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Abstract

Lrf has been previously described as a powerful proto-oncogene. Here we surprisingly demonstrate that Lrf plays a critical oncosuppressive role in the prostate. Prostate specific inactivation of Lrf leads to a dramatic acceleration of Pten-loss-driven prostate tumorigenesis through a bypass of Pten-loss-induced senescence (PICS). We show that LRF physically interacts with and functionally antagonizes SOX9 transcriptional activity on key target genes such as MIA, which is involved in tumor cell invasion, and H19, a long non-coding RNA precursor for an Rb-targeting miRNA. Inactivation of Lrf in vivo leads to Rb down-regulation, PICS bypass and invasive prostate cancer. Importantly, we found that LRF is genetically lost, as well as down-regulated at both the mRNA and protein levels in a subset of human advanced prostate cancers. Thus, we identify LRF as a context-dependent cancer gene that can act as an oncogene in some contexts but also displays oncosuppressive-like activity in Pten−/− tumors.

Lrf (also known as Pokemon, FBI-1 and OCZF) is a member of the POK (POZ/BTB and Krüppel) transcription factor family1-4 with important roles in cellular differentiation and oncogenesis5-9. We have previously shown that LRF is highly expressed in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma tissues and acts as a bona fide proto-oncogene through its ability to directly repress the expression of the tumor suppressor ARF1. Recently, overexpression of LRF has been described in different types of human cancers of various origins, such as non-small cell lung cancer (NSCL), breast cancer, and ovarian cancer10-14, reinforcing the role of LRF as an important proto-oncogene in multiple tissues.

Here we showed that Lrf inactivation profoundly promotes the progression of Pten-loss-driven prostate tumorigenesis by activating SOX9-dependent oncogenic pathways to bypass Pten-loss-induced cellular senescence (PICS) and promote proliferation, survival and invasion. Importantly, expression of LRF is lost in a subset of human advanced prostate cancer. Thus, these results suggest a context dependent role for Lrf in tumorigenesis.

RESULTS

Conditional inactivation of Lrf promotes Pten-loss-driven prostate tumorigenesis

In order to assess the possible proto-oncogenic role for LRF in prostate cancer development, we generated a transgenic mouse with prostate-specific overexpression of Lrf. Unexpectedly, we found that over-expression of Lrf in the prostate epithelium was insufficient to trigger any sign of neoplastic transformation (Supplementary Fig. 1). At the same time, we also generated mice with conditional inactivation of Lrf in the prostate (following a strategy described previously15), expecting them to show a profound suppression in tumor development when crossed with mice harboring genetic deletion of...
known prostate tumor suppressors such as *Pten*. Specifically, we crossed *Pb-Cre4* transgenic mice (expressing *Cre* after puberty in the prostatic epithelium) with *Lrf*/*Lrf*; *Pten*/*Pten* or *Pten*/*Pten*; *Lrf*/*Lrf* mice to conditionally inactivate *Lrf* and *Pten* in the prostate (*Lrf*/*Lrf*; *Pb-Cre4*, *Pten*/*Pten*; *Pb-Cre4* and *Pten*/*Pten*; *Lrf*/*Lrf*; *Pb-Cre4* mutant mice). Inactivation of *Lrf* alone in the prostate did not lead to any pathological changes in any prostate lobes (n=10 mice) of 11 week-old mice (*Fig. 1a*). Strikingly, however, histopathological analysis using hematoxylin/eosin (H&E) (*Fig. 1a, upper panel*), pancytokeratin (Pan-K) (*Fig. 1a, middle panel*) and smooth muscle actin (SMA) (*Fig. 1a, lower panel*) staining showed a totally unexpected, highly penetrant invasive prostatic adenocarcinoma as early as 11 weeks in the *Pten*/*Pten*; *Lrf*/*Lrf*; *Pb-Cre4* double mutants.

In line with our previous report, at this age only high-grade PIN was found in the *Pten*/*Pten*; *Pb-Cre4* (n=10) (*Fig. 1a* and *1b* for the quantification of the invasive prostate cancer penetrance).

To further analyze the consequences of *Lrf* inactivation on *Pten*-null driven prostate cancer, we followed cohorts of *Pten*/*Pten*; *Pb-Cre4* and *Pten*/*Pten*; *Lrf*/*Lrf*; *Pb-Cre4* mice by monthly magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) analysis. In agreement with previous reports, MRI detected the presence of tumors in the prostates of 6-month-old *Pten*/*Pten*; *Pb-Cre4* mice (*Fig. 1c*). These tumors were significantly enlarged in the age-matched *Pten*/*Pten*; *Lrf*/*Lrf*; *Pb-Cre4* cohort as compared to those of *Pten*/*Pten*; *Pb-Cre4* mice in terms of both tumor volume (*Fig. 1c, d*) and weight (*Fig. 1e-g*).

