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Multiple mechanisms determine ER network morphology during the cell cycle in *Xenopus* egg extracts

Songyu Wang,1 Fabian B. Romano,1 Christine M. Field,2 Tim J. Mitchison,2 and Tom A. Rapoport1

1Department of Cell Biology and Howard Hughes Medical Institute and 2Department of Systems Biology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115

In metazoans the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) changes during the cell cycle, with the nuclear envelope (NE) disassembling and reassembling during mitosis and the peripheral ER undergoing extensive remodeling. Here we address how ER morphology is generated during the cell cycle using crude and fractionated *Xenopus laevis* egg extracts. We show that in interphase the ER is concentrated at the microtubule (MT)-organizing center by dynein and is spread by outward extension of ER tubules through their association with plus ends of growing MTs. Fusion of membranous into an ER network is dependent on the guanosine triphosphatase atlastin (ATL). NE assembly requires fusion by both ATL and ER-soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor adaptor protein receptors. In mitotic extracts, the ER converts into a network of sheets connected by ER tubules and loses most of its interactions with MTs. Together, these results indicate that fusion of ER membranes by ATL and interaction of ER with growing MT ends and dynein cooperate to generate distinct ER morphologies during the cell cycle.

**Introduction**

The mechanisms by which organelles are shaped and remodeled during the cell cycle are largely unknown. We have started to address this problem for the ER. In interphase, the ER is a continuous membrane system consisting of the nuclear envelope (NE) and a peripheral network of ER tubules and interdispersed sheets (Shibata et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2013; English and Voeltz, 2013a; Goyal and Blackstone, 2013). ER tubules exhibit high membrane curvature in cross section and are shaped by members of two evolutionarily conserved protein families, the reticulons and DP1/Yop1p (Voeltz et al., 2006). These abundant membrane proteins stabilize the high curvature of tubules (Shibata et al., 2008, 2009) and may also be involved in generating peripheral ER sheets, as they also localize to sheet edges (Shibata et al., 2010).

The generation of a continuous tubular network requires that the tubules be connected by membrane fusion to form three-way junctions. Fusion is mediated by a class of membrane-anchored dynamin-like GTPases called atlastins (ATLs) in metazoans (Hu et al., 2009; Orso et al., 2009). ATL-mediated ER fusion is supported by the observation that depletion of ATLs leads to long, nonbranched ER tubules in tissue culture cells (Hu et al., 2009) and ER fragmentation in *Drosophila melanogaster* (Orso et al., 2009). Long, nonbranched tubules are also observed when dominant-negative fragments of ATL are overexpressed in tissue culture cells (Hu et al., 2009). In addition, antibodies against ATL inhibit ER network formation in *Xenopus laevis* egg extracts (Hu et al., 2009), and proteoliposomes containing purified *D. melanogaster* ATL undergo GTP-dependent fusion in vitro (Orso et al., 2009; Bian et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2012). However, it is unclear whether additional ER fusion mechanisms exist and whether Rab proteins have a role in the formation of a tubular ER network, as suggested by experiments in *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *X. laevis* egg extracts (Audhya et al., 2007; English and Voeltz, 2013b).

The ER network is very dynamic, with tubules continuously forming and retracting. In metazoans, ER tubules can be “pulled out” of a membrane reservoir by molecular motors moving along microtubules (MTs) or by the tips of growing MTs (Du et al., 2004; Grigoriev et al., 2008; Friedman et al., 2010).
is reformed after mitosis is also unclear. It has been proposed that NE reformation is exclusively driven by ER fusion, with no other membrane fusion reaction required (Anderson and Hetzer, 2007). However, other data suggest that NE formation may require additional fusion by unidentified SNARE proteins that are normally involved in vesicular transport. This hypothesis would explain why dominant-negative forms of the SNARE complex disassembly factor NSF or of its cofactor αSNAP inhibit NE formation in *X. laevis* egg extracts (Baur et al., 2007).

*X. laevis* egg extracts are a powerful system to study the formation of an ER network and address how ER morphology changes during the cell cycle (Allan, 1995; Waterman-Storer et al., 1995; Dreier and Rapoport, 2000; Voeltz et al., 2006). Here, we have used this system to show that the fusion of ER membranes by ATL and the interaction of the ER with dynein and the plus ends of growing MTs cooperate to generate distinct ER morphologies during interphase and mitosis. We also found that NE formation requires not only ATL-mediated membrane fusion, but also other membrane fusion reactions.

