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Abstract

In this thesis, we investigate modularity of elliptic curves over a general totally real number field, establishing a finiteness result for the set non-modular $j$-invariants. By analyzing quadratic points on some modular curves, we show that all elliptic curves over certain real quadratic fields are modular.
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1. Introduction

The classical Shimura-Taniyama conjecture [18] is the statement that every elliptic curve $E$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is associated to a cuspidal Hecke newform $f$ of the group $\Gamma_0(N) \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Here the meaning of “associated” is that there is an isomorphism between compatible systems of $l$-adic representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$

$$\rho_{E,l} \cong \rho_{f,l}$$

where the left-hand side is the representation on the $l$-adic Tate module of $E$ and the right-hand side is the $l$-adic representation, constructed by Eichler-Shimura, attached to $f$, or rather the corresponding cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi_f$ of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. In the pioneering work [53], [50], Wiles and Taylor-Wiles established the conjecture for all semi-stable $E$, which forms the heart of Wiles’ proof of Fermat’s Last theorem. After many gradual improvements [17], [13], the full conjecture is finally proven in [10]. It is then natural to try to study the generalization of the conjecture to more general number fields $F$, that is to show that all elliptic curves over $F$ have compatible systems of $l$-adic representations of $G_F$ associated to a cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$. Unfortunately, in this generality the existence of Galois representations associated to $\pi$ is not known. However, when $F$ is totally real, the required Galois representations have been constructed for some time by Carayol, Wiles, Blasius-Rogawski and Taylor [12], [52], [7], [17], [48], while when $F$ is CM, the Galois representations have only been constructed very recently [28], [39]. In this paper, we focus our attention on the case $F$ totally real. Previous results in this direction include [30], [29], [19], [8], establishing modularity under local restrictions on the elliptic curves or over particular fields. On the other hand, in the contemporary work [25] the authors establish modularity for elliptic curves over real
quadratic fields with full 2-torsion over the base field, as well as a finiteness statement regarding possible non-modular elliptic curves with full 2-torsion over a general totally real field. In this work, we establish the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** (see Theorem 4.6) Let $F$ be a fixed totally real number field. Then, up to isomorphism over $F$, there are only finitely many elliptic curves $E$ defined over a totally real extension $F'/F$ of degree at most 2 that are not modular.

An immediate consequence is that there are only finitely many isomorphism classes (over $\mathbb{Q}$) of elliptic curves $E$ over (an unspecified) real quadratic field such that $E$ is not modular. Under some restrictions on the field, we can show that no such exceptions exists:

**Theorem 1.2.** (see Theorem 5.4) If $F$ is real quadratic such that 5 and 7 are unramified in $F$, then any elliptic curve $E$ defined over $F$ are modular.

In fact, with the methods in this paper, it suffices to assume 5 is unramified, see Remark 5.2. In joint work with N. Freitas and S. Siksek, we show that all elliptic curves over real quadratic fields are modular by slightly different computations.

The proof of the above theorems follows the framework introduced by Wiles in [53]. To prove $E$ is modular, it suffices to show any particular $\rho_{E,l}$ is modular. Modularity is then established in three steps:

- **Automorphy lifting:** If $\rho_{E,l}$ is congruent mod $l$ to an automorphic $l$-adic representation then $\rho_{E,l}$ is also automorphic, under suitable hypotheses.
- **Establish residual automorphy (“Serre’s conjecture”):** $\overline{\rho}_{E,l}$ is is automorphic for some prime $l$, and such that the previous step applies.
- **Understanding which elliptic curves can not be accessed by the previous two steps, and (ideally) establish automorphy for them by other means.**

For the first step, the technology for automorphy lifting has improved greatly since [53], in our context the most important improvements are in [33]. This supplies
very strong lifting statements by combining existing statements in the literature, under usual largeness ("Taylor-Wiles") assumptions of the residual image. We note however that automorphy lifting for small residual images in the literature remain too restrictive for our needs, see Remark 5.1. In Section 2.3 we will state the statements that we need and show how to deduce them from the literature.

For the second step, we follow prime switching arguments of Wiles [53] and Manoharmayum [36]. The basis of such methods is the possibility to find lots of solvable points on modular curves, and as such is known to apply to very few modular curves. Details of the process is content of Section 3.

Having done the first two steps, we are left with understanding elliptic curves for which we fail to establish modularity. These curves are naturally interpreted as points on some special modular curve. Thus we have reduced the problem of establishing modularity of all elliptic curves to determining rational points on a handful of (complicated) modular curves. The curves that arises in this study have very high genus. This is both a blessing and a curse: On the one hand, the study of their arithmetic seems impossibly complicated, but on the other hand, their complexity will force them to have very few rational points. Indeed a study of gonality of the relevant curves in Section 4 allows us to use a theorem of Faltings to establish Theorem 1.1. Due to the dependence on Faltings’ theorem, the finiteness statement in Theorem 1.1 is ineffective. Over a general totally real field, it seems hopeless at present to determine all rational points on the modular curves that we need. However, we managed to determine real quadratic points on enough of these modular curves, which in conjunction with some modularity lifting theorems with small residual image, allows us to prove Theorem 1.2. This is carried out in sections 5 and 6. The essential miracle that made the determination of quadratic points possible is that the Jacobians of the modular curves we need to study all have abelian surface factors with Mordell-Weil rank 0 over \( \mathbb{Q} \), and that the quadratic points in the resulting list always correspond
to an elliptic curve where either a modularity lifting theorem applies or has CM or is a $Q$-curve. We remark that there are infinitely many quadratic points of the last type. The modularity of $Q$-curves follows from Serre’s conjecture over $Q$, which is now a Theorem of Khare-Wintenberger [31]. Conjecturally (and certainly verifiably by a finite computation for each fixed field), there are infinitely many number fields $F$ over which the Jacobian of our modular curves still have relevant abelian surface factors with Mordell-Weil rank 0. The computational determination of their quadratic points over $F$ then carries over for such $F$, at least in theory. We remark that it is practical to check when a $GL_2$-type abelian variety over $Q$ has Mordell-Weil rank 0, by showing that the $L$-function does not vanish at 1, and this computation can be carried out on a computer. Given any $j$-invariant, one has in principle an algorithmic procedure for establishing its modularity. However, the presence of the infinite families of degree 2 points corresponding to $F$-curves poses a problem, since we do not know Serre’s conjecture for $F$.

We have made extensive use of the computer algebra system Magma [9] to perform our computations.
2. Background

Throughout this section we let $F$ be a totally real number field, and $G_F = \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ the absolute Galois group of $F$ and $\mathbb{A}_F$ the adeles ring of $F$. For each place $v$ of $F$ let $F_v$ be the corresponding completion of $v$. If $v$ is non-archimedean, let $\varpi_v$ denote a uniformizer of $F_v$, $\mathcal{O}_v$ its ring of integers, $\kappa_v$ its residue field and $N_v = |\kappa_v|$ the size of $\kappa_v$. We will fix a choice of decomposition group $G_{F_v}$ in $G_F$ for each finite $v$, and let $\text{Frob}_v$ denote a choice of arithmetic Frobenius in $G_{F_v}$. We normalize the local and global Artin maps by the requirement that arithmetic Frobenius and inverses of uniformizers match. For each prime $l$, fix once and for all an isomorphism of fields $\iota_l : \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{Q}_l$. We denote by $\epsilon_p$ the $p$-adic cyclomotic character.

If $K$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, $\rho$ is a continuous de Rham (equivalently, potentially semi-stable) representation of $G_K$ on a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space $W$, and $\tau : K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$, the multi-set of $\tau$-Hodge-Tate weight of $\rho$ is the multi-set that contains the number $i$ with multiplicity $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(W \otimes_{\tau,K} \mathbb{Q}_p(i))$. In particular, with this convention $\epsilon_p$ has $\tau$-Hodge-Tate weight $-1$.

2.1. Automorphic Galois representations. In this section we recall what it means for a Galois representation $\rho : G_F \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ to be automorphic.

Let $K_\infty$ be a maximal compact subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(F \otimes \mathbb{R})$. Under an identification $\text{GL}_2(F \otimes \mathbb{R}) \cong \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^{[F:\mathbb{Q}]}$, we may pick $K_\infty = O_2(\mathbb{R})^{[F:\mathbb{Q}]}$, a product of compact orthogonal groups. Let $\mathbb{H}$ denote the complex upper half-plane.

A cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ is an irreducible $\text{Lie}(\text{GL}_2(F \otimes \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathbb{R}))_C \times \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty)$-module appearing as a subquotient of the space of cuspidal automorphic forms $\mathcal{A}_0(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F))$ (see [8]). It has a central character $\omega_\pi$ which is a Hecke character. There is a decomposition

$$\pi \cong \otimes_v \pi_v$$
into a restricted tensor product over the places of \( F \), where the local representations \( \pi_v \) are irreducible \(((\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{R}))_\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{O}_2(\mathbb{R})))\)-modules if \( v \) is archimedean, and a smooth irreducible representation of \( \text{GL}_2(F_v) \) if \( v \) is finite.

For almost all places \( v \), the representation \( \pi_v \) is unramified, in the sense that \( \pi_v^{K_v} \neq 0 \), where \( K_v = \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v) \). In this case, it is known that this space is 1-dimensional.

The Hecke algebra \( \mathcal{H}_v \) at \( v \) is the algebra \( C_c^\infty(K_v \setminus \text{GL}_2(F_v)/K_v) \) of locally constant, \( K_v \)-bi-invariant \( \mathbb{C} \)-valued functions, with product given by convolution (where the Haar measure is normalized so that \( K_v \) has volume 1). The Satake isomorphism (see [26]) shows that there is an isomorphism

\[
\mathcal{H}_v \cong \mathbb{C}[T_v, S_v^{\pm 1}]
\]

where \( S_v, T_v \) are the characteristic functions of \( K_v \left( \begin{smallmatrix} w_v & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) K_v \) and \( K_v \left( \begin{smallmatrix} w_v & 0 \\ 0 & w_v \end{smallmatrix} \right) K_v \) respectively. In particular \( \mathcal{H}_v \) is commutative, and hence its action on the 1-dimensional vector space \( \pi_v^{K_v} \) correspond to an algebra homomorphism \( \theta_v : \mathcal{H}_v \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \). It determines \( \pi_v \) up to isomorphism.

If \( \pi \) is a cuspidal automorphic representation, we say that \( \pi \) is regular algebraic if for each place \( v \mid \infty \), the module \( \pi_v \) restricted to the subgroup \( \text{SL}_2^+(\mathbb{R}) \) is a discrete series representation \( \mathcal{D}_{k_v} \) described in [34] \( (k_v \geq 2) \), and the subgroup \( \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset Z(\mathbb{R}) \) of the center acts via an algebraic character \( x \rightarrow x^{w_v} \) for some integer \( w_v \) such that \( k_v = w_v \mod 2 \). This is equivalent to saying that the infinitesimal character of \( \pi_v \) is the same as the infinitesimal character of an algebraic representation of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \). The condition that the central character \( \omega_\pi \) is a Hecke character implies that \( w_v = w \) is independent of \( v \), because \( F \) totally real. We call the tuple \( (k, w) = ((k_v)_v, w) \) the weight of \( \pi \).

A regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation \( \pi \) is related to the space of classical Hilbert modular forms (as defined in [48], say) in the following manner: There is an operator \( N \in \text{Lie}(\text{GL}_2(F \otimes \mathbb{Q})_\mathbb{C}) \) such that \( \pi^{N=0}_\infty \) is 1-dimensional and
for each open compact subgroup $K^\infty \subset \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}^\infty_F)$, $\pi^{N=0,K^\infty}$ is identified with a space of classical Hilbert modular form on $\text{GL}_2(F)\backslash(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R})^{[F:\mathbb{Q}]} \times \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)/K^\infty$, the latter being a finite union of quotients of $\mathbb{H}^{[F:\mathbb{Q}]}$ by congruence subgroups. This correspondence respects Hecke operators. Conversely, a cuspidal Hilbert eigenform determines a unique regular algebraic $\pi$ (which is a bijection if we restrict to the set of normalized newforms).

For each $v$ such that $\pi_v$ is unramified, the local $L$-factor is the function in $s \in \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$L_v(\pi, s) = (1 - \theta_v(T_v)Nv^{-s} + \theta_v(S_v)Nv^{1-2s})^{-1}$$

With a suitable definition of $L_v(\pi, s)$ for the remaining finite places (depending only on $\pi_v$), taking products over finite $v$ we obtain

$$L(\pi, s) = \prod L_v(E, s),$$

the (a twist of the principal) $L$-function associated to $\pi$. It is known [1] that $L(\pi, s)$, a priori only a holomorphic function on some half-plane, admits a holomorphic continuation to all of $\mathbb{C}$, and satisfies a functional equation relating $L(\pi, s)$ and $L(\pi, 2 - s)$.

The following theorem is the combination of the work of many people [12],[52], [7],[47],[48]

**Theorem 2.1.** Fix a prime $l$ and an isomorphism of fields $\iota_l : \mathbb{C} \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. Let $\pi$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ which is regular algebraic of weight $(k,w)$. Then there exists a continuous irreducible Galois representation

$$\rho_{\pi,l} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Z}}_l)$$

such that
\[\bullet \rho_{\pi,l} \text{ is unramified at all places } v \not| l \text{ where } \pi_v \text{ is unramified, and in which case}
\]
\[
\det(1 - \rho_{\pi,l}(\text{Frob}_v)X) = 1 - \iota_l \theta_v(T_v)X + \iota_l \theta_v(S_v)N_vX^2.
\]
\[\bullet \text{For } v|l, \text{ the representation } \rho_{\pi,l}|_{G_{F_v}} \text{ is potentially semi-stable with } \tau\text{-Hodge-Tate weight } (k_i^{-1} r + w - 2)/2, (w - k_i^{-1} r)/2\]
\[\bullet \text{For any complex conjugation } c \in G_F, \det \rho_{\pi,l}(c) = -1.\]

**Remark 2.1.**

(1) By the Chebotarev density theorem, knowing the equality above for a density one set of places \(v\) determines \(\rho_{\pi,l}\) up to semi-simplification, and hence \(\rho_{\pi,l}\).

(2) \(\det \rho_{\pi,l}\) correspond via class field theory to the \(l\)-adic character associated to the algebraic Hecke character \(\omega_{\pi}||^{-1}\).

(3) It is known that for \(\pi\) regular algebraic, the collection \(\theta_v(T_v), \theta_v(S_v)\) generates a number field, and hence the \(\rho_{\pi,l}\) form a weakly compatible system in the sense of [49]. They in fact form a strongly compatible system.

(4) (Local-global compatibility) The (Frobenius-semisimplification of the) Weil-Deligne representation associated to \(\rho_{\pi,l}|_{G_{F_v}}\) and \(\pi_v\) determine each other via the Local Langlands Correspondence.

