HIF factors cooperate with PML-RARα to promote acute promyelocytic leukemia progression and relapse

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Published Version</td>
<td>doi:10.1002/emmm.201303065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citable link</td>
<td><a href="http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:12406959">http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:12406959</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms of Use</td>
<td>This article was downloaded from Harvard University’s DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <a href="http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA">http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HIF factors cooperate with PML-RARα to promote acute promyelocytic leukemia progression and relapse

Nadia Coltella¹, Stefano Percio², Roberta Valsecchi¹, Roberto Cuttano¹⁻⁴, Jlenia Guarnerio¹, Maurilio Ponzoni³, Pier Paolo Pandolfi⁴, Giovanni Melillo⁵⁻⁴, Linda Pattini² & Rosa Bernardi¹,*

Abstract

Acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) is epitomized by the chromosomal translocation t(15;17) and the resulting oncogenic fusion protein PML-RARα. Although acting primarily as a transcriptional repressor, PML-RARα can also exert functions of transcriptional co-activation. Here, we find that PML-RARα stimulates transcription driven by HIF factors, which are critical regulators of adaptive responses to hypoxia and stem cell maintenance. Consistently, HIF-related gene signatures are upregulated in leukemic promyelocytes from APL patients compared to normal promyelocytes. Through in vitro and in vivo studies, we find that PML-RARα exploits a number of HIF-1α-regulated pro-leukemogenic functions that include cell migration, bone marrow (BM) neo-angiogenesis and self-renewal of APL blasts. Furthermore, HIF-1α levels increase upon treatment of APL cells with all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA). As a consequence, inhibiting HIF-1α in APL mouse models delays leukemia progression and exquisitely synergizes with ATRA to eliminate leukemia-initiating cells (LICs).
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Introduction

Acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL) is a sub-type of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) distinguished by a differentiation block at the promyelocytic stage and the largely predominant t(15;17) chromosomal translocation generating the PML-RARα fusion protein (Ablain & de The, 2011). PML-RARα acts mainly as a transcriptional repressor, by blocking expression of a number of genes involved in myeloid differentiation through relaxed DNA-binding capacity and recruitment of transcriptional co-repressors and chromatin modifiers (Ablain & de The, 2011). Nonetheless, PML-RARα also exerts functions of transcriptional co-activation toward transcription factors like AP-1 and GATA-2 (Doucas et al, 1993; Tsuzuki et al, 2000), and induces expression of genes promoting stem cells maintenance (Viale et al, 2009). Moreover, treatment of APL cells with therapeutic doses of all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) induces transcriptional activation by PML-RARα, followed by PML-RARα degradation and rapid and effective APL blast differentiation and leukemia de-bulking (Ablain & de The, 2011).

Hypoxia-inducible transcription factors (HIFs) are often upregulated in solid tumors and foster tumorigenesis in several ways, which include cell migration, neo-angiogenesis and maintenance of cancer stem cells (Lu & Kang, 2010; Lee & Simon, 2012). In lymphoma and AML, HIF-1α was recently found upregulated specifically in LICs, where it was shown to stimulate self-renewal (Lee & Simon, 2012). Using a combination of in vitro and in vivo studies and in silico analysis of patients’ gene expression profiles, we show that in APL, PML-RARαs functionally cooperates with HIF factors, particularly HIF-1α, and exploits the transcriptional repertoire of HIF-1α to promote not only LICs maintenance but also leukemia progression at multiple levels.

