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INTRODUCTION

[Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{rest}}\) (intracellular resting myoplasmic free Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration) is delicately regulated by the concerted action of multiple Ca\(^{2+}\) pathways that co-ordinate Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes from both sarcoplasmic membrane and intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) stores [1–3]. In skeletal muscle, Ca\(^{2+}\) release from intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) stores is primarily regulated by RyR1 (type 1 ryanodine receptor), which is under the modulation of multiple endogenous protein regulators [4–6]. A role for RyRs on regulating [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{rest}}\) in skeletal muscle was first supported by our studies in dyspedic myotubes [7]. More recently, Eltit et al. [3] have confirmed these findings, showing that expression of either RyR1 or RyR3 conferred on myotubes different [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{rest}}\). Using chimeric RyR3–RyR1 receptors expressed in dyspedic myotubes, we show that isoform-dependent regulation of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{rest}}\) is primarily defined by a small region of the receptor encompassing amino acids 3770–4007 of RyR1 (amino acids 3620–3859 of RyR3) named as the CLR (Ca\(^{2+}\)-leak regulatory) region. [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{rest}}\) regulation by the CLR region was associated with alteration of RyRs’ Ca\(^{2+}\)-activation profile and changes in SR Ca\(^{2+}\)-leak rates. Biochemical analysis using Tb\(^{3+}\)-mediated regulation of RyRs.

Therefore not subject to its negative regulation, results in dyspedic myotubes with [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{rest}}\) that are significantly higher than those restored by the expression of RyR1 [7]. However, expression of RyR2 in dyspedic myotubes confers [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{rest}}\) similar to that of RyR1 [10] despite the fact that, like RyR3, RyR2 also lacks negative regulation by DHRP. These results led us to hypothesize that, in addition to ryanodine-insensitive RyRs and negative regulation of DHRPR, other channel properties, which are likely to be unique to each isoform of RyR, also contribute to modulating the passive SR Ca\(^{2+}\)-leak responsible for [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{rest}}\) regulation.

To test this hypothesis, we took advantage of the remarkable differences in channel function and [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{rest}}\) modulation conferred by RyR1 and RyR3 [7,11–13] to identify the specific molecular determinants within the primary sequence of RyR1 and RyR3 that define the distinctive [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{rest}}\) regulatory properties of each isoform. Using a library of chimeric RyR3–RyR1 and RyR1–RyR3 receptors expressed in dyspedic myotubes, we show that the ability of the RyR1 and RyR3 to confer isoform-specific [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{rest}}\) regulation can be traced to a small domain encompassing amino acids 3770–4007 of the C-terminal region of RyR1 (amino acids 3620–3859 of RyR3), which we referred to as the CLR (Ca\(^{2+}\)-leak regulatory) region. Functional and structural analyses revealed the CLR domain contains a bona fide Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding domain that modulates the Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensing properties of RyRs. These data suggest that modulation of [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{rest}}\) by RyRs is under the direct control of a novel cation-binding region found within the RyRs with molecular properties unique to each isoform.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chimaeric RyR3–RyR1 constructs

Chimaeric RyR3–RyR1 and RyR1–RyR3 constructs were designed and cloned as described previously [14–16]. All clones used in the present study have been tested previously and confirmed to express and respond to stimulation by RyR agonists 4-chloro-m-cresol and/or caffeine [14–16], thus suggesting that they express functional channels.

Cell culture, infection and Ca2+ imaging

Primary dyspedic myotubes were differentiated in a 96-well plate format as reported previously [15,16]. Myotubes were infected with 2.5 × 10^4 HSV-1 (herpes simplex virus 1) virion particles containing wild-type RyR1, wild-type RyR3 or the chimaeric cDNAs constructs [17]. Cells were loaded with 5 μM fura 2/AM (fura 2 acetoxymethyl ester) and imaged at 510 nm with an intensified 10-bit digital CCD (charge-coupled device) camera (XR-Mega-10, Stanford Photonics) using a DG4 multi-wavelength light source as described previously [18]. SR Ca2+ content of cultured myotubes was estimated from both peak amplitude and the area under the curve of the Ca2+ transient induced by 40 mM caffeine stimulation in the presence of 1 μM thapsigargin. Fluorescent signal was captured from regions of interest within each myotube at 10 frames/s using Piper-control acquisition software (Stanford Photonics) and expressed as the ratio of signal collected at alternating 340 nm/380 nm excitation wavelength. Ca2+ entry rates were estimated from the rate of dye quench by Mn2+ entry in myotubes loaded with 5 μM fura 2/AM as described previously [3,18].

[^3H]Ryanodine binding assay

[^3H]Ryanodine binding to crude membrane extracts (0.1 mg/ml) was performed at equilibrium (90 min at 37°C) in the presence of 250 mM KCl, 20 mM Hepes (pH 7.4) and 5 mM [^3H]ryanodine (PerkinElmer Life Sciences) in the presence of a cocktail of protease inhibitors (Complete™, EDTA-free, Roche). Free Ca2+ concentrations were obtained by combination of 1 mM EGTA with specific amounts of CaCl2 according to calculation with the WEBMAXC Extended program (http://www.stanford.edu/~cpatton/maxc.html). Non-specific binding was determined by incubating the vesicles with 5 μM unlabelled ryanodine. Separation of bound and free ligand was performed by rapid filtration through Whatman GF/B glass fibre filters using a 24-well Brandel cell harvester as described previously [13].

Resting free Ca2+ measurements

[Ca2+]_{rest} were obtained by direct measurements on myotubes differentiated in 35-mm-diameter culture dishes using double-barrelled Ca2+-selective microelectrodes as described previously [7,18].

