PARP3 affects the relative contribution of homologous recombination and nonhomologous end-joining pathways

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Published Version</td>
<td>doi:10.1093/nar/gku174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citable link</td>
<td><a href="http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:12406968">http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:12406968</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms of Use</td>
<td>This article was downloaded from Harvard University’s DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <a href="http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA">http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PARP3 affects the relative contribution of homologous recombination and nonhomologous end-joining pathways

Carole Beck¹,*, Christian Boehler¹,*, Josée Guirouilh Barbat², Marie-Elise Bonnet¹, Giuditta Illuzzi¹, Philippe Ronde³, Laurent R. Gauthier⁴, Najat Magroun¹, Anbazhagan Rajendran⁵, Bernard S. Lopez², Ralph Scully⁵, François D. Boussin⁴, Valérie Schreiber¹ and Françoise Dantzer¹,†

¹Poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation and Genome Integrity, Laboratoire d’Excellence Medalis, Equipe labellisée Ligue Nationale Contre Le Cancer, UMR7242, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique/Université de Strasbourg, Institut de Recherche de l’Ecole de Biotechnologie de Strasbourg, 300 bld. S. Brant, BP10413, 67412 Illkirch, France, ²Université Paris Sud, CNRS UMR8200, Institut de Cancérologie Gustave-Roussy, 114 rue Edouard Vaillant, 94805 Villejuif, France, ³Laboratoire de biophotonique et pharmacologie, UMR 7213, Centre Nationale de la Recherche Scientifique/Université de Strasbourg, Faculté de pharmacie, 74 route du Rhin, 67401 Illkirch, France, ⁴CEA DSV iRCM SCSR, Laboratoire de radiopathologie, INSERM, U967, 92265 Fontenay-aux-Roses, France and ⁵Department of Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Harvard Medical School, 330 Brookline Avenue, Boston, MA 02215, USA

Received September 12, 2013; Revised February 10, 2014; Accepted February 11, 2014

ABSTRACT

The repair of toxic double-strand breaks (DSB) is critical for the maintenance of genome integrity. The major mechanisms that cope with DSB are: homologous recombination (HR) and classical or alternative nonhomologous end joining (C-NHEJ versus A-EJ). Because these pathways compete for the repair of DSB, the choice of the appropriate repair pathway is pivotal. Among the mechanisms that influence this choice, deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) end resection plays a critical role by driving cells to HR, while accurate C-NHEJ is suppressed. Furthermore, end resection promotes error-prone A-EJ. Increasing evidence define Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 3 (PARP3, also known as ARTD3) as an important player in cellular response to DSB. In this work, we reveal a specific feature of PARP3 that together with Ku80 limits DNA end resection and thereby helps in making the choice between HR and NHEJ pathways. PARP3 interacts with and PARylates Ku70/Ku80. The depletion of PARP3 impairs the recruitment of YFP-Ku80 to laser-induced DNA damage sites and induces an imbalance between BRCA1 and 53BP1. Both events result in compromised accurate C-NHEJ and a concomitant increase in DNA end resection. Nevertheless, HR is significantly reduced upon PARP3 silencing while the enhanced end resection causes mutagenic deletions during A-EJ. As a result, the absence of PARP3 confers hypersensitivity to anti-tumoral drugs generating DSB.

INTRODUCTION

Double-strand breaks (DSB) produced by endogenous (normal cell metabolism, replication linked errors) or exogenous (chemotherapeutic drugs) genotoxic agents are considered as the most cytotoxic forms of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) damage. If unrepaired or inappropriately repaired, they will cause cell death or induce genomic instability and cancer (1). To counteract the effect of DSB, eukaryotic cells have evolved two highly efficient repair pathways: homologous recombination (HR) and nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ) (2). HR is initiated by the 5’–3’ resection of the DSB, a process mediated by the Mre11–Rad50–Nbs1 (MRN) complex in cooperation with CtIP that catalyses limited resection and the 5’–3’ exonuclease Exo1 that catalyses extensive resection (3). A host of other proteins has been shown to promote DNA end resection including among others BRCA1, WRN, SMARCAD (Fun30) and BLM (4–7). The 3’ single-stranded overhang produced is protected by phosphorylated replication pro-
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tein A (RPA). RPA is then replaced by the recombinase RAD51 that with RAD54 will catalyze the search of homologous sequences and promote strand invasion of the template DNA. Because HR requires a homologous template, it is thought to operate in S and G2 phases of the cell cycle. NHEJ consists of two subpathways: the classical NHEJ pathway (C-NHEJ) and the alternative NHEJ process (A-EJ). C-NHEJ is initiated by the association of the Ku70–Ku80 heterodimer with DNA ends that serves as a scaffold for the assembly of the other NHEJ factors including Aprataxin polynucleotide kinase/phosphatase-like factor (APLF), DNA–PKcs, Artemis, Cernunnos/XLF and the XRCC4/DNA ligase IV complex (8,9). C-NHEJ is thought to process structural compatible ends and is active throughout the cell cycle (10–13). The alternative pathway (A-EJ) is initiated by an Mre11-mediated end-resection activity in a manner similar to HR and involves additional proteins such as PARP1, XRCC1, DNA ligase III and histone H1 (14–20). This process is highly mutagenic representing a major source of translocations. Recently, in addition to its important role in HR, BLM has been shown to prevent CtIP/Mre11-mediated long-range deletion during A-EJ (21). Similarly, BRCA1 has been proposed to stabilize Ku80 at broken ends thereby protecting from mutagenic A-EJ (22).

These different pathways compete for the repair of DSB. Thus, the choice of the appropriate repair pathway is pivotal and is the subject of intense investigations in the repair field. Several mechanisms have been shown to be determinant in directing repair toward HR or NHEJ including signaling pathways, chromatin modifications, the cell-cycle stage and the resection of DNA ends, the two latter are believed to commit cells to repair by HR (2,23). Evidence is building that the balance between BRCA1 and 53BP1 or between Ku80 and Mre11 influences DNA end resection and are therefore determinant of whether repair will occur through HR or NHEJ (24–29).