Results

PML-RARα is a HIF-α transcriptional co-activator

We have previously reported that PML inhibits HIF-1α-mediated transcription (Bernardi et al, 2006). Because PML-RARα is a...
dominant-negative inhibitor of PML (Daniel et al, 1993), we asked whether PML-RARα activated HIF-1α through PML inhibition. Transcription assays showed that PML-RARα activated transcription by HIF-1α and HIF-2α in a dose-dependent manner (Fig 1A), although both PML and RARα inhibited HIF-1α-mediated transcription (Fig 1B). However, HIF-1α activation by PML-RARα did not depend on PML inhibition as it also occurred in Pml−/− mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs), regardless of higher basal HIF-1α activity (Bernardi et al, 2006) (Fig 1C). Also, increased HIF activity was not caused by protein accumulation (Supplementary Fig S1A and B) and was not further stimulated by ATRA treatment (Supplementary Fig S1C), in contrast to PML-RARα-mediated activation of AP-1 and GATA-2 (Doucas et al, 1993; Tsuzuki et al, 2000). Interestingly, other APL fusion proteins PLZF-RARα and NPM-RARα also activated transcription by HIF-1α upon stabilization by the hypoxia-mimetic agent cobalt chloride (CoCl2, which at the conditions used in our assays induced HIF-1α and not HIF-2α transcriptional activity, Supplementary Fig S1D and E), while fusion proteins of other AML sub-types such as AML1-ETO did not (Fig 1D). These data suggest that activation of HIF factors may be a common event in APL.

To assess whether the functional cooperation of PML-RARα and HIF-1α is mediated by physical interaction, co-immunoprecipitation experiments were performed in HEK-293 and NB4 cells. Overexpressed stable forms of HIF-1α and HIF-2α as well as endogenous HIF-1α were co-immunoprecipitated with PML-RARα and with PML (Fig 1E and F); however, they did not directly interact in vitro (Supplementary Fig S1F and G).

Taken together, these data indicate that PML-RARα functionally cooperates with HIF factors independently of PML inhibition, HIF-α accumulation, ATRA binding and direct interaction. The molecular mechanisms driving PML-RARα-HIF cooperation remain to be elucidated, but may involve indirect interactions within larger protein complexes. Also, these interactions could be mediated by both moieties of PML-RARα, because both PML and RARα interfere with HIF-mediated transcription. PML interacts with HIF-α, and different RARα fusion proteins activate HIF-1α. This suggests that PML-RARα may hijack physiological functions of PML and RARα toward a new functional interaction with HIF-1α.

**HIF-regulated gene signatures are upregulated in human APL**

To understand whether the functional cooperation of PML-RARα with HIF-1α is relevant to APL pathogenesis, expression of hypoxia-regulated gene signatures was evaluated in microarray data of normal and leukemic promyelocytes from APL patients (Marstrand et al, 2010). A number of HIF-related gene signatures were found significantly correlated with the distinction between leukemic promyelocytes and normal human promyelocytes (Fig 2A). To gain a better understanding of the direct role of HIF-1α in human APL, a list of bona fide HIF-1α target genes was constructed based on current literature (Wenger et al, 2005) by selecting HIF-1α targets validated in multiple experimental settings and provided with functional HIF-1α binding sites (Supplementary Table S1). Enrichment analysis revealed that genes belonging to this signature were significantly enriched in the genes upregulated in APL vs normal promyelocytes (Fig 2B), thus confirming that direct transcriptional targets of HIF-1α are upregulated in APL and that activation of HIF-regulated signatures is relevant for human APL.

**HIF-1α inhibition impairs APL cell migration, neo-angiogenesis and self-renewal**

To study the functions of endogenous HIF factors in APL, HIF-1α and HIF-2α expressions were analyzed in APL NB4 cells. HIF-1α mRNA was significantly expressed, similarly to VEGF, which is highly expressed in APL patients (Gutierrez et al, 2005), while HIF-2α levels were very low (Fig 3A). Consistently, HIF-1α was detected by Western blotting upon CoCl2 treatment but also in normoxic conditions (Fig 3B). The mechanisms leading to normoxic HIF-1α expression in NB4 cells are unknown, but may not depend on PML-RARα as PML-RARα overexpression did not modulate HIF-1α protein (Supplementary Fig S1A and B). Because it was recently reported that HIF-1α is regulated in an oxygen-independent manner in hematopoietic stem cells (Nombela-Arrieta et al, 2013), it is possible that in some hematopoietic cells oxygen-sensing mechanisms are tuned down to allow basal expression of HIF factors.