However, because ER tubules can be formed in the absence of MTs (Dreier and Rapoport, 2000; Voeltz et al., 2006), and the ER network does not immediately collapse upon MT depolymerization (Terasaki et al., 1986), the cytoskeleton does not seem to be necessary for the generation of the tubular ER network, per se. Rather, the cytoskeleton may be involved in the spatial distribution of the ER network in cells, as the density of the ER is generally higher toward the center of the cell, where the MT-organizing center (MTOC) is located.

Although the morphology of the peripheral ER in interphase cells is well characterized, the changes occurring during mitosis have been controversial. Some studies suggest that peripheral ER sheets convert into fenestrated sheets and tubules (Anderson and Hetzer, 2007; Puhka et al., 2007, 2012), whereas others propose that tubules transform into sheets (Poteryaev et al., 2005; Lu et al., 2009, 2011). These experiments were all done in intact cells, which round up during mitosis, making the analysis of the peripheral ER morphology difficult. How the NE is reformed after mitosis is also unclear. It has been proposed that NE reformation is exclusively driven by ER fusion, with no other membrane fusion reaction required (Anderson and Hetzer, 2007). However, other data suggest that NE formation may require additional fusion by unidentified SNARE proteins that are normally involved in vesicular transport. This hypothesis would explain why dominant-negative forms of the SNARE complex disassembly factor NSF or of its cofactor αSNAP inhibit NE formation in *X. laevis* egg extracts (Baur et al., 2007).
fusion but also a fusion reaction mediated by ER SNAREs. The two distinct fusion systems cooperate at different stages to reform the NE at the end of mitosis.

**Results**

**A role for dynein and MT plus tips in ER network distribution during interphase**

We used crude *X. laevis* egg extracts to study ER morphology at different stages of the cell cycle and to avoid limitations associated with imaging the ER in intact cells during mitosis. The crude extract is essentially undiluted cytoplasm that maintains a vigorous energy metabolism and contains large amounts of ER membranes (Niethammer et al., 2008). The extract can easily be driven into either interphase or mitosis. A mitotic extract was obtained by preparing it in the presence of the Ca$^{2+}$ chelator EGTA (cytostatic factor [CSF] extract). An interphase extract was then generated by addition of Ca$^{2+}$, which releases the cell cycle arrest (Murray et al., 1989). At the same time, lysolecithin-permeabilized sperm was added, providing both centrosomes as nucleation sites for MTs and allowing the eventual formation of a NE around the decondensing chromatin.

To better mimic normal cytoplasm, the extracts were prepared in the absence of the actin-depolymerizing agent cytochalasin. Fluorescently labeled tubulin was added to monitor the formation of MTs. We optimized the experimental conditions to allow the growth of large interphase asters, i.e., star-like MT assemblies that nucleate at the centrosomes of the MTOCs, similar to those that normally form in interphase of *X. laevis* eggs and in early embryos (Mitchison et al., 2013). The MTs are polarized with their minus ends close to the MTOC and the growing plus ends pointing outwards. To stain ER membranes, a lipophilic dye (DiIC$_{18}$ or DiOC$_{18}$) was preincubated with a small aliquot of extract and then diluted into fresh extract. Imaging in real time showed that MT asters indeed emanate from the sperm centrosomes (Fig. 1 A and Video 1). Simultaneously, an ER network was generated (Fig. 1 B and Video 2). Initially, there was a predominant movement of membranes toward the MTOCs, but after a short time, the ER network started to spread outwards. Even after the ER network stopped spreading, it remained dynamic, with tubules continuously forming and retracting (Video 2). After ~30 min, the chromatin of the sperm had significantly decondensed and nuclei with a visible NE were generated (Fig. 1 C). At later time points, the ER network was denser at the MTOC than at the periphery (Fig. 1 C; and see Fig. 2 B and Fig. 5, C and D), a spatial distribution that is strikingly similar to that in tissue culture cells. As reported previously (Dreier and Rapoport, 2000), addition of the MT-depolymerizing drug nocodazole did not prevent formation of an ER network (Fig. S1), indicating that MTs are not required for tubule formation or fusion. However, in the absence of MTs the network was evenly distributed throughout the imaged area (Fig. S1), indicating that MTs are necessary for the inhomogeneous distribution of the ER network.