**2.2. Modular elliptic curves.** Let \(E\) be an elliptic curve defined over \(F\). The \(l\)-adic Tate module \(T_lE\) is defined as

\[
T_lE = \lim_{\leftarrow} E[l^n](\overline{F})
\]

where the transition maps are multiplication by \(l\). It is a free \(\mathbb{Z}_l\)-module of rank 2 with a continuous action of \(G_F\), hence a 2-dimensional \(l\)-adic representation \(\rho_{E,l}\) of \(G_F\). It is known that
For all places $v \nmid l$ such that $E$ has good reduction, $\rho_{E,l}$ is unramified at $v$, and

$$\det(1 - \rho_{E,l}(\text{Frob}_v)X) = 1 - a_v(E)X + N vX^2,$$

where $a_v(E) = 1 + N v - |\overline{E}_v(k_v)|$ and $\overline{E}_v$ is the reduction of $E \mod v$.

For all places $v|l$, the representation $\rho_{E,l}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is potentially semi-stable with $\tau$-Hodge-Tate weight 0, -1 for any $\tau : F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. It is (potentially) reducible if and only if $E$ has multiplicative or potentially good ordinary reduction at $v$.

- $\det \rho_{E,l} = \epsilon_l$ is the $l$-adic cyclotomic character.
- $\rho_{E,l}$ is irreducible.

For $v \nmid l$ a place of good reduction, the local $L$-factor at $v$ is the function in $s \in \mathbb{C}$

$$L_v(E,s) = (\det(1 - \rho_{E,l}(\text{Frob}_v)Nv^{-s}))^{-1} = (1 - a_v(E)Nv^{-s} + N v^{1-2s})^{-1}$$

With a suitable definition of $L_v(E,s)$ for the remaining finite places (depending only on the local behavior of $E$ at $v$), taking products over all finite $v$ we obtain

$$L(E,s) = \prod L_v(E,s),$$

the $L$-function of $E$. The product converges on $\Re s > 3/2$ to a holomorphic function. It is a central problem is to establish holomorphic (or at least meromorphic) continuation of $L(E,s)$ to the whole complex plane, e.g. to formulate the Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture for $E$. We come to the following central definition

**Definition 2.2.** An elliptic curve $E$ defined over $F$ is called modular if for one (equivalently, any) prime $l$, there is a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi$ of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that there is an isomorphism of Galois representations

$$\rho_{E,l} \cong \rho_{\pi,l}.$$
Remark 2.2.

(1) Since $\rho_{\pi,l}$ determines $\pi$, there is at most one $\pi$ satisfying the above. Such a $\pi$ must have Hecke eigenvalues in $\mathbb{Z}$, have trivial central character and weight $((2, 2, \ldots, 2), 0)$ (i.e. correspond to a Hilbert eigenform of parallel weight 2).

(2) By a theorem of Faltings, $\rho_{E,l}$ determines $E$ up to $F$-isogeny. Thus if every elliptic curve over $F$ is modular then we have an injection from the set $F$-isogeny classes of elliptic curves over $F$ to the set of normalized Hilbert newforms with rational Hecke eigenvalues. It is expected to be a bijection, as discussed in the remark below.

(3) If $E$ is modular, $L(E, s) = L(\pi, s)$, and thus the $L$-function of $E$ has holomorphic continuation and expected functional equation. The only known method to establish these analytic properties for $L(E, s)$ is via modularity of $E$.

(4) Over $\mathbb{Q}$, the above is one possible formulation of modularity of $E$ [18]. An equivalent formulation for modularity of an elliptic curve $E$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ is that there is a non-constant morphism of algebraic curves (either over $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{Q}$) $X_0(N) \to E$, where $X_0(N)$ is the standard modular curve of level $\Gamma_0(N) \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, i.e. $E$ is dominated by a modular curve. Furthermore, given a weight 2 modular form on $\Gamma_0(N)$, there is a construction of an elliptic curve $E_f$ with the same $l$-adic representation as $\rho_{f,l}$, and modularity of $E$ is equivalent to $E$ being isogenous to one such $E_f$.

Over a general totally real field, one does not know how to construct an elliptic curve from a parallel weight 2 Hilbert eigenform (or the corresponding automorphic representation $\pi$). One difficulty is that one does not expect to find $E$ in the Albanese variety of any Shimura variety (and in fact, it seems that the motive of some $E$ does not show up in any Shimura variety at all. We learnt this from [6]). However, when $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ is odd, or $\pi_v$ is essentially square-integrable at some finite $v$, one can construct an elliptic curve $E_v$ in
the Jacobian of a suitable Shimura curve, exactly as in the situation over \( \mathbb{Q} \). Consequently, if either \([F : \mathbb{Q}]\) is odd or \( E \) has multiplicative reduction at some finite place, then modularity of \( E \) is equivalent to \( E \) being dominated by a Shimura curve over \( F \). In general, Blasius \([6]\) has shown that \( E \) exists conditional on Deligne’s conjecture that all Hodge cycles are absolutely Hodge.

**Definition 2.3.** An elliptic curve \( E \) defined over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \) is called a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-curve if \( E^\sigma = E \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}},\sigma} \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \) is isogenous to \( E \) for all \( \sigma \in G_\mathbb{Q} \).

In particular, an elliptic curve over \( \mathbb{Q} \) is a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-curve, but the converse is false. The following proposition collects some general facts that will be used later:

**Proposition 2.4.** Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve defined over a totally real field \( F \).

1. If \( E \) has CM, then \( E \) is modular.
2. If \( E \) is a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-curve then \( E \) is modular.
3. If \( E \) is modular and \( E' \) is another curve such that \( j(E') = j(E) \) then \( E' \) is modular.

**Proof.**

1. If \( E \) has CM by an order in an imaginary quadratic field \( K \), then \( \rho_{E,l}|_{G_{FK}} \) has abelian image, hence \( \rho_{E,l} \) is isomorphic to the induction of a character of \( G_K \), which corresponds to an algebraic Hecke character by class field theory. The automorphic induction of this Hecke character gives the required automorphic representation \( \pi \).

2. It is shown in \([38]\) that Serre’s modularity conjecture over \( \mathbb{Q} \) (proven in \([31], [32]\)) implies that any \( \mathbb{Q} \)-curve is an isogeny factor of the Jacobian of a modular curve \( X_0(N) \) over \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \). In \([23]\), it is shown how to extend the Galois representation \( \rho_{E,l} : G_{F} \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_l) \) to an \( l \)-adic representation \( \rho_l : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \text{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \), and that the modularity of \( E \) (in the sense of \([38]\)) is equivalent to \( \rho_l \) being automorphic. Thus there is a cuspidal automorphic representation \( \pi \) of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \)
such that $\rho_{\pi,l} \cong \rho_l$. By $[?], there exists a cuspidal automorphic representation $BC(\pi)$ of $GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $\rho_{BC(\pi),l} \cong \rho_{\pi,l}|_{G_F},$ and hence $E$ is modular.

(3) If $j(E) = 0$ or $1728$ then $E$ is CM and thus is modular. Otherwise, $E'$ must be a quadratic twist of $E$, so $\rho_{E',l} \cong \rho_{E',l} \otimes \chi$ for a quadratic character $\chi$, because the automorphism group of $E$ is $\{\pm 1\}$. $\chi$ corresponds to a Hecke character which we abusively also call $\chi$. If $\rho_{E,l} \cong \rho_{\pi,l}$ then $\rho_{E',l} \cong \rho_{\pi \otimes \chi,l}$.

\[\square\]

2.3. **Modularity lifting theorems.** If $\rho : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a continuous representation and $\rho_v = \rho|_{G_{F_v}}$ is the local representation at a place $v|p$, recall that $\rho_v$ is ordinary if

$$\rho_v \cong \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1^{(v)} & * \\ 0 & \psi_2^{(v)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\psi_1^{(v)}$, $\psi_2^{(v)}$ are Hodge-Tate characters of $G_{F_v}$ with $\tau$-Hodge-Tate weights $k_{\tau,1} < k_{\tau,2}$, for each $\tau : F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{Q}_p$. In this case, we say that $\rho_v$ is distinguished if the reduction of the characters $\overline{\psi_1^{(v)}} \neq \overline{\psi_2^{(v)}}$. If $\rho' : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is another representation lifting $\overline{\rho}$, we say that $\rho'$ is a $\overline{\psi_2}$-good lift of $\overline{\rho}$ if $\rho'_v \cong \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 & * \\ 0 & \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\phi_2$ is lifts $\overline{\psi_2}$.

We record the following modularity lifting statement which is optimized for our purposes:

**Theorem 2.5.** Let $p > 2$ be prime, $F$ a totally real field, $\rho : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ a continuous representation. Assume

- $\rho$ is unramified for almost all places $v$ of $F$.
- For each place $v|p$, $\rho_v = \rho|_{G_{F_v}}$ is potentially semi-stable of with $\tau$-Hodge-Tate weight $0$, $-1$ for each embedding $\tau : F_v \hookrightarrow \overline{Q}_p$.
- $\det \rho \cong \epsilon$ is the ($p$-adic) cyclotomic character.
- $\overline{\rho}|_{G_F(\zeta_p)}$ is absolutely irreducible.
• \( \overline{\rho} \) is modular of weight 2, that is there exists a regular algebraic cuspidal automorphic representation \( \pi \) of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F) \) of weight \( ((2, 2, \cdots 2), 0) \) with associated Galois representation \( \rho_{\pi, p} \) such that \( \overline{\rho}_{\pi, p} \cong \overline{\rho} \).

Then \( \rho \) is modular.

Proof. This is the combination of various theorems in the literature. When \( p \neq 5 \), this follows from Theorem 3.2.3 of [11] (when \( \rho_v \) is potentially crystalline for all \( v \mid p \) and \( \overline{\rho} \) admits an ordinary lift then it follows from the main result of [33]). For the convenience of the reader, we now give a summary of the argument in [11].

The essential point is to find (after a totally real solvable base change) an automorphic representation \( \pi_0 \) with the property that for all \( v \mid p \), the local representations \( \rho_{\pi_1, p}|_{G_{F_v}} \) and \( \rho|_{G_{F_v}} \) lie in the same irreducible component inside the semi-stable (framed) deformation space with \( \tau \)-Hodge-Tate weights 0, -1 of the trivial mod \( p \) \( G_{F_v} \)-representation. This deformation space has exactly three irreducible component when \( F_v \) is large enough (which we can assume after a solvable base change), corresponding to ordinary crystalline lifts, non-ordinary crystalline lifts and lifts that are extensions of the trivial character by \( \epsilon \). Call \( S_{\text{ord}}, S_{\text{nord}} \) and \( S_{\text{st}} \) the set of places \( v \mid p \) where \( \rho \) is ordinary crystalline, non-ordinary crystalline and semi-stable non-crystalline, respectively. From our hypothesis, [5] shows that we can find an automorphic representation \( \pi_1 \) such that \( \rho_{\pi_1, p} \) lifts \( \overline{\rho} \) and is ordinary crystalline at all \( v \mid p \). After a further solvable base change one can construct an automorphic representation \( \pi_2 \) for \( D_{\mathbb{A}}^\times \), with \( D \) the quaternion algebra ramified at \( \infty \) and at \( v \in S_{\text{st}} \), such that \( (\pi_2)_v \) is trivial at \( v \in S_{\text{st}} \) and is ordinary at all other \( v \mid p \). Exactly the same argument as in Corollary (3.1.6) [33] for the space of automorphic forms on \( D \) then produces the desired \( \pi_0 \). The theorem now follows from an \( R^{\text{red}} = T \) theorem similar to the one in [33], with the difference that at places \( v \in S_{\text{st}} \) we use the non-crystalline component of the local deformation space.
We now show what needs to be done when \( p = 5 \). In [11], the authors assumed that the projective image of \( \overline{\rho}|_{G_{F(\zeta_5)}} \) in \( \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5) \) is not isomorphic to \( \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5) \). This is only used to assure the existence of Taylor-Wiles systems as in [33] 3.2.3. We now show that we can still choose Taylor-Wiles systems without this hypothesis, but with the assumption that \( \overline{\rho} \) has cyclotomic determinant. With the notation in [33] 3.2.3 and following the proof of Theorem 2.49 in [15], what we need to show is that we can find for each \( n \) and a non-trivial cocycle \( \psi \in H^1(G_{F,S}, \text{ad}^0\rho(1)) \), we can find a place \( v \not\in S \) of \( F \) such that

- \( |k(v)| = 1 \text{ mod } p^n \) and \( \overline{\rho}(\text{Frob}_v) \) has distinct eigenvalues.
- The image of \( \psi \) under the restriction map

\[
H^1(G_F, \text{ad}^0\rho(1)) \to H^1(G_{F_v}, \text{ad}^0\rho(1))
\]

is non-trivial.

Let \( F_m \) be the extension of \( F(\zeta_p^m) \) cut out by \( \text{ad}^0\rho \), then the argument in [15] works once we can show that the restriction of \( \psi \) to \( H^1(G_{F_0}, \text{ad}^0\rho(1)) \) is non-trivial. To do this we want to show that \( H^1(\text{Gal}(F_0/F), \text{ad}^0\rho(1)^{G_{F_0}}) = 0 \). Because \( G_{F_0} \) acts trivially on \( \text{ad}^0\rho \), the coefficient module vanishes unless \( \zeta_p \in F_0 \). We now assume that \( \zeta_p \in F_0 \).

Let \( \chi : \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \to \mathbb{F}_p^\times/(\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^2 \) be the character induced by the determinant. Because \( H^1(\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5), \text{Sym}^2\mathbb{F}_5^\times) = H^1(\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5), \text{Sym}^2\mathbb{F}_5^\times(\chi)) = \mathbb{F}_5 \) does not vanish, the extra hypothesis when \( p = 5 \) was needed to exclude the possibility that \( \text{Gal}(F_0/F) = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5) \) or \( \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5) \) and \( \zeta_5 \in F_0 \). However, under our cyclotomic determinant assumption, these cases can not occur: If \( \text{Gal}(F_0/F) = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5) \), the determinant of \( \overline{\rho} \) must take value in \( (\mathbb{F}_5^\times)^2 \), and thus \( \sqrt{5} \in F \). But \( \zeta_5 \in F_0 \setminus F \) implies \( \text{Gal}(F_0/F) = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5) = A_5 \) has a quotient of order 2, a contradiction. If \( \text{Gal}(F_0/F) = \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5) \), the determinant of \( \overline{\rho} \) takes non-square values, and hence \( \sqrt{5} \notin F \). It follows that \( \text{Gal}(F_0/F) = \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5) \) admits a surjection onto \( \mathbb{F}_5^\times \), which is impossible. \( \square \)
Remark 2.3. The lifting theorem in [33] requires one to have a modular lift which lies in the same connected component ("ordinary" or "non-ordinary") as \( \rho \) at all places above \( v \). guarantees such a lift once we have an ordinary automorphic lift of \( \mathcal{P} \). In general, one can appeal to [4], for the existence of ordinary lifts, however in situations when we apply Theorem 2.5, we could always guarantee an ordinary automorphic lift (either by Hida theory or by choosing our auxiliary elliptic curves in the argument below judiciously).

