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Abstract

Animals modulate the power output needed for different locomotor tasks through changes in muscle force production and fascicle strain rate. To generate sufficient force, appropriate motor unit recruitment must occur. Given that faster motor units contract with faster strain rates and have faster activation-deactivation rates, it is therefore likely that faster motor units are recruited for more rapid movements. The goals of this study were to 1) describe changes in motor unit recruitment patterns that occur with changes in locomotor dynamics and 2) test whether motor unit recruitment can be directly related to in vivo measures of muscle force and fascicle strain and strain rate, and thus mechanical work. Myoelectric, sonomicrometric, and muscle-tendon force data were collected from the lateral and medial gastrocnemius muscles of the goat hind limb during level and incline walking and trotting, and level galloping. Myoelectric signals were analyzed using wavelet and principal component analysis in order to quantify changes to the myoelectric frequency spectra across locomotor conditions. Fascicle strain and strain rate were calculated from the sonomicrometric data, and force rate was calculated from the tendon force data. The results of this study demonstrate that, under certain locomotor conditions such as level galloping and incline walking, where EMG activity were similar but had different frequency components, faster and slower motor units are recruited in patterns that were task-specific. The study also shows that the recruitment patterns of different motor unit types are related to in vivo fascicle strain rates in addition to myoelectric intensity and force. Together, these data provide evidence that changes in motor unit recruitment have an underlying mechanical basis, at least for certain locomotor tasks.
Introduction

Mammalian skeletal muscles are composed of multiple motor unit types, each of which has defined physiological and mechanical properties. This enables these muscles to produce the mechanical output required to perform a wide range of locomotor tasks with variable force and velocity requirements. For example, fast fibres have strain rates and activation-deactivation rates that are two to three times faster than those of slow fibers (He et al., 2000). It seems likely that these differences influence the way motor units are recruited within individual muscles and among synergistic muscles. Many studies have made indirect assessments of muscle force, length, and shortening velocity (e.g. Hodson-Tole and Wakeling, 2008; Lichtwark et al., 2003; Gillis et al., 2005). However, few studies have measured muscle force and strain together directly during differing locomotor tasks due, in part, to methodological challenges. Furthermore, the possible link between motor unit recruitment patterns and task-dependent factors, such as muscle fascicle strain rate, has only been explored in a limited number of studies (Hodson-Tole and Wakeling, 2009, Wakeling et al., 2006). Thus, whether the manner in which recruitment patterns change with the force- or strain-related demands of different locomotor tasks remains largely unknown.

Recent in vivo studies have established that muscles modulate their work output to meet the changing mechanical demands associated with various locomotor tasks (Roberts et al. 1997, Daley and Biewener, 2003; Gabaldon et al., 2004; McGuigan et al. 2009). For example, when an animal runs uphill, the net output of the muscles must be increased to raise the body’s center of mass. Across a variety of species, in those muscles examined, muscle fascicle strain, strain rate and force have been shown to vary substantially when animals and humans walk, hop, and run at different speeds, or over different terrain (e.g. Roberts et al., 1997; Biewener, 1998; Biewener et al., 1998; Daley and Biewener, 2003; Gillis and Biewener, 2002; Fukunaga et al., 2001; Lichtwark et al., 2006, 2007; McGuigan et al., 2009). However, the manner in which work output is modulated by recruiting different motor unit types remains largely unknown.

When there is a requirement for an increased level of muscle force output from a muscle, motor units are typically recruited in an orderly fashion from slowest to fastest, originally formulated as the size principle of motor unit recruitment by Henneman et al. (1965, 1974) and others (Freund et al., 1975, Fedde et al., 1969). Different motor unit types innervate a range of
muscle fibres: type I – slow-twitch and type II-A-II-B fast twitch-fibres (Burke et al., 1973).

However, evidence for alternative, task-dependent recruitment patterns has emerged for muscles operating across a range of species (e.g. Gillespie et al., 1974; Grimby et al., 1981; Nardone et al., 1989; Sokoloff and Cope, 1996; Wakeling et al., 2006; Hodson-Tole and Wakeling, 2007, 2008, 2010). For example, there is evidence of preferential recruitment of faster motor unit types during rapid locomotor tasks. This evidence is based, in large part, on analyzing the time-varying frequency spectra of myoelectric signals (reviews: Hodson-Tole and Wakeling, 2009; Reaz et al., 2006). Different motor unit types have muscle fibres with different electrical membrane properties (Luff and Atwood, 1972), and there is an intrinsic speed dependence of the EMG frequency such that faster fibers generate higher-frequency signals (Wakeling et al., 2002; Hodson and Wakeling, 2008, Lee et al., 2011). Recently, Wakeling et al. (2006) demonstrated during cycling in humans that EMG signals shifted to higher frequencies when humans cycle at higher pedaling frequencies and muscle fascicle strain rates, consistent with an earlier cycling study that suggested preferential recruitment of the faster quadriceps muscle fibres at faster contraction speeds during locomotion (Citterio and Agostoni, 1984). Hodson-Tole and Wakeling (2008) similarly showed that myoelectric frequency content was associated with changes in fascicle strain rate in rat hindlimb muscles over a range of gait velocities and inclines.