**Figure 2.** Dynein is required for movement of ER membranes toward the minus end of MTs. (A) Demembranated sperm was added after 10 min to a crude interphase *X. laevis* egg extract containing Alexa fluor 488-labeled tubulin and the hydrophobic dye DiIC$_{18}$. The extension of membrane tubules was followed over time. Arrowheads indicate the tip of extending ER membrane tubule. The kymograph shows the movement of the same tubule. Bars, 2 µm. (B) As in A, but the extract was incubated for 30 min with and without 6 µM of the CC1 of p150 glued to inhibit dynein function. Network formation was visualized by confocal fluorescence microscopy. Bars, 10 µm.
formation, but is largely responsible for concentrating the ER network at the MTOC. Next we analyzed in more detail the plus end–directed movement of ER tubules. To label the growing plus ends of MTs, we added to the extract a purified GFP fusion of the MT plus end tracking protein EB-1 (end-binding protein 1; EB-1–GFP; Morrison et al., 1998; Juwana et al., 1999; Tirnauer et al., 1999; the purity of EB-1–GFP is shown in Fig. S2). Simultaneous imaging of the plus ends of MTs and membranes showed that the leading ends of essentially all outward moving ER tubules tracked with EB-1–GFP comets (Fig. 3 and Video 4). Thus, plus tip–dependent movement is likely the main mechanism by which the ER network expands in X. laevis egg extracts. This observation is consistent with an earlier study in which tip tracking of membrane tubules was observed in egg extract by differential interference contrast microscopy (Waterman-Storer et al., 1995).

ER network morphology in mitosis

Next we analyzed ER morphology in X. laevis extracts that were kept in metaphase of the cell cycle (CSF extracts) by omitting Ca\(^{2+}\) addition. In contrast to previous findings (Allan and Vale, 1991), an ER network formed, but it was drastically different from that in interphase (Fig. 4, compare A with B). It contained numerous membrane sheets, which largely replaced three-way junctions between tubules. The addition of lysolecithin-permeabilized sperm led to formation of meiosis II spindles, which were visualized with fluorescently labeled tubulin (Fig. 4 C).
No membranes were seen inside the spindle (Fig. 4 D), indicating that most interactions with MTs are lost during mitosis. Only few tubules were observed along the side of the spindle (Fig. 4 D), although some membranes accumulated at the spindle poles. These results are consistent with recent observations in tissue culture cells (Smyth et al., 2012) and are in agreement with the observed detachment of mitotic X. laevis membranes from stabilized MTs (Niclas et al., 1996).

To directly analyze the morphological changes of the ER during the transition from interphase to mitosis, we induced a mitotic state by adding purified nondegradable cyclin B protein (cyclin BΔ90) to an interphase extract (the purity of cyclin BΔ90 is shown in Fig. S2 and the mitotic state is confirmed by immunoblotting with MPM-2 antibodies, which recognize mitotically phosphorylated proteins; Fig. S3 A) and by the generation of condensed chromosomes (Fig. S3 B; Murray et al., 1989). The ER morphology was similar to that observed in a CSF extract (Fig. S3 C). Real-time imaging showed examples of three-way junctions converting into sheets upon addition of cyclin BΔ90 (Video 5). The existence of an ER network and the tubule-to-sheet conversion in mitosis were probably missed in a previous study (Allan and Vale, 1991) because only MT-attached membranes were analyzed, which are scarce in mitotic extracts.

Similar results were obtained with a more defined system, in which isolated X. laevis egg membranes were mixed with membrane-depleted cytosol. With interphase cytosol added, a tubular network with numerous three-way junctions was formed (Fig. 4 E). When cyclin BΔ90 was included in the reaction, large bright membrane structures, probably sheets, were formed, which were interconnected by tubules (Fig. 4 E). This coincided with a drastic reduction of the number of three-way junctions between tubules (Fig. 4 F). Again, real-time imaging showed that three-way junctions converted into sheets upon addition of cyclin BΔ90 (Video 5). The existence of an ER network is maintained in mitosis, but the tubules are mainly connected by small sheets instead of three-way junctions.
ATL-mediated fusion of ER membranes

To test the role of ATL in ER network formation, we used a purified cytoplasmic fragment of *X. laevis* ATL (cytATL) as a dominant-negative reagent. This fragment contains the GTPase domain and the three-helix bundle, but lacks the transmembrane segments and cytosolic tail of ATL (Bian et al., 2011; Byrnes and Sondermann, 2011). The equivalent fragment of *Drosophila* ATL inhibits the fusion of proteoliposomes (Orso et al., 2009; Bian et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2012), and the expression of the cytoplasmic domain of human ATL1 in tissue culture cells generates long, nonbranched tubules (Hu et al., 2009). Addition of 2 µM of purified *X. laevis* cytATL to interphase extracts completely blocked ER network formation (Fig. 5, A and B; the purity of cytATL is shown in Fig. S2). Membranes stained with a fluorescent dye accumulated at the MTOR, indicating that the nonfused membranes can still interact with dynein, but no tubules were seen and no plus end–directed membrane movement was observed. In addition, no NE was formed. As a control, we added cytATL containing a single point mutation (R232Q); the equivalent mutation abolishes the dimerization of human ATL1 (Byrnes and Sondermann, 2011). Addition of *X. laevis* cytATL(R232Q) had no effect on ER network formation (Fig. 5, C and D; the purity of cytATL(R232Q) is shown in Fig. S2). Inhibition of ATL function by cytATL also blocked ER network formation in mitotic extracts (Fig. 5 F). Again, the mutant fragment had no effect (Fig. 5 E). Thus, the fusion of precursor membranes into a network appears to completely rely on ATL.