Protein expression and purification

cDNA cassettes encoding either amino acids 3770–4007 of RyR1 (CLR-1) or amino acids 3620–3859 of RyR3 (CLR-3) were cloned into the pCold-II expression vector (Takara™) in-frame downstream of a molecular tag containing a streptavidin-binding peptide and a His6 tag. An unrelated protein domain encompassing amino acids 1–233 of RyR1 [R1-(1–233)] containing the same tag was also expressed and used as control protein. Clones were expressed in Escherichia coli BL21 strain in combination with pG-KJE8 vector (Takara™) encoding five molecular chaperones to optimize protein folding. Protein expression was induced by incubation with 0.2 mM IPTG for 6–8 h at 16°C in the presence of 1 mg/ml arabinose and 2 mg/ml tetracycline. Cells were then disrupted by sonication in solubilization buffer (20 mM Tris/HCl, pH 7.4, 100 mM KCl, 2 mM EDTA and 0.5% Triton X-100, supplemented with protease inhibitors) and soluble proteins were then purified using Strep-trap affinity columns (GE Healthcare) after centrifugation at 100 000 g for 90 min. Proteins were eluted with 3 mM desthiobiotin in 10 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.4) and then washed/concentrated with 10 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.4) using filtration units with a 10 kDa molecular-mass cut-off. The purity of the isolated protein domains was evaluated using SDS/PAGE as described previously [4].

Intrinsic fluorescence spectroscopy and Tb3+ fluorescence

Intrinsic fluorescence spectra were recorded at room temperature (24°C) using a QM1 fluorescence spectrophotometer (PTI) with a xenon short arc lamp. Tryptophan fluorescence spectra were collected before and after titration with different concentrations of CaCl2 as described previously [19]. Tb3+ binding affinity of CLR-1 and CLR-3 was obtained by Tb3+ FRET analysis as described previously [20,21] (see the Supplementary Online Data at http://www.biochemj.org/bj/460/bj4600261add.htm).

Circular dichroism

CD spectra were recorded in the far-UV range (190–260 nm) on a Jasco-810 spectropolarimeter at room temperature using a 0.1-cm-pathlength quartz cuvette. The measurements of purified CLR-1 and CLR-3 regions (12–13 μM) were made in 10 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.4) with either 1 mM EGTA or 0.5 mM CaCl2. All spectra documented represent the average of at least 15 scans in which the background signal from the buffer has been subtracted from the sample signals. Thermal denaturation curves were obtained from changes in CD signal at 222 nm between 10°C and 90°C. Measurements were performed in 10 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.4) with protein concentrations of 10–15 μM. Thermal transition points were calculated by curve fitting as described previously [20].

RESULTS

[Ca2+]_{rest} level of RyR3–RyR1- and RyR1–RyR3-expressing myotubes

To assess whether the differences in myoplasmic [Ca2+]_{rest} conferred by RyR1 and RyR3 were associated to specific structural/functional domains of each isoform, we measured [Ca2+]_{rest} of dyspedic myotubes expressing a series of chimaeric RyR3–RyR1 constructs spanning the entire primary sequence of RyR3–RyR1- and RyR1–RyR3-expressing myotubes (Figure 1A). All myotubes presenting [Ca2+]_{rest} greater than that of dyspedic myotubes (>50 nM) were considered to be infected and therefore to express the receptor tested [3]. Western blot analysis of infected myotubes indicated that all chimaeric channels tested were expressed at approximately equal levels and showed no differences in expression of the Ca2+- handling proteins SERCA1 (sarcomplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum Ca2+-ATPase 1) and calsequestrin-1 (Supplementary Figure S1 at http://www.biochemj.org/bj/460/bj4600261add.htm).
Figure 1 Identification of domains of RyRs important for \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}\) regulation in cultured myotubes

(A) Schematic representation of RyR3-based and RyR1-based chimaeric receptors expressed in dyspedic myotubes. Numbers indicate amino acid positions in RyR1. (B) Average \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}\) values restored by expression of wild-type RyR1, wild-type RyR3 and chimaeric channels Ch2, Ch4 and Ch3. *** P < 0.001 compared with wild-type RyR3. (C) Average \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}\) values of dyspedic myotubes expressing chimaeras Ch2rev, Ch4rev and Ch3rev representing the exact reverse versions of Ch2, Ch4 and Ch3 respectively. Results are means ± S.D. (n = 2–4). ** P < 0.01 and *** P < 0.001 in comparison with RyR1. Values inside the bars indicate total cells analysed that presented \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) greater than dyspedic myotubes (>50 nM).

Figure 1(B) shows that, whereas the average \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}\) of RyR1-expressing myotubes is approximately 110 nM, RyR3-expressing myotubes had significantly higher average resting free \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) levels. Chimaeric constructs Ch4 and Ch3, containing the central and C-terminal region of RyR1 respectively, displayed a significant reduction in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}\) when compared with wild-type RyR3. Average \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}\) conferred by Ch3-expressing cells was 158 ± 18 nM (n = 15), a concentration significantly lower than that conferred by chimaera Ch4 [188 ± 20 nM (n = 19; P < 0.001)], but slightly higher than that observed in wild-type RyR1-expressing cells [114 ± 7 nM (n = 49; P < 0.001)]. Consistent with these observations, we found that the reverse chimaeric constructs, in which the corresponding Ch4 and Ch3 regions of RyR3 were expressed in a RyR1 background (Ch4rev and Ch3rev), resulted in a significant increase in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}\) when expressed in dyspedic myotubes (Figures 1A and 1C). Similar to Ch3, the Ch3rev construct had a more dramatic effect on \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}\) compared with Ch4rev, a domain of the C-terminal region, within amino acids 3770–4007 of RyR1 (amino acids 182–8 nM compared with 138 ± 5 nM for Ch3rev and Ch4rev respectively); however, the \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}\) reached by Ch3rev was lower than that observed in wild-type RyR3-expressing cells (P < 0.05).