To test whether the drastic acceleration in prostate tumorigenesis described in the *Pten*/*Pten*; *Lrf*/*Lrf*; *Pb-Cre4* mice would affect long-term survival, we followed a further cohort of mutant mice over 80 weeks. Kaplan-Meier cumulative survival analysis revealed that concomitant loss of *Lrf* and *Pten* leads to lethal prostate tumors around 13 months (*Fig. 1h-j*). The *Pten*/*Pten*; *Lrf*/*Lrf*; *Pb-Cre4* double mutant mice either died or were euthanized due to extensive tumor burden (*Fig. 1h, i*) while most *Pten*/*Pten*; *Pb-Cre4* mutant mice survived beyond 13 months (*Fig. 1j*). None of the *Lrf*/*Lrf*; *Pb-Cre4* and WT control mice died during this period, suggesting that loss of *Lrf* in combination with *Pten* deficiency has a profound effect on the survival of the mutant mice. Thus loss of *Lrf* dramatically accelerates the progression of *Pten*-loss-driven prostate tumors, leading to massive tumor growth, early stroma invasion and lethal prostate cancer. To further define whether *Lrf* has tumor suppressive-like functions in prostate cancer, we followed a cohort of wild type and *Lrf*/*Lrf*; *Pb-Cre4* mutant mice over a period of 2 years. 16-18 month-old *Lrf*/*Lrf*; *Pb-Cre4* mutants developed PIN in the ventral and dorsolateral lobes (~17%; data not shown). Even though the low disease penetrance affected the statistical power of this analysis, overall these results suggest that loss of *Lrf* can favor both tumor initiation and progression in prostate cancer.

**Inactivation of *Lrf* overcomes *Pten*-loss induced cellular senescence and promotes proliferation, survival and invasion**

Next, we attempted to define the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying the functions of *Lrf* that suppress tumor formation in *Pten*/*Pten*; *Pb-Cre4* mice. We had previously described that Pten-loss-induced senescence (PICS) represents an important fail-
safe mechanism for counteracting tumor progression in prostate.\textsuperscript{15,18} We therefore tested the cellular senescence response in \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Lrf\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} double mutant mice. To this end, prostate sections of the various genotypes were analyzed by senescence-associated beta-galactosidase staining (SA-\beta-gal). As shown in Fig. 2a, a strong cellular senescence response was observed in the \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} mice, yet was dramatically reduced in the \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Lrf\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} double mutant mice, suggesting that loss of \textit{Lrf} in a \textit{Pten-null} context can yield an unexpected bypass of the senescence response (Fig. 2b for quantification).

We then investigated the mechanisms that could explain this surprising senescence bypass. Along with other laboratories, we have previously demonstrated that the PICS program in the prostate is critically dependent on induction of Trp53, p27, and Smad4\textsuperscript{15,19,20}. We therefore compared the status of p53, p27, and Smad4 proteins in \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} and \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Lrf\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} double mutant prostate tumors. IHC staining and Western Blot analysis showed that p53, p27, and Smad4 were similarly induced in the \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Lrf\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} double mutant as compared to the \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} single mutant mice (Fig. 2c-d). Furthermore, to ensure that the ability of p53 to regulate its downstream target genes was not impaired in the \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Lrf\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} double mutant mice, we performed qPCR analysis for p21 and Mdm2 as readout for p53 activity. A similar induction of both transcripts was observed in the \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Lrf\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} and \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} mutant mice (Supplementary Fig. 2a-b), suggesting that p53 function in the \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Lrf\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} prostates was intact, and that the evasion of cellular senescence response in \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Lrf\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} mutants was attributable to a distinct cellular pathway. Additionally, in agreement with the previously reported role for this gene in ARF transcriptional repression,\textsuperscript{1} we did observe an induction of p19Arf in \textit{Lrf,Pten} double null mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) (Supplementary Fig. 2c) and murine prostates when compared to their \textit{Pten-null} counterparts (Fig. 2c lower panel and 2d). These findings are fully coherent with previous studies in which we have proven genetically that \textit{Arf} does not play a tumor-suppressive function in the mouse prostate on its own or, critical to this study, upon concomitant loss of \textit{Pten}\textsuperscript{18,21}. This notion has been further corroborated by our own as well as other investigators’ previous analyses on human specimens, which have shown that complete loss of p14ARF is extremely rare in human prostate cancer, and that increased ARF abundance unexpectedly correlates with disease aggressiveness\textsuperscript{21,22}. The senescence bypass observed in \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Lrf\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} double mutants was also accompanied by a differential rate in proliferation as compared to the \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} mutant. As shown in Fig. 2e, there was a significant increase in the Ki67 positive cells in the \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Lrf\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} double mutants as compared to the \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} mutants, providing evidence that loss of \textit{Lrf} confers a proliferative advantage in a \textit{Pten} deficient prostate (Fig. 2f for quantification). In addition, Western Blot analysis for cleaved caspase 3 revealed that apoptosis in \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Lrf\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} double mutant prostate tumors was significantly decreased as compared to the \textit{Pten\textsuperscript{flox/flox},Pb-Cre\textsuperscript{4}} mutants (Fig. 2g). Thus, inactivation of \textit{Lrf} bypasses PICS, favoring proliferation, survival, and invasion even though p53, p27 and Smad are seemingly unaffected.
**Lrf deficiency promotes cancer by activation of a Sox9-dependent pathway**