Next we tested the effect of ATL inhibition in a network formation reaction with isolated membranes, which consist of vesicles of ~100 nm diameter (Lourim and Krohne, 1993; Wiese et al., 1997; Dreier and Rapoport, 2000). Wild-type cytATL inhibited ER network formation, regardless of whether the reaction was performed in the presence or absence of membrane-depleted interphase cytosol or in the presence of mitotic cytosol (Fig. 6, A and B; and Fig. S4 A). Again, the mutant fragment had no effect. These results further demonstrate that ATL is required for ER network formation both in interphase and mitosis.

Further support for this conclusion is provided by experiments in which we varied the GTP concentration in a network formation reaction with isolated membranes (Fig. 6 C). At 500 µM GTP, the network was completely normal, at 100 µM it was slightly reduced, and at 25 µM it almost did not form at all. The half-maximum GTP concentration required for ER network formation was thus about the same as the $K_m$ value.
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Wang et al. formed NEs (Fig. 8A). In contrast, addition of cytATL at the beginning of the reaction blocked formation of intact NEs (Fig. 8A). Vesicles still accumulated on the chromatin, but did not fuse to form a smooth surface, and 70-kD dextran was not excluded. In addition, sperm chromatin was only partially decondensed, presumably because proteins required for decondensation could not be actively concentrated in the nucleus. Thus, ATL is required for NE formation, likely by promoting fusion of ER vesicles or tubules.

To test if ATL was required for NE formation at later stages of the reaction, we added cytATL after 10 min, a time point at which the ER network has formed (unpublished data) but the NE membrane has not completely fused around chromatin. In this case, cytATL only had a minor effect on NE formation (Fig. 8B). Addition of cytATL at even later time points had essentially no effect on NE formation (Fig. 8B). It therefore appears that another fusion reaction is required to complete envelope formation. However, the growth of the completed NE does seem to require ATL function, as the nuclei remained relatively small (Fig. 8B).

Previous experiments suggested that NSF and its cofactor αSNAP are required for NE assembly (Baur et al., 2007). We confirmed that a purified mutant of αSNAP (αSNAP(L294A)) partially inhibited NE (Fig. 9), but not ER network (Fig. S4B), formation (the purity of αSNAP(L294A) is shown in Fig. S2). Because NSF and αSNAP generally cooperate with SNARE

determined for the purified cytoplasmic domain of human ATL1 (145 µM; Bian et al., 2011).

To confirm that cytATL blocks membrane fusion, we assembled an interphase network in a crude extract with starting membranes that were prestained with different fluorescent dyes (red and green). Whereas the membranes mixed when the reaction was performed with the inactive cytATL(R232Q) mutant, resulting in a yellow-colored ER network (Fig. 7A), the red and green colored membrane structures remained separate in the presence of cytATL (Fig. 7B). Similar results were obtained when prelabeled red and green membranes were incubated with cytosol in the presence of cytATL or cytATL(R232Q) (Fig. 7C). Thus, cytATL blocks the mixing of the lipid bilayers, providing strong evidence that ER network formation depends on ATL-mediated membrane fusion.

NE formation requires additional membrane fusion by ER SNAREs

Experiments with crude extracts suggested that ATL was required for NE formation (Fig. 5). To test this more rigorously, we used a partially fractionated system. Lysoelectin-permeabilized sperm was added to reactions containing isolated membranes and cytosol, and NE formation was monitored by the generation of a smooth stained membrane surface on chromatin and by exclusion of fluorescently labeled 70-kD dextran. Control reactions and reactions containing the inactive ATL mutant formed NEs (Fig. 8A). In contrast, addition of cytATL at the beginning of the reaction blocked formation of intact NEs (Fig. 8A). Vesicles still accumulated on the chromatin, but did not fuse to form a smooth surface, and 70-kD dextran was not excluded. In addition, sperm chromatin was only partially decondensed, presumably because proteins required for decondensation could not be actively concentrated in the nucleus. Thus, ATL is required for NE formation, likely by promoting fusion of ER vesicles or tubules.