Recent studies have defined Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 3 (PARP3) as a novel player in cellular response to DSB (30). PARP3 has been described to interact with partners belonging to the NHEJ pathway including DNA–PKcs, DNA ligase IV, Ku70 and Ku80 and to accelerate XRCC4/DNA ligase IV-mediated ligation of chromosomal DSB in concert with APLF (31,32). Accordingly, PARP3 was found to be efficiently recruited to laser-induced DNA damage sites (33). It appears that the PAR-dependent interaction of APLF with PARP3 is important for the subsequent ATM-catalysed phosphorylation of APLF and its retention at damaged DNA (34). As a result, PARP3 depletion in human cells delays the repair of radio-induced DSB (33). Altogether, the current research is consistent with a cooperative role of PARP3 and APLF in the last steps of NHEJ. However, whether PARP3, that binds chromatin and DNA in vitro, also regulates early events of DSB repair still remains enigmatic (32,35).

In this study, we examined how the absence of PARP3 impacts on the repair of DSB by both HR or NHEJ. We used the radiomimetic drug bleomycin and the topoisoenzyme II inhibitor etoposide. We show that PARP3 expression is induced upon etoposide treatment. While we previously reported that its depletion in human cells or its disruption in mice had no impact on their survival when exposed to ionizing radiation (33), here we show that it significantly reduced their survival upon etoposide or bleomycin treatment. Notably, we found that the absence of PARP3 led to increased DNA end resection, but the rate of HR measured by using the HR-reporter substrate is compromised. Because Ku80 has been identified as a modulator of end resection, we focused our attention on this protein. We identified an association of PARP3 and Ku80 that is enhanced in response to DSB. PARP3 PARsylates Ku70–Ku80 and facilitates its recruitment to laser-induced DNA damage sites, at one hand to limit DNA end resection during HR, on the other to stimulate C-NHEJ. Consequently, PARP3 deficiency impaired the efficiency of accurate C-NHEJ while causing resection-mediated mutagenic deletions during A-EJ. In addition, we identify PARP3 as a novel regulator of the balance between BRCA1 and 53BP1 that also modulates DSB repair fate.

Together these findings unveil a key contribution of PARP3 together with Ku80 in the initial events of DSB repair driving the choice of the appropriate repair pathway. In addition, our results define PARP3 as an interesting therapeutic target to potentize the cytotoxic effect of DSB-inducing chemotherapeutic drugs in cancer therapies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Reagents, antibodies and plasmids

Antibodies used include: rabbit anti-PARP-3, 4698 for immunofluorescence (1:1000), immunoprecipitation and western blot (1:10 000) (33); mouse anti-PARP1, EGT69 for western blot (1:10 000) (36); rabbit anti-phospho RPA533 and anti-phospho RPA488 for western blot (1:1000, Bethyl Laboratories) and immunofluorescence (1:2000); mouse anti-RPA32 for western blot (1:10 000, Genetex); rabbit anti-RPA32 for immunofluorescence (1:2000, AbCam); rabbit anti-BRCA1 for immunofluorescence (1:500, Millipore); goat anti-Ku70 for western blot (1:500, Santa Cruz); mouse anti-Ku80 for western blot (1:2000, AbCam); mouse anti-GFP for western blot (1:1000, Roche); rabbit anti-actin for western blot (1:500, Sigma); mouse anti-RAD54 for immunofluorescence (1:200, Abcam); rabbit anti-53BP1 for immunofluorescence (1:100, Novus Biologicals); rabbit anti-Mre11 for western blot (1:5000, Novus Biologicals); mouse anti-HA.11 for western blot (1:1000, Covance); peroxidase-conjugated sheep anti-mouse (1:30 000, GE Healthcare); peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (1:50 000, GE Healthcare) and peroxidase-conjugated rabbit anti-goat (1:20 000, Sigma) for ECL detection. Alexa Fluor-488 goat anti-rabbit IgG or Alexa Fluor-568 goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:1500, Molecular Probes), Alexa Fluor-488 goat anti-mouse IgG or Alexa Fluor-568 goat anti-mouse IgG (1:1500, Molecular Probes) in immunofluorescence studies. The PARP inhibitor Ku-0058948 has been described (37). Etoposide and bleomycin were purchased from Mylan Laboratories Inc. NU7441 was purchased from Selleckchem. YFP–Ku80 has been provided by D. Chen (University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, USA). The HA-I-SceI plasmid has been described (15).
Cell culture and siRNA-mediated depletion

Control (ctl) and PARP3-depleted (PARP3\textsuperscript{kd}) MRC5 cells have been described previously (33). MDA-MB231 cells were grown in Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI) medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and 1% Gentamicin at 37°C in 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. MRC5 were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium-1 g/l D-glucose supplemented with 20% fetal calf serum and 1% Gentamicin at 37°C in 5% CO\textsubscript{2}. The GCV-6 cell line was derived from SV40-transformed GM639 human fibroblasts and contains the green fluorescent protein GFP-based and cluster of differentiation CD4-based substrates broblasts and contains the green fluorescent protein GFP-based and cluster of differentiation CD4-based substrates.

Colony-forming assay

Exponentially growing cells were treated with etoposide (10 \mu M) or bleomycin (6 \mu g/ml) for 1 h, rinsed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and collected by trypsinization. siRNA-depleted cells were exposed to the DNA damaging agents as described previously. MDA-MB231 cells were transfected with 50 nM siRNA using either JetPrime or Interferin (PolyPlus) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and processed for the indicated experiments from 48 h to 72 h later. To confirm the down regulation of the targeted protein, the transfected cells were collected 48 h or 72 h after transfection for quantitative reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) or western blot analysis respectively.

SCE assay and chromosome analysis

Sister chromatid exchange (SCE) was carried out using a classical BrdU-labeling protocol. Briefly, cells were treated with Etoposide (2 \mu M) for 1 h, rinsed with PBS and incubated in culture medium supplemented with BrdU (15 \mu M) for two cell cycles. Metaphase spreads, obtained and processed for the indicated experiments from 48 h to 72 h later, cells were fixed for 30 min in formaldehyde (3.7%), stained with crystal violet (0.1%) and colonies were scored. Statistical analysis were determined by ANalysis Of VAriance (ANOVA) tests as indicated by \emph{P} values using StatView software.