Chronic HIF-1α down-regulation by shRNA led to specific inhibition of HIF-1α and down-regulation of *bona fide* HIF target genes in NB4 cells (Fig 3B and C and Supplementary Fig S2A). To understand the role of HIF-1α in APL, we analyzed a number of known HIF-regulated functions: in NB4 cells, HIF-1α down-regulation did not affect proliferation (Supplementary Fig S2B), but impaired basal cell migration (Fig 3D) and *in vitro* BM homing (Fig 3E), consistently with CXCR4 down-regulation (Fig 3C). Conversely, *in vitro* migration toward SDF-1 or VEGF did not occur (Supplementary Fig S2C) due to receptor internalization in culture (Tavor et al, 2004). Next, as APL patients have increased BM neo-angiogenesis and high VEGF levels (Kini et al, 2001), and VEGF expression in regulated by HIF-1α in NB4 (Fig 3C), microvessel density was analyzed *in vivo* and *in vitro* BM homing (Fig 3F) as well as in other tumors masses (Supplementary Fig S2D). Also, HIF-1α down-regulation significantly increased the survival of immunocompromised mice (Fig 3G).

Finally, as it was recently reported that HIF-1α regulates maintenance of LICs (Wang et al, 2011), we analyzed the effect of HIF-1α inhibition on leukemia self-renewal *in vitro*. Methylcellulose re-plating assays showed that NB4 CFU-L (colony-forming unit leukemia) increased upon serial re-plating (Lin et al, 2010) only in cells expressing HIF-1α (Fig 3H), thus indicating that self-renewal of CFU-L requires HIF-1α. Also, NB4 colonies appeared more compact upon HIF-1α silencing, consistently with decreased cell migration (Fig 3H).

Inhibition of HIF-1α with an unrelated shRNA confirmed the impairment in cell migration and CFU-L re-plating efficacy and prolonged mice survival in xenograft experiments (Supplementary Fig S3A–E). Unexpectedly, stable silencing of HIF-2α also impaired NB4 CFU-L re-plating efficacy and slightly prolonged mice survival, although not affecting cell migration (Supplementary Fig S3B–E). This occurred despite the low expression of HIF-2α in NB4 cells, which was also observed in APL patients (Fig 3A and Supplementary Fig S3F). Interestingly, it was recently shown that HIF-2α promotes maintenance of human CD34+ hematopoietic stem cells (Rouault-Pierre et al, 2013), although we found that also in this context HIF-2α is expressed at lower levels than HIF-1α (Supplementary Fig S3G). Therefore, it appears that HIF-2α exerts critical functions in normal and transformed hematopoietic cells regardless of its expression levels.
Based on the results obtained upon HIF-1α chronic silencing, we next wished to test the consequences of acute HIF-1α inhibition. The RNA antagonist EZN-2968 is a locked nucleic acid-modified oligonucleotide (LNA-ON) specifically targeting HIF-1α, while EZN-3088 is a control LNA-ON (Greenberger et al., 2008). Strong inhibition of HIF-1α by EZN-2968 (Fig 3I) recapitulated more efficiently what was previously observed by chronic HIF-1α silencing: blockade of cell migration and CFU-L efficacy upon re-plating (Fig 3J and K), as well as delayed leukemia progression (Fig 3L).

Finally, to substantiate our experiments in another APL cell context, we took advantage of the U937-PR9 cell line where PML-RARα is expressed under the control of a zinc (Zn)-inducible
promoter (Grignani et al., 1998). Interestingly, acute induction of PML-RAR\(\alpha\) with Zn increased HIF-1\(\alpha\), GLUT1 and CXCR4 mRNA levels, while having no significant effect on U937-MT control cells (Supplementary Fig S4A and B). Strong inhibition of HIF-1\(\alpha\) in U937-PR9 cells impaired cell migration and colony formation more efficiently when PML-RAR\(\alpha\) was expressed, albeit also affecting migration and clonogenicity in the absence of PML-RAR\(\alpha\) (Supplementary Fig S4C–E). These data indicate that HIF-1\(\alpha\) may play a role also in leukemic contexts different from APL, but forced expression of PML-RAR\(\alpha\) further sensitizes leukemic cells to its inhibition.