We therefore hypothesized that Lrf inactivation could transcriptionally perturb yet another pro-senescence pathway and performed transcriptome analysis using prostates from 12-week old mice of WT, Lrf<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4, and Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Lrf<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4 genotypes (3 mice per genotype). 567 genes were found to be significantly up-regulated and 482 genes down-regulated by at least 1.5 fold (p<0.01) in the Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Lrf<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4 double mutants as compared to the Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4 mutants (**Supplementary Table 1**). Melanoma inhibitory activity 1 (**Mia1**) and Deleted in Malignant Brain Tumors 1 (**Dmbt1**) were two of the most substantially up-regulated genes in the ventral as well as in the anterior lobes of the Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Lrf<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4 prostate (**Supplementary Fig. 3a**). Interestingly, both genes are well characterized transcriptional targets of Sry (sex determining region Y)-box 9 (**Sox9**)<sup>23-25</sup>, although no increase in the levels of Sox9 mRNA was detected in Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4 versus Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Lrf<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4 genotypes (**Fig. 3a**). Furthermore, we performed unbiased Opossum<sup>26-28</sup>, Ingenuity, and GSEA<sup>29,30</sup> pathway analysis on our transcriptome data to gain mechanistic insights into the function of LRF in prostate tumorigenesis. Interestingly, Opossum analysis demonstrated that SOX9 is one of the top 10 transcription factors containing over-represented transcription factor binding sites in co-expressed genes (**Supplementary Table 2**), which is consistent with our hypothesis. In addition, by using other two independent bioinformatics tools such as Ingenuity pathway analysis (IPA) and Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA), we found that the most significantly enriched gene-categories in the Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Lrf<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4 signature are ‘cellular movement’, ‘cell death and survival’ and ‘cellular growth and proliferation’ (**Supplementary Table 3 and Supplementary Table 4**), which is consistent with the aggressive nature of the Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Lrf<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4 prostate tumors we observed by histopathological and molecular analyses (**Fig. 1a-b, Fig. 2e, g**).

Given that SOX9 has been shown to play important oncogenic functions in the prostate both in vitro and in vivo<sup>31,32</sup>, we tested whether Sox9 and Lrf would functionally cross-talk during prostate cancer development (**Fig. 3**). We first performed qPCR analysis of Mia1, and Dmbt1 mRNAs to confirm the findings of the transcriptome analysis. Indeed, Mia1 and Dmbt1 mRNA were highly up-regulated in Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Lrf<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4 double mutants as compared to Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4 mice (**Fig. 3a**). Since Sox9 expression is known to be markedly induced in the Pten-deficient prostate<sup>31</sup>, we performed qPCR, WB and IHC analyses to determine whether the concomitant loss of Lrf and Pten would affect Sox9 expression. Sox9 protein was expressed in both basal cells and luminal cells of the normal mouse prostate, but comparably induced in the Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4 mutant mice as well as the Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Lrf<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4 double mutants (**Fig. 3a-b, k**). On this basis, we hypothesized that the profound and differential increase in the expression of Sox9 target genes observed in the Pten-Lrf double null prostates was due to an increase in the Sox9 transcriptional activity rather than expression levels.

LRF mainly acts as a transcriptional repressor<sup>1</sup> and SOX9 as a transcriptional activator<sup>23</sup>. We therefore tested whether LRF would oppose the transcriptional activity function of SOX9. To this end, we performed transactivation assays with a luciferase construct...
containing multiple SOX9 binding sites in PC3 and DU145 human prostate cancer cell lines which express a low level of endogenous LRF (Fig. 3c). LRF efficiently abrogated the ability of SOX9 to transactivate the reporter gene in a dose-dependent manner in PC3 and DU145 (Fig. 3d, Supplementary Fig. 3c). Since the multimeric SOX9 reporter is an artificial responsive element devoid of LRF binding sites, we next determined whether LRF was able to repress the SOX9 transcriptional activity through a direct physical interaction. To this end, we performed an immunoprecipitation assay in PC3, and RWPE-1 cells using an anti-SOX9 antibody and a control IgG. Anti-SOX9 antibody specifically pulled down endogenous LRF in PC3 and RWPE-1 cells (Fig. 3e left and middle panel), suggesting a physiological interaction between SOX9 and LRF in human prostate cells. Furthermore, an immunoprecipitation assay using Pten^flox/flox;Pb-Cre4 mouse prostates that express high levels of both Lrf and Sox9 also revealed a direct interaction between Lrf and Sox9 in vivo (Fig. 3e right panel).