Indirect immunofluorescence microscopy

Immunofluorescence was performed essentially as described previously (43). Briefly, cells were grown on glass coverslips (1 \times 10\textsuperscript{4} cells/ six-well plates) for 48 h, either mock-treated or treated with 50 \mu M etoposide in triplicate and released in fresh medium for the indicated time points. Cells were washed twice with PBS 1X, fixed for 15 min at 25°C in 4% formaldehyde diluted in PBS 1X–0.1% Triton X–100–0.1% skimmed milk, and washed again three times for 10 min at 25°C with PBS 1X–0.1% Triton X–100–0.1% skimmed milk. Cells were then incubated overnight at 4°C with the appropriate primary antibodies as indicated. After three washes for 10 min at 25°C with PBS–0.1% Triton X–100–0.1% skimmed milk, cells were incubated with the appropriate Alexa-labeled secondary antibodies for 2 h at 25°C. DNA was counterstained with 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindol (DAPI; 25 ng/ml in PBS 1X) and slides were mounted in Mowiol. Images were captured using a Leica microscope (Leica Microsystems) equipped with an ORCA-ER chilled CCD camera (Hamamatsu) and the capture software Openlab (Improvision).

Cell extracts, immunoprecipitation and western blot

For whole cell extracts, exponentially growing cells were lysed by three cycles of freeze/thaw in lysis buffer (20 mM TrisHCl pH 7.5, 400 mM KCl, 5 mM dithithreitol (DTT), 0.5 mM Pefabloc, 20% glycerol, 0.1% NP40, and protease inhibitor complex (PIC, Roche)). For PARP3 analysis in whole cell extracts, cells were lysed in Radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (RIPA) buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8, 1% triton, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 50 mM NaF, 20 mM sodium pyrophosphate pH 7.2, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM Pefabloc, PIC) for 10 min at 4°C. After centrifugation at 14 000 g for 15 min, cleared suspension was quantified by Bradford protein assay. Nuclear extracts were prepared according to Groisman et al. (44). Briefly, cells were suspended in hypotonic buffer (10 mM TrisHCl pH 7.3, 10 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 10 mM \beta-mercaptoethanol, 0.2 mM phenylmethylene sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)). After centrifugation at 2000 g at 4°C for 5 min, pellets were resuspended in extraction buffer (15 mM TrisHCl pH 7.3, 0.4 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 10% glycerol, 10 mM \beta-mercaptoethanol and 10 mM PMSF). Samples were incubated on ice for 30 min and centrifuged at 16 000 g for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was used as the nuclear extract fraction. Equivalent amounts of proteins were analyzed by 10% SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting. For immunoprecipitation experiments, MDA-MB231 cells (7 \times 10\textsuperscript{6}) were treated with 50 \mu M etoposide for 1 h and released in fresh medium. Two hours later, cells were collected and lysed by incubation in ice for 10 min in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8, 1% triton, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 50 mM NaF, 20 mM TrisHCl pH 7.5). Cell pellets were suspended in lysis buffer (20 mM TrisHCl pH 7.5, 400 mM KCl, 5 mM dithithreitol (DTT), 0.5 mM Pefabloc, 20% glycerol, 0.1% NP40, and protease inhibitor complex (PIC, Roche)).
sodium pyrophosphate pH 7.2, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM Pefabloc, PIC). After centrifugation at 14,000 × g at 4°C for 15 min, the cleared suspension was diluted in dilution buffer (150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 0.1% NP40, 0.5 mM Pefabloc). After a preclearing with Protein A Sepharose (GE Healthcare) for 30 min at 4°C, the cleared suspensions were incubated with either purified anti-PARP-3 (4698) or rabbit anti-mouse antibody as control overnight at 4°C, followed by 3 h incubation at 4°C with Protein A Sepharose. After centrifugation at 10,000 rpm at 4°C for 15 min, beads were washed once with diluted buffer (250 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 0.1% NP40, 0.5 mM Pefabloc), and three times with diluted buffer containing 150 mM NaCl. Final pellets were resuspended in loading buffer and subjected to 10% SDS/PAGE and immunoblotting.

**In vitro (ADP-ribosylation) assays**

3T3–GFP–Ku80 cells (4 × 10^6) were lysed by three cycles of freeze/thaw in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 400 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT, 0.5 mM Pefabloc, 20% glycerol, 0.1% NP40, PIC, 250 nM Ku-0058948). After centrifugation at 10,000 rpm at 4°C for 20 min, cleared suspension was diluted five times in dilution buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM Pefabloc, 0.1% NP40, PIC, and 250 nM Ku-0058948) and immunopurified using GFP-Trap@-A (Chromotek, Planegg-Martinsried, Germany) for 2–3 h at 4°C. Beads were washed twice with lysis buffer, twice in dilution buffer containing 400 mM NaCl without Ku-0058948 and once in Activity buffer (see below). For the *in vitro* assays, purified PARP-3 (1 μg) was incubated with equivalent amounts of immunopurified GFP-Ku80 or GFP for 25 min at 25°C in 100 μl of activity buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumine) containing 50 μCi α-32-PNAD⁺ (Perkin Elmer, 800 Ci/mmol) in the presence of 4 μg DNase I activated calf thymus DNA. When indicated Ku-0058948 was added 2 h before lysis and maintained throughout the experiment. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 500 μl of cold dilution buffer on ice, beads were washed four times with dilution buffer and resuspended in 20 μl Laemmli buffer. Reaction products were analyzed by gel electrophoresis on 4–20% gradient SDS–PAGE and autoradiography.

**Cell-cycle analysis**

Control (ctl) and PARP<sup>3</sup> MRC5 cells (1 × 10<sup>6</sup>) were treated with 5 μM etoposide for 3 h and released in fresh medium for the indicated time points. Cells were collected by trypsinization, washed with PGE (1X PBS, 1% glucose, 1 mM EDTA) and fixed in 70% ethanol in polyethylene glycol (PEG) for 24 h at 4°C. Cells were rehydrated in PGE for 3 h, treated for 30 min with 100 μg/ml RNase I, filtered and stained with propidium iodure at a final concentration of 50 μg/ml for 30 min at 37°C. Flow cytometry analysis was carried out using FACS calibur and Cell Quest Software (Becton Dickinson).

**In vitro end-joining assay**

Plasmid-based assay for *in vitro* end joining was performed as described (45). Nuclear extracts were prepared in triplicate from three spleens/sample recovered from eight-week old Parp<sup>3+/-</sup> and Parp<sup>3−/−</sup> mice (33).