Taken together, our data indicate that hampering HIF-1\(\alpha\) functions affects different aspects of APL biology that include cell migration and self-renewal, thus resulting in delayed leukemia progression.

HIF-1\(\alpha\) inhibition synergizes with ATRA treatment to eradicate APL

It has long been known that ATRA treatment promotes rapid APL blast differentiation but is not sufficient to eradicate LICs and rarely leads to prolonged disease remission (Sanz et al., 2008; Lo-Coco et al., 2010). Additionally, in vitro studies suggest that ATRA may promote the expansion of APL LICs (Zheng et al., 2007). Interestingly, we found that ATRA treatment induced HIF-1\(\alpha\) expression (Fig 4A) and increased clonogenicity in a HIF-1\(\alpha\)-dependent manner in NB4 cells (Fig 4B).

To understand whether HIF-1\(\alpha\) inhibition led to LICs elimination especially upon ATRA treatment, we turned to a mouse APL model (Minucci et al., 2002). Lineage-negative BM cells were transduced

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>SIZE</th>
<th>ES</th>
<th>NES</th>
<th>NOM p-val</th>
<th>FDR q-val</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BIOCARTA_HIF_PATHWAY</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.7580255</td>
<td>1.884483</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HARRIS_HYPOXIA</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.6934618</td>
<td>1.7193973</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.001311905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEONARD_HYPOXIA</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>0.7846712</td>
<td>1.5757978</td>
<td>0.005908419</td>
<td>0.021862118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEMENZA_HIF1_TARGETS</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.6500427</td>
<td>1.5379621</td>
<td>0.025232404</td>
<td>0.02771388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PID_HIF1_TFPATHWAY</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.62517524</td>
<td>1.5148182</td>
<td>0.011034483</td>
<td>0.03886971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIANG_HYPOXIA_NORMAL</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>0.4661413</td>
<td>1.47441</td>
<td>0.013081395</td>
<td>0.056857403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WINTER_HYPOXIA_METAGENE</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>0.53121847</td>
<td>1.4629508</td>
<td>0.002386635</td>
<td>0.06912452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WINTER_HYPOXIA_UP</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.50628483</td>
<td>1.4586831</td>
<td>0.023977432</td>
<td>0.05435155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELVIDGE_HYPOXIA_UP</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>0.5535147</td>
<td>1.4412668</td>
<td>0.003614458</td>
<td>0.06794847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELVIDGE_HYPOXIA_BY_DMOG_UP</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>0.59860015</td>
<td>1.4260447</td>
<td>0.00617284</td>
<td>0.06724023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANALO_HYPOXIA_UP</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>0.49226585</td>
<td>1.3800579</td>
<td>0.042163623</td>
<td>0.096533485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIM_HYPOXIA</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.6424934</td>
<td>1.3786607</td>
<td>0.042288557</td>
<td>0.091070436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIZUKAMI_HYPOXIA_DN</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.8918009</td>
<td>1.3461848</td>
<td>0.023539255</td>
<td>0.11282125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. HIF-1\(\alpha\)-dependent gene signatures are overexpressed in human APL promyelocytes compared to normal promyelocytes.

A MSigDb signatures related to hypoxia and HIF-1\(\alpha\) pathways enriched in the comparison between APL and normal promyelocytes gene expression. Enrichment scores (ES) and normalized ES (NES) accounting for the gene set size are reported. Significance was estimated in terms of nominal P-value and FDR Q-value.