To test if ATL was required for NE formation at later stages of the reaction, we added cytATL after 10 min, a time point at which the ER network has formed (unpublished data) but the NE membrane has not completely fused around chromatin. In this case, cytATL only had a minor effect on NE formation (Fig. 8B). Addition of cytATL at even later time points had essentially no effect on NE formation (Fig. 8B). It therefore appears that another fusion reaction is required to complete envelope formation. However, the growth of the completed NE does seem to require ATL function, as the nuclei remained relatively small (Fig. 8B).

Previous experiments suggested that NSF and its cofactor αSNAP are required for NE assembly (Baur et al., 2007). We confirmed that a purified mutant of αSNAP (αSNAP(L294A)) partially inhibited NE (Fig. 9), but not ER network (Fig. S4B), formation (the purity of αSNAP(L294A) is shown in Fig. S2). Because NSF and αSNAP generally cooperate with SNARE
proteins, we tested whether ER SNARE–mediated membrane fusion is involved in NE formation. We used cytoplasmic domains of SNARE proteins as dominant-negative reagents, as these should interfere with the assembly of endogenous SNARE proteins during fusion. Purified cytosolic fragments of Bnip1 (homologue of yeast Sec20p) and syntaxin 18 (homologue of yeast Ufe1p) partially inhibited NE formation, particularly at early time points (Fig. 9; the purity of the fragments is shown in Fig. S2). A cytoplasmic fragment of the ER SNARE Use1 had a more modest effect (Fig. 9). Even at later time points of the reaction, the nuclei remained smaller than in the control (unpublished data). None of the SNARE fragments had an effect on ER network formation (Fig. S4 B). The addition of αSNAP(L294A) or the SNARE fragments to a preformed ER network blocked NE formation around subsequently added sperm, although they left the ER network intact (Fig. S5). Collectively, these results suggest that NE formation requires both ATL- and ER SNARE–mediated membrane fusion.

Discussion

Our results suggest how the ER network achieves its inhomogeneous spatial distribution in interphase cells. Using X. laevis egg extracts, we show that the concentration of the ER network at the MTOC depends on the presence of dynamic MTs and is caused by two opposing processes: minus end–directed movement of membranes mediated by cytoplasmic dynein and plus end–directed movement of membrane tubules by association with the growing tips of MTs. Dynein-mediated movement occurs with small vesicles and larger membrane structures, as well as with tubules. Plus end–directed movement was observed only with tubules, not with vesicles, so it is possible that only tubules associate with the tips of growing MTs. In extracts, we observed that membrane fragments move initially toward the minus end of MTs, followed by massive movement of tubules and the entire network in the MT plus end direction. However, at later time points when nuclei were formed, the network was denser around the MTOC, suggesting that dynein-mediated movements ultimately predominate. We also show that in mitosis the association of ER membranes with MTs is strongly reduced, consistent with other data (Niclas et al., 1996; Smyth et al., 2012). As a result, the ER network is more evenly distributed in mitosis than in interphase.

These results extend previous observations, which showed that dynein is involved in ER movement in X. laevis interphase extracts (Allan and Vale, 1991; Allan, 1995; Niclas et al., 1996; Steffen et al., 1997; Lane and Allan, 1999). It was also reported that in the presence of taxol-stabilized MTs, all tubules were moved toward minus ends of MTs (Allan and Vale, 1991). Because the MTs used were not dynamic, the authors could not detect MT plus tip–associated movements. The previous study also found that in mitotic X. laevis extracts no ER membranes associated with taxol-stabilized MTs (Allan and Vale, 1991). Because the MTs used were not dynamic, the authors could not detect MT plus tip–associated movements. The previous study also found that in mitotic X. laevis extracts no ER membranes associated with taxol-stabilized MTs (Allan and Vale, 1991).
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End of MTs. This is consistent with the observation that kinesin is present on membranes but is activated only at later stages of development (Lane and Allan, 1999).

Our results contribute to the discussion on how ER morphology changes during mitosis (Poteryaev et al., 2005; Interestingly, in mammalian cells, conventional kinesin also plays a role, as its inhibition reduced the outwards movement of ER tubules by ~50% (Woźniak et al., 2009). In contrast, our results suggest that kinesin does not play a role in X. laevis eggs, as there are no or only few sliding movements toward the plus end of MTs. This is consistent with the observation that kinesin is present on membranes but is activated only at later stages of development (Lane and Allan, 1999).

Our results contribute to the discussion on how ER morphology changes during mitosis (Poteryaev et al., 2005;
nonbranched ER tubules in tissue culture cells and ER fragmentation in Drosophila melanogaster (Hu et al., 2009; Orso et al., 2009). In crude X. laevis extracts and in the fractionated system, ATL inhibition results instead in membrane structures that seem to be small sheets and small vesicles, respectively, perhaps because these are the precursors to ER network formation in these systems or because ATL inhibition is more complete. ATL may not only be a fusogen but may also regulate the function of the reticulons and DP1. This could explain why inactivation of ATL in crude extracts prevents the formation of tubules from sheet-like structures.