The critical structural determinant of chimaera Ch4 was mapped further to the smaller overlapping region between chimaeras Ch17 and Ch21 (Figures 2A and 2B), a domain of RyR1 previously found to play a key role in the cross-talk between RyR1 and DHPR [15,16,22]. Subdivision of chimaera Ch3 into three smaller chimaeric constructs showed that the determinant of RyR1 responsible for reduced \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}\) regulation was confined to a smaller domain of the C-terminal region, within amino acids 3770–4180 of RyR1 (chimaera Ch2, Figures 2A and 2C). To demonstrate that the reduction in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}\) regulation by Ch2 was not due to a conformational change, we also tested the reverse chimaera Ch22rev, in which the region Ch2 of RyR3 was expressed into the corresponding region of RyR1 (Figure 3A). Figure 3(B) shows that, as expected, expression of Ch22rev in dyspedic myotubes resulted in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}\) levels that were significantly higher than those observed for wild-type RyR1-expressing myotubes and much closer to those restored by wild-type RyR3 [182 ± 8 nM (n = 10) for Ch22rev compared with 114 ± 7 nM (n = 49) for RyR1 (P < 0.001)]. The average \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}\) restored by Ch22rev, however, was still lower than those restored by wild-type RyR3 (P < 0.01).

Further analysis narrowed down the location of the \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}\) regulatory domain of region Ch22 of RyR1 to its N-terminal half (Ch25 in Figures 3A and 3C). Dyspedic myotubes expressing Ch25 showed an average \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}\) value of 133 ± 18 nM (n = 18) that was significantly lower than that observed with the larger Ch22 region [162 ± 14 nM (n = 27; P < 0.01)]. \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}\) displayed by Ch25-expressing cells was not statistically different from those observed in wild-type RyR1-expressing myotubes (P > 0.05; Figure 3B). Overall, these data show that region Ch25, encompassing amino acids 3770–4007 of RyR1 (amino acids 3620–3859 of RyR3, Figure 3C), holds a structural determinant of RyR sequence that confers the \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) channel with resting \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\)-regulatory properties, which are specific to each isoform. Because of the role of this domain in modulating the rate of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) leak through the channel (see below), for simplicity we refer to this domain of RyRs as the CLR region.

Effect of the CLR region on RyRs \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) channel function

Several groups have reported significant differences in \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) channel properties between RyR1 and RyR3 [11–13,23]. To assess whether the effect of the CLR region of RyRs on \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}\) regulation of dyspedic myotubes was associated to alterations in channel properties, we further analysed the \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) dependence of the chimaeric construct Ch25 using \([\text{H}]\)ryanodine-binding studies. Figure 4(A) shows the average \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) dependence of \([\text{H}]\)ryanodine binding to membrane fractions from HEK (human embryonic kidney)-293 cells expressing either wild-type channels or chimaeric construct Ch25. As reported previously, \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) dependence profiles of wild-type RyR1 and wild-type RyR3 indicate monophasic activation for wild-type RyR1 [EC\(_{50}\) = 0.41 ± 0.18 \(\mu\)M (n = 6)] and a biphasic activation profile for wild-type RyR3 [EC\(_{50(1)}\) = 0.39 ± 0.04 \(\mu\)M and EC\(_{50(2)}\) = 35.1 ± 18 \(\mu\)M (n = 6)] with a plateau between 1 and 10 \(\mu\)M. Consistent with its predominant RyR3 background, the \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]\) -activation curve of chimaera Ch25 showed a biphasic activation profile, but with a more exacerbated biphasic
Figure 2  Further localization of the RyR regions responsible for $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}$ regulation

(A) Schematic representation of chimaeric RyR3–RyR1 receptors containing several contiguous subdomains of region Ch4 and Ch3 of RyR1 into the RyR3 background. Numbers indicate amino acid positions in RyR1. (B and C) Average $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}$ of myotubes expressing the chimaeric constructs depicted in (A). ***P < 0.001 compared with Ch4 (B). **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001 in comparison with Ch3 (B). Values inside the bars indicate total cells analysed. Results are means ± S.D. (n = 2–5).

Figure 3  Region Ch22 of RyR3 enhances $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}$ regulation by RyR1

(A) Diagram of reverse chimaera Ch22rev-expressing region Ch22 of RyR3 in a RyR1 background. Additional RyR3-based chimaeras further mapping the CLR region of RyR1 are indicated. (B) Average $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}$ values of dyspedic myotubes expressing constructs depicted in (A). Results are means ± S.D. (n = 2–4). Note that region Ch22 of RyR3 confers on chimaera Ch22rev significantly higher $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}$ regulatory properties than that of the wild-type RyR1 channel, which is similar to that of wild-type RyR3. Further subdivision of region Ch22 into smaller domains Ch25 and Ch26 localized the $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}$ regulatory region at amino acids 3770–4007 of RyR1 and amino acids 3620–3859 of RyR3 (Ch25). (C) Amino acid alignment of the CLR region from RyR1 and RyR3 using the Clustal method. Boxed sequence indicate identical residues, and the rule indicates the amino acid position in RyR1.