The following is an immediate corollary of Theorem 2.5, the above remark and the fact that the representation \( \rho_{E,p} \) coming from an elliptic curve \( E \) is potentially semi-stable at all \( v|p \) with Hodge-Tate weights 0, −1:

**Corollary 2.6.** Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve defined over a totally real field \( F \) and \( p > 2 \) is a prime such that \( \mathcal{P}_{E,p}|_{G_F(\zeta_p)} \) is absolutely irreducible, and \( \mathcal{P}_{E,p} \) is modular. Then \( E \) is modular.

We now recall the following theorem of Skinner-Wiles [44], as corrected in Theorem 1, [42].

**Theorem 2.7.** Let \( p > 2 \) \( \rho : G_F \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \) be a continuous representation such that

- \( \rho \) is unramified for almost all places \( v \) of \( F \).
- For each place \( v|p \), \( \rho_v \) is ordinary and distinguished.
- \( \det \rho = \psi e^{w-1} \) for some \( w \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( \psi \) a finite order character, and \( \det \rho(c) = -1 \) for all complex conjugation \( c \in G_F \).
- \( \mathcal{P} \) is absolutely irreducible.
- There is a cuspidal automorphic representation \( \pi \) of \( GL_2(\mathbb{A}_F) \) such that \( \rho_\pi \) is a \( \psi_2 \) -good lift of \( \mathcal{P} \) for all \( v|p \).
- If \( \mathcal{P}|_{G_F(\zeta_p)} \) is reducible and the quadratic subfield \( F^* \) of \( F(\zeta_p)/F \) is a CM extension, then not every place \( v|p \) of \( F \) splits in \( F^* \).
Then $\rho$ is modular.

Compared to Theorem 2.5, the most important difference is that we require a weaker hypothesis on $\overline{\rho}$, at the expense of more restrictive condition on the local representations at $v|p$.

**Corollary 2.8.** Let $p > 2$ be a prime, and $F$ a totally real number field such that $p$ is unramified in $F$. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over $F$ such that $E$ has multiplicative or potentially good ordinary reduction at all places $v|p$, and that the representation $\overline{\rho}_{E,p}$ is irreducible. If $\overline{\rho}_{E,p}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is absolutely irreducible, assume furthermore that $\overline{\rho}_{E,p}$ is modular. Then $E$ is modular.

**Proof.** Put $\rho = \rho_{E,p}$. In view of Corollary 2.6, we only need to consider the case $\overline{\rho}$ is irreducible, but absolutely reducible when restricted to $G_{F(\zeta_p)}$. Since the latter is a normal subgroup of $G_F$, this can only happen if $\overline{\rho}$ is the induction of a character of $G_{F^*}$, where $F^*$ is the quadratic subextension of $F(\zeta_p)/F$. Since $p$ is unramified in $F$, the extension $F^*/F$ is totally ramified at all places $v|p$, so the last condition in theorem 2.8 holds. The assumption on the local behavior of $E$ at $v|p$ implies (in fact, is equivalent to) that $\rho$ is ordinary at all $v|p$. Furthermore, we know that for some finite Galois extension $F'_v/F_v$, there is a line $L \subset V_pE$ in the rational Tate module of $E$ which is $G_{F'_v}$-stable on which $I_{F'_v}$ acts via the cyclotomic character, and $I_{F'_v}$ acts trivially on $V_pE/L$. As $G_{F'_v}$ is a normal subgroup of $G_{F_v}$, this implies that $L$ is in fact stable under $G_{F_v}$.

We claim that $\rho_v$ is distinguished. Since $\rho_v$ preserves the flag $L \subset V_pE$ and $\det \rho = \epsilon$, we see that

$$
\left( \begin{array}{cc} \epsilon \psi^{-1} & \ast \\ 0 & \psi \end{array} \right)
$$

where $\psi$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$-valued character. If $\rho_v$ were not distinguished, that means $\epsilon = \psi^2 \mod p$, contradicting the fact that $\overline{\tau}(G_{F_v}) = \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, since $F_v$ and $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$ are linearly
disjoint. Finally, it remains to find a \( \overline{\psi} \)-good automorphic lift of \( \overline{\rho} \). This is possible by the following lemma (see Lemma 5.1.2 of [3])

**Lemma 2.9.** If \( \overline{\rho} \) has dihedral image, then \( \overline{\rho} \) admits a \( (\psi-)\)-good \( p \)-ordinary regular algebraic cuspidal lift.

Thus all conditions of Theorem 2.8 are satisfied and \( E \) is modular.

\[ \square \]

3. **Residual modularity and prime switching**

If \( \rho_{E,3} \) is a Galois representation coming from the Tate module of an elliptic curve over \( E \), then the first three items of 2.5 are satisfied, and it remains to consider the last two items. In particular, we need to have access to enough mod \( p \) modular Galois representations (of weight 2). The basic starting point is

**Theorem 3.1.** (Langlands-Tunnell [35], [51]) If \( F \) is a totally real field and \( \rho : G_F \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \) is an odd Artin representation with solvable projective image then \( \rho \) is modular.

Using this, the argument at the beginning of [53], Chapter 5 shows that if \( E \) is an elliptic curve \( F \) then \( \overline{\rho}_{E,3} \) is congruent to the Galois representation \( \rho_\pi \) associated to a Hilbert modular form of weight 1. Such a representation is ordinary at all places \( v \nmid 3 \), and hence [52], Theorem 1.4.1 shows that \( \rho_\pi \) is obtained by the specialization of a Hida family at parallel weight 1. Specializing the family at parallel weight 2 then shows that \( \overline{\rho}_{E,3} \) is in fact modular of weight 2. Thus \( \overline{\rho}_{E,3} \) is always modular of weight 2. Starting from this, we can propagate residual modularity:

**Proposition 3.2.** Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over a totally real field \( F \). Then

1. There exists an elliptic curve \( E' \) over \( F \) such that
   - \( \overline{\rho}_{E,5} \cong \overline{\rho}_{E',5} \)
   - \( \text{Im} \overline{\rho}_{E',3} \supset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \)
In particular, $\rho_{E,5} \cong \rho_{E',5}$ is modular of weight 2.

(2) There exists an elliptic curve $E'$ over a solvable extension $F'$ of $F$ such that

- $\bar{\rho}_{E,7}|_{G_{F'}} \cong \rho_{E',7}$
- $\text{Im } \bar{\rho}_{E',7} = \text{Im } \bar{\rho}_{E,7}$
- $\text{Im } \bar{\rho}_{E',3} \supset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$

Before giving the proof, we first collect various facts that we will use.

Given an elliptic curve $E$ over $F$, we have a finite (étale) group scheme $E[p]$ over $F$, and thus we have a twisted modular curve $X_E(p)$ defined over $F$ which classifies isomorphism classes of (generalized) elliptic curves $E'$ together with a symplectic isomorphism of group schemes $E[p] \cong E'[p]$. Indeed one has such a twisted modular curve over $F$ for any Galois representation $\bar{\rho} : G_F \to \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with cyclotomic determinant, by replacing $E[p]$ with the group scheme $G$ with descent data given by $\rho$. Then:

- $X_E(5)$ has genus 0 and has a rational point (corresponding to $E$), hence is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ over $F$. The variety parameterizing bases $(P,Q)$ of the 3-torsion subscheme of the universal elliptic curve over $X_E(5)$ is defined over $F$ and has two geometric connected components, which are covers of $X_E(5)$ of degree $|\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)|$ (each component is isomorphic to $X(15)$ over $\mathbb{C}$).
- The modular curve $X_{\bar{\rho}}$ for the mod 7 Galois representation $\bar{\epsilon}_7 \oplus 1$ is isomorphic (over $F$) to the Klein quartic

$$X^3Y + Y^3Z + Z^3X = 0$$

in $\mathbb{P}^2$. The twisted modular curve $X_E(7)$ is thus a form of the Klein quartic over $F$, and hence is a smooth non-hyperelliptic curve of genus 3. The canonical embedding realizes $C = X_E(7)$ as a plane quartic $C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ over $F$. 18
Lemma 3.3. Let $C$ be the Klein quartic over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $L = \mathbb{P}H^0(C, \Omega^1) \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}^4C$ and $Z = L \times_{\text{Sym}^4C} C^4$ be the space of ordered quadruple of collinear points on $C$. Then $Z$ irreducible and $Z \to L$ is generically Galois with Galois group $S_4$.

Proof. Let $\Sigma \subset C \times L$ be the incidence correspondence of pairs $(P, l)$ where $P$ is a point on $C$ and $l$ is a line passing through $P$. The projection $\Sigma \to C$ realizes $\Sigma$ as a $\mathbb{P}^1$-bundle over $C$, hence is irreducible. We claim that the monodromy group of the degree 4 covering $\Sigma \to L$ is $S_4$. The plane quartic $C$ has only finitely many bitangents and finitely many flexes (over $\mathbb{C}$) \cite{22}, hence for a general point in the plane, the projection from the point gives a ramified covering $C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ which is simply ramified, that is each fiber has at most one ramification point, and if there is one it has ramification index 2. The monodromy group of this cover must be $S_4$, as it is a subgroup of $S_4$ which is transitive and is generated by transposition (see the lemma below). The above covering can be realized as the the pullback of the covering $\Sigma \to L$ over the $\mathbb{P}^1$ of lines passing through the chosen general point, and thus the monodromy group of this cover must be all of $S_4$, and its Galois closure must be birational to $Z$ and $Z$ must be irreducible. \hfill \Box

We thank Omar Antolin Camarena for showing us the following lemma and its proof:

Lemma 3.4. If $G$ is a subgroup of the symmetric group $S_d$ which is transitive and is generated by transpositions, then $G = S_d$.

Proof. Draw a graph on $\{1, \ldots, d\}$, where there is an edge between $i$ and $j$ if there is a transposition $(ij)$ in the generating set of $G$. Because $G$ is transitive, the graph is connected. Given any vertices $i, j$ there is thus a path $i_0 = i, i_1, \ldots, i_n = j$ joining them. Then $(i_n i_{n-1}) \cdots (i_2 i_1) (i_0 i_1) (i_2 i_1) \cdots (i_n i_{n-1}) = (ij)$ is in $G$, hence $G$ contains all transpositions. \hfill \Box
Lemma 3.5. Let $C = X(7)$ be the modular curve with full level 7 structure over $\mathbb{C}$. Fix a non-trivial $\zeta \in \mu_3(\mathbb{C})$, and let $T_\zeta$ denote the space parameterizing bases $(P, Q)$ of the 3-torsion subgroup of the universal elliptic curve over $C$, such that the Weil pairing $e_3(P, Q) = \zeta$. Let $Z \hookrightarrow C^4$ denote space of ordered quadruples which are collinear (under the canonical embedding of $C$). Put $Y = Z \times_{C^4} T_\zeta^4$. Then each irreducible component of $Y$ has degree $\geq 24^4/3$ over $Z$.

Proof. Put $G = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$. $\tilde{G} = G^4 \rtimes S_4$, where $S_4$ acts by permuting the coordinates on $G^4$. Let $L = \mathbb{P}H^0(C, \Omega^1) \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}^4 C$ as in the previous lemma. The cover $Y \to L$ is generically étale with Galois group $\tilde{G}$. Let $Y_0$ be an irreducible component of $Y$, then $Y_0 \to L$ is generically étale with Galois group a subgroup $\tilde{H} \subseteq \tilde{G}$, which surjects onto $S_4$. Let $H = \tilde{H} \cap G^4$. Now for each pair $(i, j)$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$ we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{c}
Y_0 \longrightarrow T_\zeta^4 \xrightarrow{pr_{ij}} T_\zeta^2 \\
\downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\
Z \longrightarrow C^4 \xrightarrow{pr_{ij}} C^2
\end{array}
$$

The composition map $Z \to C^2$ is generically a 2 to 1 cover. Because $G/[G, G] \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$, $G \times G$ has no non-trivial homomorphism to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, hence the function fields $\mathbb{C}(Z)$ and $\mathbb{C}(T_\zeta^2)$ are linearly disjoint over $\mathbb{C}(C^2)$. It follows that via the projection to the $(i, j)$ factors, there is a surjection $H \to G^2$. Let us now consider the image $\overline{H}$ of $H$ under the projection to any 3 coordinates $G^3$. Then for each $a, b \in G$, there is some $(a, 1, \phi_1(a)) \in \overline{H}$ and $(b, \phi_2(b), 1) \in \overline{H}$. Thus the commutator $(aba^{-1}b^{-1}, 1, 1) \in \overline{H}$. It follows that $\overline{H} \supseteq [G, G]^3$. Now for any $a \in [G, G]$, $b \in G$ we have some $(a, 1, 1, \psi_1(a)) \in H$ by what we just proved, and some $(b, \psi_2(b), \psi_3(b), 1) \in G$. Taking commutators and noting that $[G, [G, G]] = [G, G]$ we see that $H \supseteq [G, G]^4$ strictly. The image of $H$ in $(G/[G, G])^4$ is an $\mathbb{F}_3$-vector space, whose projection to any two coordinates are surjective. Because the composition factor of the natural representation
of $S_4$ on $\mathbb{F}_3^4$ consists of the trivial representation and a three-dimensional representation, the image of $H$ must be either an irreducible three-dimensional subrepresentation or all of $\mathbb{F}_3^4$. In particular, the index of $H$ in $G^4$ is at most 3. □

**Lemma 3.6.** (see [37]) Let $C$ be a smooth plane quartic defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. If $q > 300$, then there exists a line $l$ that intersects $C$ at 4 distinct rational points.

Finally, we record a variant of Ekedahl’s effective version of Hilbert’s irreducibility theorem:

**Lemma 3.7.** Let $X$ be a geometrically irreducible variety over a number field $K$. Assume $X$ satisfies weak approximation. Let $G$ be a finite group and $Y \to X$ a $G$-torsor defined over $K$. Let $H$ be the stabilizer of an irreducible component of $Y \otimes_K \mathbb{C}$. Then the set of rational points $x \in X(K)$ such that each point in the fiber $Y_x$ has degree $\geq |G|/|H|$ satisfies weak approximation.