To demonstrate whether SOX9 target genes, such as MIA1 and DMBT1, were also co-regulated by LRF in human prostate cells, we inactivated LRF by a short hairpin RNA (shRNA) in RWPE-1 cells, which express a high level of endogenous LRF (Fig. 3c). Subsequent qPCR analysis revealed that MIA1 and DMBT1 mRNAs were up-regulated when LRF was inactivated as compared to the control shRNA (Fig. 3f). Importantly, ChIP assays with anti-LRF and anti-SOX9 antibodies confirmed that both proteins specifically bind the promoters of MIA1 and DMBT1 (Fig. 3g). These data demonstrate that MIA1 and DMBT1 are SOX9 target genes in human prostate cells as well, and that their expression critically depends on the relative amount of LRF and SOX9.

Thus, the exacerbation of SOX9 oncogenic activities in prostate cancer as a consequence of LRF loss could drive hyper-proliferation as well as enhance invasiveness through target genes such as MIA1 and DMBT1. On this basis, we speculated that Sox9 hyper-activity in the Pten^flox/flox;Lrf^flox/flox;Pb-Cre4 double mutant mice might also be responsible for the senescence bypass. In this respect, we noticed that the Sox9 target gene H19, which encodes a precursor non-coding RNA for miR675, was strongly up-regulated in the Pten^flox/flox;Lrf^flox/flox;Pb-Cre4 double mutant mice as compared to Pten^flox/flox;Pb-Cre4 mutant mice (Fig. 3h left panel, Supplementary Fig. 3a). Given that miR675 is known to target the tumor suppressor Retinoblastoma (Rb), a key regulator of the cellular senescence response, we tested whether miR675 expression was concomitantly increased in Pten^flox/flox;Lrf^flox/flox;Pb-Cre4 double mutant mice. Indeed, expression levels of miR675 are far higher in the prostates of Pten^flox/flox;Lrf^flox/flox;Pb-Cre4 double mutant mice than in those of Pten^flox/flox;Pb-Cre4 mice (Fig. 3h right panel, Supplementary Fig. 4). Accordingly, H19 mRNA was also strongly induced when LRF was transiently inactivated in RWPE1 cells (Fig. 3i). Furthermore, both SOX9 and LRF were found to bind to the H19 promoter as shown by ChIP assay (Fig. 3j) suggesting that H19 is a direct target for LRF and SOX9 in prostate cell. Western blot and IHC analysis confirmed that Rb protein expression was clearly down-regulated in Pten^flox/flox;Lrf^flox/flox;Pb-Cre4 double mutant mice prostate (Fig. 3k, l). As expected, Rb reduction in mouse Pten-Lrf double-null prostates determined the up-regulation of a panel of E2F1 proven transcriptional target genes (Supplementary Table 5), although, interestingly, it has been shown recently that the...
regulation of senescence by Rb in the mouse prostate is at least partly independent of E2F function\(^48\). However, although the Rb/E2F pathway was clearly affected in \(Pten^{\text{flox/flox}}, \text{Lrf}^{\text{flox/flox}}, \text{Pb-Cre}4\) prostate cancer, we cannot rule out the possibility that loss of Lrf may also affect PICS by perturbing additional unknown pro-senescence pathways.

Taken together these results demonstrate that during prostate tumorigenesis, the oncosuppressive function of Lrf directly impinge on the oncogenic activity of Sox9, and that Lrf loss in the prostate favors: \(i\) senescence bypass, \(ii\) increase of proliferation rate, \(iii\) apoptosis resistance, and \(iv\) invasive potential.

**Loss of \(Lrf\) expression in human prostate cancer**

We next investigated the status of \(LRF\) in human prostate cancer by first analyzing the expression of \(LRF\) mRNA and protein, respectively, in Oncomine database plus a customized human prostate cancer Tissue Micro-Array (TMA) (see also reference\(^49\)). In line with our mouse data, our analysis of prostate cancer expression profile datasets\(^50-54\), revealed that \(LRF\) is under-expressed in prostate cancer, and down-regulated upon tumor progression to high Gleason score and metastasis (Fig. 4a-d, Supplementary Fig. 5, and reference\(^49\)). Additionally, we analyzed human prostate cancer expression array data from patients stratified by prostate cancer recurrence\(^55\) (Fig. 4d), and found that \(LRF\) transcript levels are significantly decreased in patient samples demonstrating a greater propensity for tumor recurrence (Fig. 4d). As the \(LRF\) transcript is extremely C/G rich (70%), which may affect the specificity in the annealing of the probe, we analyzed multiple prostate cancer expression profile data sets (11 in total)\(^50-60\); of these, 6 clearly showed down-regulation of \(LRF\) in human prostate cancer/metastasis, while 3 did not permit any clear conclusion, and 2 showed no significant changes in the expression of \(LRF\) between normal versus prostate cancer samples (see Supplementary Table 6 for details on all the interrogated data sets and criteria followed for the analysis).