$\text{Ca}^{2+}$ response and a wider activation plateau (1–100 μM; Figure 4) than wild-type RyR3 [$\text{EC}_{50(1)} = 0.36 ± 0.03$ μM and $\text{EC}_{50(2)} = 465.7 ± 120$ μM (n = 4)]. $[^{3}\text{H}]$Ryanodine-binding analysis of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ inhibition revealed that $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-sensitivity for inactivation of RyR3 was 6–7-fold lower than that of RyR1 ($\text{IC}_{50} = 0.44 ± 0.22$ mM for RyR1 compared with $\text{IC}_{50} = 2.68 ± 0.77$ mM for RyR3). Analysis of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ inactivation for chimaera Ch25 showed a significantly reduced sensitivity to $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ inhibition compared with wild-type RyR1, but similar to that of wild-type RyR3 ($\text{IC}_{50} = 3.01 ± 0.97$ mM, Figure 4B). Comparison of the $[^{3}\text{H}]$Ryanodine-binding data expressed as a fraction of the basal activity ($\text{B} / \text{B}_{\text{min}}$) shows important differences in channel function between wild-type RyR1 and wild-type RyR3, with RyR3 reaching noticeably higher activation levels than RyR1 (Figure 4C). Like RyR3, chimaera Ch25 also displays high activation levels, suggesting that, despite changes in its
Ca\(^{2+}\)-activating profile, chimaera Ch25 preserves most of the channel function characteristic of RyR3. The curve fit and statistics of Ca\(^{2+}\) activation and inhibition for wild-type and chimaeric receptors are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Overall, these data suggest that substitution of the CLR region of RyRs into RyR3 results in significant changes in the Ca\(^{2+}\) activation properties of the chimaeric channels.

### Effect of the CLR region on myoplasmic Ca\(^{2+}\) fluxes

Because of the importance of Ca\(^{2+}\) flux equilibrium to myoplasmic [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{tot}}\) regulation, we also assessed whether CLR-mediated changes in the Ca\(^{2+}\)-sensing properties of RyRs had an effect on the rate of SR Ca\(^{2+}\) leak or rate of sarcolemmal Ca\(^{2+}\) entry in dyspedic myotubes expressing either wild-type or Ch25 constructs. SR Ca\(^{2+}\) leak was estimated using the differences in SR Ca\(^{2+}\) load measured by the magnitude of Ca\(^{2+}\) release induced by a 40 mM caffeine challenge in the presence of the SERCA1 pump inhibitor thapsigargin. Figure 5(A) shows that myotubes expressing wild-type RyR1 generated caffeine-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) release transients that were significantly larger than those of myotubes expressing wild-type RyR3. Analysis of average Ca\(^{2+}\) transient revealed no significant differences in peak transient amplitude between isoforms (Figure 5B). However, the total Ca\(^{2+}\) released in RyR3-expressing myotubes, which was measured as the integral of the fluorescent signal, was found to be significantly reduced (Figure 5C), indicating an enhanced SR Ca\(^{2+}\) leak. Consistent with this smaller SR Ca\(^{2+}\) load, RyR3-expressing myotubes also displayed significantly higher rates of Mn\(^{2+}\) quench than RyR1-expressing myotubes (Figures 5D and 5E) revealing increased rates of sarcolemmal Ca\(^{2+}\) entry at rest. By comparison, Ch25-expressing cells displayed SR Ca\(^{2+}\) release at levels between RyR1 and RyR3, showing similar peak Ca\(^{2+}\) transient amplitude, but with significantly increased total Ca\(^{2+}\) release compared with wild-type RyR3-expressing cells (Figures 5A–5C). Likewise, Ch25-expressing myotubes showed rates of resting Mn\(^{2+}\) quench similar to those of RyR1-expressing cells and significantly lower than those of RyR3-expressing myotubes (Figures 5D and 5E). These data suggest that myotubes expressing Ch25 and RyR1 present similar rates of SR Ca\(^{2+}\) leak and that the differences in SR Ca\(^{2+}\) load observed between Ch25 and RyR1 (Figure 5C) are likely to be the result of reduced sensitivity to Ca\(^{2+}\) inhibition displayed by Ch25 (Figure 4) that allows for a faster emptying of the Ca\(^{2+}\) stores than RyR1. These findings are consistent with previous studies indicating increased basal channel function of RyR3 [24–27] and suggest that the insertion of the CLR region of RyR1 into the RyR3 sequence (chimaera Ch25) results in less leaky channels.

### Expression and purification of the CLR region

\([^{1}\text{H}]\text{Ryanodine-binding studies suggest the CLR region may be involved in Ca}^{2+}\text{-mediated activation of RyRs. To evaluate a direct role of this region in modulating the Ca}^{2+}\text{-sensing properties of RyRs, a fragment comprising the CLR region of RyR1 (amino acids 3770–4007, herein CLR-1) and the corresponding fragment expressed as the fraction of B\(_{\text{max}}\) showing that channel activity of chimaera Ch25 preserves the same functional profile of RyR3. Activation and inhibition profiles are the combined result of four to six experiments performed in duplicate or triplicate from multiple HEK-293 membrane preparations. Results are means ± S.E.M. (n = 4–6).

### Table 1 Curve fit statistics for \([^{1}\text{H}]\text{ryanodine-binding analyses of Ca}^{2+}\) activation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>EC(_{50}) (μM)</th>
<th>IC(_{50}) (μM)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RyR1</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.18</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RyR3</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.04</td>
<td>35.1 ± 18*</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ch25</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.03</td>
<td>465.7 ± 120*</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Curve fit statistics for \([^{1}\text{H}]\text{ryanodine-binding analyses of Ca}^{2+}\) inhibition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>IC(_{50}) (nM)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RyR1</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.22</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RyR3</td>
<td>2.68 ± 0.77</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ch25</td>
<td>3.01 ± 0.97</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4 CLR region modulates the Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependence function of RyRs