The role of Rab proteins in ER fusion remains uncertain. Rab proteins were previously implicated on the basis of the inhibitory effect of GDP dissociation inhibitor on network formation (Audhya et al., 2007; English and Voeltz, 2013b). However, no rescue experiments with Rab-GTP were reported, so it is possible that GDP dissociation inhibitor inhibited network formation indirectly. In addition, removal of Rab10 from the membranes did not entirely correlate with inhibition of ER network formation. Support for ATL being the exclusive ER fusogen comes from the observation that ATL inhibition blocks all network formation and that the half-maximum concentration of GTP required for network formation is about the same as the Km value of purified ATL1220 (145 µM), whereas Rab proteins generally have much lower GTP dissociation constants (Simon et al., 1996).

We show that NE formation requires ATL-mediated fusion of ER membranes, consistent with previous conclusions that generation of an ER network is a prerequisite (Anderson and Hetzer, 2007). However, the previous study proposed that

[Figure 9. ER SNARE function is required for NE fusion. NE assembly was examined by mixing interphase cytosol, light membranes, sperm, and an energy regenerating system with buffer, 50 µM dominant-negative αSNAP mutant (αSNAP(L294A)), 20 µM of the cytoplasmic fragment of Bnip1 (cytBnip1), 30 µM of the cytoplasmic fragment of syntaxin 18 (cytStx18), or 70 µM of the cytoplasmic fragment of Use1 (cytUse1). Fluorescently labeled dextran was added to detect nuclei with closed NE. The samples were also stained for membranes and DNA. Bar, 20 µm. The percentage of NE fusion was determined as described in Fig. 8 A and the data plotted are the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.005; and ***, P < 0.001; Student’s t test.]
no additional fusion reaction is required for NE formation, whereas we found that ER SNARE function is likely needed in addition. Our results are consistent with results that showed an inhibitory effect of dominant-negative mutants of NSF or αSNAP on NE formation (Baur et al., 2007). We now extend these findings by demonstrating that dominant-negative constructs of ER SNAREs also inhibit NE formation. The results lead to a model in which NE formation would begin with rapid ATL-mediated fusion of ER membranes into a network. ER tubules would then use ER SNAREs to fuse with a different vesicle population, resulting in the formation of flat membrane sheets on the chromatin surface and ultimately in a continuous spherical envelope surface (Dreier and Rapoport, 2000). The nature of this vesicle population remains unclear, but the requirement of distinct membrane populations for NE formation is consistent with previous results (Collas and Poccia, 1996). Finally, the network would provide additional membrane material, allowing the NE to grow in diameter. Whether this growth phase also requires ER SNAREs remains to be clarified.

Materials and methods

Plasmids used in this study

Malto-β-glucan-binding protein-cyclin B390 [MBP-cyclin B390] lacking the N-terminal destruction box of cyclin B was a gift from R. King (Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA). Bovine αSNAP cloned into pET28b [EMD Millipore] was provided by R. Jahn (Max Planck Institute, Munich, Germany). Codon-optimized cytATL (residues 1–462) of X. laevis ATL, a protein most closely related to human ATL2, was synthesized (GenScript) and cloned into pGEX-4T-3 [GE Healthcare]. X. laevis Bnip1 [GenBank accession no. BC054986], Syntaxin 18 (Stx18; GenBank accession no. BC092152), and Use1 [GenBank accession no. BC110785] cDNA clones were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. The cytoplasmic regions of these proteins were used to create TMHMM (version 2.0; Krogh et al., 2001). This was used to predict TMHMM (version 2.0; Krogh et al., 2001). Point mutations in the respective cDNAs were visualized using the QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit (Agilent Technologies). All plasmid constructs were confirmed by DNA sequence analysis.

Protein purification and probing

All proteins were expressed in BL21 CodonPlus (DE3) RIPL (Agilent Technologies) or BL21 Escherichia coli strains (New England Biolabs, Inc.). The CC1 fragment of dynactin was recombinantly expressed and purified as previously described (King et al., 2003). In brief, CC1 was expressed in BL21 E. coli. Proteins in an E. coli lysate were precipitated with 25% (wt/vol) NH4SO4. The pellet was dissolved in phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, containing 2 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM PMSF, and 0.75 M KCl, heated at 100°C for 3 min, and clarified by centrifugation. The supernatant was subjected to anion exchange chromatography (monoQ-HR; GE Healthcare) for 20 min at 4°C before snap freezing in liquid nitrogen. The final storage buffer for all proteins was 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 150 mM KCl, 250 mM sucrose, and 1 mM DTT. Calf brain tubulin was purified as described previously (Williams and Lee, 1982). In brief, a calf brain homogenate supplemented with ATP, GTP, and 30% glycerol was subjected to two cycles of MT polymerization at 37°C, followed by MT pelleting and subsequent depolymerization of MTs in ice-cold buffer. Tubulin was further purified by cation-exchange chromatography on a phosphocellulose column (P11 Cellulose Phosphate; Whatman).