Other factors, such as the force magnitude and the force rise and relaxation rates of different fibres, may also influence motor unit recruitment. Since activation-deactivation rates vary for different motor unit types (Burke et al., 1973, Lee et al., 2011), it is logical that locomotor tasks that have short shortening-lengthening cycles, such as a cat paw-shake, require fast activation-deactivation rates and thus, recruitment of faster motor units (Smith et al., 1980). Roberts and Gabaldon (2008) observed an increased electromechanical delay for force relaxation in turkey ankle muscles during slow walking than during running. Because the delay was not correlated with the muscle’s shortening velocity, they hypothesized that the shorter relaxation times during fast walking reflect the shorter relaxation times of faster muscle fibres. Hodson-Tole and Wakeling (2008) reported similar observations during incline walking where rat muscle strain rates were slower during level walking and were associated with the recruitment of slower motor units despite increased total EMG intensity. Preferential recruitment of motor units types was further supported when comparing the EMG frequency content during level running and incline walking where the EMG intensity was similar, but the EMG frequency content was
significantly lower during incline walking. It is possible that when rapid force production and relaxation are required, recruitment of faster motor units — independent of slow units — is needed. If slower motor units are activated, then faster cycle rates may be hindered. During tasks where sustained force at low to moderate levels is needed, or where rapid force generation and relaxation are not required, orderly motor unit recruitment according to the size principle is more likely to be sufficient to meet the mechanical demands of the muscle.

In this paper, we explore whether force rise and relaxation rates, along with fascicle strain rates, are associated with orderly recruitment patterns from slow to faster motor units of the lateral and medial gastrocnemius (LG and MG) muscles of goats during different in vivo locomotor tasks, or whether there are conditions in which faster units are preferentially recruited in a task-specific fashion. In goats and other mammals, the LG and MG muscles are composed of both slow and fast fibres. Goats were selected for this study because they allowed EMG, fascicle length and strain rate, as well as tendon force to be measured directly under in vivo conditions. The purposes of this study were to 1) describe changes in motor unit recruitment patterns due to changes in locomotor dynamics associated with speed, and grade; and 2) examine whether these motor unit recruitment patterns potentially tune or enhance the muscle’s contractile properties for a specific motor task by characterizing the relationships between motor unit activity, fascicle strain rate, and force profiles. Given that the recruitment of faster motor units results in a higher frequency content of the EMG with the same or less intensity, we hypothesized that 1) faster gait speed leads to an increase in the high frequency component of the EMG signal and that 2) for similar EMG intensities, increased surface grade leads to an increase in the low frequency component of the EMG signal compared with fast level locomotion. We also hypothesized that 3) there is a significant association between myoelectric frequency content, force, and force rise and relaxation rates, as well as 4) there is a significant positive correlation between EMG frequency content and muscle fascicle shortening strain rate. These associations are anticipated because increases in muscle force likely depend on the recruitment of faster motor units within a muscle (in addition to slow units), with faster speeds (and higher fascicle strain rates) being linked to faster rates of force rise and relaxation.
Methods

Six African pygmy goats (*Capra hircus* L; 3 males, 3 females; mean ± standard deviation; age: 21.0 ± 15.5 months, body mass: 25.85 ± 6.20 kg) were tested at Harvard University’s Concord Field Station. EMG, fascicle strain, and tendon force data were recorded *in vivo* during a variety of locomotor tasks, as detailed below. The experimental protocol was conducted over a three-day period, which included surgical implantation of transducers, *in vivo* testing, and *in situ* testing ([Lee et al., 2011](#)). The results of the *in situ* experiments have been previously reported ([Lee et al., 2011](#)). All surgical and experimental procedures followed IACUC approval.

*Surgical implantation of transducers*

Initially, animals were sedated with a mixed injection of ketamine and xylazine (8mg/kg body mass and 0.05 mg/kg body mass, respectively) into the jugular vein. The animals were then intubated and maintained on a closed system anesthesia machine (Matrix, Orchard Park, NY, USA) at 0.5 – 1.0 % isoflurane.

Offset twist-hook bipolar silver-wire electrodes (0.1 mm, California Fine Wire Inc., Grover Beach, USA), with tips bared of 0.5 mm of insulation and an offset of 2 mm, were implanted about 3 mm deep into proximal, mid-belly, and distal regions of the lateral and medial gastrocnemius muscles ([Lee et al., 2011](#)).

Sonomicrometry crystals (2 mm, Sonometrics Inc., London, Ontario, Canada) were implanted into the mid-belly region of the lateral and medial gastrocnemius muscles in either paired or triad configurations ([Lee et al., 2011](#)). For the paired configuration, the crystals were inserted parallel to the fascicles (proximo-superficial to distal-deep ~25 ° pennation angle), which allowed length changes of the fascicles to be estimated. For the triad configuration, an additional crystal was implanted directly below the superficial crystal to measure muscle depth, which also allowed changes in pennation angle to be estimated (these results will be reported elsewhere). The signal output was amplified (Triton 120.2; Triton Technology, San Diego, CA, USA) and monitored on an oscilloscope (2245A; Tektronix, Beaverton, OR, USA). The alignment of the crystals was optimized to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio.
A lateral incision proximal to the ankle joint was made to expose the underlying tendons. The common tendon sheath was then exposed to separate the gastrocnemius tendon from the superficial digital flexor tendon. Gas-sterilized “E”-shaped stainless-steel buckle transducers, equipped with a metal foil strain gauge (type FLA-1, Tokyo Sokki Kenkyujo) bonded to the central arm (Biewener & Baudinette, 1995), were attached to the Achilles tendon. On several goats, a longer 2 cm incision was made along the distal portion of the Achilles tendon and two separate tendon buckles were attached to the lateral and medial portions of the Achilles tendon, enabling separate recordings of LG and MG force (Lee et al., 2011).