**In vivo NHEJ and HR assay**

NHEJ was performed essentially as described (15). Briefly, GCV6 cells (9 × 10<sup>6</sup>) were first transfected with the indicated siRNAs (50 nM) for 48 h and then transfected with the HA-I-SceI expression vector (pCBASce) for an additional 48 h. Next, cells were washed with PBS 1X, harvested in PBS containing 50 mM EDTA for 5 min to detach the cells and collected in 500 μl PBS 1X. After centrifugation at 8000 × g at 4°C for 15 s, pellets were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde (PFA) diluted in PBS for 20 min at room temperature and then PFA was diluted by addition of 500 μl PBS 1X. After centrifugation at 8000 × g at 15°C for 15 s, supernatants were removed and cells were stained for 45 min with 2.5 μl of the anti-Cd4-PE antibody (MACS) diluted in PBS–BSA 1%. Cells were washed twice in PBS before FACS analysis. For sequence junction analysis, we performed polymerase chain reaction (PCR) on genomic DNA using the primers CMV-5′-ATTATGGCCCGATCATGACCCTATTG-3′ and CD4-int, 5′-GCTGGCCCGAGAATCTGCTC-3′. PCR products were cloned into pCR<sup>TM</sup>4Blunt-TOPO® (Invitrogen), which allowed the isolation of individual clones, and sequenced (GATC Biotech).

HR was performed as previously described (40). Briefly, U2OS cells containing the HR reporter DR–GFP and the inducible IScel-GR-mCherry were transfected with 50 nM of the indicated siRNA for 72 h. Next cells were treated with 100 mg/ml of triamcinolone acetonide (TA, Sigma) for 48 h to induce nuclear translocation of the IScel-mCherry. Analyzing GFP-positive cells out of the mcherry-positive cells in FACS, analysis was done using the FACS calibur and Cell Quest Software (Becton Dickinson).

**Laser microirradiation and time-lapse imaging**

MDA-MB231 were grown on glass coverslips (3 × 10<sup>3</sup> cells/six-well plates) for 72 h. Next, cells were first transfected with control or siPARP3 using JetPrime as detailed above for 48 h followed by a second transfection with 0.6 μg YFP–Ku80 using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Transfected cells were processed for microirradiation studies 17 h later. For local DNA damage induction, cells were sensitized by incubation in medium containing Hoechst 33342 (6.6 μg/ml) for 10 min. Microirradiation and time-lapse imaging was carried out using an iMIC microscope (Till Photonics) equipped with a Topica Laser iBEAM 405 nm and an Olympus 60x (1.45 NA) objective. During acquisition, cells were maintained at 37°C in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> humidified atmosphere using an environmental control system (Life Imaging Services). YFP was excited at 491 nm with the monochromator Polychrome V (Till Photonics). For microirradiation, a preselected line within the nucleus was microirradiated for 10 ms with the power set to 20% of a 405 nm diode laser. The laser power measured at the end of the optic fiber was 23.5 mW. After microirradiation wide-field images were acquired at high speed (typically < 70 ms) for 4 s and then every 2 ms for additional 15 s on an EMCCD camera (Andor Technology).
and analyzed using ImageJ software. For evaluation of the recruitment kinetics, mean fluorescence intensities of the irradiated region were corrected for loss of fluorescence over the time course and normalized to the pre-irradiation value. Data was fit by nonlinear regression and recruitment curves were generated using GraphPad Prism 5 (La Jolla, CA).

RESULTS

The depletion of PARP3 sensitizes cells to anti-tumoral drugs generating DSB

We and others have previously defined PARP3 as an important player in cellular response to DSB (30,32–34). To substantiate on these results, we decided to explore the abundance of PARP3 upon the induction of DSB using the topoisomerase II inhibitor etoposide in two independent cell lines (Figure 1A and Supplementary Figure S1A). Consistent with the role of PARP3 in DSB repair, etoposide treatment of MDA-MB231 and MRC5 cells caused a significant increase in the nuclear level of PARP3 visible upon treatment (Figure 1A and Supplementary Figure S1A, left panels, compare lanes 2 with lanes 1) and maintained up to 5 h after release of the cells in fresh medium (Figure 1A and Supplementary Figure S1A, left panels, lanes 3–6). In contrast, the expression of PARP1 remained rather unchanged. Although less efficiently, the etoposide-induced induction of PARP3 was also detected in the whole cell extracts of both cell lines (Figure 1A and Supplementary Figure S1A, right panels) precluding any protein relocalization.

We previously reported that the depletion of PARP3 in human cells or its disruption in mice did not increase their long-term sensitivity to X-irradiation (33). Because X-irradiation produces only 1 DSB for 25 SSB (46), we postulated that an increase in the ratio of DSB versus single strand breaks (SSB) would be cytotoxic to PARP3-depleted cells. To validate this hypothesis, we compared the sensitivity of our control (ctl) and PARP3-depleted cells. To validate this hypothesis, we compared the sensitivity of our control (ctl) and PARP3-depleted cells. To validate this hypothesis, we compared the sensitivity of our control (ctl) and PARP3-depleted cells. To validate this hypothesis, we compared the sensitivity of our control (ctl) and PARP3-depleted cells. To validate this hypothesis, we compared the sensitivity of our control (ctl) and PARP3-depleted cells. To validate this hypothesis, we compared the sensitivity of our control (ctl) and PARP3-depleted cells. To validate this hypothesis, we compared the sensitivity of our control (ctl) and PARP3-depleted cells.

Together, these findings emphasize a specific role of PARP3 in cellular response to DSB while PARP1 responds preferentially to SSB.