B GSEA plot for the comparison between APL and normal promyelocytes (PM) gene expression showing significant enrichment of HIF-1\(\alpha\) direct targets in the APL-upregulated genes.
with PML-RARα followed by transplantation into lethally irradiated syngeneic mice. Transplanted mice developed a fatal myeloid leukemia that recapitulates human APL with peripheral leukocytosis, colonization of the bone marrow and spleen and responsiveness to ATRA (Minucci et al., 2002; Fig 4). We first aimed at confirming the effects of HIF-1α inhibition in mouse APL. Ex vivo APL blasts electroporation with EZN-2968 led to significant impairment in the expression of HIF-1α and HIF-target genes (Fig 4C) and inhibited basal and SDF-1-directed cell migration, CFU-L formation and leukemia involvement in peripheral blood (Fig 4D-F), leading to increased mice survival (Fig 4G).

To next assess whether HIF-1α inhibition cooperated with ATRA to eliminate LICs in vivo, APL cells were transfected with EZN-2968 or EZN-3088 and transplanted into syngeneic mice. Leukemic mice were treated with ATRA for 4 days, after which BM was transplanted into recipient mice to measure leukemia engraftment by LICs. Strikingly, while short ATRA treatment or HIF-1α silencing only modestly affected the survival of transplanted animals, combined treatment exquisitely synergized in preventing leukemia engraftment (Fig 4H). Moreover, HIF-1α inhibition also synergized with ATRA in promoting leukemia de-bulking (Fig 4I), therefore suggesting that a strong inhibition of HIF-1α may cooperate with ATRA not only to blunt the leukemia-initiating capacity of APL cells, but also their in vivo survival and/or differentiation.

**Discussion**

PML-RARα is a gain of function transcription factor that is believed to exert leukemogenic functions mostly by acting as a transcriptional repressor. Here, we define a new pro-leukemogenic axis exploited by PML-RARα through its synergistic cooperation with HIF transcription factors. We find that despite both PML and RARα inhibit HIF-1α-mediated transcription, the fusion protein PML-RARα acts as a HIF-α transcriptional co-activator. Accordingly, HIF-dependent signatures are upregulated in leukemic blasts of APL patients, and binding sites for the HIF-α heterodimerization partner HIF-1β are enriched in the promoters of genes preferentially expressed in APL blasts (Marstrand et al., 2010), thus indicating that activation of HIF factors is relevant to the pathophysiology of APL.

Our findings challenge the current view that PML-RARα exerts oncogenic functions by transcriptional repression, while switching to a transcriptional activator upon ATRA treatment, and indicate that important functions of PML-RARα may also be mediated by transcriptional co-activation. The detailed molecular mechanisms by which PML-RARα co-activates HIF factors are still unknown. Because PML-RARα indirectly interacts with HIF-α in cells and binds to the promoters of bona fide HIF target genes (Martens et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010), it is possible that within specific DNA domains rather than binding transcriptional co-repressors, PML-RARα partakes to activating complexes that facilitate HIF-mediated transcription. Moreover, as acute induction of PML-RARα increased HIF-1α mRNA, it is also possible that in patients, HIF-1α is upregulated upon PML-RARα expression, therefore adding an additional level of cooperation between PML-RARα and HIF-1α.

The cooperation of PML-RARα with HIF-1α, and to a minor extent HIF-2α, is relevant to APL pathogenesis by impacting on a number of HIF-mediated functions, including spontaneous and chemokine-dependent cell migration, and tumor neo-angiogenesis. In addition, HIF-1α regulates self-renewal of APL leukemic blasts, which is coherent with the role of HIF factors in regulating stem cell maintenance in other hematopoietic contexts (Takubo et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012; Rouault-Pierre et al., 2013). However, in contrast to other hematological malignancies where HIF-1α is found expressed predominantly in LICs (Wang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012), in APL, HIF-1α activation is triggered by a cooperative event with PML-RARα, whose expression is not confined to LICs. Indeed, proof-of-principle experiments show that inhibition of HIF-1α delays APL progression and prolong survival of leukemic mice.