RyR channel function was assessed by specific \([^{1}\text{H}]\text{ryanodine binding to crude membrane preparation from HEK-293 cells permanently transformed with wild-type RyR1, wild-type RyR3 or chimaera Ch25. (A) Normalized Ca\(^{2+}\)-activation curve for RyR1 (squares), RyR3 (dark circles) and Ch25 (grey circles). RyR1 is fitted to a monophasic Hill equation, whereas RyR3 and Ch25 are fitted to the biphasic Hill equations. (B) Normalized Ca\(^{2+}\)-inhibition curves for wild-type RyR1, wild-type RyR3 and Ch25 fitted to a monophasic equation. (C) Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependence of \([^{1}\text{H}]\text{ryanodine binding expressed as the fraction of B\(_{\text{max}}\) showing that channel activity of chimaera Ch25 preserves the same functional profile of RyR3. Activation and inhibition profiles are the combined result of four to six experiments performed in duplicate or triplicate from multiple HEK-293 membrane preparations. Results are means ± S.E.M. (n = 4–6).}
in RyR3 (amino acids 3620–3859, herein CLR-3), were expressed in E. coli BL21 cells and analysed for their ability to bind Ca\(^{2+}\).

In order to minimize misfolding of the protein domains, the CLR fragments were expressed in the presence of five chaperone proteins, to assist in protein folding, and then purified from the soluble fraction of the cell homogenate to avoid using inclusion bodies. All purified domains, including control region R1-(1–233), showed approximately 95\% purity and molecular masses of approximately 30 kDa, consistent with their predicted 25–27 kDa molecular mass (Figure 6A).

Conformational analysis of CLR domains

Far-UV CD analysis of CLR-1 and CLR-3 indicate that both purified protein domains exhibit helical conformation (Figures 6C and 6D). The well-folded secondary structure of these fragments is consistent with the fact that intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence emission of the CLR regions peak at 330 nm (see Figure 8), and suggests that the tryptophan residues are largely buried within this folded conformation [28]. Moreover, analysis of thermal transition revealed important differences in thermal stability between CLR-1 and CLR-3 (Figure 6B). CLR-1 appeared to be more stable than CLR-3 with approximately 10\% difference in melting temperature (\(T_m = 61.5\pm 0.2^\circ \text{C}\) and 51.7 \(\pm 0.3^\circ \text{C}\) for CLR-1 and CLR-3 respectively) and a more co-operative thermal unfolding curve than RyR3. These data are consistent with isoform-specific differences in domain packing and suggest that the CLR region of RyR3 displays a higher degree of molecular flexibility than its RyR1 counterpart.

Metal-binding properties of the CLR domain

Upon addition of 0.5 mM Ca\(^{2+}\), both domain fragments displayed a small, but reproducible, decrease in mean residue ellipticity (205–225 nm) indicating Ca\(^{2+}\) changes in secondary structure (Figures 6C and 6D), therefore Ca\(^{2+}\) interaction with the CLR domains. Interestingly, the effects of Ca\(^{2+}\) in secondary structure of CLR-1 were significantly more pronounced than the changes observed in CLR-3. Direct cation binding was assessed using Tb\(^{3+}\) fluorescence analysis. This binding assay is commonly used to determine Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding sites because Tb\(^{3+}\) has a similar ionic radius and metal-co-ordination chemistry to that of Ca\(^{2+}\), while allowing for quantitative analysis [29,30]. As shown in Figure 7, titration of the purified CLR-1 and CLR-3 domains with micromolar concentrations of TbCl\(_3\) resulted in a significant increase in fluorescent signal at 545 nm when excited at 280 nm. Both CLR domains showed monophasic binding curves with CLR-1 displaying significantly higher binding affinity for Tb\(^{3+}\) than domain CLR-3 [\(K_d = 0.86\pm 0.07 \mu \text{M}\) for CLR-1 compared with 3.8 \(\pm 0.5 \mu \text{M}\) for CLR-3 \(n = 3; P < 0.001\); Figures 7B and 7D and Table 2], supporting further the existence of a cation-binding pocket with moderate affinity within the primary structure of the CLR region of RyR1 and RyR3.

To characterize further the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding properties of the cation-binding pocket, we next analysed Ca\(^{2+}\)-induced
conformational changes in the purified domains by monitoring intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence. As shown in Figures 8(A) and 8(C), upon titrating with increasing concentrations of Ca\(^{2+}\) both domain fragments displayed a large decrease in tryptophan fluorescence. By comparison, Ca\(^{2+}\) titration of a control unrelated fragment of RyR1 [R1-(1–233)], containing amino acids 1–233 of RyR1, showed only marginal fluorescence changes upon Ca\(^{2+}\) addition (Figures 8B and 8D). This region of RyR1 has been crystallized previously and its atomic structure has confirmed the absence of formal cation-binding sites [31]. These fluorescence data are consistent with the Ca\(^{2+}\)-induced conformational changes revealed by the CD spectroscopy assay and suggest that Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding detected by the tryptophan fluorescence is specific to the CLR domains.

Unlike the Tb\(^{3+}\) titration assay, Ca\(^{2+}\)-titration of tryptophan fluorescence was better fitted to a biphasic Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding process (Figures 8B and 8D). This biphasic profile was particularly evident in CLR-3 with Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding affinities in the micromolar range (\(K_{d1} = 7.3 \pm 0.3 \mu\text{M}\) and \(K_{d2} = 18.4 \pm 1.6 \mu\text{M}\)). The biphasic binding profile of domain CLR-1 was less pronounced with \(K_{d1} = 11.0 \pm 1.8 \mu\text{M}\) and \(K_{d2} = 21.6 \pm 2.7 \mu\text{M}\). Whereas average \(K_{d1}\) showed a slight, but significant, difference between CLR-1 and CLR-3, there was no difference seen in average \(K_{d2}\), suggesting that the CLR region of RyR1 and RyR3 may present slightly different Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding properties (Table 3). The high Hill coefficients of the CLR domains are suggestive of several ligand-binding sites; however, other factors such as the ligand-induced change in protein conformation may also contribute to this high level of co-operativity [32].

