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Rapid Fabrication of Pressure-driven Open-Channel Microfluidic Devices in Omniphobic R^F Paper

Ana C. Glavan, Ramses V. Martinez, E. Jane Maxwell, Anand Bala Subramaniam, Rui M.D. Nunes, Siowling Soh, and George M. Whitesides

This paper describes the fabrication of pressure-driven, open-channel microfluidic systems with lateral dimensions of 45-300 microns carved in omniphobic paper using a craft-cutting tool. Vapor phase silanization with a fluorinated alkylltrichlorosilane renders paper omniphobic, but preserves its high gas permeability and mechanical properties. When sealed with tape, the carved channels form conduits capable of guiding liquid transport in the low-Reynolds number regime (i.e. laminar flow). These devices are compatible with complex fluids such as droplets of water in oil. The combination of omniphobic paper and a craft cutter enables the development of new types of valves and switches, such as “fold” valves and “porous switches,” which provide new methods to control fluid flow.

Introduction

Micro paper-based analytical devices (µPADs), in which aqueous solutions wick through a porous matrix of hydrophilic cellulose fibers delimited by hydrophobic barriers, provide an alternative to elastomer (PDMS) and rigid polymer-based, open-channel microfluidic systems. Their relatively low cost and simplicity have made µPADs attractive for prototyping concepts in microanalysis, and perhaps in applications in areas such as medical diagnostics for resource-limited environments, environmental monitoring, food safety testing, and forensic analysis. Despite their advantages, current paper microfluidic technologies share some common disadvantages: limited minimum feature sizes (channel widths are generally greater than 200 µm) and inefficient delivery of sample within the device. Due to sample retention in the porous cellulose matrix, the volume that reaches the detection zones is usually less than 50% of the total volume within the device. Moreover, applications that require low Reynolds number, pressure-driven flows in open channels—for example, multiphase flows involving drops or bubbles, or flows of complex fluids such as whole blood or colloidal suspensions that contain particulates—are generally incompatible with wicking flow.

Here we describe the use of a craft-cutting tool to fabricate pressure-driven microfluidic devices with feature sizes as small as 45 µm, using, as matrix for fabrication, omniphobic R^F paper prepared by silanization of cellulose paper with a fluoroalkyl silanizing reagent. The entire process of design and fabrication can be completed in less than ten minutes. These devices display low-Reynolds number fluid dynamics (i.e. laminar flow), make possible new types of simple valves and switches to control fluid flow, and exhibit high gas permeability.

Analytical systems using microfluidics have been actively explored since the late 1980s. The introduction of fast prototyping techniques based on soft lithography led to increased research and development of polymer-based microfluidic systems, but the fabrication of these devices still requires specialized equipment, moderately expensive polymeric materials, and significant expertise.

A range of alternative techniques for the fabrication of µPADs in polymeric sheets have been demonstrated; these techniques include transfer masking of toner, patterning of double-sided tape or film with a laser cutter or cutting plotter, UV-initiated surface photografting to pattern superhydrophilic channels on the surface of a thin superhydrophobic porous polymer film, or embossing channels into polymeric sheets using a rigid master at elevated temperature and pressure. Linder, Sia et al. used injection molding to fabricate microfluidic cassettes from polystyrene and cyclic olefin copolymers.

µPADs generally use hydrophobic barriers patterned in paper to define paths along which liquids can wick. Methods for patterning paper include the use of a photoresist (SU-8) and photolithography, wax printing, or printing of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) dissolved in hexanes. Other fabrication techniques begin with paper already coated with a hydrophobic layer on which specific areas are then plasma etched, dissolved, or laser-treated in order to define...
hydrophilic regions. When no hydrophobic barriers are present, the shape of the paper itself defines the fluid path. Fenton et al. pioneered the use of a computer-controlled XY-knife plotter to shape thin sheets of paper and other porous media to define fluid paths. The use of shaped paper has subsequently been developed by others.

This paper describes a bench-top fabrication process that integrates the common elements of pressure-driven microfluidics (e.g. laminar flow, mixing, on/off valves, gradient and droplet generators) in a system that uses omniphobic paper as a substrate. These easy-to-prototype, inexpensive, pressure-driven devices expand the repertoire of microfluidic manipulations and analyses that can be conducted using paper, and offer a useful new method for the fabrication of microfluidic devices.