More remarkably, HIF-1α is also involved in regulating LICs maintenance upon ATRA treatment. Specifically, ATRA increases expression of HIF-1α in APL and other cell types (Meani et al., 2005), and HIF-1α inhibition exquisitely cooperates with ATRA toward reducing APL LICs. In conclusion, our studies demonstrate that HIF factors are important regulators of APL pathogenesis and response to therapy.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell culture and reagents**

NB4, U937-PR9 and U937-MT cells (Grignani et al., 1998) (kindly provided by S. Minucci) and mouse APL cells were maintained in RPMI 1640; Hek-293 and Phoenix-ECO in DMEM and Hek-293T in IMDM media supplemented with 10% FBS and antibiotics (Lonza). Primary mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) from wild-type and Pml−/− embryos were prepared as previously described (Bernardi et al., 2004). All cell lines were maintained at 37°C in humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2.

EZN-3088 (control LNA-ON for HIF-1α) and EZN-2968 (LNA-ON for HIF-1α) (Greenberger et al., 2008) were provided by Belrose Pharma Inc. and used in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions; ATRA, CoCl2 and Zn were purchased from Sigma; ATRA pellets were from Innovative Research of America. All cytokines were from Peprotech.

**Lentiviral vectors**

GIPZ HIF-1α and HIF-2α shRNA or control shRNA plasmids were from Open Biosystems. Lentiviral vectors were obtained by Hek-293T transfection with calcium phosphate and subsequent concentration as previously described (Follenzini et al., 2000). Hek-293 and NB4 cells were transduced by spinoculation and sorted for GFP expression at least 2 weeks post-infection.

**Immunoprecipitation and immunoblot**

When indicated, NB4 cells (shCTRL or shHIFs) were treated for 24 h with 100 μM CoCl2 before lysis. For Western blot, proteins were extracted with RIPA buffer (Sigma) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche); for luciferase assays, cells were lysed in passive lysis buffer (Promega); for co-immunoprecipitation experiments, cells were lysed after mild cross-linking with 0.4%...
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formaldehyde (7 min at RT) in CO-IP buffer (10 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5% CHAPS) supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Pierce) and then briefly sonicated to extract nuclear proteins. Total lysates were immunoprecipitated with an antibody against PML (PG-M3; Santa Cruz).

Cell extracts and immunoprecipitates were resolved by SDS–PAGE 7.5–10% and transferred to a PVDF membrane (Biorad). Non-specific binding was blocked in 5% non-fat milk for 1 h at RT and blotted with the following antibodies: rabbit polyclonal HIF-1α (Cayman), rabbit polyclonal PML (Santa Cruz) and rabbit polyclonal PML (Novus). Mouse β-actin (Sigma) was used as internal loading control.

In vitro binding assays

Histidine-tagged human HIF-1α full-length protein (His-HIF-1α) was purchased from Abcam. GST-tagged HIF-2α full-length protein (GST-HIF-2α) was purchased from AvvoBio. Biotin-labeled PML-RARx protein was generated in reticulocyte lysates using the TNT T7 coupled transcription/translation system (Promega). 30 μL of in vitro-translated biotin-labeled PML-RARx was mixed with 5 μg of His or His-HIF-1α, GST or GST-HIF-2α in a final volume of 1 mL of binding buffer (10 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5% CHAPS and 5 mM imidazole for HIF-1α) and incubated O/N at 4°C followed by the addition of 40 μL of His-Select Nichel Affinity Gel (Sigma) or glutathione-Sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare) and a further incubation at 4°C for 2 h. After washing, beads were analyzed by SDS–PAGE. His and GST proteins were kindly provided by V. M. Neguembor.

The following antibodies were used: Streptavidin-HRP (Sigma), mouse monoclonal GST (Sigma) and mouse monoclonal HIF-1α (BD Biosciences).

Luciferase assays

Cells were plated in 24-well plates (Costar) and transfected by Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) with a reporter plasmid expressing the luciferase gene under the control of a hypoxia responsive element (HRE)-containing promoter (kind gift of C. Simon). Plasmids containing PML, RARα, PML-RARα, NPM-RARα, PLZF-RARα and AML1-ETO or plasmids containing stable forms of HIF-1α and HIF-2α, or wild-type HIF-1α for experiments in MEFs (kind gifts of

---
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**Figure 4.** HIF-1α inhibition synergizes with ATRA toward LICs eradication.