### DISCUSSION

In a previous study, we showed that expression of RyR1 and RyR3 in cultured dyspedic myotubes has a dramatic effect in the resting Ca\(^{2+}\) regulation where RyR3 restored myoplastic...
Representative Tb³⁺ fluorescence spectra of purified CLR-1 (A) and CLR-3 (C) regions showing an increase in fluorescence intensity at 545 nm upon titration with Tb³⁺ (excitation at 280 nm). Tb³⁺ binding to CLR-1 (B) and CLR-3 (D) domains is expressed as the average change in relative fluorescence intensity ([Fᵣ – Fₘᵟ]/[Fₘᵟ – Fᵣ]). Data were fitted to monophasic binding curves using eqn (S3) (see the Supplementary Online Data at http://www.biochemj.org/bj/460/bj4600261add.htm). Results are means ± S.D. (n = 3).

[Ca²⁺]ᵣest to significantly higher Ca²⁺ levels than RyR1 [7]. In the present study, we used a series of chimaeric RyR channels spanning the entire sequence of RyR1 and RyR3 in order to localize and characterize the molecular determinant of each isoform responsible for their differential effect on [Ca²⁺]ᵣest.

**Location of the resting Ca²⁺-regulatory domain of RyRs**

We found that the ability of RyR1 and RyR3 to differentially modulate [Ca²⁺]ᵣest can be traced to two regions of the primary sequence: one is the overlapping region between chimaeras Ch17 and Ch21 (17/21, amino acids 1924–2217 of RyR1 and 1798–2082 of RyR3) and the other the CLR region encompassing amino acids 3770–4007 of RyR1 (amino acids 3620–3859 of RyR3). The impact of region 17/21 on [Ca²⁺]ᵣest regulation was shown to be less dramatic than that of the CLR region in both the RyR3-based (Ch4) and the RyR1-based (Ch4rev) chimaeric constructs. Our previous studies of these chimaeric receptors have shown that the RyR1 region encompassing region 17/21 is directly involved in the cross-talk between DHPR and RyR1, and confers RyR3 with the ability to restore DHPR tetrad formation, DHPR retrograde signalling and excitation–contraction coupling [15,16,22]. Therefore it is likely that the mild reduction in [Ca²⁺]ᵣest observed in dyspedic myotubes expressing Ch4, Ch21 or Ch17 could be related to an enhanced orthograde regulation by DHPR that inhibited RyR1 function, thereby restricting overall SR Ca²⁺-leak, similar to the mechanism described by Eltit et al. [2,3]. Nonetheless, an effect of altered Ca²⁺ regulation by Ch4, Ch21 and Ch17 on the rates of SR Ca²⁺ leak and its subsequent effect on [Ca²⁺]ᵣest should not be ruled out as all these chimaeric constructs have shown to affect both Ca²⁺-activation and Ca²⁺-inhibition profiles of the channel [13].

The CLR regions of RyR1 and RyR3 were shown to have a more dramatic effect on Ca²⁺ homoeostasis conferring on chimaeric receptors [Ca²⁺]ᵣest-regulatory properties distinctive of the specific CLR sequence being expressed, regardless of the overall amino acid background. In contrast with region 17/21, it is unlikely that the effect the CLR region has on [Ca²⁺]ᵣest could be related to orthograde regulation by DHPR, as cumulative evidence indicates that the C-terminal region of RyR1 is not involved in cross-talk with the DHPR complex. Our previous studies involving several chimaeric RyR3–RyR1 channels, including Ch25 used in the present study, have shown that exchange of various RyR domains containing the CLR region had a negligible effect on either excitation–contraction coupling [14] or DHPR Ca²⁺ current densities [15,16,22], suggesting that the RyR region encompassing the CLR region is not involved directly in the DHPR–RyR interaction.

Importantly, and consistent with our previous studies in wild-type RyR3 and wild-type RyR1 [7], Western blot analysis indicates that all chimaeric constructs displayed similar
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expression levels when transfected in dyspedic myotubes. Therefore changes in $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}$ like the one caused by exchange of the CLR region could not be explained simply by changes in expression levels of the chimaeric channels.

Chronically elevated $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}$ is a common feature of skeletal muscle cells expressing RyR1 mutations linked to MHS (malignant hyperthermia syndrome). Extensive functional analysis of muscle cells expressing numerous MHS-linked mutations of RyR1 has revealed that elevated $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}$ is often associated with alteration of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ regulation of RyR1 [3,8,33–38], with a number of these mutations being confirmed to result in increased basal $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ channel function that leads to enhanced passive SR $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ leak [8,34,36,39]. The reverse mechanism seems to operate in chimaera Ch25 where the insertion of the more stable CLR region of RyR1 into the RyR3 sequence appeared to attenuate the enhanced basal channel function of RyR3, causing myotubes to decrease both overall SR $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ leak and sarcolemmal $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ entry, resulting in a subsequent reduction of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{rest}}$. These results are also in agreement with the cell boundary theorem that predicts permanent changes in cytosolic $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ concentration as a result of steady changes of sarcolemmal $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ fluxes [1].