Tubulin was fluorescently labeled as previously described (Hyman et al., 1991). In brief, tubulin was polymerized at 37°C in the presence of 10% dimethyl sulfoxide. MTs were isolated by pelleting through a 60% glycerol cushion. After resuspension, they were incubated with Alexa Fluor 488 NHS-ester (Invitrogen) at a 10:1 dye/tubulin ratio for 1 h at 37°C. Labeled MTs were isolated by pelleting though a 60% glycerol cushion, depolymerized in ice-cold buffer, and clarified by centrifugation. Labeled tubulin was supplemented with 1 mM GTP and polymerized at 37°C for 30 min in the presence of glycerol. MTs were isolated again by pelleting though a 60% glycerol cushion, and then depolymerized in ice-cold buffer, aliquoted, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C.

Preparation of crude X. laevis egg extracts

Metaphase-arrested crude extracts from X. laevis eggs (CSF extract) were prepared as described previously (Desai et al., 1999), with the following modifications. Eggs were dejellied at 18°C. All subsequent steps were performed at 4°C. The eggs were washed several times with 10 mM Hepes/KOH, pH 7.7, 0.1 M KCl, 1 mM MgCl2, 0.1 mM CaCl2, and 50 mM sucrose. They were then washed repeatedly in the same buffer containing 5 mM EGTA and 2 mM MgCl2. After addition of protease inhibitors (LPC: 10 µg/ml leupeptin, 10 µg/ml pepstatin, and 10 µg/ml chymostatin [all from Sigma-Aldrich]), the eggs were subjected to a crush extract. The extract was used within 5 h. Note that no cyclohexalin B or energy regenerating system was added.

For the experiment in Fig. S3 C, the interphase extract was prepared in the absence of EGTA and presence of cycloheximide (Sigma-Aldrich). In brief, the interphase crude extract was obtained by crushing eggs in lysis buffer [50 mM Hepes/KOH, pH 7.7, 50 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgCl2, and 250 mM sucrose] containing 50 µg/ml cycloheximide and protease inhibitors [Newmeyer and Wilson, 1991; Dreier and Rapoport, 2000; Chan and Forbes, 2006; Voeltz et al., 2006].

Interphase extracts were converted to mitotic extracts by addition of 0.1 mg/ml MBP-cyclin B390, followed by a 40-min incubation at room temperature (Murray et al., 1989). The mitotic status was verified by immunoblotting with anti-MPM2 antibodies (EMD Millipore). Alternatively, chromosome condensation was followed, as described previously (Maresca and Heald, 2006). The interphase cytosol was incubated with buffer or MBP-cyclin B390 and 20X energy regenerating system (2.5 mg/ml creatine phosphokinase, 250 mM phosphocreatine, 50 mM ATP, and 10 mM GTP) for 40 min at room temperature. Then, demembranated sperm (103 sperm/ml) was added and the reactions were further incubated at room temperature for 60 min. Aliquots were withdrawn at specific time points and fixed with a solution containing 10 µg/ml Hoechst 33258 (Invitrogen). Chromosomes were visualized using a fluorescence microscope.

Fractionation of X. laevis egg extracts

To prepare cytosol and membranes, a crude extract prepared in the absence of EGTA, but presence of 50 µg/ml cycloheximide and 10 µg/ml cytochalasin B (Sigma-Aldrich), was supplemented with 1 mM DTT and centrifuged at 200,000 g at 4°C for 1 h. The lipid layer was carefully aspirated and the cytosol was further centrifuged at 200,000 g at 4°C for 30 min. A light membrane layer was separated from a heavier membrane layer and washed with ELB200 (50 mM Hepes/KOH, pH 7.5, 200 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgCl2, and 250 mM sucrose) containing 50 µg/ml cycloheximide and protease inhibitors (Newmeyer and Wilson, 1991; Dreier and Rapoport, 2000; Chan and Forbes, 2006; Voeltz et al., 2006). The membranes were sedimented at 40,000 g for 20 min at 4°C and resuspended in the same buffer to a volume corresponding to 1/10 or 1/20 of that of the cytosol. Both cytosol and membranes were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C.