PARP3 promotes DSB repair by NHEJ

PARP3 was initially found to interact with partners belonging to the classical NHEJ pathway including DNA-PKcs, Ku70, Ku80 and APLF (31,32). To question whether PARP3 is needed for efficient NHEJ we first used a plasmid-based in vitro DNA end-joining assay (45) and measured the capacity of Parp3+/− and Parp3−/− murine spleen nuclear extracts to perform end joining of SmaI and EcoR1-linearized plasmids (Figure 2A). Whereas extracts from the Parp3+/− cells support efficient end joining leading to the generation of multimeric forms of the plasmids visualized on agarose gels, this activity was reduced in Parp3−/− cells (by 35% for the end joining of blunt ends (SmaI) and by 27% for the end joining of protruding single-stranded ends (EcoR1)). These results and the previously published functional cooperation between PARP3 and APLF in DSB repair (32) thus suggest that PARP3 operates in NHEJ. We next aimed to investigate whether PARP3 is implicated in NHEJ repair at the chromosomal level in mammalian cells (Figure 2B). We used the previously described GCV6 cell line containing two types of intrachromosomal NHEJ-reporter substrates: the GFP-based substrate that permits analysis of the NHEJ on closely adjacent ends separated by only 34 bp and in which the GFP reporter is located 16 bp from the I-SceI restriction site and the pCOH–CD4 substrate to measure the NHEJ of two distant ends separated by 3.2 kb in which the CD4 reporter is located 211 bp from the I-SceI site (41) (Supplementary Figure S2A). Interestingly, the siRNA-mediated PARP3 silencing resulted in a significant reduction (2-fold reduction) in the end-joining efficiency of the GFP substrate compared to the cells transfected with the control siRNA, but did not impair the end-joining efficiency of the CD4 substrate (Figure 2B, left panel). A similar result was obtained using the GSC5 cell line containing the same constructs (41) (Supplementary Figure S2B). These results would suggest that PARP3 is important for the NHEJ of closely adjacent DSB but might not be involved in the end joining of distant DSB. Alternatively, these data can be explained by excessive DNA resection in PARP3-silenced cells resulting in the degradation of the GFP substrate due to frequent short or intermediate deletions (20–100 bp), while very long deletions (> 200 bp) that would degrade the CD4 reporter are rare events (38). Resection-mediated intermediate deletions at the resealed junctions have been characterized as the hallmark of error-prone A-EJ (38,51). To verify our hypothesis further and evaluate the impact of PARP3 on the accuracy of NHEJ, we analyzed the quality of the repair junctions by sequencing the end-joining patterns of the CD4 amplification products (Figure 2B, right panel and Supplementary Figure S2C). We found that 50% (17/34 events) of the clones transfected with control siRNA exhibited accurate end joining, whereas this frequency decreased to 26% (9/34 events) in the PARP3-silenced clones. Conversely, the number of deletions increased from 50% (17/34 events) in the control cells to 74% (25/34 events) in the PARP3-depleted cells.
Figure 1. PARP3 responds to and promotes survival upon the induction of double-strand breaks. (A) Enhanced expression of PARP3 upon etoposide treatment. Upper left panel: MDA-MB231 cells were either mock-treated (lane 1) or exposed to etoposide (Eto, 50 μM) (lanes 2–6) for 3 h and then released in fresh medium for the indicated time points (0 h, indicates no release). Equivalent amounts of nuclear extracts were analyzed by western blotting using the indicated antibodies. Upper right panel, MDA-MB231 cells were either mock-treated (lane 1) or treated with etoposide (Eto, 50 μM, 3 h, lane 2) and equivalent amounts of total protein extracts were analyzed by western blotting as above. Lower panel: representative immunofluorescence pictures showing the nuclear staining of PARP3 (green) in treated (b and c, Eto, 50 μM, 3 h) or nontreated (a, NT) MDA-MB231 cells. In (c), the etoposide treatment and immunofluorescence were performed 48 h after transfection with siPARP3. Insets: nuclei are stained in blue with DAPI. (B) The depletion of PARP3 sensitizes cells to DSB-inducing agents. Clonogenic survival of bleomycin-treated (upper panel) or etoposide-treated (lower panel) control (ctl) and PARP3kd cells in the absence or in the presence of the PARP1 inhibitor Ku-0058948 (100 nM). Experiments were performed >3 times giving similar results. Mean values of triplicates ±SD are indicated. ***P < 0.001. The depletion of PARP3 in the PARP3kd cells was verified by qRT-PCR (Supplementary Figure S1Ba).
Figure 2. PARP3 promotes DSB repair by NHEJ. (A) Parp3−/− spleen cell extracts display reduced DNA end-joining activity. In vitro end joining of a SmaI (blunt)- or EcoRI (cohesive)-digested plasmid by nuclear cell extracts from Parp3+/+ and Parp3−/− splenocytes. Upper panel: agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. Lower panel: the gels were scanned and signal intensities were measured using ImageJ. The value corresponds to the percentage of dimer and multimer products produced by Parp3−/− extracts relative to the Parp3+/+ extracts set to 100% and represents the mean of three independent experiments ±SD. *P < 0.05. (B) PARP3-depleted cells are defective in accurate NHEJ. Insets: PARP3 depletion and ISceI expression were verified by western blotting. Left panel: NHEJ efficiencies in the GCV6 cells treated with the indicated siRNAs. Values represent the means of three independent experiments ±SD. *P < 0.05. Right panel: analysis of the PCR patterns obtained by amplification of the CD4 fragment after NHEJ-mediated repair in control (siCTL) and PARP3-silenced (siPARP3) cells. Full-length PCR fragments represent high-fidelity end joining, whereas shorter PCR fragments indicate resection-mediated deletions, a hallmark of A-EJ. The silencing of PARP3 resulted in a decrease in high-fidelity end joining and an increase in the size of the deletions.

Results indicate a potential role of PARP3 in promoting accurate C-NHEJ, as previously described for Ku80 (38). Furthermore, the extent of deletions was significantly larger in the PARP3-silenced cells with a significant accumulation in deletions of an intermediate size (20–100 bp) compared to the control cells thereby suggesting that PARP3 probably helps to protect DNA ends from increased resection during A-EJ similarly to what will be observed during HR described later in this study (Figures 3 and 5).

In an attempt to further evaluate the relative contribution of PARP3 in both NHEJ subpathways, we also examined the sensitivity of the PARP3-depleted cells to etoposide in conditions where one or the other of the subpathway is compromised (Supplementary Figure S3). To inhibit the classical NHEJ pathway, we treated the ctl and PARP3kd cells with the DNA–PK inhibitor NU7441. To inhibit the alternative pathway, we examined the effect of the depletion of DNA ligase III, a key player of the A-EJ (16,52). While the depletion of PARP3 combined with the inhibition of DNA–
PK further enhanced their sensitivity to etoposide, no additive effect was observed upon the combined depletion of PARP3 and DNA ligase III. Taken together, these findings suggest a role of PARP3 at the crossroad between classical and alternative end joining likely to limit mutagenic end resection thereby dictating the choice between both subpathways.