Lead wires from all transducers were passed through a subcutaneous tunnel to a connector that was sutured to the skin proximal to the hip. Animals received post-operative analgesia (buprenorphine 0.1 to 0.5 mg/kg, subcutaneously) every 12 hrs during the following 40 hr recovery and in vivo recording periods.

In vivo testing

Goats were allowed 20-24 hrs for recovery following surgery. The animals had been previously trained to walk, trot, and gallop on a large, motorized treadmill (belt, 2.50 m long and 0.75 m wide) on the level and 15° incline. The gait speeds ranged from 1.1 – 1.4 ms⁻¹, 1.7 – 2.8 ms⁻¹, and 3.3 – 4.9 ms⁻¹ across all goats. For each goat, the same speed was kept for the level and incline conditions. Recordings were therefore collected at typical walking and running speeds such that three trials of at least 15-20 strides each were collected for each condition. Sufficient rest was given to the goats between trials to ensure that they could complete the experiments. Myoelectric signals were amplified (gain of 100-1000) and recorded with minimal filtering (bandpass 30-3000 Hz, notch filtered at 60 Hz, P511 amplifier, Grass, West Warwick, USA). Tendon buckle signals were connected to a bridge amplifier (Vishay 2120, Micro-Measurements, Raleigh, NC). All signals were recorded at 5000 Hz using a 16-channel acquisition device (NI-6259, National Instruments, Austin, TX).

Tendon buckle transducers were calibrated the following day, after the in situ experiments were completed and the animals euthanized (sodium pentobarbital, 150 mg kg⁻¹). For these calibrations, a cut was made across the distal aponeurosis of the LG and MG to free a portion of the Achilles tendon with the buckles intact. The cut end was clamped and frozen with
liquid nitrogen. A series of cyclical loads were imposed using a force transducer (model 9203, Kistler, Amherst, MA), and the relationship between buckle voltage and applied force was determined.

Data Analysis

Stride times were determined from the tendon force profiles of each trial. The beginning and end of each stride were defined as 60 ms prior to when the force began to increase (Fig. 1). This ensured that our analysis captured the entire muscle activation profile.

Analysis of force data

Tendon forces were filtered using a low-pass third-order Butterworth filter with a cut-off frequency of 115 Hz and notch filter at 60 Hz. The cut-off frequency was chosen to accommodate testing the models against in situ experiments where we had 40 Hz tetanic stimulations (Wakeling et al., 2012). Force rise and relaxation rates were calculated as the first derivative of tendon force with respect to time. Data from each condition were partitioned into 20 equal time windows, and mean force and force rates were calculated for each time window (Fig. 1).

Analysis of sonomicrometric data

Raw sonomicrometry signals were converted to a distance measurement between the crystals based on the speed of sound through skeletal muscles, adjusted by a +0.82 mm correction due to differences between the speed of sound through the epoxy coating of the crystals and the speed of sound through muscle (Daley and Biewener, 2003). Sonomicrometry signals were first filtered using a low-pass, second-order Butterworth filter with a cut-off frequency of 30 Hz. Filters with different parameters were tested, but these parameters were best in smoothing out noise, while still capturing time-varying features of the signal. A custom-written program was used to identify and remove “extraneous” peaks, after which the signals were fit with a 4th order polynomial using a 200-point (40 ms) size window. Extraneous peaks
would occasionally occur in the signal when one or two values deviated greatly from the signal.

Fascicle lengths were converted to strain by subtracting the resting length (distance measured between the crystals during quiet stance) then dividing by the resting length (Gillis et al., 2005). Strain rate was calculated as the first derivative of strain with respect to time. Data from each condition were partitioned into 20 equal time windows and mean strain and strain rate were calculated for each time window (Fig. 1).

Analysis of EMG data

EMG signals from the LG and MG were analyzed using wavelet analysis, a time-frequency decomposition technique, similar to previously published methods (von Tscharner, 2000; Wakeling, 2002; Wakeling and Syme, 2002; Lee et al., 2011). A filter bank of 24 wavelets $0 \leq k \leq 23$ was used to decompose the myoelectric signals into intensities as a function of time and frequency. To exclude low frequency noise, the first four wavelet domains were excluded from further analysis ($\leq 70$ Hz) such that the data were analyzed for wavelets 3 to 23, Hodson-Tole and Wakeling, 2007). Thus, the frequency band of 70–1857 Hz is presented in this analysis. This ensures that the signals of the slow motor units (central frequencies of ~150 Hz, Lee et al., 2011) are included in the analysis and are consistent with the cut-off frequency used in other fine-wire myoelectric studies (Daley and Biewener, 2003; Gabaldon et al., 2004; Gillis and Biewener, 2001 & 2002). As with the force data, myoelectric signals were partitioned into 20 equal-time windows and the mean intensity for each wavelet for each time window was calculated. Total intensity of the signal was calculated as the sum of the intensities determined using wavelets $3 \leq k \leq 23$.