Molecular properties of the CLR region

The identification of bona fide $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-binding sites in the CLR region of RyR1 and RyR3 with $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ affinities within the physiological range seems in agreement with a role for the CLR region in $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-mediated regulation of RyRs. However, whether $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ binding to the CLR region is involved directly in $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-mediated activation of RyRs needs further study. Our in vitro biochemical characterization ($\text{Ca}^{2+}$ titration) of the isolated CLR domains suggests that $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-binding affinities of CLR-1 and CLR-3 are similar. It therefore seems unlikely that the shift of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-sensing properties resulting from the exchange of CLR region in chimaera Ch25 could be explained by the overall $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-binding properties of the CLR region alone. Instead, our conformational analysis suggests significant differences in overall molecular conformation between the CLR regions of RyR1 and RyR3. Differences in the secondary/tertiary structure between CLR-1 and CLR-3 are supported by CD analysis, which revealed slight differences in helical content among domains (Figures 6C and 6D). This difference in folded conformation is also evident from the differential effect of $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ addition on the overall structure of CLR-1, it had significantly less effect on the folded structure of CLR-3. This is supported further by thermal transition studies (Figure 6B) that revealed important differences in thermal stability and unfolding co-operativity between CLR domains, with the overall structure of CLR-3 being less stable and packed than that of CLR-1.

An intriguing result was the differences in binding profile (monophasic compared with biphasic) and affinity of the cation-binding pocket detected by Tb$^{3+}$ and $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-binding assays. These differences probably arise from the intrinsic differences in which
each assay reads out cation–protein interaction. Whereas changes in tryptophan fluorescence are the result of global conformational changes of the CLR domain, the Tb³⁺ fluorescence signal is the result of energy transfer between nearby tryptophan residues and Tb³⁺, and therefore primarily reflects local events that are highly sensitive to molecular distance. A monophasic binding profile like the one observed during Tb³⁺ titration could stem from the fact that tryptophan residues were present in close proximity to only one of the Tb³⁺-binding sites. This seems consistent with the actual location of the two tryptophan residues of the CLR region, which are found close to each other and clustered towards the C-terminal end of the domain (Figure 3C).

At the functional level, biphasic Ca²⁺-activating profiles like the one observed in our [H]ryanodine-binding studies have been reported previously in RyR3 [13] and RyR2 [40,41] and are consistent with our hypothesis that activation of RyRs may involve at least two moderately co-operative Ca²⁺-activation sites [13]. The binding of Ca²⁺ to multiple sites in a highly co-operative manner have been shown to facilitate protein responses to small changes in Ca²⁺ concentration [42,43]. The high degree of co-operativity to Ca²⁺-binding showed by the CLR domains (Table 3) and the effects of these domains in the Ca²⁺-activation profile of chimaeric receptors are consistent with this idea. These data suggest that Ca²⁺-dependent activation of RyR channels may be a complex event possibly involving multiple Ca²⁺-binding sites.

It is worth noting that the RyR1 sequence around the CLR has been previously associated with Ca²⁺ regulation with several potential Ca²⁺-binding and/or Ca²⁺-regulatory sites being reported in a number of studies (Supplementary Figure S2 at http://www.biochemj.org/bj/460/bj4600261add.htm). Even though the nature of the Ca²⁺-binding sites at the CLR region is currently unknown, it appears that the amino acid ligands that conform the Ca²⁺-binding domain(s), do not group as continuous canonical EF-hand motif, but rather as a discontinuous array. This is not surprising as an analysis of the atomic structure of more than 1600 Ca²⁺-binding domains have shown that discontinuous non-EF-hand binding sites account for more than 90% of the known structures [44]. To our knowledge, this is the first report linking amino acids 3770–4007 of RyR1 (3620–3859 of RyR3) to either direct Ca²⁺-binding or [Ca²⁺]ₗₑₚ regulation. Previous studies have associated this same region with interaction to S100A1 [45], a Ca²⁺-binding protein known to modulate Ca²⁺ cycling in skeletal muscle through its interaction with the calmodulin-binding site of RyR1 [46,47]. However, it is unlikely that the effects of the CLR region in [Ca²⁺]ₗₑₚ regulation observed in our study could be linked to binding of S100A1 because S100A1-null muscle fibres appear to present normal [Ca²⁺]ₗₑₚ regulation [46].

Overall, our data revealed that the region of amino acids 3770–4007 of RyR1 (amino acids 3620–3859 of RyR3) encompasses a novel Ca²⁺-binding domain that provide RyR with unique conformational properties that define their distinctive modulatory role in [Ca²⁺]ₗₑₚ regulation. Because this modulatory role appears to be linked directly to the ability of RyRs to regulate passive SR Ca²⁺ leak [2,3], it is conceivable that the effect of the CLR region on [Ca²⁺]ₗₑₚ could result from changes in RyR susceptibility to adopt the leak conformation. Our data, however, do not rule out the possibility that changes in gating properties of the functional pool of RyRs could also contribute to modulate the overall SR Ca²⁺ content that drives sarcoclemmal Ca²⁺ fluxes and thereby [Ca²⁺]ₗₑₚ regulation. Lastly, the identification of this new Ca²⁺-binding domain in RyRs, which was found to play a key role in modulating myoplastic [Ca²⁺]ₗₑₚ provides new insights into Ca²⁺-mediated regulation of RyRs function.
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Myoplasmic resting Ca\(^{2+}\) regulation by ryanodine receptors is under the control of a novel Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding region of the receptor
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SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS
Tryptophan and Tb\(^{3+}\) fluorescence spectroscopy
Ca\(^{2+}\)-induced fluorescence changes of CLR-1 or CLR-3 (2 \(\mu\)M) were monitored in the presence of 10 mM Pipes (pH 6.8) and 100 mM KCl. All solutions were dialysed against Chelex-100 for at least 24 h to remove contaminant cations. Intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence was monitored using excitation at 280 nm and emission between 300 and 400 nm with 2–4 nm band passes. Ca\(^{2+}\) dissociation constants of the CLR domains were calculated separately for each binding phase. The first binding phase was fitted to eqn (S1):

\[
f = \frac{([P]_T + [M]_T + K_{d1}) - \sqrt{([P]_T + [M]_T + K_{d1})^2 - 4[P]_T[M]_T}}{2[P]_T}
\]