PARP3 prevents excessive DNA end resection during HR and controls the balance between BRCA1 and 53BP1

A drop in the efficiency of DSB repair by NHEJ is often associated with an increase in the rate of HR (41,53). Furthermore, end resection is critical for the generation of single-stranded DNA and the sequential loading of RAD51 during HR. To determine whether PARP3 also influences end resection during HR, we aimed to analyze how the depletion of PARP3 affects the etoposide-induced hyperphosphorylation of RPA, an event that was previously linked to efficient DNA end resection after genotoxic stress (34) (Figure 3A). We found strong increase in the etoposide-induced hyperphosphorylation of RPA on the residues S48 and S33 in the PARP3kd cells compared to the control cells indicating enhanced formation of DNA-damage-induced ssDNA regions. We also quantified the induction of both RPA and P-RPA S48 foci in both cell lines and found a sizeable increase in the number of damaged cells containing RPA and P-RPA foci in the PARP3kd cells compared to the control cells (Figures 3B and 5B, lower panels). Interestingly, in both the ctl and PARP3kd cells, we obtained a very similar pattern with almost only a high number (>100) of small bright foci in the damaged cells. This observation is likely related to the accumulation of the cells in S phase at the time point studied (5 h release upon etoposide treatment) as detailed below (Figure 4C). Increased DNA end resection has been shown to induce the formation of a RAD51 nucleofilament on the 3′ overhang to initiate strand invasion on sister chromatids. However, we were unable to efficiently detect the etoposide-induced accumulation of RAD51 in our cell lines. Therefore, to confirm our observation further, we monitored the formation of RAD54 to nuclear foci, where it is thought to promote DNA topological changes aimed to facilitate homologous DNA pairing (55) (Figure 3C). In agreement with the RPA hyperphosphorylation, we observed enhanced RAD54 foci formation in PARP3kd cells upon exposure to etoposide compared to the control cells. Together, these data suggested that the absence of PARP3 induces elevated levels of DSB end resection during HR as observed above during A-EJ (Figure 2B).

The initiation of resection is a key determinant of repair pathway choice, which commits cells to HR and prevents repair by classical NHEJ. Genetic studies have established that this process is regulated by the balance between BRCA1 and 53BP1 at DSB chromatin (27,28). While the accumulation of BRCA1 at DSB promotes HR presumably by inhibiting resection (56,57). Based on our above results, we wondered whether PARP3 modulates the competition between both proteins. To address this question, we analyzed the impact of PARP3 deple-
Figure 4. PARP3 helps to modulate the balance between BRCA1 and 53BP1. (A) Increased accumulation of BRCA1 at DSB sites in PARP3-depleted cells. Control (ctl) and PARP3-depleted cells (PARP3kd) were treated with etoposide (50 μM) for 1 h, released in fresh medium and processed for immunofluorescence at the indicated time points using an anti-BRCA1 antibody. The histogram depicts the percentage of cells displaying >10 BRCA1 foci. An average of 500 cells per condition were scored in >20 randomly selected fields. Data are represented as the means of three independent experiments ±SD. *P<0.05. Representative immunofluorescences of the quantification of the positive cells with BRCA1 foci (green) are shown. Insets: nuclei are stained in blue with DAPI. A similar pattern of BRCA1 foci was observed in both cell lines. (B) Decreased loading of 53BP1 in PARP3-depleted cells. Control (ctl) and PARP3-depleted cells (PARP3kd) were treated with etoposide (50 μM) for 1 h, released in fresh medium and processed for immunofluorescence at the indicated time points using an anti-53BP1 antibody. The histogram depicts the percentage of cells displaying >10 53BP1 foci. An average of 500 cells per condition were scored in >20 randomly selected fields. Data are represented as the means of three independent experiments ±SD. *P<0.05; **P<0.01. Representative immunofluorescences of the quantification of the positive cells with 53BP1 foci (green) are shown. Insets: nuclei are stained in blue with DAPI. A similar pattern of 53BP1 foci was observed in both cell lines. (C) PARP3-depleted cells display a similar S-phase delay as control cells after etoposide treatment but a sustained G2/M arrest. FACS analysis of ctl and PARP3kd cells mock-treated (CTL) or treated with etoposide for 3 h (Eto, 5 μM) and released in fresh medium for the indicated time points (0 h (non released), 5 h, 24 h). y axis: cell numbers; x axis: relative DNA content based on propidium iodide staining.
Figure 5. Extensive end resection in the PARP3-depleted cells is mediated by CtIP/Mre11 and Exo1 activities. (A) PARP3-depleted cells display increased etoposide-induced phosphorylation of Mre11. Control (ctl) and PARP3kd cells were mock-treated (lanes 1–2) or treated with etoposide (50 μM, 1 h, lanes 3–4) and harvested for Mre11 and actin immunoblotting (left panel). The DNA damage-induced phosphorylation of Mre11 induces a mobility shift that is enhanced in PARP3kd cells. Experiments were performed three times giving similar results (right panel). The relative signal intensities of the phosphorylated versus unphosphorylated Mre11 were measured in the three independent experiments using ImageJ. Mean values ± SD are indicated. (B) Extensive DNA end resection in the PARP3kd cells is partially rescued by the additional depletion of Mre11 or Exo1. Control (ctl) and PARP3-depleted cells (PARP3kd) were transfected with the indicated siRNA for 48 h, treated with etoposide (50 μM) for 1 h, released in fresh medium for 5 h and processed for BRCA1 (upper panel) and P-RPAS4S8 staining (lower panel). The histograms depict the fold change in the number of cells displaying >10 BRCA1 or >100 P-RPA548S foci relative to the control. For each experiment, an average of 500 cells per condition were scored in >20 randomly selected fields. Data are represented as the means of three independent experiments ± SD. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. Representative immunofluorescences of the quantification of the positive cells with P-RPA548S foci (green) are shown. Insets: nuclei are stained in blue with DAPI. Note the similar pattern of cells with >100 P-RPA548S foci in both cell lines.
Figure 6. HR-mediated DSB repair is impaired by the depletion of PARP3. (A) HR efficiency is decreased after PARP3 depletion. The frequency of HR-mediated repair events was analyzed in U2OS+DR-GFP cells after transfection with the indicated siRNA and nuclear translocation of IScel induced by exposure to Triamcinolone Acetonide (TA). The values correspond to the fold decrease of HR efficiency relative to the control (siCTL) and represent the means of three independent experiments ±SD. **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. (B) Etoposide-induced SCE formation is reduced in PARP3-depleted cells. SCE formation was analyzed in undamaged or etoposide-treated (+Eto) MDA-MB231 cells after transfection with the indicated siRNA. ***P < 0.001.