We used principal component analysis (PCA) to identify the major features of the intensity spectra (Wakeling, 2004; Hodson-Tole 2008; Lee et al., 2011). We randomly selected 30 strides per gait condition for statistical analysis. The spectra were compiled into a $p \times N$ matrix $A$, where $p = 20$ wavelets and $N = 36,000$ (6 goats x 2 muscles x 5 gait conditions x 30 strides x 20 partitioned time windows). The principal components of the intensity spectra, PCs, defined in terms of eigenvector-eigenvalue pairs, were calculated from the covariance matrix $B$ of the data matrix $A$ without prior subtraction of the mean (Wakeling and Rozitis, 2004). This ensured that the whole signal, and not just its variance, was described. The principal component
weightings of each PC were given by the eigenvectors $\xi$ of covariance matrix $\mathbf{B}$ and the amount of the signal explained by each PC was determined from the eigenvalues. The PC loading scores were calculated from $\xi' \mathbf{A}$, the product of the transpose of the weighting matrix and matrix $\mathbf{A}$. We calculated the angle, $\theta$, as the angle formed between the vector of the first and second principal component, PCI-PCII, loading scores and PCII loading score axis (Wakeling and Rozitis, 2004). This measure describes the contribution of high and low frequency content in the signal. A small $\theta$ has a positive contribution of the PCII loading scores and indicates relatively high frequency content. Mean PCI and PCII loading scores were calculated for each of the 20 time windows of the stride, thus allowing changes in their contributions to be quantified throughout each stride for each gait condition.

Statistical analysis

Differences in myoelectric intensity, muscle force, force rise and relaxation rates, muscle fascicle strain and strain rate between muscles, activity (walk, trot, and gallop), and grade (incline and level) were determined using general linear model ANOVA. Tukey post hoc tests were conducted if significant differences were identified to locate differences within each factor. A general linear model ANCOVA was conducted to identify significant associations between $\theta$ and intensity, $\theta$ and muscle fascicle strain rate, and $\theta$ and force rise and decline rates, with strain, strain rate, force, force rate, and myoelectric intensity as covariates. Myoelectric intensity was included as a covariate so that associations between $\theta$ and strain rate could be detected that were independent from the effect of myoelectric intensity. Muscle fascicle strain was also included as a covariate so that changes in strain would not confound the results (Wakeling et al., 2006), as strain can influence myoelectric frequency content (Doud and Walsh, 1995). Greater strains can be associated with decreased frequency content, so if muscle fascicle strain and $\theta$ were significantly positively correlated, no further analysis was conducted. The analyses were conducted for time periods corresponding to concentric contraction, when the strain rate was negative. Separate analyses were done for force-rise and force-relaxation periods so that the association between angle $\theta$ and force rise and relaxation rates could be calculated since these rates were positive and negative, respectively.
Results

**General changes in EMG intensity and frequency content in relation to muscle contractile patterns across differing locomotor tasks**

Across all gait conditions, the LG and MG showed a single shortening cycle during limb support with typically one burst of muscle activity and period of active force production per stride (e.g., Fig. 1). In general, total EMG intensity, force, and force rise and relaxation rates, as well as fascicle strain and strain rate, all tended to increase as speed increased from walking to trotting to galloping. These measures were even higher when animals walked and trotted uphill (Tables 2 to 5, Figs. 2 and 3).

From the PCA, the first two principal components explained about 76% of the myoelectric signal (Fig. 4). The first PC had positive weightings for all frequencies and had a shape that was similar to the mean intensity spectrum ($r^2 = 0.98$). The second PC contained positive and negative weightings with a transition occurring at 387 Hz. In general, $\theta$ tended to decrease as speed increased from level walking to trotting to galloping, indicating an increase in the high frequency component of the EMG signal with locomotor speed. By contrast, $\theta$ tended to increase as surface grade increased (Tables 2 to 5, Fig. 4), indicating an increase in the low frequency component of the EMG signal with surface grade.

**Association between myoelectric frequency content and myoelectric intensity and force**

A significant negative association was observed between $\theta$ and myoelectric intensity (all $p < 0.001$) (Table 1), as well as between $\theta$ and force (all $p < 0.001$) for both LG and MG force rise and relaxation rates. Thus, as myoelectric intensity increased, the high frequency component of the EMG signal increased and force increased.

**Association between myoelectric frequency content and force rate**
A significant negative association was observed between $\theta$ and force rate during LG relaxation ($p = 0.031$) (Table 1), but not during LG force rise, MG force rise, or MG force relaxation. Thus, except for LG relaxation, these data do not support the hypothesis that myoelectric frequency content is associated with rates of force rise and relaxation.

**Association between myoelectric frequency content and fascicle strain and fascicle strain rate**

A significant negative association was observed between $\theta$ and fascicle strain for LG force relaxation ($p < 0.001$), but not for LG force rise, MG force rise, or MG force relaxation (Table 1). Consequently, except during LG relaxation, our interpretations of recruitment are not confounded by fascicle strain, as changes in the myoelectric frequency content were not affected by strain.