**Materials and Methods**

**Choice of materials**

We used an electronic craft cutter (Silhouette America, Inc., Silhouette Cameo™), with either a thin cutting blade or an engraving tip, to carve micro-channels into the surface of a sheet of cardstock paper. Craft cutters are inexpensive (~$150-300), can reproduce channels designed using simple software, are safe, and are extremely easy to use. We chose cardstock paper as the material for cutting because it is lightweight and flexible, sufficiently smooth to create a tight seal with tape, and inexpensive (~ $0.06 for an 8 1/2" x 11" sheet); it is also thick enough (300 μm) to retain mechanical stability while accommodating the depths of the carved channels.

Silanization of paper with an alkyl or fluoroalkyl trichlorosilane makes it hydrophobic; the reaction occurs readily with the silanizing agent in the vapor phase, and requires no heat. The complete sequence of fabrication steps from design to a working device can be completed within ten minutes: (i) carving of 40 simple individual devices in a sheet of cardstock paper takes less than 30 seconds; (ii) vapor-phase silanization of the entire engraved sheet requires ~5 minutes, and (iii) the assembly of one device (i.e. affixing adhesive layers and tubing) takes ~2 minutes.

Optimizing the quality of the seal between the inlets of the device and the fluid supply is important for minimizing the probability of failure of the devices (here we define success as the continuous flow of liquid from inlet to outlet under a constant pressure of ~0.2 bar (20 kPa) for an observation time of at least one hour without leakage or delamination occurring at fluidic connections or along the fluidic path). To seal the ferrule to the paper device, we used a strong double-sided adhesive tape (3M Command Medium Picture Hanging Strips); no leakage at the ferrule occurred during our observation time (65/65 cases). On a few occasions (2/65), fragments of cellulose fiber or dust trapped in the channel during the fabrication process impeded the flow of liquids through the microfluidic device. Movie S1† shows the continuous flow of an aqueous solution through an open microfluidic channel engraved in omniphobic R F paper, as observed over a period of 3 hours.

**Fabrication of open-channel paper microfluidic devices using an electronic craft cutter**

Fig.1 schematically outlines the procedure used to fabricate pressure-driven paper microfluidic devices. We created a blueprint for the microchannels using computer-aided design software (Adobe Illustrator® CS5, Adobe Systems Inc.) and carved the microchannels into the surface of the paper substrate using an electronic craft cutting/engraving tool. We rendered the resulting paper hydrophobic by vapor phase functionalization with C10F21. Following functionalization, we sealed the top of the device using a layer of tape with pre-cut holes aligned with the inlets and the outlets of the microchannels. We connected these channels to silicone tubing using flangeless ferrules affixed to the device with rings of double-sided adhesive tape. A syringe pump drove fluid from the inlets to the outlets of the open microchannels at flow rates of 5-100 μL/min. For applications requiring a fixed inlet pressure, rather than a fixed volumetric flow rate, we used gravity-driven flow and adjusted the hydrostatic pressure by controlling the height of the inlet reservoir with respect to the waste reservoir. These microfluidic devices can withstand hydrostatic pressures up to 0.27 bar (27 kPa) without delaminating.

The complete sequence of fabrication steps from design to a working device can be completed within ten minutes: (i) carving of 40 simple individual devices in a sheet of cardstock paper takes less than 30 seconds; (ii) vapor-phase silanization of the entire engraved sheet requires ~5 minutes; and (iii) the assembly of one device (i.e. affixing adhesive layers and tubing) takes ~2 minutes.

Optimizing the quality of the seal between the inlets of the device and the fluid supply is important for minimizing the probability of failure of the devices (here we define success as the continuous flow of liquid from inlet to outlet under a constant pressure of ~0.2 bar (20 kPa) for an observation time of at least one hour without leakage or delamination occurring at fluidic connections or along the fluidic path). To seal the ferrule to the paper device, we used a strong double-sided adhesive tape (3M Command Medium Picture Hanging Strips); no leakage at the ferrule occurred during our observation time (65/65 cases).

