin function in N_{ph} = 2 manifold. The total magnetic flux is N_φ = 4. Panels (c), (d) are similar to (a), (b) for N_{ph} = 3, N_φ = 6, Δ = −3.095 J and the corresponding correlation function (g_{CM}^{(3)}). All the simulations are performed for a 6 × 6 lattice, torus boundary condition, and the maximum number of photon is 3. κ = 0.01 J, β = 0.01. All calculated quantities are dimensionless.

The reported discrepancy is due to the finite size effect [18]. The direct experimental verification of the Laughlin overlap is a difficult task which requires number post-selection (N_{ph}) and state tomography in a Hilbert space with dimension \( \left( \frac{N_x N_y}{N_{ph}} \right) \). However, the common-mode correlation function can be obtained by using conventional quantum optics measurements.

Now, we relax the hard-core constraint and investigate the same observables. In the weak interaction limit, the system approaches the classical response, as shown in figure 1(b). In the absence of interaction, using transport measurements—varying the pump frequency and measuring reflection/transmission—one recovers the Hofstadter’s butterfly spectrum [16], but regardless of the pump frequency, the correlation function remains equal to one. Similar behavior was observed for N_{ph} = 3, as shown in figures 1(c) and (d).

In order to clarify the connection between zero mode correlation and the collective nature of the system response, we investigate the driven photonic Bose–Hubbard model (figure 2) [10, 13]. In the limit of weak interaction, the system behaves classically and the correlation function approaches that of a coherent state, i.e. g_{CM}^{(2)} = 1, as shown in figure 2(b). However, in the strong interaction limit (U ≫ J) the system exhibits significant deviation from a classical state [13]. In contrast, to the previous works [10, 13], we focus in the weakly driven regime, and therefore, we expect that the system to be in the superfluid state and the correlation function

to be equal to one. This deviation is due to the finite size of the system and can be understood in the following way: the system is weakly driven and manifolds with large number of photons are weakly populated. Therefore, the effective filling factor $\langle n_{\text{tot}} \rangle / (N_x N_y)$ is small and in the presence of a non-zero interaction, one expects the system to be in a superfluid regime. However, due to finite size of the system, the common-mode is not completely harmonic and the two-photon resonance is slightly shifted. This leads to a deviation of the correlation function from unity; using the single-mode approximation, we get an estimate $g^{(2)}_{\text{CM}} = 1 + (\delta U / \kappa)^2$, where $\delta U$ is the nonlinear shift, i.e. the difference between half of the two-photon state energy and the single-photon state energy. Such nonlinearity decrease with the system size, in direct analogy to spin–boson transformation (Holstein–Primakoff) of the Dicke-model, where the residual nonlinearity disappears in the limit of large spins.

We numerically verify such statement by evaluating the correlation function $g^{(2)}_{\text{CM}}$ as a function of the system size. In the Bose–Hubbard model, as the system size increases, the correlation function $g^{(2)}_{\text{CM}}$ approach the classical limit, i.e. unity, as shown in figure 3(a), while the correlation function of individual sites is equal to zero. The green curve shows the numerical estimate based on the nonlinearity between one- and two-photon manifold lowest energies, which diminishes as the system size increases. In contrast, in the FQH model, $g^{(2)}_{\text{CM}}$ remains constant as the system size changes, as shown in figure 3(b). Note that the overlap with the Laughlin wave function is also constant and remains close to unity. We have also performed numerical simulation for two-point correlation function $g(i, j) = \langle \hat{a}^+_i \hat{a}^+_j \hat{a}_j \hat{a}_i \rangle$ projected into the $N_{\text{ph}}$-photon manifold, and the results agrees with two-point correlation of the Laughlin state. Note that in the general case of $n$-photon FQH state, one should measure $n$-body correlation function $G^{(n)} = \langle \rho \hat{d}^{+n} \hat{d}^n \rangle$, as introduced earlier. Such correlation function can be measured.

**Figure 2.** The correlation function of the zero mode ($g^{(2)}_{\text{CM}}$) are shown as a function of: (a) the pump frequency for hard-core bosons, (b) the interaction strength for $\Delta = -4.0 J$ (where $g^{(2)}_{\text{CM}}$ is minimum, as shown with a red circle on (a)). All simulations are performed for a $6 \times 6$ lattice, torus boundary condition and the maximum number of photon is $3$. $\kappa = 0.002 J$, $\beta = 0.01$. 

using a modified Hanbury Brown–Twiss setup [35]: the photonic mode $\hat{d}$ is collected, the light passes through $n$ beam splitters and then the state is detected using $n$ photodetectors.