End resection is a two step process during HR initiated by Mre11 in cooperation with CtIP that carries limited end resection that is followed by extensive resection catalyzed by the 5′–3′ exonuclease Exo1 alone or in conjunction with the helicases WRN or BLM (59). Previous reports demonstrated that Mre11 goes through phosphorylation upon DNA damage, a post-translational modification required for its accumulation at repair foci (60,61). To verify that the increased end resection detected in the PARP3kd cells is caused by induced activation of Mre11, we followed the etoposide-induced phosphorylation of Mre11 visualized by a mobility shift of the protein. Consistent with the extensive DNA end resection, we detected a higher DNA damaged-induced phosphorylation of Mre11 in the PARP3kd cells compared to the ctl cells (Figure 5A, compare lane 4 with lane 3). No phosphorylation was detected in the untreated cells (lanes 1–2).

To substantiate on these results and confirm that the enhanced DNA end resection is mediated by either CtIP/Mre11 or Exo1, we tested whether the siRNA-mediated knockdown of either Mre11, CtIP or Exo1 would correct the excessive end resection detected in the PARP3kd cells (Figure 5B, upper panel and Supplementary Figure S4). We followed the formation of BRCA1 that accumulates at sites of DNA damage-induced ssDNA (7), 5 h upon recovery from etoposide treatment, a time point where we observed a significant difference between ctl and PARP3kd cells (Figure 4A, upper panel). As expected, the knockdown of either Mre11, CtIP or Exo1 significantly reduced the increased etoposide-induced accumulation of BRCA1 detected in the PARP3kd cells to the level of untreated control cells, thereby supporting the conclusion that PARP3-depleted cells display enhanced strand resection. The fact...
that the depletion of Mre11 only weakly (but significantly) reduces the loading of BRCA1 might be explained by a remaining resection activity (Supplementary Figure S4B) or various other pathways promoting BRCA1 recruitment (62–64). Therefore, to validate these observations further, we also measured the accumulation of P-RPA S4S8 that loads to the single-stranded tails downstream of BRCA1 (Figure 5B, lower panel). Similarly to BRCA1 foci formation, the knockdown of Mre11 markedly reduced the accumulation of P-RPA S4S8 induced by etoposide in both the ctl and PARP3kd cells.

Taken together, these data provide evidence for an involvement of PARP3 in the interplay between BRCA1 and 53BP1 and the regulation of ssDNA resection that is determinant of whether DSB repair ensures by either HR or NHEJ.

PARP3-depleted cells display reduced homology-directed repair of DSB

We next examined the consequence of the knockdown of PARP3 on the efficiency of HR-mediated DNA repair. To this end, we utilized the U2OS cell lines containing the stably integrated DR-GFP reporter and measured the HR efficiency induced by ISceI expression after the siRNA-mediated silencing of PARP3 (Figure 6A). Surprisingly, despite enhanced DNA end resection the reduction of PARP3 induced a significant drop in HR-mediated gene conversion compared to the one observed after depletion of the HR protein BRCA1 used as a positive control (9-fold decrease in BRCA1 silenced cells and 3.5-fold decrease in PARP3 silenced cells). HR between sister chromatids is the primary mechanism for SCE induced by genotoxic stress.
what we observed in our PARP3kd cells, its depletion was found to impair HR and accurate NHEJ while similarly to pair to occur. Its prolonged persistence at break sites was actively displaced to allow DNA end resection and thus re-end resection. After binding to DSB, Ku70 between the repair pathways through the regulation of DNA reported to play a determinant role in dictating the choice between the repair pathways through the regulation of DNA end resection. After binding to DSB, Ku70/Ku80 must be actively displaced to allow DNA end resection and thus repair to occur. Its prolonged persistence at break sites was found to impair HR and accurate NHEJ while similarly to what we observed in our PARP3kd cells, its depletion was associated with higher level of resection partially dependent on Exo1 during HR and increased resection-mediated deletional end joining during A-EJ (26,38,53,71). Furthermore, BRCA1 was found to cooperate with Ku80 at DSB sites and Mre11 has been implicated in Ku removal (22). Based on these different observations together with the increased etoposide-induced accumulation of BRCA1 foci and the enhanced phosphorylation of Mre11 detected in the absence of PARP3 (Figure 4A and Figure 5A), we speculated that the relationship between PARP3 and Ku80 might be important to limit extensive end resection and promote efficient DSB repair. To address this question, we first examined the association of both partners upon DNA damage (Figure 7A). Untreated or etoposide-treated whole cell extracts were immunoprecipitated with an anti-PARP3 antibody or an irrelevant antibody and coimmunoprecipitation of Ku80 was assessed by western blotting. We detected enhanced association of PARP3 with Ku80 upon DSB induction (Figure 7A, compare lane 3 with lane 2). No unspecific immunoprecipitation of Ku80 with the control antibody was detected (lane 1).

To gain insights into the functional interaction between both partners, we evaluated the ability of PARP3 to PAR-sylate Ku80 (Figure 7B). Immunopurified GFP–Ku80 or GFP alone as a control was incubated together with purified PARP3 in the presence of α-32PNAD+ and DNase-I-treated calf thymus DNA. We found that PARP3 was able to weakly PAR-sylate GFP–Ku80 and significantly the coprecipitating Ku80 but not GFP alone (lane 1). The inhibition of PARP3 using the PARP inhibitor KU-0058948 abolished the PARylation of Ku70 and GFP–Ku80 (lanes 2–3).