For the LG, a significant positive association was observed between $\theta$ and shortening strain rate, during both force rise and force relaxation ($p < 0.001$, Table 1). Since negative strain rates represent shortening, a positive association between $\theta$ and strain rate indicates that higher frequency signals are associated with faster shortening strain rates. This supports our hypothesis that the frequency content of the EMG signal increases with faster shorting strain rates. For the MG, the association between $\theta$ and strain rate was not statistically significant ($p = 0.198$ during rise and $p = 0.256$ during relaxation).

**Discussion**

Our study was designed to detect associations between myoelectric frequency and myoelectric intensity, force rise and relaxation rates, fascicle strain, and fascicle strain rate, all measured *in vivo* during different locomotor tasks. Myoelectric frequency properties were characterized by the variable $\theta$, which emerged from the PCA, with higher $\theta$ corresponding to lower frequency content (and lower $\theta$ corresponding to higher frequency content). In general, the results for goat LG and MG muscles revealed a positive association between $\theta$ and shortening strain rate and a negative association between $\theta$ and myoelectric intensity, demonstrating that faster motor units associated with higher myoelectric frequency content are correlated with increased fascicle shortening strain rates and increased myoelectric intensity. Although this was the case for level locomotion, shifts in recruitment (increased $\theta$) during incline...
gait were less clear and failed to correlate with reduced fascicle strain rates. Increases in myoelectric intensity, force, force rate, fascicle strain, and fascicle strain rate were also generally observed as exercise demand increased from level walking to trotting to galloping, and from level walking and trotting to incline walking and trotting.

**General changes in total EMG intensity, muscle force and rate, and fascicle strain and rate across different locomotor tasks**

Several of our findings are consistent with previous *in vivo* experiments. For example, total EMG intensity increased with locomotor speed and surface grade (Tables 2 to 5, Figs. 2 and 3), consistent with previous studies of goats (McGuigan et al., 2009), rats (Gillis and Biewener, 2002), turkeys (Gabaldon et al., 2004), and horses (Wicker et al., 2005). This was linked to increases in LG and MG force as goats increased speed from level walking to trotting to galloping, and when they moved from the level to the inclined surface (Tables 2 to 5, Figs. 2 and 3). These results are again consistent with data from goats reported by McGuigan et al. (2009) and with data from guinea fowl reported by Daley and Biewener (2003). Our measures of increased fascicle shortening strain and strain rate during incline walking and trotting (Tables 2 to 5, Figs. 2 and 3) are also consistent with previous studies. For example, Roberts et al. (2007) reported increased shortening strain and strain rates when turkeys switched from level running to incline running, concluding that muscle fascicle strain was less affected by speed than by slope. Using ultrasound to track human MG fascicles, Lichtwark and Wilson (2006) also reported increased fascicle strain during walking and running as surface grade was increased. These previous studies have shown that the work output of individual muscles may be modulated by changes in force or fascicle shortening, or both. The timing of muscle force relative to fascicle strain also contributes to changes in work output (Daley and Biewener, 2003; Gabaldon et al., 2004). Consequently, muscle activation and motor unit recruitment likely play important roles in modulating muscle work across different locomotor tasks (McGuigan et al., 2009). Our current study extends previous research and by demonstrating that the net work performed by muscles may also be modulated by recruiting different motor unit types.
Differences in the EMG frequency spectra across gait conditions and between muscles

Our results support previous work in which locomotor tasks associated with increasing speed (walking to trotting to galloping) elicit decreasing θ values (Hodson-Tole and Wakeling, 2009), indicative of higher myoelectric frequency components at higher locomotor speeds (Tables 2 to 5, Fig. 4). Our results also agree with previous work showing a significant increase in low frequency myoelectric signal component (increasing θ) from level to incline locomotion (Hodson-Tole and Wakeling, 2009) (Tables 2 to 5, Fig. 4). Higher myoelectric frequencies occur when there is an increase in the activation level and proportion of faster fibres recruited, however, this could occur with either recruitment in an orderly pattern of recruitment from slow-to-fast fibres, or with preferential recruitment of faster fibres (Wakeling, 2009). Therefore, the observation of a higher myoelectric frequency alone is insufficient to detect differences in recruitment patterns between gaits. In order to make such a distinction, situations are needed that have similar levels of myoelectric intensity (corresponding to similar activation levels), but differing myoelectric frequencies (indicating a shift in recruitment).