**Proof.** The cover $Y \to X$ is Galois of degree $|G|$ and the number of geometrically irreducible component of $Y$ is $|G|/|H|$. Let us pick a large number field $K'$ such that $Y \otimes K'$ is isomorphic to a disjoint union $Y = \bigsqcup Y_0$, and the map $Y \to L$ factorizes as $\bigsqcup Y_0 \to \bigsqcup L \to L$ over $F'$. Now the (proof of) the main result of [20] shows that the fiber of a point $u L(F')$ in $Y_0(F')$ (for the covering $Y_0 \to L$ coming from the first term in the above disjoint union) is connected as long as $u$ is chosen to lie in a finite list of suitable ($v$-adic) open subsets of $L(F'_v)$ for a finite list of finite places $v$ with large norm. We can then in particular assume in addition that the $v$ we choose above are lying over primes in $F$ that are completely split in $F'$. This allows us to identify $U_v \subset L(F_v)$. Now if we pick a rational point $l \in L(F)$ such that $l \in U_v \subset L(F_v)$ for the above chosen $U_v$, it follows that the fiber over $l$ must contain a point of degree $\geq g/h \geq 24^5/3$ over $F$. But as the Galois group of the cover act transitively on this fiber, the same must hold for all other points in the fiber. Since $L$ satisfy weak
approximation, we could furthermore require \( l \) to land in small neighborhood at any given finite list of places.

\[\square\]

**Proof.** (1) (see \[53\]) The variety parameterizing bases \((P, Q)\) of the 3-torsion subscheme of the universal elliptic curve over \( X_E(5) \) has 2 geometric connected components, which are covers of \( X_E(5) \) of degree \(|\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)|\) (they are geometrically isomorphic to \( X(15) \)). Hence Hilbert’s irreducibility theorem shows that one can find an \( F \)-rational point on \( X_E(5) \), corresponding to an elliptic curve \( E' \) such that the field cut out by \( E'[3] \) has degree \( \geq 24 \) over \( F \), giving the desired curve because \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \) is the unique proper subgroup of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \) of size \( \geq 24 \). Theorem \[2.5\] then shows that \( E' \) is modular, hence the last assertion.

(2) This is a more elaborate version of the above argument. We will follow the general approach in \[36\], giving some further details. Let \( L \) denote the space of lines inside this \( \mathbb{P}^2 \), then \( L \) is the dual projective space and is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}^2 \). Let \( Z \hookrightarrow C^4 \) be the subvariety consisting of ordered quadruple of points on \( C \) that are collinear. Note that \( Z = L \times_{\text{Sym}^4 C} C^4 \), where \( \text{Sym}^4 C = C^4 / S_4 \) is the fourth symmetric power of \( C \). By lemma \[3.3\] \( Z \) is geometrically irreducible and the cover \( Z \to L \) is generically Galois with Galois group \( S_4 \).

Let \( T \) denote the variety parameterizing bases \((P, Q)\) for the 3-torsion subscheme of the universal elliptic curve over \( C \), it is a \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \)-torsor over the complement of the cusps on \( C \). Define \( Y = Z \times_{C^4} T^4 \). By lemma \[3.5\] each geometric irreducible component of \( Y \) has degree \( \geq 24^5 / 3 \) over \( L \). We claim that the subset of \( F \)-rational lines \( l \) such that the fibers in \( Y \) over \( l \) each have degree \( \geq 24^5 / 3 \) and the fiber in \( Z \) over \( l \) is connected also satisfies weak approximation. Indeed, the cover \( Y \to L \) is Galois of degree \( g \), and suppose \( h \) is the number of geometrically irreducible component of \( Y \). Let us pick a large number field \( F' \) such that \( Y \otimes F' \) is isomorphic to a disjoint union \( Y = \coprod Y_0 \).
and the map $Y \to L$ factorizes as $\coprod Y_0 \to \coprod L \to L$ over $F'$. Now the (proof of) the main result of [20] shows that the fiber of a point $u L(F')$ in $Y_0(F')$ (for the covering $Y_0 \to L$ coming from the first term in the above disjoint union) is connected as long as $u$ is chosen to lie in a finite list of suitable ($v$-adic) open subsets of $L(F'_v)$ for a finite list of finite places $v$ with large norm. We can then in particular assume in addition that the $v$ we choose above are lying over primes in $F$ that are completely split in $F'$. This allows us to identify $U_v \subset L(F_v)$. Now if we pick a rational point $l \in L(F)$ such that $l \in U_v \subset L(F_v)$ for the above chosen $U_v$, it follows that the fiber over $l$ must contain a point of degree $\geq g/h \geq 24^6/3$ over $F$. But as the Galois group of the cover act transitively on this fiber, the same must hold for all other points in the fiber. Since $L$ satisfy weak approximation, we could furthermore require $l$ to land in small neighborhood at any given finite list of places.

If a line $l$ has the above properties, $l$ intersects $C$ at four points whose residue field is an $S_4$ Galois extension of $F'$ of $F$. The 4 intersection points give rise to 4 elliptic curves $E_i$ over $F'$, which are conjugates of each other, hence the degree $d$ of the extension $F'(E_i[3])$ obtained by adjoining the 3-torsion points of $E_i$ is independent of $i$. On the other hand, the extension over $F'$ generated by adjoining all the 3-torsion points of all the $E_i$ has degree $\geq 24^4/3$ over $F'$, thus we have $d^4 \geq 24^4/3$. But any subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ of such size $d$ must contain $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$. Thus to finish the proof, we only need to arrange that the intersection points are defined over a totally real extension of $F$, and that the mod 7 Galois representation of the elliptic curves corresponding to the intersection points have as large image as $\text{Im } \overline{\rho}_{E,7}$. This will be done by using the weak approximation property to find a line $l$ as above which lies in open subsets $U_v$ for $v$ running over a finite set of places of $F$ chosen as follows:
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For each $v | \infty$, because $\overline{\rho}_{E,7}$ has cyclotomic determinant, $X_E(7) \times_F F_v$ is actually isomorphic to the Klein quartic, and thus we find an explicit line $l_v$ which intersects $C$ at 4 non-cuspidal real points, for example the line $3X + Y = 0$. Every line in a small open neighborhood (for the strong topology) of $l_v$ will then have the same property. Shrinking $U_v$, we can assume it contains no line passing through a cusp.

By the Chebotarev density theorem, for each element $g \in \text{Im} \overline{\rho}_{E,7}$, there are infinitely many places $v$ such that $\overline{\rho}|_{G_{F_v}}$ is unramified and the image of $Frob_v$ is conjugate to $g$. Pick such a $v$ for each $g$, such that $C$ has good reduction and reduces to a smooth plane quartic $\overline{C}$. If a Galois extension $F'$ of $F$ is such that all places $v$ in this list splits completely, then $F'$ is linearly disjoint from $F_{\text{ker} \overline{\rho}}$. By lemma 3.6, if we pick $v$ with $Nv > 300$, the reduction $\overline{C}$ will contain four collinear rational points. By Hensel’s lemma, any line that reduces to the line going through these four points will intersect $C \times_F F_v$ at four $F_v$-rational points. This gives an open subset $U_v$ of $L(F_v)$ all whose members have intersect $C$ at $F_v$-rational points and does not contain a line passing through a cusp.

It is now clear that if an $F$-rational line $l$ is in $U_v$ for the above choice, the 4 intersection points of $l$ with $C$ will have coordinates in a totally real extension $F'$ of $F$, and the image of the mod 7 representation corresponding to each intersection point is the same as that of $E$.

4. Gonality of modular curves

Fix a totally real field $F$. In view of Theorem 2.5 and section 3, an elliptic curve $E$ over $F$ is modular, unless $\overline{\rho}_{E,p}|_{G_{F(\zeta_p)}}$ is not absolutely irreducible for each $p = 3, 5, 7$. 
Lemma 4.1. If $G$ is a subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ which is not absolutely irreducible then $G$ is a subgroup of a Borel subgroup or a non-split torus.

Proof. Let $V$ be the underlying $\mathbb{F}_p$-vector space. We know $G$ preserves a line $L$ in $V \otimes \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. If $L$ is rational then $G$ acts reducibly on $V$ and $G$ is a subgroup of a Borel subgroup. If $L$ is not rational, it has a Galois conjugate distinct from it, which is also preserved by $G$. Thus $G$ is a subgroup of a torus. \hfill \Box

Thus the elliptic curves that we don’t yet know to be modular gives rise to non-cuspidal $F(\zeta_{105})$-points on the modular curves $X(3*,5*,7*)$, where $* \in \{b,ns\}$, indicating a Borel level structure and non-split Cartan level structure respectively. To analyze rational points on those curves, it is useful to understand how their Jacobians decompose into isogeny factors. We now explain how this can be done in general.

Let $\Gamma$ be a congruence subgroup of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of square-free level $N$ such that the image of $\Gamma$ mod $p$ is either the Borel, the normalizer of the split or non-split Cartan subgroup of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ for each prime $p|N$. The modular curve $X(\Gamma) = \mathbb{H}^*/\Gamma$ has a canonical model defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, because for each prime $p$ dividing the level, the corresponding subgroup in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ admits an extension to a subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with determinant surjecting onto $\mathbb{F}_p^*$, and the corresponding (open) modular curve is identified with the Shimura variety $\text{Sh}_K = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})/\mathbb{R}^* \text{O}(\mathbb{R})K$, where $K$ is the unique open compact subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ lifting each subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ as above. Note because $\det(K)$ is surjective, the Shimura variety is geometrically irreducible, and its $\mathbb{C}$-points are naturally given by $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma$. We will use both notations when talking about modular curves.

Given a list of distinct primes $p_i$ and label $* \in \{b,s^+,ns^+\}$, we write $X(p_i*)$ to denote the modular curve of the above kind such that mod $p_i$ the congruence subgroup is Borel, normalizer of split or non-split Cartan respectively.
For a prime $p$, denoting the Borel, normalizer of split/non-split Cartan subgroups of $G = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ by $B$, $S$ and $N$, we have a relation (see [16])

$$\pi_N + \pi_B = v\pi Sv^{-1} + \pi_G$$

inside the group algebra $\mathbb{Q}[G]$, where $\pi_H$ denotes the projector onto the $H$-invariant part, and $v$ is an invertible element in $\mathbb{Q}[G]$. Applying this relation onto $\text{End}(\text{Sh}_{K(N)}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, we can thus express (up to isogeny) the Jacobian of each modular curve of the kind we are considering in terms of Jacobian the modular curves of the same kind, but where only Borel or normalizer of split Cartan level structures appear. Let $K(ps)$ and $K(p^+)$ be the open compact subgroups of $\text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$ coming from the split Cartan and normalizer of split Cartan subgroup in $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Let $K_0(p^r)$ be the subgroup of matrices that are upper triangular mod $p^r$. Then we have

$$K(ps) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) K_0(p^2) \left( \begin{array}{cc} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)^{-1}$$

$$K(ps^+) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)^{-1},$$

Thus the modular curves with normalizer split Cartan level at $p$ are isomorphic to one with level $K_0(p^2)^+$ (that is, the level generated by $K_0(p^2)$ and $\left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{array} \right)$).

The modular curve $\text{Sh}_{K_0(p^m)K_p}$ has a moduli interpretation in terms of elliptic curves with a cyclic subgroup $C_{p^m}$ of order $p^m$ and level structure $K_p$ away from $p$, and the Atkin-Lehner involution $w_{p^m}$ given by

$$(E, C_{p^m}, K_p) \to (E/C_p, E[p^m]/C_{p^m}, K_p),$$

which corresponds to right multiplication by $\left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ p^m & 0 \end{array} \right)^{-1}$ at the level of the double coset description. Thus we have
Lemma 4.2. If p is any prime and $K^p$ is a level structure away from p, up to isogeny over $\mathbb{Q}$,

$$\text{Jac}(\text{Sh}_{K(pns+)}^{K^p}) \times \text{Jac}(\text{Sh}_{K(pns)}^{K^p}) \sim \text{Jac}(\text{Sh}_{K(p^2)}^{K^p}) \times \text{Jac}(\text{Sh}_{\text{GL}_2(Z_p)}^{K^p})$$

$$\text{Jac}(\text{Sh}_{K(pns+)}^{K^p}) \sim \text{Jac}(\text{Sh}_{K(p^2)}^{K^p})^w$$

Recall that a curve is hyperelliptic (resp. bielliptic) over a field $k$ if it is a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^1$ (resp. an elliptic curve) over $k$.

Lemma 4.3. None of the modular curves $X(3*, 5*, 7*)$ above are hyperelliptic or bielliptic over $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. We will make use of the following two facts

Proposition 4.4. (Castelnuovo-Severi inequality) Let $F, F_1, F_2$ be function fields of curves over a field $k$, of genera $g, g_1, g_2$, respectively. Suppose that $F_i \subseteq F$ and $F = F_1F_2$. Let $d_i = [F : F_i]$. Then

$$g \leq g_1d_1 + g_2d_2 + (d_1 - 1)(d_2 - 1)$$

Proof. See [16], III.10.3. □

Theorem 4.5. (Abramovich [2]) Let $\Gamma \subset \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be a congruence subgroup of index $d$. Then the $\mathbb{C}$-gonality of the modular curve associated to $\Gamma$ is at least $\frac{7}{800}d$.

Recall that the gonality of a curve defined over a field $k$ is the smallest $d$ such that there exists a map from the curve to $\mathbb{P}^1$ defined over $k$ of degree $d$. Hyperelliptic and bielliptic curves have gonality $\leq 4$. A non-split Cartan subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ has index $p(p-1)$ and a Borel subgroup has index $p+1$. Both groups contain the center and have surjects onto $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$. Consider the following cases:

- $X(3*, 5*, 7*)$ where either $5* = 5ns$ or $7* = 7ns$: The index of the corresponding subgroup of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is at least 640 or 1008 respectively, and hence
Abramovich’s bound gives a $\mathbb{C}$-gonality $\geq 5$. Thus the lemma holds in this case.