To further define the cause of \(LRF\) loss in human cancer, we employed a multifaceted approach. Strikingly, a comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) analysis for genetic alterations involving the region of \(LRF\) on chromosome 19 showed that 18% (10 out of 55 patients) of advanced prostate cancer harbored monoallelic loss of \(LRF\) (Supplementary Fig. 6a: see also reference\(^49\)). Additionally, we recently discovered that \(LRF\) levels are strongly down-regulated by the miR-17 family (including miR-20a, -93 and -106b\(^61\), and Supplementary Fig. 6b-e), which regulates \(LRF\) mRNA translation more than transcript degradation\(^61\), and which also targets PTEN\(^62\). Intriguingly, the miR106b–25 cluster is located in intron 13 of the of minichromosome maintenance complex component 7 (\(MCM7\)) gene, one of the most genetically amplified loci in human advanced prostate cancer\(^63\).

Accordingly, we found this miRNA family markedly up-regulated in human prostate cancer (Fig. 4e)\(^53\), while IHC staining with anti-LRF on a TMA which included 50 human samples of primary prostate cancer (see Supplementary Table 7 for detail information) confirmed loss of LRF protein in approximately 50% (22/42) of biopsied specimens analyzed (Fig. 4f; 8 out of 50 prostate cancer samples were excluded from the analysis for technical reasons). Importantly, we also observed a significant association between LRF and PTEN protein loss in these 42 prostate cancer specimens (Chi-square, \(p=0.0123\)) (Fig. 4g), further suggesting a
cooperative oncosuppressive role for LRF and PTEN in human prostate cancer (see also reference49). Lastly, we performed immunohistochemistry for LRF and SOX9 on the same TMA and found that 20 cases out of 36 human advanced prostate tumors (Gleason scores 8-10) (see Supplementary Table 7 for detail information) were characterized by high levels of SOX9 expression (Supplementary Fig. 7a-b). Importantly, 12 out of these 20 also showed a concomitant loss of LRF protein (Supplementary Fig. 7a-b).

DISCUSSION

In this work, we have unexpectedly demonstrated that loss of \( \textit{Lrf} \) accelerates the progression of \( \textit{Pten} \)-loss driven tumors in the prostate. This notion is further corroborated by the lack of tumorigenesis and any discernible phenotype in mice that overexpressed LRF specifically in the prostate epithelium (\textit{Probasin (Pb)}-\( \textit{Lrf} \) transgenic lines, data not shown). \( \textit{LRF} \) can therefore critically contribute to tumorigenesis not only when overexpressed but also when down-regulated, depending on its cellular and genetic milieu. In mechanistic terms, we demonstrate that \( \textit{Lrf} \) loss dramatically accelerates the progression of \( \textit{Pten} \)-loss-driven prostate tumors by super-activating the oncogenic transcriptional activity of \( \textit{Sox9} \), a key step that in prostate cancer leads to bypass of PICS and the activation of pro-proliferative/survival and invasive transcriptional Sox9-dependent signatures (Fig. 4h).

Importantly, we also defined that \( \textit{LRF} \) is genetically lost as well as transcriptionally and post-transcriptionally down-regulated in human prostate cancers, and that loss of LRF protein strongly correlates with loss of PTEN protein in advanced prostate cancer. Notably, we discovered that \( \textit{LRF} \) and \( \textit{PTEN} \) transcripts are both targets of the miR-106b–25 cluster located in the intron 13 of \textit{MCM7}62. \textit{MCM7} is one of the most amplified oncogenes in human prostate cancer, and is highly correlated with tumor progression, biochemical recurrence, and distant metastases63. In addition, it has been shown that Rb negatively regulates DNA replication through a direct interaction with MCM764. Thus, downregulation of Rb due to LRF/PTEN concomitant inactivation may also promote the oncogenic activities of MCM7. This ability to simultaneously down-regulate PTEN and LRF therefore defines a new important causal link between \textit{MCM7/miR-106b–25} amplification and prostate tumorigenesis. Others important genetic events such as TMPRSS2-ERG fusion or p53 mutation/loss have been identified as frequent events in human prostate cancer65-69, and are strongly associated with loss of PTEN. Robust evidence of functional cooperation between these genetic lesions has been demonstrated by a plethora of different studies, particularly those utilizing specific mouse models15,70,71. Given that loss or down-regulation of LRF is also associated with PTEN loss in advanced prostate tumors, it is conceivable that LRF might have important oncosuppressive functions in the context of TMPRSS2-ERG fusion or p53 loss/mutation pathways, in counteracting the progression of prostate tumors as well as the response to specific treatments 49.

Thus genetic analysis in mouse models has identified an unpredicted dual role of LRF in oncogenesis through its ability to control major tumor pathways such as ARF/p53, Rb and SOX9, in a context- and tissue-dependent manner, and has defined a novel oncogenic pathway triggered by \( \textit{LRF} \) loss, and pathogenetically linked to the amplification of the \textit{MCM7} locus in advanced human prostate cancer.
METHODS

Generation of Pten and Lrf mutant mice

Pten^floxflox, Lrf^floxflox, and Pb-Cre4 were maintained as described<sup>1,15</sup>. To generate the prostate-specific deletion of Pten and Lrf, female Pten^floxflox;Lrf^floxflox mice were crossed with male Pb-Cre4 transgenic mice<sup>16</sup>. For genotyping, tail DNA was subjected to polymerase chain reaction analysis with the following primers (see Supplementary Table 8 for detail information). For Pten^floxflox, primer PtenLoxP_Fwd and PtenLoxP_Rev were used. For Lrf^floxflox, the following primers were used: PCFW1, PCFW2, PCFW3, PCRV, PCNeo. All experimental animals were kept in a mixed genetic background of C57BL/6J X129/Sv. Animal experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.