Our experiment was designed to test for task-specific recruitment by comparing recruitment at high speed (reduced θ, indicative of increased fast motor unit recruitment) versus slower speed inclined gait (increased θ, indicative of increased slow motor unit recruitment) as two conditions with similar overall EMG intensities (and force requirements). However, the comparison between gaits revealed that in general the gaits with greater muscle activation (myoelectric intensity) also resulted in higher myoelectric frequency, and so comparisons between gaits are unable to resolve differences in recruitment patterns (Table 2-5) due to the manner in which myoelectric intensity covaried with frequency. For this reason it was necessary to conduct an analysis of covariance (Table 1, and discussed in the following two sections), in which the intensity effect was accounted for as a covariate, and in which we could test the associations of myoelectric frequency with fascicle strain rates and forces. The EMG intensities for galloping were comparable to those measured during incline walking and/or trotting and in these specific instances we observed significant associations between θ and gait condition consistent with increased slow unit recruitment for slower speed incline gait relative to faster unit...
recruitment at a gallop (Tables 2-5). However, these shifts in motor unit recruitment were inconsistencies with measured LG and MG fascicle strain rates (Tables 2-5) with the incline walk and trot resulting in greater fascicle strain rates than those during level walk and trot due to the need for increased muscle shortening and work output while moving up an incline. Nevertheless, shifts in motor recruitment were observed between incline walking and level galloping where strain rates were similar.

Although similar shifts in myoelectric frequency content between gait conditions were found for the LG and MG, EMG recordings from the LG yielded smaller values of θ for all gait conditions (Fig. 4), indicating that LG myoelectric signals contained a higher frequency content than MG signals for any given condition. This finding is consistent with previously reported patterns of in situ motor unit recruitment from these muscles (Lee et al., 2011), and is likely caused by the higher proportion of fast fibres within the goat LG (which is supported by unpublished immunohistochemistry observations, Carr, Miara, Lee, Wakeling and Biewener). This finding also likely explains the larger total EMG intensity and faster fascicle strain rate of the LG compared with the MG during level galloping, when faster shortening is required. In addition, this difference in θ and the supporting evidence that MG contains a higher proportion of slow fibres may explain why myoelectric intensity was higher for MG during incline walking and trotting, for which recruitment of slower motor units was dominant. McGuigan et al. (2009) also reported higher myoelectric intensity in the MG during incline walking and trotting versus higher intensity in the LG during level walking and trotting in goats.

Principal component analysis of motor unit recruitment temporal profiles allowed us to test our proposed hypotheses regarding motor unit recruitment across different locomotor tasks. PCI loading scores correlated closely to the total myoelectric intensity ($r^2=0.98$) and so can be used as a measure of muscle excitation. Negative and positive PCII loading scores indicate greater low and high frequency components, respectively, corresponding to activation of faster and slower motor units, respectively (Fig. 4). The angle θ between the vector of the PCI-PCII loading score and PCII loading score axis (Fig. 4) can therefore be used to indicate the relative frequency component of the myoelectric signal which corresponds to the different motor unit type recruitment (Wakeling and Rozitis, 2004, Lee et al., 2011). Our in situ results from a previous study of the same goats, where we stimulated the tibial nerve to illicit single twitches recruiting either slow motor units or fast motor units or both, validates this method of EMG
analysis to signify frequency content and the corresponding motor unit recruitment (Lee et al., 2011). A higher θ value is interpreted as having a relatively greater low frequency signal content associated with slower motor unit recruitment. Conversely, a smaller θ value is interpreted as having a relatively higher frequency signal content associated with faster motor unit recruitment. Preferential recruitment, where faster motor units are recruited in the absence of or decreased slow motor unit activation, can only be distinguished from orderly recruitment if the level of muscle excitation and thus PCI loading score is the same, but there is a different θ. Hence, PCI (or total intensity) was included as a covariate in the ANCOVA, allowing θ to be characterized independent of intensity.

When evaluating myoelectric signals, it is important to consider fatigue, which can affect the frequency content. Past studies have most commonly investigated the effect of fatigue until exhaustion (e.g. Naeije and Zorn, 1982). However, this was not done in our study. Instead, we adopted an experimental design that involved five short recording intervals of approximately 20 seconds each for trot and gallop to avoid fatiguing the animal. In addition, the order of presenting incline versus level and speed of locomotion was varied among animals to minimize any bias that fatigue may have had on these factors. Therefore, we believe our results are not affected by fatigue.

One challenge in determining associations between myoelectric frequency content and strain rate relative to force rate is examining appropriate locomotor tasks. In this study, the incline locomotor tasks elicited an increase in θ (slower frequency content), but also a simultaneous increase in strain rates. An activity like jumping is also not an ideal locomotor task because the total myoelectric intensity increases, in addition to strain rate and force. Thus, it is difficult to uncouple force, strain rate, and myoelectric intensity during most locomotor tasks. Identifying a locomotor task that requires rapid, but small muscle forces would be ideal, and this challenge has been acknowledged in previous studies (Smith et al., 1980; Hodson-Tole and Wakeling, 2008, Wakeling et al., 2006).