- $X(3b, 5b, 7b)$:

The space of cusp forms for $\Gamma_0(105)$ has dimension 13. The subspace fixed by the Atkin-Lehner operator $w_{35}$ has dimension 3, with the $q$-expansion of a basis (computed by Magma) given by

\[
\begin{align*}
f_1 &= q - q^2 - q^3 - q^4 + q^5 + q^6 - 7q^7 + 3q^8 + q^9 - q^{10} - \\
&\quad - 4q^{11} + q^{12} - 2q^{13} + 7q^{14} - q^{15} - q^{16} + 2q^{17} - q^{18} + 4q^{19} + O(q^{20}), \\
f_2 &= q - q^2 + q^3 - q^4 + 3q^5 - q^6 - q^7 + 3q^8 + q^9 - 3q^{10} \\
&\quad + 4q^{11} - q^{12} - 2q^{13} + q^{14} + 3q^{15} - q^{16} - 6q^{17} - q^{18} + 4q^{19} + O(q^{20}), \\
f_3 &= q + q^2 + q^3 - q^4 + q^5 + q^6 - 3q^8 + q^9 + q^{10} \\
&\quad - q^{12} - 6q^{13} + q^{14} + q^{15} - q^{16} + 2q^{17} + q^{18} - 8q^{19} + O(q^{20})
\end{align*}
\]

These cusp forms form a basis for $H^0(X_0(105)/w_{35}, \Omega^1)$, and the $q$-expansion is the expansion in the formal neighborhood of the image of the cusp $\infty$. If the forms $f_i$ satisfy a homogenous quadratic relation, then so will their power series expansion. A linear algebra check by Magma shows that there is no such relation between the $q$-series. Thus the canonical map of $X_0(105)/w_{35}$ does not factor through a conic, hence it must be a quartic plane curve, and not hyperelliptic. Now suppose there exists a map $\pi : X_0(105) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree $d \leq 4$. Of $\pi$ does not factor through the quotient map, the Castelnuovo-Severi inequality for $\pi$ and the quotient map to $X_0(105)/w_{35}$ would imply

\[
13 = g(X_0(105)) \leq 0 + 2 \times 3 + (d - 1)
\]
which is a contradiction. Thus \( \pi \) factor through the quotient map, and in particular \( d = 2 \) or \( 4 \). But that would imply \( X_0(105)/w_{35} \) is either rational or hyperelliptic, a contradiction.

- \( X(3ns, 5b, 7b) \):

  If \( X(3ns, 5b, 7b) \) were hyperelliptic or bielliptic, so is any curve dominated by it, by Proposition 1 of \([27]\). Thus it suffices to show the curve \( X(3ns^+, 5b, 7b) \) is not hyperelliptic or bielliptic. Using lemma \([4,2]\) we have up to isogeny

\[
\text{Jac}(X(3ns^+, 5b, 7b)) \times \text{Jac}(X(3b, 5b, 7b)) \\
\sim \text{Jac}(X(3s^+, 5b, 7b)) \times \text{Jac}(X(5b, 7b)) \\
\sim \text{Jac}(X(9b, 5b, 7b)/w_9) \times \text{Jac}(X(5b, 7b))
\]

and

\[
\text{Jac}(X(3ns^+, 5b)) \times \text{Jac}(X(3b, 5b)) \\
\sim \text{Jac}(X(9b, 5b)/w_9)
\]

The space of cusp forms for \( \Gamma_0(315) \) has dimension 41, and the subspace fixed by \( w_9 \) has dimension 21. The space of cusp forms for \( \Gamma_0(35) \) had dimension 3, thus \( X(3ns^+, 5b, 7b) \) has genus 11. The \( w_9 \)-fixed subspace of cusp forms for \( \Gamma_0(45) \) has dimension 1, and the space of cusp forms of \( \Gamma_0(15) \) has dimension 1, thus \( X(3ns^+, 5b) \) has genus 0. Suppose there is a map \( \pi : X(3ns^+, 5b, 7b) \to C \) of degree 2, where \( C \) has genus \( g \leq 1 \). If the forgetting level structure at 7 map \( X(3ns^+, 5b, 7b) \to X(3ns^+, 5b) \) (which has degree 8) does not factor through
\[ \pi, \text{ the Castelnuovo-Severi inequality would imply} \]
\[ 11 \leq 2g + 0 + 7 \]
which is a contradiction. Thus the forgetting level structure at 7 map factors through \( \pi \). But such a factorization would correspond to a subgroup of \( \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) containing the congruence subgroup corresponding to \( X(3ns^+, 5b, 7b) \) with index 2. However, the table in [14] shows no such groups exists.

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 4.6.** There is a finite list of pairs \((j, F')\) where \( F'/F \) is a totally real quadratic extension and \( j \in F' \), such that an elliptic curve \( E \) over any totally real quadratic extension of \( F \) is modular unless \( j(E) \) is in the list.

**Proof.** From what we have said, an elliptic curve \( E \) over \( F' \) will be modular unless it gives rise to a \( F'(\zeta_{105}) \)-rational point on one of the modular curves \( X = X(3*, 5*, 7*) \) above. Such a point is the same as a \( F(\zeta_{105}) \)-rational effective degree 2 divisor, that is a \( F(\zeta_{105}) \)-rational point of \( \text{Sym}^2 X \). By the above lemma, none of them are bielliptic or hyperelliptic, hence Corollary 3 of [27] applies and gives the desired finiteness.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 4.1.** The finiteness result in [27] hinges on Faltings’ theorem on subvarieties of abelian varieties, and thus the above theorem is ineffective for a general totally real field \( F \). However in good cases (e.g. \( F = \mathbb{Q} \)), one can make the list computable, and we will attempt to do this with some other simplifying assumptions in the next section.

**5. Modularity over real quadratic fields**

By the previous section, we see that there are only finitely many pairs \((j, F)\) where \( F \) is a real quadratic field and \( j \in F \) is the \( j \)-invariant of an elliptic curve over \( F \).
that is not modular, namely the ones whose mod \( p \) Galois representation have small image for all \( p = 3, 5, 7 \). However this finiteness statement is ineffective due to the use of Falting’s theorem. The goal of this section is to make the exceptional pairs explicit and proving modularity of the corresponding curves, under the simplifying assumption that \( F \) is a totally real quadratic field unramified above 5 and 7.

Let \( E \) be an elliptic curve over a totally real field \( F \) such that \( \sqrt{5} \notin F \). If \( E \) were to be not modular, by theorem 2.5 and section 3, \( \overline{\rho}_{E,p} |_{F(\zeta_p)} \) must be not absolutely irreducible for all \( p \in \{3, 5, 7\} \), equivalently, the mod \( p \) Galois representation becomes absolutely reducible over the quadratic subextension of \( F(\zeta_p)/F \). This means that either \( \overline{\rho}_p \) is absolutely reducible (hence reducible since it is odd), or absolutely irreducible but becomes absolutely reducible over \( F(\sqrt{(-1)(p-1)/2p}) \) (because this is the unique quadratic subextension of \( F(\zeta_p) \) under our assumptions). In the latter case, \( \overline{\rho}_p \) is the induction of a character from the Galois group of \( F(\sqrt{(-1)(p-1)/2p}) \), and this character is valued either in \( F_p^\times \) or valued in \( F_p^{\times^2} \) but not in \( F_p^\times \). The above possibilities are reflected in terms the image of \( \overline{\rho} \) as being conjugate to a subgroup of the Borel subgroup (reducible case), the normalizer of a split torus (irreducible but becomes reducible over \( F_p^{\times^2} \)), or the normalizer of a non-split torus (irreducible, becomes irreducible but absolutely reducible over \( F_p^{\times^2} \) of \( GL_2(F_p) \). Note that in the case \( p = 5 \), the restriction of \( \overline{\rho}_5 \) to \( F(\sqrt{5}) \) is still odd, and hence this restriction will be absolutely irreducible if it is irreducible. We say that the elliptic curve \( E \) has small image at \( p \) for each \( p = 3, 5, 7 \) if \( \rho_{E,p} \) has one of the above form. Observe that the normalizer of a split torus in \( GL_2(F_3) \) is a subgroup of index 2 in the normalizer of a non-split torus in \( GL_2(F_3) \), as the latter are the 2-Sylow subgroups. Thus we only need to consider the Borel and normalizer of non-split Cartan level structures at 3, and Borel and normalizer of split Cartan level structure at 5.

We have the following observation over general totally real fields:
Proposition 5.1. Let $F$ is any totally real field where 5, 7 are unramified, and $E$ is an elliptic curve defined over $F$ with small image at 3, 5, 7. Then $E$ is (nearly) ordinary at all places $v|5$ or is (nearly) ordinary at all places $v|7$.

Proof. We first recall some facts about the type of a $p$-adic Galois representation. $E$ gives rise to a strictly compatible system of Galois representation $\rho_{E,l}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, which in particular means that for each finite place $v$ of $F$, there exists a 2-dimensional Weil-Deligne representation $WD_v$ of $W_{F_v}$ with rational traces such that $WD_{F_v}$ is the Weil-Deligne representation associated to the Galois representations $\rho_{l|G_{F_v}}$ via Grothendieck’s $l$-adic monodromy theorem if $v \nmid l$ or via a recipe of Fontaine if $v|l$. In the case $v \nmid l$, if the monodromy operator $N = 0$, then the Weil-Deligne and the Galois representation agree on the inertia subgroup $I_{F_v}$, and in particular is a representation defined over $\mathbb{Q}_l$. Note that as the compatible system has cyclotomic determinant, $WD_v|I_{F_v}$ has trivial determinant.

Lemma 5.2. If $v$ is a place of $F$ above a prime $p > 3$ then the inertial type $WD_v|I_{F_v} \cong \phi \oplus \phi^{-1}$ where $\phi$ is a character of $I_{F_v}$ which has order dividing 4 or 6.

Proof. We know that the inertia type is a finite image representation with trivial determinant. Because it also has a model over $\mathbb{Z}_2$, the size of the image can not be divisible by $p$, hence the representation factors through the tame quotient of $I_{F_v}$, which is pro-cyclic, with a topological generator $u$. The eigenvalues of $u$ must be $\zeta$, $\zeta^{-1}$ for some root of unity $\zeta$, and since the trace of $u$ is rational, this forces $\zeta$ to have order dividing 4 or 6.

Alternatively, one could work with (reduced) minimal Weiestrass equations to show that any elliptic curve over $F_v$ acquires semi-stable reduction over an extension with ramification index dividing 4 or 6, see [41] □

Observe that the lemma shows that the image of the inertia in $WD_v$ must be a subgroup of $\text{Im} \overline{\rho}_l \cap \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_l)$ if $l > 3$ and $v \nmid l$, since the kernel of the reduction map
\( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_l) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_l) \) is a pro-\( l \) group. When \( l = 3 \) and the inertial type has order divisible by 3, the same statement still holds, because if \( g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_3) \) with \( g^3 = 1 \) then \( g \) must reduce to a non-trivial unipotent element in \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \) (because such \( g \) gives an isomorphism of \( \mathbb{Z}_3^2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3[\zeta_3] \) identifying \( g \) with \( \zeta_3 \), and the mod 3 reduction of multiplication by \( \zeta_3 \) is a non-trivial unipotent element).

We now prove the proposition. We split into the following cases:

- \( E \) admits a Borel level structure at 3 and either a Borel or normalizer of split Cartan level structure at 7.

For any place \( v \mid 7 \) of \( F \), the image of \( WD_v|_{I_{F_v}} \) has order dividing 6. If \( E \) has potential multiplicative reduction at \( v \) then \( E \) is potentially ordinary, hence is nearly ordinary at \( v \). So we assume now that \( E \) has potential good reduction at \( v \). Suppose \( E \) has minimal Weierstrass equation

\[ y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B \]

over \( \mathcal{O}_{F_v} \). Let \( v(\Delta) = v(4A^3 + 27B^2) < 12 \) be the valuation of the minimal discriminant. The order of the image of \( WD_v|_{I_{F_v}} \) is the degree of the smallest extension of \( F_v^\text{nr} \) such that \( E \) acquires good reduction [40]. It is also the minimal \( e \) such that \( 12 \mid v(\Delta)e \). Since \( E \) has potential good reduction, \( v(A^3) \geq v(\Delta) \). Replacing \( E \) with a quadratic twist, it suffices to consider the cases \( e = 3 \) or \( e = 1 \).

If \( e = 3 \), \( v(\Delta) \) is 4 or 8, and hence \( v(A^3) \neq v(B^2) \), since otherwise \( v(A^3) \geq v(\Delta) \geq v(A^3) = \min\{v(A^3), v(B^2)\} \) which forces \( v(A^3) = v(\Delta) = v(B^2) \), a contradiction. Thus \( v(\Delta) = \min\{v(A^3), v(B^2)\} \) is not divisible by 3, so \( v(A^3) > v(B^2) \), and thus \( j(E) = 0 \mod v \). But this means \( E \) has potential good ordinary reduction, hence \( E \) is nearly ordinary at \( v \).

If \( e = 1 \), \( E \) has good reduction. Because \( F_v \) is unramified over \( \mathbb{Q}_7 \) and \( \rho_{E,7}|_{G_{F_v}} \) is crystalline with Hodge-Tate weight 0, -1, \( (\overline{\sigma}_{E,7}|_{F_v})^{ss} \cong \omega_2 \oplus \omega_2^7 \) or
\[ \cong \omega_1 \oplus 1, \text{ where } \omega_n \text{ is the tame character of niveau } n \text{ of } \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_7/\mathbb{Q}_7). \] If first case occur, the image of \( \mathcal{P}_{E,7} \) contains an element which has non-zero trace and irreducible characteristic polynomial, hence can not be a subgroup of the Borel or normalizer of split Cartan subgroup. Hence the second case occur, which means that \( E \) has good ordinary reduction, and hence is ordinary.

- Either \( E \) admits a normalizer of non-split Cartan level structure at 3, or a normalizer of non-split Cartan level structure at 7.

For any place \( v \nmid 5 \) of \( F \), the image of \( WD_v|_{I_F} \) must be a 2-group, and hence has order dividing 4. Let \( e \) denote its order, as above. As above, we work with the minimal Weierstrass equation of \( E \) and we can assume \( E \) has potential good reduction. Replacing \( E \) with a quadratic twist, we can assume \( e = 4 \) or \( e = 1 \).

If \( e = 4 \), \( v(\Delta) \) is 3 or 9, and hence \( v(A^3) \neq v(B^2) \), since otherwise \( v(A^3) \geq v(\Delta) \geq v(A^3) = \min\{v(A^3), v(B^2)\} \) which forces \( v(A^3) = v(\Delta) = v(B^2) \), a contradiction. Thus \( v(\Delta) = \min\{v(A^3), v(B^2)\} \) is not divisible by 2, so \( v(A^3) < v(B^2) \), and thus \( j(E) = 1728 \mod v \). But this means \( E \) has potential good ordinary reduction, hence \( E \) is nearly ordinary at \( v \).

If \( e = 1 \), \( E \) has good reduction at \( v \). By exactly the same argument as in the previous case, the fact that \( E \) admits either a Borel or normalizer of split Cartan level structure at 5 forces \( E \) to have good ordinary reduction, hence \( E \) is nearly ordinary at \( v \).

\[ \square \]

Remark 5.1. Being nearly ordinary at all places \( v \) above a prime is the crucial local condition to apply the modularity lifting theorems with small residual images of Skinner-Wiles [43, 44]. Under our assumptions, their modularity lifting theorems for irreducible residual representations apply. Unfortunately the very restrictive conditions required in the residually irreducible case (namely, that the splitting field of
the ratio of the characters occurring in the residual representation is required to be abelian over \( \mathbb{Q} \). This is only an issue for \( p > 3 \) prevents us from fully exploiting the above proposition.