Plasmids and cell lines

The pcDNA3.1-XP-LRF plasmid, which expresses an Xpress-tagged LRF, was generated by cloning the full-length human cDNA into the pcDNA3.1/HisC plasmid. The pcDNA3-Flag-SOX9 plasmid<sup>72</sup> was a gift from Dr. P. Berta (Human Molecular Genetics Group, Institut de Genetique Humane, Montpellier, France) and the SOX9-regulated luciferase reporter (4x48-p89-luciferase)<sup>33</sup> was provided by Dr. B. de Crombrugghe (Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX).

PC3, Du145, LNCaP, RWPE-1, and PWR-1E cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). PC3, Du145, and LNCaP cells were maintained in DMEM with 10% FBS. RWPE-1 and PWR-1E cells were cultured in Keratinocyte Serum Free Medium (K-SFM) supplemented with bovine pituitary extract (BPE) and human recombinant epidermal growth factor (EGF).

shRNA constructs and lentiviral production

shRNA constructs were obtained from Open Biosystems in lentiviral cassettes. An shRNA with high LRF knockdown efficiency was used, with an shRNA for GFP used as a control. As described previously<sup>73</sup>, lentivirus was made using a three-plasmid packaging system. Briefly, shRNAs in the pLKO.1-puro vector were co-transfected into 293T cells along with expression vectors containing the gag/pol, rev and vsvg genes. Lentivirus was harvested 48 h after transfection, and 5 μg/ml polybrene was added. Subconfluent RWPE-1 cells were infected with harvested lentivirus, and were selected in 2 μg/ml puromycin for 1 week.

Senescence and apoptosis assays

SA-β-Gal activity in prostate tissue was revealed with the senescence detection kit (Calbiochem) on 6μm thick frozen sections. For apoptosis analysis, dewaxed and rehydrated
paraffin sections were treated for with the in situ Cell Death Detection Kit (Roche) and apoptotic cells were identified by positive TUNEL staining.

**Western blot, immunoprecipitation and immunohistochemistry**

For western blot, cell lysates were prepared with RIPA buffer (1 × PBS, 1% Nonidet P40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS and protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)). The following antibodies were used for western blotting: rat monoclonal anti-p19Arf (5-C3-1; Calbiochem), rabbit polyclonal anti-p53 (CM5; Novocastra), rabbit polyclonal anti-p21 (C-19; Santa Cruz), mouse monoclonal anti-p27 antibody (BD Bioscience), rabbit polyclonal anti-p16 (M-156; Santa Cruz), rabbit polyclonal anti-sox9 antibody (Millipore), mouse polyclonal antibody to β-actin (Sigma-aldrich), mouse monoclonal anti-HSP90 (BD Biosciences), total caspase 3, cleaved caspase 3 and GAPDH (Cell Signaling Technology). For immunoprecipitation, PC3 cell lysates were prepared in EBC buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 120 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40) and subjected to immunoprecipitation with 2 μg anti-Sox9 antibody above. The immunoprecipitates were washed with NETN buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA and 0.5% NP-40) and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. For immunohistochemistry (IHC), tissues were fixed in 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin in accordance with standard procedures. Sections were stained for phospho-Akt (Ser 473) antibody (Cell Signalling), PTEN (Ab-2; NeoMarkers), Ki-67 (Novocastra), p19Arf (5-C3-1; Calbiochem), p21 (F-5; Santa Cruz), p53 (FL-393; Santa Cruz), androgen receptor (N-20; Santa Cruz), smooth muscle actin (Abcam), pancytokeratin (Sigma-aldrich), and p63 (550025; Becton Dickson Transduction Lab).

**Transfection and Luciferase reporter assay**

One day before transfection, cells were plated into 24-well plates at a density of 70-80%. The cells were transfected with the plasmids DNA and lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) for 24 hours according to the manufacturer's recommendation. 48 hours post-transfection, cells were lysed with passive lysis buffer and analyzed for luciferase activity using the Dual-luciferase assay system (Promega). pRL-SV40-Renillar was used a control for transfection efficiency.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)**