Association between myoelectric frequency and force rise and relaxation rates

For single twitch contractions, both the contraction time, the time from an action potential to peak force (Burke et al., 1973), and the activation-deactivation rates (Lee et al.,
differ between slow and fast motor units, and these properties affect the mechanical output of a muscle. Roberts and Gabaldon (2008) examined the relaxation electromechanical delay of the turkey LG (from the end of EMG to the end of force and found that this delay varied inversely with locomotor speed). However, they found no association with fascicle velocity, and therefore suggested that changes in the muscle fibre recruitment pattern might explain the association between the observed electromechanical relaxation delay and locomotor speed. Preferential recruitment of faster motor units — with reduced recruitment of slower motor units — could explain why slow activation-deactivation rates and slow strain rates may not dominate at all speeds. Muscles need to generate force and relax at rates that are appropriate for the speed of the movement to be most effective. Since faster motor units have faster activation and relaxation rates (Burke et al., 1973), it seems likely that the rate of muscle force development or relaxation could be an influential factor related to motor unit recruitment. To our knowledge, our study is the first to test this hypothesis. However, our results for the goat LG and MG provide little evidence to support this hypothesis. However, we observed a negative association between θ and LG force relaxation rate, suggesting that lower frequency content is associated with fast relaxation rates for this one muscle during relaxation, in contrast to what we predicted. However, increased force rise and relaxation rates may simply be a reflection of the increased force due to an increase in the number of motor units recruited and not due to recruitment of different motor unit types. Thus, we tested force rise and relaxation rates calculated from forces normalized to peak forces, but also did not find a significant association between the force rise and relaxation rates and angle θ.

Association between myoelectric frequency and strain rate

A major finding of our study was a shift from lower to higher EMG frequencies during faster muscle strain rates during LG shortening during locomotion on a level surface. Using wavelets and PCA, and accounting for EMG intensity, we determined that this shift was not of the mean frequency; rather, this shift was due to a decrease in low frequency components and an increase in high frequency components of the spectra. A significant positive association between fascicle strain rate and θ was found for LG shortening during force rise and relaxation periods. As LG shortening rates increased for faster gaits (Figs. 2 and 3), θ decreased indicating that there
were greater high frequency components. However, because this association was not observed in the MG muscle during shortening, we conducted further analysis to assess the association between θ and strain rate. Muscle fascicle strain was included as a covariate so that changes in strain would not confound the results (Wakeling et al., 2006), as strain can influence myoelectric frequency content (Doud and Walsh, 1995). Greater strains can be associated with decreased frequency content, ANCOVA was only conducted if muscle fascicle strain and θ were significantly negatively correlated. Given that θ decreased while strain increased from level to incline walking and trotting, and the possibility of strain being a confounding factor, we repeated the PCA and ANCOVA for only the level gait conditions. This yielded a positive association between θ and strain rate during MG force development, similar to the results obtained for LG, suggesting that preferential recruitment of faster motor units may allow for faster MG strain rates as needed, when gait velocity increases on a level surface. Our results are supported by similar findings in the MG, soleus, and plantaris muscles in rats (Hodson-Tole and Wakeling, 2008) and in the MG muscles in humans (Wakeling et al., 2006) where increases in gait velocity (rats) and cycling frequency (humans) and increased strain rates were associated with shifts to high frequencies in the EMG signal. One advantage of preferentially recruiting faster motor units during rapid movements is that maximum mechanical power and maximum mechanical efficiency occur at higher strain rates in faster motor units (He et al., 2000). A positive association between recruitment of faster motor units and fascicle shortening rate may therefore be driven by the necessity of generating high mechanical power at high efficiency. Preferential recruitment of faster motor units at faster gait speeds would also favor rates of muscle shortening better suited to necessary increases in limb segment velocity.