**Proposition 5.3.** Let \( F \) be a totally real quadratic field where 5 and 7 are unramified, and \( E \) is an elliptic curve over \( F \). Then \( E \) is modular unless \( j(E) \) is the \( j \)-invariant of a degree at most 2 point on one of the following curves

- \( X(3b, 5s^+) \)
- \( X(3ns^+, 7s^+) \)
- \( X(5b, 7b) \)
- \( X(5b, 7ns^+) \)

**Proof.** We already know that \( E \) is modular unless it has small image at all primes \( p=3, 5, 7 \). There are 12 possible combination of level structures at 3, 5, 7, and hence \( E \) is modular unless it has the same \( j \)-invariant as an elliptic curve that comes from an \( F \)-point of \( X(3*, 5*, 7*) \) where the choice of the level structure \( * \in \{b, ns^+\} \) at 3; \( * \in \{b, s^+\} \) at 5, and \( * \in \{b, s^+, ns^+\} \) at 7. The 12 curves are listed in Table 1 below, and the rightmost column gives a curve in \( \{X(3b, 5s^+), X(3ns^+, 7s^+), X(5b, 7b), X(5b, 7ns^+)\} \) that it covers. if there is one. We see that either \( E \) gives rise to a quadratic point on one of the four curve listed, or on one of the curves \( X(3b, 5b, 7s^+), X(3ns^+, 5s^+, 7b), X(3ns^+, 5s^+, 7ns^+) \)

By Proposition 5.1 (or rather, its proof), we can apply Theorem 2.7 for the prime 5 for the last two curves, and for the prime 7 for the first curve. \( \square \)

**Theorem 5.4.** Suppose \( F \) is a totally quadratic field such that 5 and 7 are unramified in \( F \). Then every elliptic curve over \( F \) is modular.

**Proof.** This follows from Proposition 5.3 and the study of quadratic points on some modular curves in section 6 below. \( \square \)
Remark 5.2. As the proof of proposition 5.3 shows, to get modularity for a real quadratic field different from $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, we only need to study quadratic points on the four curves listed there and $X(3b, 7s^+), X(3ns^+, 7s^+), X(3ns^+, 5s^+, 7ns^+)$.

We can further reduce to understanding quadratic points on the curves $X(3b, 7s^+), X(3ns^+, 7b)$ and $X(5s^+, 7ns^+)$.  

- The curve $X(3b, 7s^+)$ has genus 6, its Jacobian decomposes up to isogeny as 

$$\text{Jac}(X(3b, 7s^+)) \sim E_1 \times A_1 \times A_2 \times E_2$$

where the first three factors have conductor 147 while the last one has conductor 21, the factors $E_i$ are elliptic curves while the factors $A_i$ are abelian surfaces. All factors except for $A_1$ has rank 0 over $\mathbb{Q}$. An approach similar to the one used to handle the curve $X(3ns^+, 7s^+)$ below allows one to explicitly write down maps from $X(3b, 7s^+)$ to the elliptic curves $E_1, E_2$, and hence find its quadratic points by the same method.

- The curve $X(3ns^+, 7b)$ has genus 2, and its Jacobian has rank 0 and the hyperelliptic involution given by the Atkin-Lehner involution $w_7$. Using the same method for the curve $X(5b, 7b)$ below, we can determine all the quadratic
points on it that does not come from the hyperelliptic class, while the points coming from the hyperelliptic class only gives rise $j$-invariants of $\mathbb{Q}$-curves, and hence the corresponding elliptic curves are modular by lemma 6.1.

- The curve $X(5s^+, 7ns^+)$ has genus 19, and its Jacobian admits two abelian surface factors that has rank 0 over $\mathbb{Q}$. Thus it is in theory possible to determine all quadratic points on it. However due to practical (computational) complications in executing this, we have not done it here.

In particular, using the methods in this paper, for modularity of elliptic curves over all real quadratic fields different from $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, the only curve we can not directly handle is the genus 19 curve $X(5s^+, 7ns^+)$. However, proposition 5.1 shows that an elliptic curve corresponding to a quadratic point defined over a field unramified at 5 on this curve is ordinary at all places above 5, and Theorem 2.7 shows such curves a modular. The methods of this paper can be adapted to show that all elliptic curves over a real quadratic field unramified at 5 are modular (that is, we do not need the field to be unramified at 7).

6. Quadratic points on modular curves

The goal of this section is to show that any elliptic curve that gives rise to a real quadratic point on one of the modular curves in Proposition 5.3 are modular. For each such modular curve $X$, at each prime $p$ such that $X$ has a Borel level structure at $p$, there is an Atkin-Lehner involution $w_p$ which is an involution of $X$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, which in the moduli interpretation of $X$ correspond to

$$(E, \phi) \mapsto (E/C_p, \phi')$$

where $C_p$ is the line that defines the Borel subgroup in the level structure at $p$. The Atkin-Lehner involutions generate an elementary abelian 2-subgroup of
Aut(X/Q). We call any non-trivial element of this subgroup an Atkin-Lehner involution. We have the following useful fact

**Lemma 6.1.** Let E is an elliptic curve over a quadratic field F that gives rise to a point P ∈ X(F). Assume that there is an Atkin-Lehner involution w such that P maps to a rational point in X/w. Then E is modular

**Proof.** We only need to consider the case that E has no CM.

Let σ ∈ Gal(F/Q) denote the non-trivial element. Then E^σ gives rise to the point P^σ ∈ X(F), and P^σ = w(P) or P^σ = P. In either case, a quadratic twist of E^σ must be F-isogenous to E. Thus for any τ ∈ G_Q, E^τ is isogenous to E over Q̅, that is E is a Q-curve defined over F. By Proposition 2.4 , E is modular.

□

In the following sections, the assertions regarding Mordell-Weil ranks of modular abelian varieties are obtained by the procedure mentioned in the introduction, and the results can be found in William Stein’s database [45].

6.1. The curve X(5b, 7b). The curve X = X(5b, 7b) has Jacobian Jac(X) ~ E × A where E is an elliptic curve and A is an abelian surface of conductor 35. Both E and A has rank 0 over Q. A corresponds to a pair of conjugate newforms with coefficient field Q[x]/(x^2 + x - 4). The pair of Atkin Lehner involutions (w_3, w_5) has sign (1, -1) and (-1, 1) on E and A respectively. It follows that w_{35} = w_5 w_7 is the hyperelliptic involution on X, as the quotient X/w_{35} has genus 0. The q-expansion of a basis for H^0(X, Ω^1) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_1 &= q + q^3 - 2q^4 - q^5 + q^7 - 2q^9 - 3q^{11} + O(q^{12}) \\
    f_2 &= 2q - q^2 - q^3 + 5q^4 + 2q^5 - 8q^6 - 2q^7 - 9q^8 + 3q^9 - q^{10} + q^{11} + O(q^{12}) \\
    f_3 &= q^2 - q^3 - q^4 + q^8 + q^9 + q^{10} + q^{11} + O(q^{12})
\end{align*}
\]
where the $f_1$ corresponds to $E$ and $f_2$, $f_3$ corresponds to $A$. The canonical map is given by $X \to X/w_{35} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ as a double cover of the conic

$$-4X^2 + Y^2 + 2YZ + 17Z^2$$

The quotient $X/w_7$ is a genus 2 curve with Jacobian isogenous to $A$. Putting $x = f_3/f_2$, $y = 4dx/(f_2dq/q)$, an equation for this curve is given by

$$y^2 = -7599x^6 - 3682x^5 - 1217x^4 - 284x^3 - 17x^2 - 2x + 1$$

The group of rational points of $\text{Jac}(X/w_7)$ has order 16, and the rational degree 2 divisors that are not the hyperelliptic class is given by in Mumford’s notation (divisors of degree 2 on a hyperelliptic curve are represented by $(p(x), q(x))$ where $p$, $q$ are polynomials of degree 2 and 1. It describes the effective divisor such that $p(x) = 0$ and $y = q(x)$ on the hyperelliptic curve):

$$(x^2 + 7/50x + 3/50, 701/2500x - 121/2500), (x^2, -x + 1),$$

$$(x^2 + 5/58x + 3/58, -3345/3364x - 905/3364), (x^2 + 4/19x + 1/19, -776/361x + 72/361)$$

$$(x^2 + 1/8x + 1/8, -55/64x + 145/64), (x^2 + 2/15x + 1/15, 2/75x - 14/75)$$

$$(x^2 + 1/3x, -3x - 1), (x^2 + 2/17x + 1/17, 0).$$

or their images under the hyperelliptic involution.

Thus if $P \in X(F)$ is a quadratic point, then $P + P^\sigma$ must become one of the above 15 divisors, or becomes the hyperelliptic class in $X/w_7$. But in the latter case, because the hyperelliptic involution on $X/w_7$ is induced by $w_5$, this means that there is an Atkin-Lehner involution on $X$ such that $wP = P^\sigma$, hence all such points must come from a modular elliptic curve by lemma 6.1. Since we are only interested in quadratic points defined over totally real fields, we only need to consider the cases where the image of $P + P^\sigma$ is $2(0, 1)$, $2(0, -1)$, $(0, -1/3) + (-1/3, 0)$ or $(0, 1/3) + (-1/3, 0).$
However since \( X \to X/w_7 \) is a double cover, the second case can not happen since the fiber of a rational point on \( X/w_7 \) is stable under the Galois action, hence if \( P \) occurs in a fiber then \( P^\sigma \) occurs in the same fiber. Thus the only case left is when \( P, P^\sigma \) are in the fiber of \((0,1)\) or \((0,-1)\), but in that case \( P = w_7 P^\sigma \) and hence the corresponding elliptic curve is modular, again by lemma 6.1.

6.2. **The curve** \( X(3b, 5s^+) \). We have \( X = X(3b, 5s^+) \cong X_0(75)/w_{25} \), hence \( \text{Jac}(X(3b, 5s^+)) \sim E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3 \) in the isogeny category. Here \( E_1 \) is isogenous to \( X_0(15) \) while \( E_2 \) and \( E_3 \) are elliptic curves of conductor 75, and each of them have rank 0 over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

The \( q \)-expansion of the three newforms corresponding to \( E_i \) are

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_1 &= q - q^2 - q^3 - q^4 + q^5 + q^6 + 3q^8 + q^9 - q^{10} - 4q^{11} + O(q^{12}) \\
    f_2 &= q + q^2 + q^3 - q^4 + q^6 - 3q^8 + q^9 - 4q^{11} + O(q^{12}) \\
    f_3 &= q - 2q^2 + q^3 + 2q^4 - 2q^6 + 3q^7 + q^9 + 2q^{11} + O(q^{12})
\end{align*}
\]

Those can be thought of as the formal expansion around the cusp \( \infty \) of the curve \( X_0(75) \). Using that \( X = X_0(75)/w_{25} \) and the description of \( w_{25} \) in terms of double cosets, we find that a basis or \( H^0(X, \Omega^1) \) is given by \((-5f_1(z) + f_1(z/5))dz, f_2(z/5)dz \) and \( f_3(z/5)dz \). Using their \( q \)-expansion, we see there are no degree 2 relations between them and there is a degree 4 relation, hence the canonical map realizes \( X \) as the quartic

\[
9X^4 + 30X^2Y^2 + 108X^2YZ - 48X^2Z^2 + 25Y^4 - 60Y^3Z - 80Y^2Z^2 + 16Z^4
\]

and thus \( X \) is not hyperelliptic. Over \( \mathbb{Q} \), the automorphism group of \( X \) has an element order 2, generated by the Atkin-Lehner involution \( w_3 \), which in the above model correspond to \([X : Y : Z] \mapsto [-X : Y : Z]\). Using Magma we find that the
The quotient curve is the elliptic curve $E_1$ with equation
\[ y^2 + xy + y = x^3 + x^2 - 5x + 2 \]
and the quotient map $\phi_1 : X \to E_1$ is given in terms of homogenous coordinates by
\[
\begin{align*}
-9/4X^2Y^2 - 15/2Y^4 + 9/20X^2YZ &- 9/50X^2Z^2 + 18Y^2Z^2 - 6/25YZ^3 \\
-24/25Z^4 &+ 45/16X^2Y^2 + 135/16Y^4 + 9/10X^2YZ + 39Y^3Z - 81/100X^2Z^2 - 39/10Y^2Z^2 \\
-363/25YZ^3 + 93/25Z^4 &- 9/4X^2Y^2 - 15/2Y^4 + 9/5X^2YZ - 21Y^3Z - 9/25X^2Z^2 \\
+162/5Y^2Z^2 - 348/25YZ^3 + 48/25Z^4 &
\end{align*}
\]
We have $E_1(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$. Over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, the automorphism group of $X$ contains an $S_3$, and an automorphism of order 3 given by
\[
[X : Y : Z] \mapsto [-1/2X + \sqrt{5}/2Y : -3\sqrt{5}/10X - 1/2Y : Z]
\]
the quotient curve is the elliptic curve $E_2$ with equation
\[ y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2 + 2x + 4 \]
and the quotient map \( \phi_2 : X \to E_2 \) is given by

\[
- 12/5X^3Y^4 - 36/5XY^6 - 357/250X^3Y^3Z - 1719/50XY^5Z + 27/25X^3Y^2Z^2 \\
- 687/125XY^4Z^2 + 402/625X^3YZ^3 + 2268/125XY^3Z^3 + 12/125X^3Z^4 + 864/625XY^2Z^4 \\
- 984/625XYZ^5 - 144/625XZ^6 : -3/4X^3Y^4 + 81/100X^2Y^5 - 9/4XY^6 + 63/20Y^7 \\
- 12/25X^3YZ^3 - 81/50X^2Y^4Z - 54/5XY^5Z + 117/50Y^6Z - 81/25X^2Y^3Z^2 - 84/25XY^4Z^2 \\
- 891/25Y^5Z^2 + 48/625X^3YZ^3 - 162/125X^2Y^2Z^3 + 144/125XY^3Z^3 - 702/25Y^4Z^3 \\
+ 12/625X^3Z^4 + 396/625XY^2Z^4 + 1188/125Y^3Z^4 + 48/625YZ^5 + 216/25Y^2Z^5 \\
- 144/125YZ^6 - 288/625Z^7 : 3/2X^3Y^4 + 9/2XY^6 + 24/25X^3Y^3Z + 108/5Y^5Z \\
+ 168/25XY^4Z^2 - 96/625X^3YZ^3 - 288/125XY^3Z^3 - 24/625X^3Z^4 - 792/625XY^2Z^4 \\
- 96/625XYZ^5]
\]

We have \( E_2(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z} \) is cyclic of order 5, generated by the point \([-1 : 1 : 1]\).