On a few occasions (2/65), fragments of cellulose fiber or dust trapped in the channel during the fabrication process impeded the flow of liquids through the microfluidic device. Movie S1† shows the continuous flow of an aqueous solution through an open microfluidic channel engraved in omniphobic R F paper, as observed over a period of 3 hours.

**Results and Discussion**
Laminar flow and passive mixing in open paper microchannels

To demonstrate the versatility of this fabrication process, we created channels of different widths by choosing appropriate blades to use with the craft-cutting machine: a thin blade generated channels with widths of 45 ± 5 μm (n=5, based on SEM images), whereas an engraving tip generated channels with widths of 100 to 300 μm. In both cases, selecting appropriate settings of the craft-cutter can produce microchannels with depths between 50 and 300 μm. The dimensions of the channels can be controlled by the combination of tip width and craft-cutter settings.

Fig. 2C, E shows a pressure-driven paper microfluidic device exhibiting laminar flow: two miscible aqueous phases, each labeled with a different water-soluble dye (0.05% solutions of Methylene Blue or Congo Red in water), were pumped through a T-junction at a flow rate of 10 μL/min (Reynolds number Re= 2). An optical microscope at 50x magnification imaged the two parallel streams within the 45-μm-wide channel (see inset in Fig. 2E). These observations confirm that these devices can reproduce the classical diffusion-limited co-flows reported in open-channel microfluidic devices fabricated in materials such as PDMS.47

To produce a device capable of mixing two fluid streams at low Reynolds number, we carved a serpentine channel—a channel geometry that induces mixing between two co-flowing liquids48—into the paper (Fig. 2D, F). Two separate fluid streams (0.05% solutions of Tartrazine or Methylene Blue in water) entered through a Y-junction at a flow rate of 10 μL/min. Repeating C-shaped units turned the fluid through 180° to induce chaotic advection and passively enhance the mixing of the streams. Thus close to the inlet we observed two clearly delineated blue and yellow co-flowing liquid streams that then became a single stream of green liquid 18 mm downstream from the inlet (Fig. 2F). The mechanism for inducing chaotic advection is the consecutive generation of Dean vortices in the curved microchannel.65

Regulation of fluid flow by folding the microchannel

Valving systems developed for elastomer-based microfluidic systems are compatible with pressure-driven paper microfluidic devices. For example, twist valves49 can turn the fluid flow on/off in paper microchannels (see Fig. S5, ESI†).

We took advantage of the ease with which paper can be folded to devise an alternative procedure for valving that does not require the use of external parts. These “fold” valves reduce the flow rate through the microfluidic channel when the paper device is folded along an axis perpendicular to the fluid flow. They are probably not applicable to complex problems in sequential valving (as, for example, the sort of systems developed by Quake and others50, 51), but provide a simple solution for controlling flows in elementary systems.

We characterized the “fold” valve by measuring the amount of liquid expelled from the outlet as a function of the dihedral angle of the folded paper making up this valve. We adjusted the height of the liquid reservoir to obtain a steady flow of ~20 μL per minute for the unfolded device. We then adjusted the dihedral angle while simultaneously wiping away any excess liquid at the outlet. After 60 s, we used a calibrated micropipette to measure the volume of liquid expelled as a function of the dihedral angle. Fig. 3 illustrates the relationship between the dihedral angle at the increase and the amount of liquid expelled at the outlet. Increasing the dihedral angle resulted in a continuous decrease in the amount of liquid expelled at the outlet; no liquid was expelled at the outlet when the folding angle reached or exceeded 90° (Fig. 3A). Unfolding the device restored the flow along the fluid path (Fig. 3B). Each valve could be closed/opened at least ten times. Although we have not characterized in detail the mechanism by which this valve operates, we speculate that the tape constricts the channel as the paper is creased (Fig. S7, ESI†), and decreases the rate of flow through the channel. We expect the “fold” valve to be able to withstand pressures up to ~27 kPa, since at that pressure, the devices fail through delamination or permeation of liquid into the porous hydrophobic matrix.