3. Possible implementations and outlook

Now we discuss the implementation of the Hamiltonian in equation (1) and the conditions to observe fractional quantum Hall states of photons. Recently, there have been several proposals to implement the artificial magnetic fields for photons [15–19] and various means to achieve strong interaction in coupled resonators systems [1–3]. Here, we focus on the proposal in [16] which does not require time-reversal symmetry breaking for the implementation of the magnetic field. Strong photon-photon interaction—which can lead to photon blockade—can be mediated by coupling emitters (e.g. atoms [36], quantum-dots [37], Rydberg states [38–40] for optical photons and Josephson junctions for microwave photons [41]) to the resonators.

Besides the driven method to reach fractional quantum Hall state that we discussed above, one can also prepare a Laughlin state by adiabatically melting a Mott-insulator of photons, similar to the atomic method discussed in [31], as described in figure 4. However, this requires both preparation of $N_{\text{ph}}$ Fock states and photon lifetimes long enough to allow for the melting to be adiabatic, making the coherent drive approach preferable. Note that one might be able to use the nonlinearity of the system itself to prepare the $N_{\text{ph}}$ Fock states of photons [42].

Regardless of the preparation method, coupling atoms to the photonic system introduces loss which can be reduced by detuning the cavity resonance from the emitter transitions ($\Delta, \Delta' \gg \Gamma$). As an example case, one can use an ensemble of $N$-level atoms to mediate onsite two-body interaction of the Kerr-type (figure 4(b)) [3], which still preserves the propagation direction (clockwise or counterclockwise) used in [16]. In this approach, the optical cavity and ensemble enter into a slow-light regime, where the excitations are dark state polaritons [43].
Figure 4. Adiabatic preparation photonic Laughlin states: (a) atomic ensembles are coupled to resonators to mediate interaction. A control field couples internal levels of the atom, shown in (b), and provides on-site interaction for photons [3]. (c) Overlap of the two lowest states with Laughlin wave function (energy levels relative to the ground state) are represented by dashed (solid) lines, respectively. The procedure to make a Laughlin state: (i) create $N_p$ photons in the whole system (e.g. by using lambda systems inside the resonator), at this stage $\alpha$ is set to be zero. (ii) Make a $N'_x \times N'_y$ superlattice potential $V$ (e.g. by detuning selected resonators) such that the ground state gets to the first Mott insulator ($N_{ph} = N'_x N'_y$). (iii) Turn on a single-site potential $V_{pert}$ by detuning a cavity (in this case $(x, y) = (3, 3)$). (iv) Turn on the magnetic field to the desired value $\alpha = N_{ph}/(uN_x N_y)$. (v) Melt the Mott insulator by lowering the superlattice potential strength to zero. (vi) Lower the single-site potential. Three snapshots of lattice potential are shown at (iv) and the end of (v) and (vi) steps, respectively. The impurity potential splits the ground state degeneracy of the Laughlin state on the torus boundary condition [32] and prevents level crossing and sharp changes in the overlap.

$$\hat{\Psi}_{x,y} \propto \Omega \hat{a}_{x,y} - g \sqrt{N} \hat{S}_{x,y},$$

where $\Omega$ is the pump field, $g$ is the vacuum Rabi coupling, $N$ is the number of ensemble atoms and $\hat{S}_{x,y}$ is the spin-wave operator describing coherence between two atomic states $|a\rangle$ and $|c\rangle$ (from figure 4(b)). These bosonic excitations lead to an overall increase of dynamical timescales by $\eta = c/v_g \gg 1$, the ratio between the speed of light and group velocity for the dark state polariton, but they can also interact via a self-Kerr interaction with state $|d\rangle$ [44]. For observing a Laughlin state and having a finite gap, the effective interaction between photons ($U \simeq g^2/\Delta'$) should be at least comparable to the tunneling rate $J$ [32].
These conditions can be satisfied for systems with a large Purcell factor \((g^2/\kappa \Gamma \gg 1)\). The same criterion applies to implementation of such scheme in the microwave domain.

In conclusion, we have shown that driven strongly interacting photons exhibits interesting many-body behaviors and FQH state of photons and their incompressibility can be probed by using conventional optical measurement techniques. Investigation of other many-body signatures of these states such as their topological properties and fractional statistics and preparation of photonic many-body state with reservoir engineering [45] can be the subject of further research.
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