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay was performed as described previously. Briefly, formaldehyde was added at 1% to PC3 cells or RWPE-1 cells for 5 minutes to cross-link proteins and DNA. Cells were then washed and re-suspended in lysis buffer (1% sodium dodecyl, 10 mM EDTA, and 50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.1) with 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 μg/mL aprotinin, and 1 μg/mL pepstatin-A added. After brief sonication, cell lysates were cleared by centrifugation and were diluted 10-fold with dilution buffer (0.01% sodium dodecyl, 1% Triton X-100, 1.2 mM EDTA, 16.7 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.1, and 167 mM NaCl) containing protease inhibitors. Anti–Sox9 (C-20, Santa Cruz) and anti–LRF (Bethyl Laboratory) or control IgG were added at 4°C overnight with rotation. Immunoprecipitated complexes were collected using Protein G Dynabeads (Invitrogen) and then washed with Low Salt Immune Complex Wash Buffer, High Salt Immune Complex Wash Buffer, LiCl Immune Complex Wash Buffer, and TE buffer. 5 M
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NaCl was added in order to the resulting precipitants to reverse the formaldehyde cross-linking by heating at 65°C for 6 hours. Following phenol/chloroform extraction and precipitation with ethanol, pellets were re-suspended in TE buffer and subjected to quantitative PCR analysis using forward and reverse primers selected from the H19, MIA1, DMBT1, and CXCL5 promoter sequences (see Supplementary Table 8 for detail information). Quantitative PCR was used to amplify immunoprecipitated DNA using QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR kit (QIAGEN). Relative enrichment of the pulled down chromatin for LRF and SOX9 was normalized IgG control using the comparative CT method.75

RNA isolation, gene expression profiling and quantitative PCR analysis

Total RNAs were purified from AP, VP, or indicated cell lines using the RNAeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) and treated with RNase-free DNase set (Qiagen). For gene expression profiling experiments, RNAs from AP or VP were labeled and hybridized Affymetrix GeneChip® HT Mouse Genome 430 PM arrays by the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Genomics and Proteomics Center. For quantitative PCR analysis, 2 μg total RNAs were reverse transcribed into cDNA using the Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche Applied Science). Taqman quantitative PCR analysis (Applied Biosystems) was performed in the Biopolymers Facility at Harvard Medical School using an Applied Biosystems 7900 HT Fast instrument according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Each target was run in triplicate and expression level was normalized to mouse glucuronidase beta (GusB) or human β-actin. For miRNA profiling, total RNA was isolated from AP and subjected to global miRNA profiling with Nanostring technology. A total of 578 miRNAs were evaluated using the nCounter® Mouse miRNA Expression Assay Kit.

Tissue microarray analysis

The tissue microarray (TMAs) used in this studied was constructed at the Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center (MSKCC) or purchased from US Biomax, Inc., and stained in Pathology and Molecular Cytology Core Facilities as described previously.1

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using unpaired t-test (GraphPad Prism, GraphPad Software, Inc.). Values of P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. The mean ± s.d. of three or more independent experiments is reported.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Editorial Summary (PF)

The transcription factor Lrf/Pokemon was previously described as an oncogene in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. Now, Pier Paolo Pandolfi and colleagues report that loss of Lrf accelerates the progression of invasive prostate tumorigenesis in Pten−/− mice and shows evidence of monoallelic loss in 18% (10 out of 55 patients) of advanced prostate cancer.
Figure 1. Conditional deletion of Lrf in mouse prostate dramatically promotes Pten-loss-induced prostate tumorigenesis
(a) H&E, anti-Pan-cytokeratin (Pan-K) and anti-smooth muscle actin (SMA) staining of WT, Lrf<sup>flox/flox</sup>,Pb-Cre4, Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>,Pb-Cre4, and Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>,Lrf<sup>flox/flox</sup>,Pb-Cre4 prostates. (b) Percentage of invasive prostate carcinoma in Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>,Pb-Cre4, and Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>,Lrf<sup>flox/flox</sup>,Pb-Cre4 mice. (c) MRI analysis of Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>,Pb-Cre4 and Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>,Lrf<sup>flox/flox</sup>,Pb-Cre4 prostates. (d) Tumor volume quantification. (e) Anterior prostate (AP) tumor weight from 3 month-old Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>,Pb-Cre4, and
$Pten^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Lrf^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Pb$-Cre4 mice (n=5). (f) Ventral prostate (VP) tumor weight from 6, 7, 9 month-old $Pten^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Pb$-Cre4 (n=5, grey bars), and $Pten^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Lrf^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Pb$-Cre4 mice (n=5, black bars). (g) Dorsolateral prostate (DLP) tumor weight from 6, 7, 9 month-old $Pten^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Pb$-Cre4 (n=5, grey bars), and $Pten^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Lrf^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Pb$-Cre4 mice (n=5, black bars). Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. (h) Representative prostates from WT, $Lrf^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Pb$-Cre4, $Pten^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Pb$-Cre4 , and $Pten^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Lrf^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Pb$-Cre4 mice 1 year old. (i) Representative H&E staining from $Pten^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Pb$-Cre4 , and $Pten^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Lrf^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Pb$-Cre4 mice 1 year old. (j) Cumulative survival of WT (n=20), $Lrf^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Pb$-Cre4 (n=20), $Pten^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Pb$-Cre4 (n=20), and $Pten^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Lrf^{\text{floxflox}}$, $Pb$-Cre4 (n=11) mice.
Figure 2. Loss of Lrf leads to senescence bypass and increased proliferation