Prelabeled light membranes were prepared as described previously (Hetzer et al., 2000). In brief, the light membrane fraction was incubated with 200 µg/ml DioC6 [3,3'-dioctadecyloxacarbocyanine perchlorate; Invitrogen] for 20 min on ice. Subsequently, it was washed with 50 vol of ELB200 plus 1 mM DTT and LPC and centrifuged at 40,000 g for 20 min at 4°C. Aliquots of the membranes were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C.
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Demembranated sperm preparation
Sperm chromatim was prepared as described previously (Murray, 1991). Testes isolated from male frogs were rinsed first in cold MMR buffer (5 mM Hepes/NaOH, pH 7.8, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM CaCl₂, and 0.1 mM EDTA) and then in NPB buffer (15 mM Hepes/KOH, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, 0.5 mM spermidine trihydrochloride, 0.2 mM spermine tetrathydrochloride, 1 mM DTT, 10 µg/ml leupeptin, 0.3 mM PMSF, and 250 mM sucrose). A razor blade was used to mince testes. Fragments of testes were resuspended in NPB and filtered through cheesecloth. Sperm was obtained by spinning the filtered solution at 3,000 rpm for 10 min. After further washing steps with NPB, the sperm was resuspended in 1 ml NPB. For demembranation, lysosomelin was added to 0.5 mg/ml for 5 min at room temperature. Demembranated sperm chromatin was rinsed with NPB containing 3% BSA followed by NPB containing 0.3% BSA. The sample was finally resuspended in NPB in buffer containing 0.3% BSA and 30% (wt/vol) glycerol, but lacking PMSF. Sperm was counted using a hemocytometer and adjusted to a density of 10⁷ µl. Aliquots were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C.

Assembly of interphase ER and MT asters in crude extracts
To visualize ER membranes, the CSF extract was preloaded with 100 µg/ml DIOC₆(3,3′,3′,4′-tetrachlorofluorescein diacetate) or DIOC₆ and incubated for 45 min at 18°C. Reactions were prepared by adding 1:10 vol of preloaded CSF extract to a fresh extract. Alexa Fluor 488– or Rhodamine-labeled calf brain tubulin was included at 50 µg/ml concentrations as described above. Reaction mixtures contained 100 µM GTP. Concentration was added to 0.5 µl to the desired concentration to prevent excess dilution of the egg extract. After incubation on ice for 5 min, a 20X energy regenerating system was added to MBT asters. An aliquot of the reaction (8 µl) was placed between two 22 × 22-mm PEG-pretreated glass coverslips. The samples were sealed and incubated for 20 min at room temperature using interphase cytosol, membranes, and a 20X energy regenerating system. About 0.5–1 µl of protein or buffer were added and an aliquot was saved for visualization of the ER network. Sperm chromatim was then added and the reaction was incubated at room temperature. After 1.5 h, 2 µl of the mixture was mixed with a 70/40/4 rhodamine-labeled dextran (Invitrogen), which was purified using gel filtration (Superdex 200). After a 5-min incubation on ice, the nuclei were fixed with a solution containing 10 mM Hepes, pH 7.5, 200 mM sucrose, 10 µg/ml Hoechst 33258 (Invitrogen), 12% (wt/vol) paraformaldehyde, and 10 µg/ml DHCC (3,3′-dihexyloxycarbocyanine iodide; Sigma-Aldrich). The number of closed nuclei was counted based on a continuous DHCC staining and exclusion of a 70/40 dextran. At least 100 nuclei were counted for NE fusion, and the percentage of closed nuclei was determined relative to a control in an independent experiment. The data plotted are the mean ± SD of three independent experiments.

Online supplemental material
Fig. S1 shows that the ER network formed in the presence of nocodazole is evenly distributed. Fig. S2 shows the purity of the proteins used in this study. Fig. S3 shows a mitotic ER network assembled using the fractionated cytosol and membranes. Fig. S4 demonstrates that cyaATL, but not ER SNARE mutants, inhibit interphase ER network formation. Fig. SS shows that ER SNARE mutants prevent NE assembly from a preformed ER network. Video 1 shows the formation of an interphase MT aster and Video 2 shows the simultaneous interphase ER network formation. Video 3 shows the minus-directed movement of MT tubules along MIs. Video 4 demonstrates that extension of ER tubules is associated with the plus ends of growing MIs. Videos 5–7 show the tubule-to-sheet conversion of the ER during the transition from interphase to mitosis. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.201308001/D1C1. Additional data are available in the JCB DataViewer at http://dx.doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201308001.dv.
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