Regulation of fluid crossover between channels by pressure difference

Omniphobic paper can also function as a “porous valve”. Because the silanized paper fibers have a low surface free energy, liquid water does not spontaneously enter the pores of the hydrophobic paper (i.e. water does not wick into the paper due to capillarity). An applied pressure difference, however, should be able to force water through the hydrophobic paper pores.

The pressure difference, ΔPφ, required to overcome the surface free energy of the hydrophobic surface of the pores of radius, R, is given by the Young-Laplace Equation (Eq. 1), where θw/H2O is the static contact angle of water with the surface:

\[ \Delta P_\phi = -\frac{2\gamma \cos \theta_{w/H2O}}{R} \]  

(1)

For our system, in which θw/H2O = 137°, γ = 72 mN/m, and R ~ 2.6 μm (estimated from SEM images), Eq. 1 predicts that a difference in pressure ΔPφ of 26 kPa is required for water to break through the hydrophobic pores. This value is—perhaps coincidentally—that at which the escape of water from the channel into the hydrophobic pores of the surrounding R” paper matrix is observed.

The pressure difference (ΔP) required to move water a distance L through a porous medium, such as paper, is given by Darcy’s equation (Eq.1), where Q is the volumetric rate of flow through the porous medium (m³/s), k is the permeability of the medium (m²), A is the cross sectional area to flow (m²), and μ is the viscosity of the liquid (Pa·s):

\[ \Delta P = \frac{\mu L Q}{k A} \]  

(2)

The total pressure needed to initiate flow and drive water across the porous medium of length L can be approximated as the sum of the pressures calculated in equations 1 and 2:

\[ \Delta P_1 = \frac{2\gamma \cos \theta_{w/H2O}}{R} + \frac{\mu L Q}{k A} \]  

(3)

The porous valve is “closed” below this threshold pressure. When the pressure exceeds the threshold value, the valve “opens” and water is forced through the pores of the paper.

Fig. 4A shows a diagram of a microfluidic device that uses a pressure-dependent porous valve to direct water into one of two
different paths depending on the location and magnitude of the applied pressure. Two nonintersecting channels were designed such that the shortest distance between the channels was 0.8 mm. We sealed both sides of the device with gas-impermeable tape to allow the application of a vacuum through the paper channel (the paper devices discussed in the previous sections were sealed with tape on only one side) and placed a drop of an aqueous solution of dye at the inlet of the first channel to serve as a reservoir. Application of a vacuum (~5 kPa) at outlet 1 caused the water to flow from the inlet to this outlet. Application of a vacuum at outlet 2 changed the flow path: when the vacuum exceeded a threshold pressure (~300 ± 30 Torr, or ~40 kPa), the water passed through the hydrophobic paper in the region separating the two channels (Fig. 4), following the path from the inlet to outlet 2.

Below the threshold pressure, no water passed through the hydrophobic paper; the paper served as a porous valve with an opening pressure of 40 kPa. This pressure difference represents the threshold pressure required for water to overcome both the surface free energy (ΔP = 26 kPa) and resistance to flow through the pores of cardstock paper functionalized with C10F2 (ΔP, = 40 – 26 = 14 kPa). Since the liquid passed through a bulk porous medium with no barriers to guide the flow, some liquid permeated the pores laterally and caused an apparent “bulge” at the crossing over between the channels. This “bulge” was consistently observed across a range of distances L and threshold pressures P.

We measured the linear velocity of water infiltrating the channel corresponding to outlet 2 at a pressure of 40 kPa, and estimated a volumetric flow rate Q = 10^-11 m^3/s based on the cross-sectional area A = 50 x 150 μm^2 of the paper channel. Using Equation 2, we obtained a value for the permeability of the paper, k of ~10^-14 m^2. This value is in agreement with other results from the literature on the permeability of paper.22 Thus, by examining Equation 2, porous valves in paper devices can be designed to have a range of opening pressures. For example, valves with higher threshold pressures can be designed using paper with lower porosity and, thus, lower permeability, k.