If \( P, P^\sigma \) is a pair of conjugate quadratic points, then \( \phi_i(P) + \phi_i(P^\sigma) \) is a rational torsion point on \( E_i \), thus we have \( \phi_2(P) - a[-1 : 1 : 1] = -(\phi_2(P^\sigma) + a[-1 : 1 : 1]) \)
for some integer \( a \mod 5 \), while \( 2\phi_1(P) - b[0 : 1 : 1] = -(2\phi_1(P^\sigma) - b[0 : 1 : 1]) \)
for \( b = 0 \) or \( 1 \). The two equality implies \( \phi_i(P), \phi_i(P^\sigma) \) have the same image under
a suitable two-to-one map \( E_i \to \mathbb{P}^1 \), thus \( P, P^\sigma \) have the same image under a map
\( C \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \), where the two coordinate map have degree 6 and 16. Depending on the
value of \( a, b \), this maps \( X \) birationally onto its image or maps \( X/w_3 \) birationally onto
its image. Thus, either \( P \) and \( P^\sigma \) map to the same point in \( X/w_3 \), or they map to the
same singular point (which is necessarily defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \)) in the image of the map.

Using Magma, under a birational isomorphism \( \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \cong \mathbb{P}^2 \), we find the plane curve
which is the image of \( X \), and find its singular points over \( \mathbb{Q} \). The resulting quadratic
points that we get either satisfies \( w_3P = P^\sigma \) (hence correspond to \( \mathbb{Q} \)-curves), are
CM or is defined over a real quadratic field with 5 ramified, except for two conjugate
pair of points defined over \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{41}) \). For the last two conjugate pair of points, we check directly that the \( j \)-invariant is not in the image of a \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{41}) \)-point of \( X(7b) \), \( X(7s^+) \) or \( X(7ns^+) \) (using the equations in [22]), so that the image of the mod 7 representation is large and hence the points are modular by Corollary 2.6. Thus all points defined over quadratic fields where 5 is unramified gives rise to modular elliptic curves.

Remark 6.1. The interested reader can see the table in [21] for the full list of quadratic points on \( X \).

6.3. The curve \( X(3ns^+,7s^+) \). We compute an equation for \( X = X(3ns^+,7s^+) \) by a method due to Noam Elkies (private communication), which is reproduced below. The modular curve \( X_0(49) \) is isomorphic to the elliptic curve

\[
y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 2x - 1
\]

The only rational points on \( X_0(49) \) are the origin \( O \) and the 2-torsion point \( T = [2 : -1 : 1] \). Under a suitable identification, \( O \) and \( T \) are the two cusps and the Atkin-Lehner involution \( w_{49} \) must be \( P \mapsto T - P \), since it acts as \( -1 \) on the space of holomorphic differentials and swaps the cusps. The quotient of \( X_0(49) \) by \( w_7 \) is the genus 0 curve with coordinate \( h = (1 + y)/(2 - x) \). The \( q \)-expansion of \( h \) can be computed from the modular parametrization of \( X_0(49) \), and gives

\[
h = q^{-1} + 2q + q^2 + 2q^3 + 3q^4 + 4q^5 + 5q^6 + 7q^7 + 8q^8 + \cdots
\]

Writing \( j(q^7) \) as a rational function of degree 28 of \( h \) by solving a linear system of equations in the coefficients we have

\[
j(q^7) = \frac{(h + 2)((h + 3)(h^2 - h - 5)(h^2 - h + 2)(h^4 + 3h^3 + 2h^2 - 3h + 1))^3}{(h^3 + 2h^2 - h - 1)^7}
\]
One the other hand the curve $X(3ns^+)$ is the cyclic triple cover of the $j$-line obtained by adjoining $j^{1/3}$. Hence the curve $X$ is a cyclic triple cover of the $h$-line, obtained by adjoining a cube root of $(h^3 + 2h^2 - h - 1)/(h + 2)$. This gives the following quartic model for $X$

$$(h + 2)g^3 = (h^3 + 2h^2 - h - 1).$$

Using lemma [4.2] we compute up to isogeny over $\mathbb{Q}$

$$\text{Jac}(X) \sim E \times A$$

where $E$ is an elliptic curve of conductor 441 (and has rank 1) while $A$ is an abelian surface of rank 0 and conductor 63. To determine the quadratic points on $X$, we wish to compute a model for $A$ and a map $X \to A$. The abelian surface $A$ is (isogenous to) the Weil restriction of a $\mathbb{Q}$-curve $E$ defined over $K = \mathbb{Q}((\zeta_3))$. There is a map $X_0(441) \to X$ via the identification $X_0(441) = X(3s, 7s)$ and the map is obtained by containment of the corresponding congruence subgroups. Thus it suffices to write down a parametrization of $E$ by $X_0(441)$ which factors through $X$. Below we describe a procedure to get such a parametrization.

Let $f_1dz$, $f_2dz$ be an integral basis of a Hecke-stable two dimensional subspace of $H^0(X_0(63), \Omega^1)$ on which the Hecke operators act through the system of Hecke eigenvalues correspond to $A$. We normalize this choice by requiring the $q$-expansion $f_1 = q + \cdots$ and $f_2 = q^2 + \cdots$. Let $\pi_1, \pi_2$ denote the two degeneracy maps $X_0(441) \to X_0(63)$ (where $\pi_1$ is the quotient map from the inclusion of congruence subgroups). Putting

$$\Omega = (\pi_1^* - \pi_2^*)(f_1 + (2 - \zeta_3^{-1})f_2)$$
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we compute the integration map $\int_{i\infty} \Omega : X_0(441) \to \mathbb{C}$. Up to high precision, the image of the homology of $X_0(441)$ is a lattice $\Lambda$ with

$$g_2(\Lambda) = \frac{7\sqrt{-3} - 41}{6144}$$

$$g_3(\Lambda) = \frac{42\sqrt{-3} - 43}{884736}$$

Suppose that $D \int_{i\infty} \Omega : X_0(441) \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda = \{y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2x - g_3\}$ factors through $X_0(441) \to X$, for some integer $D$. This is equivalent to a map $X \to \{y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2D^4x - g_3D^6\}$ such that the pullback of $dz$ is $\Omega$. The coordinates $x, y$ of such a map must satisfy the differential system

$$y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2D^4x - g_3D^6$$

$$dx/y = \Omega$$

This system has a unique solution with $x = q^{-2} + \cdots$ in the ring of Laurent series $K((q))$, but only for suitable choice of $D$ will the formal solution lie in the function field of $X$. Note that there is an automorphism of $X$ of order 3, defined over $K$ given by $g \to \zeta_3g$. This automorphism fixes the cusps $\infty$, hence is continuous for the $q$-adic topology on the formal neighborhood at $\infty$. From the $q$-expansion, we see that the automorphism must be $q \to \zeta_3q$, because this is $q$-adically continuous and sends $(g, h) \mapsto (\zeta_3g, h)$. Hence given a formal solution $(x, y)$ to the above differential system, we can recognize whether $(x, y)$ lives in the function field of $X$ by separating the $q$-expansion into 3 pieces according to the exponent of $q$ mod 3, and testing whether each piece is a rational function of $h$ times $g^i$.

Using this procedure, we found that for $D = 12$, the formal solution is actually in the function field of $X$, and subsequent direct algebraic manipulation verifies that we indeed have a map of curves $\phi : X \to E$ defined over $K$ given by those functions. The group $E(K)$ is trivial, hence for any pair of conjugate quadratic points $P, P^\tau$
satisfies $\phi(P) = -\phi(P^\sigma)$, in particular they map to the same point after composing $\phi$ with the $x$-coordinate map $E \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Our computation shows that this composition map is of the form

$$P_0(h)^2 + P_1(h)g + P_2(h)g^2$$

where $P_i(h)$ are rational functions in $h$ of degree 13, 29, 29. Note that the same argument applies to the maps $\phi \circ c$ and $\phi \circ c^2$, where $c$ is the automorphism $(g, h) \mapsto (\zeta_3 g, h)$, and also when we replace $\phi$ with the map $\phi^\sigma$, where $\sigma$ is the non-trivial automorphism of $K$. But this implies that the all three functions $P_0(h)^2$, $P_1(h)g$, $P_2(h)g^2$ and their $\sigma$-conjugates take the same values at $P$ and $P^\sigma$, because the system of linear equations

$$(P_0(h)^2 - P_0(h^\tau)^2) + (P_1(h) - P_1(h^\tau)g) + (P_2(h) - P_2(h^\tau)g^2) = 0$$

$$(P_0(h)^2 - P_0(h^\tau)^2) + (P_1(h) - P_1(h^\tau)g)\zeta_3 + (P_2(h) - P_2(h^\tau)g^2)\zeta_3^2 = 0$$

$$(P_0(h)^2 - P_0(h^\tau)^2) + (P_1(h) - P_1(h^\tau)g)\zeta_3^2 + (P_2(h) - P_2(h^\tau)g^2)\zeta_3^3 = 0$$

only has trivial solution. This forces the $h$-coordinate of $P$ to be a zero of a suitable resultant, from which we easily get the list of possible $h$-coordinates of a $P$. We end up with the following list of quadratic points $[1 : h : g]$

$$[0 : 1 : 0], [0 : 0 : 1], [1 : -1 : 1], [1 : -\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} : \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}], [1 : -\frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2} : \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2}],$$

$$[1 : -\frac{1 + \sqrt{13}}{2} : 1], [1 : \sqrt{5} : \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}], [1 : \frac{3 + \sqrt{17}}{2} : \frac{5 + \sqrt{17}}{4}].$$

From the formula for $j$ in terms of $h$, we check that all the above points gives rise to cusps or CM $j$-invariants, hence the corresponding elliptic curves are modular.

6.4. The curve $X(5b, 7ns^+)$. Throughout this section we use the abbreviation $X = X(5b, 7ns^+)$, $\alpha = -\frac{1 + \sqrt{-7}}{2}$, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ and $\sigma$ the non-trivial automorphism of
$K/\mathbb{Q}$. First we recall that the modular curve $X(5b) = X_0(5)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ over \mathbb{Q}, and an explicit rational coordinate $x$ such that

$$j = \frac{(x^2 + 10x + 5)^3}{x},$$

see [21]. The Atkin-Lehner involution on $X_0(5) = X(5b)$ in terms of this coordinate is given by $x \mapsto 125/x$. The modular curve $X(7ns^+)$ parameterizing normalizer of non-split Cartan level structure at 7 is also isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1$ over \mathbb{Q}, with a rational coordinate $\phi$ such that

$$j = 64 \frac{(\phi^2 + 7)(\phi^2 - 7\phi + 14)(5\phi^2 - 14\phi - 7)^3}{(\phi^3 - 7\phi^2 + 7\phi + 7)^7}.$$

The normalizer of non-split Cartan subgroup of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$ is not maximal, but is contained in a subgroup of order 24 isomorphic to $S_4$. All such subgroups are conjugate under $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$, but breaks up into two conjugacy class in $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$. A choice of this conjugacy class gives a modular curve that parameterizes an ”$S_4$” level structure at 7 is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$, and has coordinate $\psi$ such that

$$\psi = \frac{(2 + 3\alpha)\phi^3 - (18 + 15\alpha)\phi^2 + (42 + 21\alpha)\phi + (14 + 7\alpha)}{\phi^3 - 7\phi^2 + 7\phi + 7}$$

$$j = (\psi - 3(1 + \alpha))(\psi - (2 + \alpha))^3(\psi + 3 + 2\alpha)^3$$

(We refer the reader to [22] for these facts). Thus $X$ has is birational to the plane curve given by

$$\frac{(x^2 + 10x + 5)^3}{x} = 64 \frac{(\phi^2 + 7)(\phi^2 - 7\phi + 14)(5\phi^2 - 14\phi - 7)^3}{(\phi^3 - 7\phi^2 + 7\phi + 7)^7}$$

and if we let $Y$ denote the modular curve with Borel level structure at 5 and ”$S_4$” level structure at 7, $Y$ has birational model

$$\frac{(x^2 + 10x + 5)^3}{x} = (\psi - 3(1 + \alpha))(\psi - (2 + \alpha))^3(\psi + 3 + 2\alpha)^3$$
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We have a map $\pi : X \to Y$ given by

$$(x, \phi) \mapsto (x, \frac{(2 + 3\alpha)\phi^3 - (18 + 15\alpha)\phi^2 + (42 + 21\alpha)\phi + (14 + 7\alpha)}{\phi^3 - 7\phi^2 + 7\phi + 7})$$

and its conjugate $\pi^\sigma : X \to Y^\sigma$.

Using lemma 4.2, we have up to isogeny over $\mathbb{Q}$

$$Jac(X) \simeq A_1 \times A_2 \times A_3$$

where $A_i$ are the abelian surface factors of $J_0(245)^{new}$ on which $w_7$ acts trivially, and the action of $w_5$ is 1,-1,-1 respectively. Checking for inner twists of the newforms contributing to $X$, we see that the $A_i$ are absolutely simple, are non-isogenous over $\mathbb{Q}$, but $A_1$ is isogenous to $A_2$ over $K$. $A_3$ is not isogenous to $A_1$ even over $\mathbb{C}$. The factors $A_2, A_3$ have rank 0 over $\mathbb{Q}$, and the order of the group $A_2(\mathbb{Q})$ divides 7. The Hecke field of $A_1, A_2$ are $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ (These facts can be extracted from the tables in [45], the assertion about the rank follows from numerically computing the value at $s = 1$ of the $L$-function).

Let us now consider the three open compact subgroups $G_1, G_2, H$ of $GL_2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ given by the following local conditions

- The component at $p \nmid 35$ is $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$
- The component at 5 is the inverse image of the upper triangular matrices under the reduction map $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_5) \to GL_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$
- The component at 7 of $G_1$ is the subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_7)$ that reduces to the normalizer of a non-split Cartan subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$
- The component at 7 of $G_2$ is the subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_7)$ that reduces to the normalizer of the subgroup of the non-split Cartan subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$ whose determinant is a square in $\mathbb{F}_7$.
- The component at 7 of $H$ is the subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_7)$ that reduces to the subgroup of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$ which under the projection map to $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$ is the
subgroup of order 24 of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$ containing the normalizer of non-split Cartan subgroup defining $G_2$.