A. Real-time PCR analysis of HIF-1α in NB4 cells treated with 1 μM ATRA for the indicated times relative to untreated cells.
B. Colony-forming assay of NB4 shCTRL or shHIF-1α cells pre-treated for 24 h with 1 μM ATRA and plated in methylcellulose. Data represent number of colonies per field (SD).
C. Real-time PCR analysis of HIF-1α, VEGF and GLUT1 in mouse leukemic cells 24 h after transfection with EZN-2968 relative to cells transfected with EZN-3088.
D. Spontaneous and SDF-1-induced migration of mouse leukemic cells 24 h after transfection with EZN-3088 or EZN-2968.
E. Colony-forming assay of mouse leukemic cells 24 h after transfection with EZN-3088 or EZN-2968.
F. Peripheral white blood cell counts of 1295v mice injected with mouse APL blasts 24 h after transfection with EZN-3088 or EZN-2968. Blood was collected at day 21 (n = 6).
G. Kaplan–Meier survival curve of 1295v mice injected with mouse APL blasts 24 h after transfection with the corresponding LNA-ON (n = 7 for EZN-3088 and n = 8 for EZN-2968).
H. Kaplan–Meier survival curve of secondary recipient mice injected with BM cells from donor mice injected with APL blasts transfected with EZN-3088 or EZN-2968 and treated for 4 days with ATRA at day 21 post-leukemia challenge (n = 8 for EZN-3088 and EZN-2968 ATRA, n = 7 for EZN-3088 ATRA and EZN-2968).
I. PML-RARα DNA real-time PCR analysis of BM cells extracted from donor leukemic mice injected with APL blasts transfected with EZN-3088 or EZN-2968 and treated for 4 days with ATRA at day 21 post-leukemia challenge (n = 3). Data are presented as percentage of ATRA-treated over reciprocal untreated (NT) mice.
C. Simon) were transfected where indicated. HEK-293 cells were treated for 8 h with 300 μM CoCl₂. Renilla-expressing plasmid was co-transfected for transfection normalization. Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) was used to measure firefly and Renilla luciferase activities and their ratio calculated in a GloMax luminometer (Promega).
Real-time PCR

RNA was isolated with the RNeasy mini kit (Qiagen). cDNA was obtained by retro-transcription of 1 μg total RNA using Advantage RT for PCR kit (Clontech) and analyzed by real-time PCR in 7900 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystem).

All probes for TaqMan assays were purchased from Applied Biosystem. 18S was used as internal control. The relative expression of different cDNAs was calculated using the 2^ΔΔCt method with respect to control conditions, except for the analysis of VEGF and HIF factors in NB4 cells where data were expressed using the 2^ΔΔCt method relative to 18S expression. All data represent mean values of at least two independent experiments.

For PML-RARα DNA real-time PCR, DNA was extracted using QIAamp DNA Micro kit (Qiagen) and quantified as previously described (Nasr et al, 2008). 18S was used as internal control.

Methylcellulose colony-forming assays

For human cell lines, 5 × 10⁵ cells were resuspended in Human Methylcellulose Base Media (R&D) and plated in 35-mm culture dishes. Cells were allowed to grow for 7–10 days and then colonies were pooled, counted, and 5 × 10⁵ cells were re-seeded for re-plating experiments. When indicated Zn (100 μM) was added to the methylcellulose medium. In pre-treatment experiments (Fig 4B), NB4 cells were treated in liquid medium with ATRA (1 μM) for 24 h and plated in methylcellulose after drugs washout. In CFU-L experiments of BM cells from leukemic mice, 3 × 10⁶ cells were seeded in MethoCult GF Medium (STEMCELL Technologies) 24 h after transfection with EZN-3088 and EZN-2968, and colonies were counted 7–10 days after plating.