**Gas transfer between adjacent independent microchannels**

Paper—a fibrous matrix containing a network of interconnected pores—generally exhibits much higher permeability to gas than the solid materials used to fabricate microfluidic devices. It is, for example, more than 100 times more permeable to oxygen than PDMS, which is itself unusually permeable (see Table S1, ESI†, for other materials). We used the high permeability of paper to enable rapid gas transport (<1 s) between two parallel microfluidic channels, the first of which contained a solution of dissolved HCl or NH3, while the second contained an indicator for the volatile compound present in the first channel.

We passed saturated aqueous solutions of dissolved HCl or NH3 (37% and 28%, respectively) through one channel, which was parallel to a second channel containing a solution of universal pH indicator (Fig. 5A). The devices were sealed with gas-impermeable tape on both sides. The color of the pH indicator in the channel parallel to the HCl stream changed from blue to yellow (from pH 9 to pH 5, Fig. 5C), while the color of the pH indicator in the channel parallel to the NH3 stream changed from green to blue (from pH 7 to pH 10, Fig. 5E). These color changes occurred within less than a second of the liquids filling the channels. We observed no change in the color of the pH indicator when we repeated this procedure with aqueous solutions of a non-volatile acid (37% H2SO4) or base (8% NaOH, which has the same pH=13.6 as the 28% solution of ammonia) in the neighboring channel (Fig. S5, ESI†).

We interpret these results to indicate that the diffusion of vapors of the volatile acid or base (HCl or NH3) through the walls of the microfluidic device causes a change in the pH of the solution in the neighboring channel. Our interpretation is supported by separate tests in which the omniphobic paper generated by functionalization with a fluorolane (C10F2) resisted wetting by concentrated solutions of HCl, H2SO4, NH3, or NaOH applied on its surface for more than two hours (the duration of our observation; data not shown). The timescale of the observed color change (<1 s) is also consistent with that expected for the gaseous diffusion of molecules between the channels, estimated by Eq. 4, where L is the distance (in one-dimension), τ is time (s), and D is the diffusion constant of molecules of gas.

\[
\tau \sim \frac{L^2}{2D}
\]

The diffusion constant, D, of small gaseous molecules such as NH3 is typically on the order of 10^-5 m^2/s. Thus, it should take ~0.5 s for the NH3 vapor to diffuse between the channels.

The high gas permeability of paper also allows the removal of gas contaminants and unwanted air bubbles, which diffuse through the walls of the device. We demonstrate the removal of air bubbles as large as 80 μL from aqueous solutions, using R® paper as a porous membrane that is permeable to air (Fig. S4, ESI† and Movie S4†).

**Functional microfluidic devices in paper: serial diluters and droplet generators**

One of the useful characteristics of open-channel PDMS microfluidics is the ability to precisely generate monodisperse microdroplets of immiscible fluids, or well-defined gradients of solutes in miscible liquids.53-55 The precise control over volumes and concentration conferred by droplet-based (or digital) microfluidics has led to new avenues of development in chemical and biochemical screening, protein crystallization, enzymatic kinetics, and bioassays.56

As proof of principle, we applied our paper engraving technique to fabricate inexpensive devices for performing serial dilutions, and for generating droplets in an immiscible phase. Fig. 6A-B shows the serial dilution of an aqueous solution of 0.05% Methylene Blue with a solution of 0.05% Congo Red. Both solutions were provided to their respective inlets at a flow rate of 10 μL/min (using a syringe pump). The serial dilution can be visualized as a change in the color of liquids inside the channels and at the outlets, from red and blue to shades of purple.

Fig. 6C-F shows the formation of droplets in a T-junction in a paper microfluidic device with engraved channels (~300 μm x 200 μm cross-sectional dimensions). Hexadecane served as the “carrier” fluid, or continuous phase, and an aqueous solution of dye (0.05% Congo Red) served as the dispersed phase. We established the flow of the aqueous solution and the oil with syringe pumps. These two phases met at the junction, where droplets “pinched-off” at regular intervals.
The size of droplets generated at microfluidic T-junctions can be controlled by adjusting the relative flow rates of the continuous and dispersed phases. We thus formed droplets of different sizes by varying the flow rate of the aqueous solution while keeping the flow rate of the continuous phase constant (See Movies S2‡, S3‡, S4‡). Fig. 6D–F shows several representative micrographs of the system at different flow rates of the continuous and dispersed phases. The droplets generated in the paper microfluidic device were monodisperse; the coefficient of variation (CV) of the length of the droplets (the distance between the furthest downstream and upstream points along the interface of a fully detached plug) generated at a frequency of 1.25 Hz was 1.1% (see discussion in ESI†). By varying the flow rates of the dispersed and continuous phases, water-in-oil droplets can be generated in engraved channels at frequencies between 0.5 and 10 Hz, similar to typical frequencies obtained in PDMS microfluidic devices. Frequencies above 10 Hz are not presently feasible because of limitations on the operating pressures that the devices can withstand (30 kPa).