We have the containments $G_2 \subset G_1$, $G_2 \subset H$, and $\det G_1 = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ while $\det G_2 = \det H$ is the subgroup of index 2 of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$ consisting of elements whose component at 7 reduces to a square. Thus the Shimura variety $\text{Sh}_{G_1} = X$ is geometrically connected while $\text{Sh}_{G_2}$, $\text{Sh}_H$ have 2 connected component over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$. Since the element $(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 5 & 0 \end{smallmatrix})$ normalizes all three open compact subgroup and has determinant $5 \notin (\mathbb{F}_7^\times)^2$, it induces an involution $w$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ on all three Shimura varieties, and permutes the geometric connected components transitively. Putting $\Gamma_G = G_1 \cap \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}) = G_2 \cap \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\Gamma_H = H \cap \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$, we have a commuting diagram of complex curves with involution $w$:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Sh}_{G_2} = \Gamma_G \backslash \mathbb{H} \mathbb{I} \Gamma_G \backslash \mathbb{H} & \longrightarrow & \text{Sh}_{G_1} = \Gamma_G \backslash \mathbb{H} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\text{Sh}_H = \Gamma_H \backslash \mathbb{H} \mathbb{I} \Gamma_H \backslash \mathbb{H} & \longrightarrow & X(5\ell)
\end{array}
\]

where the vertical map is given by the quotient map on each component, while the horizontal map is the identity on the first component and $w$ on the second component. The above diagram descends to $K$. The $\mathbb{Q}$-structure on $\text{Sh}_{G_1} = X$ is determined by the subfield $\mathbb{Q}(x, \phi)$ inside its function field over $\mathbb{C}$. Since all arrows respect the $\mathbb{Q}$-structures, we see that there is an isomorphism of curves over $K$

\[d : Y \cong Y^\sigma = Y \times_{K, \sigma} K\]

and a commutative diagram of curves over $K$:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \longrightarrow & Y \\
\downarrow^{\pi} & & \downarrow^{d} \\
X & \longrightarrow & Y^\sigma \\
\downarrow^{\pi^\sigma} & & \\
X & \longrightarrow & Y^\sigma
\end{array}
\]
such that $d(x) = 125/x$. Note that there is at most one $d$ with such property, and using Magma we compute that

$$d(-\psi) = \frac{P(x, \psi)}{(x^2 + 4x - 1)(x^2 + 10x + 5)^2}$$

with

$$P(x, \psi) = 4x\psi^6 + (-9x^2 - 48x + 25)\psi^5 + (1/2(3\sqrt{-7} + 3)x^3 + (22\sqrt{-7} + 22)x^2 + 1/2(177\sqrt{-7} + 33)x)\psi^4 + (-x^4 - 24x^3 + 1/2(135\sqrt{-7} - 453)x^2 + (336\sqrt{-7} - 536)x + 1/2(-375\sqrt{-7} + 375))\psi^3 + ((-3\sqrt{-7} - 3)x^4 + 1/2(-97\sqrt{-7} + 47)x^3 + (-252\sqrt{-7} + 894)x^2 + 1/2(-459\sqrt{-7} + 6621)x + (125\sqrt{-7} - 125))\psi^2 + ((-\sqrt{-7} - 1)x^5 + (-21\sqrt{-7} - 30)x^4 + (-99\sqrt{-7} - 363)x^3 + 1/2(727\sqrt{-7} - 1923)x^2 + (1512\sqrt{-7} + 2208)x + 1/2(-1125\sqrt{-7} - 5625))\psi + ((-\sqrt{-7} - 69)x^4 + (-180\sqrt{-7} - 1092)x^3 + (-2331\sqrt{-7} - 4761)x^2 + (-6228\sqrt{-7} - 3444)x + (750\sqrt{-7} + 750))].$$

**Lemma 6.2.** Inside $H^0(X_K, \Omega^1) = H^0(X, \Omega^1) \otimes_K K$ we have

$$\pi^*H^0(Y, \Omega^1) \cap w^*\pi^*H^0(Y, \Omega^1) = 0$$

**Proof.** By looking at the pullbacks of differentials in the diagram (6.1), we see that $V \cap w^*V$ is stable under the anemic Hecke algebra $T$ (the algebra generated by Hecke operators at good primes), we see that $V \cap w^*V$ is $T$-stable. Because the Hecke fields of $A_i$ are totally real quadratic fields, the $H^0(A_i, \Omega^1) \otimes K$ are exactly the irreducible $T \otimes K$ submodules of $H^0(X_K, \Omega^1)$. Hence if $V \cap w^*V \neq 0$, it must be 2-dimensional and hence $V = w^*V = V^\sigma$ must be $H^0(A_i, \Omega^1) \otimes K$ for some $i$. But a non-zero element of this intersection gives rise to a vector $v \in \pi_i$ which is unramified away from 5 and 7, fixed under the Iwahori open compact at 5, the normalizer of the non-split Cartan open compact at 7 and also under an $S_4$ subgroup of $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$. The last two condition however forces $v$ be invariant under $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_7)$, contradicting the fact that $\pi_i,7$ has conductor $7^2$ (since it appears in the new part of $X_0(245)$). □
The lemma implies
\[ \pi^*H^0(Y, \Omega^1) \oplus w^*\pi^*H^0(Y, \Omega^1) = (H^0(A_1, \Omega^1) \oplus H^0(A_2, \Omega^1)) \otimes K \]

**Lemma 6.3.** Let \( D \) be a degree 1 divisor on \( X \). Then the map \( \Pi_D : X \to J(Y) \) given by
\[ P \mapsto \pi^\sigma(P) - \pi^\sigma(wP) - (\pi^\sigma(D) - \pi^\sigma(wD)) \]
factorizes through the composition of \( AJ_D : X \to J(X) \) and a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-quotient of \( J(X) \) isogenous to \( A_2 \), and in particular through a quotient that has \( \mathbb{Q} \)-rank 0.

**Proof.** Since \( D \) maps to 0 in \( J(Y^\sigma) \), there is a factorization through the Abel-Jacobi map associated to \( D \). Let \( I \) be the ideal of \( \mathbb{T} \) which cuts out the Hecke field of \( A_3 \). The observation after the previous lemma shows that \( IJ(X) \) gets killed in \( J(Y^\sigma) \). On the other hand, the image of \((w+1)(P-D) = (wP-D) + (P-D) - (wD-D)\) in \( J(Y^\sigma) \) is
\[ \pi^\sigma(wP) - \pi^\sigma(w^2P) + \pi^\sigma(P) - \pi^\sigma(wP) - (\pi^\sigma(wD) - \pi^\sigma(w^2P)) = 0 \]
hence the map in consideration factors through \( J(X)/((w+1)J(X) + IJ(X)) \). This factor is defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-isogenous to \( A_2 \). \( \square \)

A convenient choice for the base divisor \( D \) is given below

**Proposition 6.4.** Let
\[
X^3 - 7X^2 + 7X + 7 = (X - \phi_1)(X - \phi_2)(X - \phi_3) \\
X^2 + 22X + 125 = (X - x_1)(X - x_2)
\]

- In terms of the coordinate \((x, \phi)\), the 6 cusps of \( X \) are given by \((0, \phi_i)\) and \((\infty, \phi_i)\)
\begin{itemize}
  \item In terms of the coordinate \((x, \psi)\), the 2 cusps of \(Y\) are given by \((0, \infty)\) and \((\infty, \infty)\)
  \item Put \(D = (0, \phi_1) + (0, \phi_2) + (0, \phi_3) - (x_1, 3) - (x_2, 3)\) is a rational divisor of degree 1 on \(X\), and
    \[
    \pi^\sigma(D) - \pi^\sigma(wD) = 3((0, \infty) - (\infty, \infty))
    \]
    is a torsion point of exact order 7 in \(J(Y^\sigma)\).
\end{itemize}

\textit{Proof.} The first two statements are clear: Note that in terms of the singular plane model with coordinates \((x, \phi)\), the points \((\infty, \phi_i)\) are singular points which are of the type \(x^5 = y^7\), and the singularity is resolved after 3 blowups, and at each step there is a unique point in the pre-image of the singularity. Hence each \((x, \phi_i)\) actually gives exactly 1 point in the smooth curve \(X\) (alternatively, one could check that \(X\) has exactly 6 cusps, and we have written down at least 6 of them). A similar analysis gives the statement for \(Y\) and \(Y^\sigma\). Because the involution \(w\) on \(X\) descends to the Atkin-Lehner involution on \(X(5b)\), we see that \(w\) switches the fibers of \(X \to X(5b)\) above \(x = 0\) and \(x = \infty\). On the other hand, one checks that \((x_i, 3)\) are the only \(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})\)-rational points with \(x = x_i\), and hence \(w\) must switch them. From this the equality in the last item follows. Finally, we have \(7((0, \infty) - (\infty, \infty)) = \text{div}(x)\) is principal, and \((0, \infty) - (\infty, \infty)\) is not principal since \(Y^\sigma\) has genus 2. \(\Box\)

Let us now take \(D\) as in the proposition and consider the map \(\Pi = \Pi_D\) defined above. If \(P, Q = P^\sigma\) are a conjugate pair of quadratic points on \(X\), \(AJ_D(P + Q)\) is a rational point on \(J(X)\) and hence must map to a 7-torsion point in \(J(Y^\sigma)\) under \(\Pi\), since it factors through a quotient isogenous to \(A_2\). On the other hand \(wD\) is also rational and maps to \(6((0, \infty) - (\infty, \infty))\), which has exact order 7 in \(J(Y^\sigma)\), thus we have

\[
\pi^\sigma(P) + \pi^\sigma(Q) - \pi^\sigma(wP) - \pi^\sigma(wQ) \sim a((0, \infty) - (\infty, \infty))
\]
for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $P$ and $Q$ maps to the same point in the Kummer surface $K(Y^\sigma) = J(Y^\sigma)/\pm$ under the map $\Pi_b$

$$P \mapsto \pi^\sigma(P) - \pi^\sigma(wP) - b((0, \infty) - (\infty, \infty))$$

for some suitable integer $b$.

By finding an explicit basis $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$ for the space of homolorphic differentials on $Y^\sigma$ using Magma, we compute in terms of $x, \psi$ a double cover map

$$u : Y^\sigma \to \mathbb{P}^1$$

and a rational function $v$ realizing $Y^\sigma$ as a hyperelliptic curve of genus 2 of the form

$$v^2 = \text{sextic in } u$$

**Lemma 6.5.** The map $\Pi_b : X \to J(Y^\sigma)$ is birational onto its image.

**Proof.** Suppose the contrary, so we have infinitely many pairs $(P_i, Q_i)$ of distinct points which have the same image via $\Pi_b$. We have

$$\pi^\sigma(P_i) + \pi^\sigma(wQ_i) \sim \pi^\sigma(wP_i) + \pi^\sigma(Q_i)$$

Suppose first that for infinitely many $i$, this effective degree 2 divisor is not the hyperelliptic class in $Y^\sigma$. This forces the above linear equivalence to be an equality of divisors. Note that $\pi^\sigma$ has degree 3, so $\pi^\sigma \circ w \neq \pi^\sigma$. Hence for infinitely many $i$ we must have $\pi^\sigma(P_i) = \pi^\sigma(Q_i)$, $\pi^\sigma(wP_i) = \pi^\sigma(wQ_i)$.

If the above case does not happen, $\pi^\sigma(P_i) + \pi^\sigma(wQ_i)$ and $\pi^\sigma(wP_i) + \pi^\sigma(Q_i)$ must be the hyperelliptic class for infinitely many $i$, as the hyperelliptic class is the unique $g_2^1$ on a genus 2 curve. This forces $P_i \neq wQ_i =: Q'_i$ for all but finitely many $i$, and we
have

\[ u(\pi^\sigma(P_i)) = u(\pi^\sigma(Q'_i)) \]
\[ u(\pi^\sigma(wP_i)) = u(\pi^\sigma(wQ'_i)) \]

Thus in either case we see that the map

\[ (u \circ \pi^\sigma, u \circ \pi^\sigma \circ w) : X \to \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \]

is not generically injective. However a Magma computation shows that the pair 
\((u \circ \pi^\sigma, u \circ \pi^\sigma \circ w)\) generates the function field of \(X\), a contradiction. \qed

Consequently, composing the above map with the quotient map to the Kummer surface, we get a map \(X \to \mathcal{K}(Y^\sigma)\) which is either birational onto its image or factors through \(X/w\), which then is birational onto its image (since \(X \to X/w\) is the only degree 2 map from \(X\) to any curve). The second case happens if and only if pairs of the form \( (P, wP) \) have the same image, and this happens if and only if \(b = 0\).

We are therefore reduced to finding conjugate pairs of quadratic points \( (P, Q = P^\tau) \) on \(X\) which maps to the same point in the Kummer surface via one of the above maps (note that we only need to consider \(b \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}\), by replacing \(P, Q\) with \(wP, wQ\) if needed).

Let us first study the case \(b = 0\). The same argument in the proof of lemma 6.5 implies that either \(P, P^\tau\) or \(wP, wP^\tau\) have the same image under the map

\[ (u \circ \pi^\sigma, u \circ \pi^\sigma \circ w) : X \to \mathbb{P}^2 \]

which is birational onto its image. One checks that \(u \circ \pi^\sigma\) realizes \(K(X)\) as a degree 6 extension of \(K(u \circ \pi^\sigma \circ w)\) and vice versa, and hence the image of \(X\) is an irreducible plane curve of degree 12. Using Magma, we computed this plane curve explicitly and determined all its singular points defined over a quadratic extension of \(K\). Hence
either \( P \neq P^\tau \) and \( P \neq wP^\tau \), their common image must be one of the above singular points of the image of \( X \) in \( \mathbb{P}^2 \); or \( P = P^\tau \) or \( P = wP^\tau \). One checks that the elliptic curves corresponding to such \( P \) must be either a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-curve or have CM.

Finally, we now turn to the case \( b \neq 0 \). We are looking for pairs \((P,Q)\) such that

\[
\pi^\sigma(P) + \pi^\sigma(Q) - \pi^\sigma(wP) - \pi^\sigma(wQ) \sim 2b((0, \infty) - (\infty, \infty))
\]

and we know a priori that there are only finitely many such pairs \((P,Q = P^\tau)\) (note that this was not true when \( b = 0 \)). By enumerating such pairs \((P,Q)\) over some primes \( p \) split in \( K \) where the whole situation has good reduction, we found for some primes \( p \) there were no pairs \((P,Q) \in X(\mathbb{F}_p)^2\) or conjugate pairs \((P,P^\tau) \in X(\mathbb{F}_p^2)\) satisfying the above equation, and hence there are no conjugate pairs of quadratic points on \( X \) of this type. For \( b = 2 \), a similar enumeration for the prime \( p = 71 \) shows that the pairs \((P,Q) \mod p\) satisfying the linear equivalence relation for \( b = 2 \) are either the cusps or the mod \( p \) reduction of the pair of conjugate points corresponding to the CM point \( P = (125\sqrt{5} + 250, -\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5} - 1)) \) in the coordinates \((x, \phi)\). Furthermore, one checks that these are only possible pairs in \( \mathbb{Q}_{71}^2 \) lifting the pairs mod 71. This shows that in this case all pairs of conjugate quadratic points we look for gives rise to CM elliptic curves.

Putting everything together, we found that all quadratic points on \( X \) gives rise to modular \( j \)-invariants.
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