(S1)

where \(f\) is the fractional change, \(K_{d1}\) is the dissociation constant, and \([P]_T\) and \([M]_T\) are the total concentration of protein and Tb\(^{3+}\) respectively. The second co-operative Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding phase was fitted to eqn (S2):

\[
\Delta S = \Delta S_1 + \Delta S_2 = \frac{[M]^h}{K_{d2} + [M]^h}
\]

(S2)

where \(\Delta S\) is the total fluorescence signal change, \(\Delta S_1\) and \(\Delta S_2\) are the signal changes in the first and second binding phases respectively, \([M]\) is the Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration, \(h\) is the Hill coefficient, and \(K_{d2}\) is the Ca\(^{2+}\) dissociation constant for the second binding phase.

Tb\(^{3+}\)-binding affinity of CLR-1 and CLR-3 was obtained by Tb\(^{3+}\)-FRET analysis as described previously [1,2]. Briefly, 2 \(\mu\)M purified CLR-1 or CLR-3 was resuspended in binding solution (10 mM Pipes, pH 6.8, and 100 mM KCl) and titrated with increasing concentrations of TbCl\(_3\). FRET was then acquired by excitation of tryptophan (donor) at 280 nm and collection of Tb\(^{3+}\) fluorescence emission (acceptor) between 500 and 600 nm using 10 mM Pipes (pH 6.8, and 100 mM KCl) and titrated with purified CLR-1 or CLR-3 was resuspended in binding solution at 545 nm after removal of free Tb\(^{3+}\).

\[
f = \frac{([P]_T + [M]_T + K_{d2}) - \sqrt{([P]_T + [M]_T + K_{d2})^2 - 4[P]_T[M]_T}}{2[P]_T}
\]

(S3)

where \(f\) is the fractional change, \(K_{d2}\) is the dissociation constant, and \([P]_T\) and \([M]_T\) are the total concentration of protein and Tb\(^{3+}\) respectively.

Cell membrane isolation and immunoblotting
Crude membrane preparations were made from three 10-cm-diameter plates of 5-day differentiated dyspedic myotubes 36 h after infection with (1.5–2) \(\times\) 10\(^6\) virion particles. Myotubes were harvested in PBS and centrifuged at 250 \(g\) for 10 min. Cell pellets were resuspended in buffer consisting of 250 mM sucrose and 10 mM Hepes (pH 7.4), supplemented with 1 mM EDTA, 10 \(\mu\)M leupeptin, 0.7 \(\mu\)M pepstatin A, 5 \(\mu\)g/ml aprotinin and 0.1 mM Pefabloc SC and then homogenized using a Tissue-Tearer\(^{tm}\) cell disruptor (Biospect Products). Whole-cell homogenates were centrifuged at 1500 \(g\) for 20 min and the supernatants then collected and recentrifuged at 100 000 \(g\) for 60 min at 4°C. Membrane pellets were finally resuspended in 250 mM sucrose and 20 mM Hepes (pH 7.4), quick frozen in liquid N\(_2\) and stored at –80°C. Proteins (25–30 \(\mu\)g/lane) were separated in discontinuous SDS/PAGE 7–12% or 7–15% gels [3,4] and then electroblotted on to PVDF membranes for 90 min at 50 V. Membrane sections containing the protein to be tested were then excised and immunoblotted separately with monoclonal antibody 34C (J. Airey and J. Sutko, DSHB (Developmental Study Hybridoma Bank), University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, U.S.A.), which recognizes both RyR1 and RyR3, monoclonal anti-calsequestrin-1 (MA3-913, Thermo Scientific), monoclonal anti-SERCA1 (MA3-911, Thermo Scientific) or polyclonal anti-GAPDH (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) (FL-335 from Santa Cruz Biotechnology) antibodies. Membranes were then incubated with either goat anti-mouse or goat anti-rabbit horseradish-peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody and developed with SuperSignal ultra chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce) and the intensity of the signal was collected using a Kodak Imaging Station 4000MM PRO (Carestream Health). Band densitometry of the identified proteins was performed using Kodak MI Software (version 4.5.1 ES). For each blot net band intensities were normalized to GAPDH expression to correct for protein loading and expressed as the fraction of the corresponding band of RyR1-expressing myotubes.

Data analysis
Statistical differences among datasets were evaluated using one-way Kruskal–Wallace ANOVA (non-parametric) analysis using Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software). Unless indicated otherwise, results are means ± S.D.
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Figure S1  Relative expression of chimaeric RyRs in dyspedic myotubes

(A) Western blot analysis of crude membrane homogenates showing relative expression levels of RyRs, SERCA1 and calsequestrin-1 (Csq-1) of dyspedic myotubes infected with the wild-type or chimaeric constructs shown in Figure 1(A) of the main text. For each immunoblot, representative results of two different membrane homogenates are shown to highlight intrinsic variability between preparations. (B) Mean ± S.D. band intensity for each protein expressed as a fraction of the RyR1-expressing lane (broken line) for four to five blots from two separated membrane preparations. ANOVA one-way analysis of variance (Tukey’s multiple comparison test) detected not statistically significant differences in band intensity among the different constructs.

Figure S2  Localization of Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding/regulatory regions of RyR1

Mapping of the CLR-1 region within the context of the C-terminal tail of RyR1. Boxes represent the location of various domains of RyR1 reported to be involved in Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding or Ca\(^{2+}\)-mediated regulation. The location of CLR-1 does not appear to coincide with any of the prospective Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding regions currently identified in RyR1.
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