Paper-based droplet microfluidic systems offer several potential advantages over systems fabricated in PDMS. Many of the potential uses of droplet-based microfluidic devices are driven by a need to compartmentalize solutions of particles, cells or molecules into microreactors for further manipulation by the addition (or removal) of other reagents. The high gas permeability of paper facilitates the transfer of volatile reagents from neighboring channels, or from the environment, and the release of gaseous by-products. Other active means to manipulate droplets involve forces produced by electric fields to create, recombine, split and sort charged droplets. The integration of embedded circuits requires materials with high dielectric strength, able to sustain the high voltages required to charge the oil-water interface. The dielectric strength (breakdown voltage) of the paper that we tested varied between 8.3 ± 0.3 MV/m (cardstock paper) and 71.4 ± 8.0 MV/m (Canson tracing paper—a high density, cellulose paper). For comparison, the dielectric strength of PDMS is 20 MV/m. Thus, this fabrication technique, when combined with an appropriate choice of paper, surface chemistry, and channel design, might lead to the development of more complex droplet-based microfluidic technologies.

Conclusions

The combination of cardstock paper silanized with a fluoroalkyl silane and a craft cutter provides the basis for a simple new bench-top technique for the fabrication of open-channel microfluidic devices. Like the familiar PDMS-based microfluidic systems, these devices have open, microscale (45x100 μm² cross section) channels, exhibit pressure-driven, low-Reynolds-number flows and are compatible with multiphase flows involving droplets of water in oil. Like other paper-based microfluidic devices, the devices are inexpensive, mechanically flexible, lightweight, disposable by burning, and highly permeable to gases. These features provide new capabilities that would be difficult to achieve using other types of microfluidic devices: i) fast exchange of volatile compounds between adjacent, fluidically-independent channels, as well as access to reagents in the vapor phase outside the channel; ii) regulation of flow rate by adjusting the folding angle at a “fold” valve (Fig. 3), or by using differences in pressure to bridge unconnected channels (Fig. 4); iii) reduced probability of adsorption or exchange of hydrophobic compounds between channel walls in omniphilic paper compared to PDMS, due to the oleophobicity of the surface; iv) easy reconfiguration of the design of pre-existing microfluidic devices, as it benefits specific applications, by engraving additional channels or folding to create valves at desired positions; v) compatibility with some liquids that swell or destroy PDMS, including aromatic hydrocarbons (xylene, toluene, benzene) and concentrated sulfuric acid.

These pressure-driven open-channel microfluidic devices have three limitations: i) The omniphilic paper generated by silanization with a fluoroalkane (C₁₀₇) is not suitable for manipulating fluids with surface tension below 27 mN/m (e.g. pentane, methanol) since these fluids will penetrate the pores of the silanized paper (many liquids with low surface tensions are also incompatible with PDMS-based microfluidic systems, as they swell or dissolve the PDMS). ii) The size of the channels is defined by the thickness of the blade, and it is thus difficult, with a simple cutter with a single blade, to produce continuous channels with variable widths. iii) The gas permeability of paper can lead to partial evaporation of the liquid, causing changes in the concentration, medium osmolality, or even complete drying of the device. This problem can be addressed using a humidity-controlled atmosphere, by incorporating hydration channel networks, or by packaging the device.

Pressure-driven paper microfluidic devices fabricated using this technique, with further development, have the potential to provide new functional options in biomedical fluid handling, clinical diagnostics, environmental monitoring, and microfluidic and electronic systems.
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