(a) Senescence-associated β-galactosidase staining (SA-β-gal) of WT, Lrf\textsubscript{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4, Pten\textsubscript{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4, and Pten\textsubscript{flox/flox}, Lrf\textsubscript{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4 prostates of 12 week-old mice show a significant reduction of senescence in Pten\textsubscript{flox/flox}, Lrf\textsubscript{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4 prostates as compared to Pten\textsubscript{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4 prostates. (b) Percentage of SA-b-gal positive cells in the prostate of 12 week-old Pten\textsubscript{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4, and Pten\textsubscript{flox/flox}, Lrf\textsubscript{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4 mice (number of mice=3/genotype, number of cells=1000/field, number of fields=10/lobe). (c) Anti-p53, anti-p27 and p19Arf staining in 12 week-old WT, Lrf\textsubscript{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4, Pten\textsubscript{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4, and Pten\textsubscript{flox/flox}, Lrf\textsubscript{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4 prostates.
Pten^{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4, and Pten^{flox/flox};Lrf^{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4 prostates. (d) Western blot analysis for Pten, pAkt (Serine 473), Lrf, Smad4, pSmad2, AR, p53, p21, p27, and p19Arf. (e) Ki-67 staining of WT, Lrf^{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4, Pten^{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4, and Pten^{flox/flox};Lrf^{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4 prostates suggests that loss of Lrf and Pten leads to increased proliferation. (f) Percentage of Ki67 positive cells in the three lobes of WT (light grey bars), Lrf^{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4 (grey bars), Pten^{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4 (dark grey bars), and Pten^{flox/flox};Lrf^{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4 (black bars) 12-week-old mice (number of mice=3/genotype, total cells/lobe=5000). (g) Western blot analysis for cleaved caspase 3, total caspase 3, and Gapdh of Pten^{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4, and Pten^{flox/flox};Lrf^{flox/flox};Pb-Cre4 12-week-old mice prostates.
Figure 3. Hyper-activation of Sox9 in Pten;Lrf double-null prostate tumors down-regulates Rb expression through H19/miR675

(a) qRT-PCR analysis of Mia1, Dmbt1, and Sox9 expression in WT (black bars), Lrf$^{flx/flx}$;Pb-Cre4 (orange bars), Pten$^{flx/flx}$;Pb-Cre4 (red bars), and Pten$^{flx/flx}$;Lrf$^{flx/flx}$;Pb-Cre4 (blue bars) n=3/genotype 12 week-old mice prostates. (b) Anti-Sox9 staining of WT, Lrf$^{flx/flx}$;Pb-Cre4, Pten$^{flx/flx}$;Pb-Cre4 and Pten$^{flx/flx}$;Lrf$^{flx/flx}$;Pb-Cre4 prostates. (c) Western blot analysis of prostate cells lines for LRF expression. (d) Dual-luciferase assay with a Sox9-reporter in PC3 cells. (e) Co-
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immunoprecipitation of SOX9 and LRF from PC3 cells, RWPE-1 cells, and mouse prostate. (f) shRNA targeting LRF (grey bars) in RWPE-1 cells leads to an increase in MIA1 and DMBT1 mRNAs expression. Scramble shRNA was used as control (pink bars). (g) Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) of MIA1 and DMBT1 promoters using anti-LRF (yellow bar), anti-SOX9 (green bar) or rabbit IgG (white bars) antibodies. (h) H19 and miR675 expression in WT (black bar), Lrf<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4 (orange bar), Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4 (red bars), Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Lrf<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4 (blue bars). N=3 mice/genotype were used. (i) shRNA targeting LRF (grey bar) in RWPE-1 cells leads to an increase in H19 mRNA expression. Scramble shRNA was used as control (pink bar). Data are presented as mean of 3 independent experiments ± standard deviation. (j) Both LRF (yellow bar) and SOX9 (green bar) bind to H19 promoter as shown by ChIP analysis in RWPE-1 cells. Rabbit IgG were used as control (white bar). All data are presented as mean of 3 independent experiments ± standard deviation. (k-l) Rb protein expression in Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Lrf<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4 and Pten<sup>flox/flox</sup>;Pb-Cre4 prostates as shown by Western blot analysis (k) and immunohistochemical analysis (l).
Figure 4. LRF down-regulation in human prostate cancers correlates with tumor progression and metastasis

(a-d) LRF mRNA is significantly down-regulated in a subset of primary prostate cancers and metastasis (Oncomine). (e) Expression analysis of miR20, miR106b, and miR93 in normal prostate epithelium (grey bars) versus prostate cancer tissue (red bars). (f) IHC analysis of PTEN and LRF protein expression in high Gleason human prostate cancers demonstrating that loss of LRF is strongly associated with loss of PTEN. (g) Distribution of Pten+/LRF+, PTEN+/LRF−, PTEN−/LRF+, and PTEN−/LRF− samples in the cohort of patients analyzed. (h) Model for the role of LRF in prostate cancer progression.