To explore the biological relevance of PARP3–Ku80 interaction, we analyzed how PARP3 depletion affects the accumulation of YFP–Ku80 to laser-induced DNA damage sites (Figure 7C). In agreement with the enhanced DSB end resection, we found that YFP–Ku80 recruitment was attenuated in PARP3-silenced cells compared to control cells indicating that PARP3 likely facilitates the recruitment of Ku80 to DSB to antagonize DNA end resection but facilitate Ku-mediated accurate classical NHEJ.

**DISCUSSION**

In earlier studies, we and others identified PARP3 as a newcomer in cellular response to DSB induced by ionizing radiations (32–34). Mechanistically, PARP3 was proposed to facilitate the phosphorylation and association of APLF with damaged DNA, which in turn accelerates the XRCC4/ligaseIV-mediated ligation during NHEJ (32,34). Whether PARP3 which interacts with the initial sensor of DSB, namely the Ku70/Ku80 heterodimer (31), also acts in early events of DSB repair driving the repair pathway choice remains unknown. Furthermore, the yield of irradiation-induced DSB is weak; it is estimated that 1 DSB is created for 25 SSB. As a result, despite delayed repair of radiation-induced DSB, long-term sensitivity was not affected by the absence of PARP3 (33). To clarify these issues, we analyzed the response of PARP3 to genotoxic compounds inducing significant levels of DSB and we questioned how PARP3 influences DSB-induced repair by HR versus NHEJ pathways.

We document that the nuclear abundance of PARP3 is greatly increased upon etoposide-induced DSB. Consistently, the long-term sensitivity of the PARP3-depleted cells to genotoxic agents increases with the DSB/SSB ratio. While X-irradiation had no impact (33), the long-term survival of PARP3-depleted cells was significantly compromised upon exposure to bleomycin and etoposide. Interestingly, we also observed an additive cytotoxic effect of PARP1 inhibition that seems more important upon exposure to bleomycin than to etoposide. We explain this difference by a higher accumulation of unrepaired SSB in bleomycin-treated cells. Together, these data are in strong support of a specific role of PARP3 in DSB repair while PARP1 responds to both SSB and DSB (72–75).

Our data also reveal a participation of PARP3 in the balance between competing DSB repair pathways. The resection of DSB to generate single-stranded DNA is a major restriction point in the choice between HR and C-NHEJ and is in competition with DNA end protection (2,23). In addition, end resection is a hallmark of mutagenic A-EJ (59). Several processes and proteins modulate these events. Among them, the association of Ku70–Ku80 to DNA ends favors end protection and promotes accurate C-NHEJ through the recruitment of the core components of the repair pathway (10,76). Several studies have shown that the absence of Ku80 not only impairs accurate NHEJ but also enhances end resection catalyzed by Exo1 and consequently HR-directed repair (53,71,77). Similarly, we find that PARP3 depleted-cells display deficient NHEJ, but enhanced DNA end resection that is marked by increased DNA-damage-induced accumulation of RPA and RAD54 foci together with accelerated RPA phosphorylation and increased activation of Mre11. Furthermore, extensive end resection caused increased deletional end joining during A-EJ as observed in Ku80-deficient cells (38). Earlier studies have identified an association of PARP3 with Ku80 (31). Here we show that this interaction is in-
creased after the induction of DSB and that PARP3 PAR-sylates the Ku70–Ku80 heterodimer. Together these data provide evidence for a regulatory role of PARP3 in the repair pathway choice partly by facilitating the recruitment of Ku80 to DNA damage sites, thereby preventing extensive DNA end resection. The diminished recruitment of Ku80 to DNA-damaged sites that we observed upon PARP3 silencing might at one side increase and/or stabilize the binding of CtIP/Mre11 and Exo1 at DSB sites and consequently stimulate DSB resection and on the other side compromise efficient and accurate Ku-dependent NHEJ. Supporting these results and by analogy to PARP3 in vertebrates, genetic studies in Dictyostelium identified a third ADP-riboseyltransferase termed Adprt1a that promotes the recruitment and/or retention of Ku80 to DSB to facilitate NHEJ and prevent HR (78). Accordingly, disruption of Adprt1a decreased the ability of cells to perform end joining with a concomitant increase in HR. We have yet to determine how the poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation of Ku70–Ku80 regulates these events. It has also been reported that PARP3 promotes NHEJ via the recruitment of APLF at damaged sites, which in turn promotes the recruitment/retention of XLF and XRCC4-Lig4 for efficient DNA ligation during C-NHEJ (32). In this molecular complex, Ku80 plays a critical role in retaining and stabilizing APLF and consequently XRCC4-Lig4 into the Ku-DNA complexes (79). The participation of PARP3 in the recruitment of Ku80 and thereby APLF and XRCC4-Lig4 for efficient DNA ligation during C-NHEJ has been clearly identified. PARP3 appears as an ideal candidate for PARP1 (74,92).

That the sensitivity of the PARP3-depleted cells to genotoxic agents increases with the ratio of DSB/SSB also raises the possibility that its function in Ku80 recruitment and repair pathway choice is influenced by this ratio. At a biochemical level, this could be explained by a regulated cross talk between PARP3 in DSB resolution and PARP1 and/or PARP2 in SSB resolution. Forthcoming studies will help to clarify this hypothesis.

Surprisingly, despite extensive DNA end resection, HR as measured using the integrated GFP reporter substrate or by analyzing DNA-damage-induced SCE is severely compromised. Although the mechanism involved is unknown, these observations imply a blockage of the HR-mediated repair process at a step downstream of DNA end resection, during strand invasion as suggested by the higher accumulation of RAD54-containing cells, in the resolution or dissolution of the Holliday junctions or at the final ligation step. Furthermore, this apparent contradiction questions the interpretation whether extensive end resection might suppress HR. Alternatively, these results suggest a contribution of PARP3 to a later step of homology-dependent repair that is yet to be identified.

In summary, the work presented here provides good evidence that PARP3 limits end resection and thereby helps making the decision between HR and end-joining pathways (HR versus C-NHEJ and/or C-NHEJ versus A-EJ) partly in cooperation with the Ku70–Ku80 heterodimer, although the structure of the chromatin around the lesion may also be important. Furthermore, our findings may inspire the development of PARP3-specific inhibitors in therapeutic strategies aimed to potentiate the cytotoxic action of clastogenic drugs generating high amounts of DSB. Clearly, recent and ongoing structural and screening studies aimed to identify PARP3-specific inhibitors will be valuable in exploring such strategies (84,93).
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