Migration assays

For human cell lines, 1 × 10⁶ cells were seeded in the upper chamber of a transwell 6.5-mm diameter and 5-μm pore (Costar). Cells in the lower chamber were counted by flow cytometer as number of cells acquired per minute (LSR II Beckton Dickinson) 16 h after the lower chamber were counted by flow cytometer as number of cells acquired per minute (LSR II Beckton Dickinson) 16 h after transfaction. 1 × 10⁵ live cells per recipient mouse were transfected for the experiments in Fig 4F and G. For the experiments of Fig 4H, 5 × 10⁵ cells transfected with EZN-2968 or EZN-3088 were transfected in 4 mice/cohorts, and mice were treated with ATRA for 4 consecutive days at day 21 after leukemia challenge, when control animals showed leukemia involvement in BM. Second transplantation was performed with 1 × 10⁶ cells. ATRA was administered by subcutaneous implantation of 2 release pellets (5 mg each).

Statistics

Two-sided t-tests were used to validate the significance of the data analyzed and considered statistically significant when P < 0.05. For survival experiments, Kaplan–Meier curves were analyzed with the Mantel–Cox test.

mRNA expression data

We used the publicly available datasets GSE1729 (Gutierrez et al, 2005), GSE1159 (Valk et al, 2004) and GSE19556 (Theilgaard-Monch et al, 2005) from the NIH Gene Expression Omnibus repository to select mRNA expression data of APL BM samples (n = 25) and normal promyelocytes (n = 3). The experimental platform was Affymetrix GeneChip Human Genome HG-U133A for all the samples. Raw data (retrieved as *.CEL files) were pre-processed using the GCRMA library (Wu & Irizarry, 2004) of the Bioconductor project in the R environment.

To compare the expression levels of HIF-1α and HIF-2α (EPAS1), we retrieved CD34⁺ (n = 5) and APL (n = 14) samples from the publicly available dataset GSE12662 (Payton et al, 2009), containing data acquired by means of the Affymetrix HG-U133 Plus 2.0 Array.

Data mining

Hypoxia related signatures were retrieved from the collection of curated gene sets (c2) of the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB). A gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) (Subramanian et al, 2005) was performed either using these publicly available gene sets or applying an ad hoc list of direct HIF-1α targets. A t-statistic metric was applied to establish the gene ranking, and significance was provided both in terms of nominal P-value and as FDR Q-value to take into account the decision for multiple hypothesis testing.

Supplementary information for this article is available online: http://embomolmed.embopress.org
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The paper explained

Problem
Hypoxia-inducible transcription factors (HIF) have long been involved in regulating tumor progression in a variety of solid tumors. More recently, emerging literature is beginning to implicate HIF factors in leukemogenesis. In acute myeloid leukemia, HIF-1α has been found overexpressed in a sub-group of cancer cells with leukemia propagating capacity and was shown to regulate leukemia stem cell maintenance. However, a specific functional interaction of HIF-1α with oncogenic fusion proteins causative of specific leukemia sub-types has not yet been investigated.

Results
We have studied the role of HIF-1α in acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL), a sub-type of acute myeloid leukemia characterized by expression of the fusion protein PML-RARα. We found that although acting predominantly as a transcriptional repressor, PML-RARα functionally cooperates with HIF-1α and activates the expression of a number of HIF-target genes, which then regulate leukemia progression at multiple levels. As a consequence, inhibition of HIF-1α blunts leukemia progression, and also exquisitely cooperates with retinoic acid in eradicating leukemia-initiating cells.

Impact
The impact of our study is twofold: on one hand, we provide molecular insights into the complex function of the PML-RARα oncoprotein, by defining a new important function of PML-RARα as a HIF-1α-transcriptional co-activator. On the other hand, our work places HIF factors as important regulators of the pathogenesis and response to therapy of acute promyelocytic leukemia, and prompt further investigation into the role of HIF-1α factors in other types of leukemia.
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