Conclusions

Our results for the goat LG and MG support previous observations in other animals and man that different motor units may be recruited in a pattern that is task-specific during locomotion. In particular, our analyses of myoelectric patterns related to in vivo muscle fascicle strain and force showed that there are times where preferential recruitment of faster fibres is related to faster shortening strain rates of the muscle fascicles. The shift to higher myoelectric frequencies is due to a decrease in low frequency components and an increase in high frequency
components of the spectra, providing evidence that a greater number of fast motor units are recruited while fewer slow motor units are recruited. These results support other evidence suggesting motor units form task groups that are selectively recruited for rapid tasks (Hodson-Tole and Wakeling, 2008, Wakeling, 2004; Wakeling et al., 2001; Loeb, 1985) and has implications for muscle modeling where independent slow and fast contractile elements can be incorporated into novel, more complex muscle models (Wakeling et al., 2012). To our knowledge, this is only the third report that has identified and quantified the influence of myoelectric intensity, strain rate, and force on motor unit recruitment and investigated if there is a mechanical basis for preferential recruitment of different motor unit types. Further work is therefore needed to determine if an association between preferential motor unit recruitment and strain rate exists across species and whether other biomechanical factors drive motor unit recruitment strategies.
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Table 1. The effects of mechanical and excitation variables on angle $\theta$ for the force rise and force relaxation phases. Arrows denote the direction of association: ↑ positive and ↓ negative when the covariates are significant ($p<0.05$ from the ANCOVA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LG Force Rise</th>
<th>LG Force Relaxation</th>
<th>MG Force Rise</th>
<th>MG Force Relaxation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total intensity</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>↓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain rate</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Mean (standard error of the mean) for mechanical and excitation variables during LG force rise during concentric contractions. Values are averages across the measurement period. Asterisks in the columns “level walking, trotting, and galloping” indicate significant differences between gaits (compared to level trotting) on the level surface in post hoc comparisons (* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001). Addition signs in the columns “incline walking and trotting” indicate significant differences between level and incline surface for each gait (+ p<0.05, ++ p<0.01, +++ p<0.001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Level walking</th>
<th>Level trotting</th>
<th>Level galloping</th>
<th>Incline walking</th>
<th>Incline trotting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strain</td>
<td>0.130**</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.166***</td>
<td>0.212***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
<td>(0.012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain rate (s⁻¹)</td>
<td>-1.212***</td>
<td>-1.537</td>
<td>-1.755***</td>
<td>-1.567***</td>
<td>-2.486***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.059)</td>
<td>(0.061)</td>
<td>(0.080)</td>
<td>(0.065)</td>
<td>(0.161)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force (N)</td>
<td>19.42</td>
<td>15.23</td>
<td>20.95**</td>
<td>36.11+</td>
<td>51.70+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.77)</td>
<td>(1.49)</td>
<td>(2.24)</td>
<td>(2.63)</td>
<td>(4.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force rate (N s⁻¹)</td>
<td>368.67***</td>
<td>536.31</td>
<td>881.03***</td>
<td>533.46++</td>
<td>1274.88+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(34.56)</td>
<td>(47.66)</td>
<td>(98.66)</td>
<td>(47.00)</td>
<td>(119.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total intensity (mV²)</td>
<td>1.042***</td>
<td>2.386</td>
<td>2.891***</td>
<td>2.144+++</td>
<td>6.058+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.075)</td>
<td>(0.154)</td>
<td>(0.294)</td>
<td>(0.144)</td>
<td>(0.447)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle θ (rad)</td>
<td>1.512</td>
<td>1.509</td>
<td>1.407*</td>
<td>1.504</td>
<td>1.527++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.014)</td>
<td>(0.015)</td>
<td>(0.016)</td>
<td>(0.014)</td>
<td>(0.016)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Mean and standard error of the mean for mechanical and excitation variables during LG force relax during concentric contractions. Values are averages across the measurement period. Asterisks in the columns “level walking, trotting, and galloping” indicate significant differences between gaits (compared to level trotting) on the level surface in post hoc comparisons (* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001). Addition signs in the columns “incline walking and trotting” indicate significant differences between level and incline surface for each gait (+ p<0.05, ++ p<0.01, +++ p<0.001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Level walking</th>
<th>Level trotting</th>
<th>Level galloping</th>
<th>Incline walking</th>
<th>Incline trotting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strain</td>
<td>0.065*</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.105***</td>
<td>0.091***</td>
<td>0.118***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain rate (s⁻¹)</td>
<td>-0.669***</td>
<td>-1.138</td>
<td>-1.416***</td>
<td>-0.914***</td>
<td>-1.439***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.031)</td>
<td>(0.061)</td>
<td>(0.068)</td>
<td>(0.044)</td>
<td>(0.067)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force (N)</td>
<td>23.81*</td>
<td>20.94</td>
<td>29.06***</td>
<td>39.73***</td>
<td>62.21***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.43)</td>
<td>(1.72)</td>
<td>(2.86)</td>
<td>(2.06)</td>
<td>(3.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force rate (Ns⁻¹)</td>
<td>-264.14***</td>
<td>-618.34</td>
<td>-962.09***</td>
<td>-408.09***</td>
<td>-1050.67***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(15.88)</td>
<td>(42.06)</td>
<td>(79.65)</td>
<td>(22.16)</td>
<td>(65.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total intensity</td>
<td>0.513</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>2.621***</td>
<td>1.455***</td>
<td>3.255***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mV²)</td>
<td>(0.046)</td>
<td>(0.084)</td>
<td>(0.296)</td>
<td>(0.149)</td>
<td>(0.469)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle θ (rad)</td>
<td>1.330***</td>
<td>1.270</td>
<td>1.291</td>
<td>1.347</td>
<td>1.314***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.012)</td>
<td>(0.014)</td>
<td>(0.015)</td>
<td>(0.013)</td>
<td>(0.014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Mean and standard error of the mean for mechanical and excitation variables during MG force rise during concentric contractions. Values are averages across the measurement period. Asterisks in the columns “level walking, trotting, and galloping” indicate significant differences between gaits (compared to level trotting) on the level surface in post hoc comparisons (* \(p<0.05\), ** \(p<0.01\), *** \(p<0.001\)). Addition signs in the columns “incline walking and trotting” indicate significant differences between level and incline surface for each gait (+ \(p<0.05\), ++ \(p<0.01\), +++ \(p<0.001\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Level walking</th>
<th>Level trotting</th>
<th>Level galloping</th>
<th>Incline walking</th>
<th>Incline trotting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strain</td>
<td>0.155**</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.243***</td>
<td>0.255+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.008)</td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain rate (s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>-1.35*</td>
<td>-1.58</td>
<td>-1.89***</td>
<td>-2.04++</td>
<td>-2.05+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.079)</td>
<td>(0.07)</td>
<td>(0.09)</td>
<td>(0.219)</td>
<td>(0.116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force (N)</td>
<td>17.91</td>
<td>18.96</td>
<td>25.08</td>
<td>41.25+++</td>
<td>51.62+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1.71)</td>
<td>(1.73)</td>
<td>(2.45)</td>
<td>(3.79)</td>
<td>(4.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force rate (N s(^{-1}))</td>
<td>471.17***</td>
<td>769.27</td>
<td>1062.93***</td>
<td>864.16+++</td>
<td>1436.76+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(30.42)</td>
<td>(58.80)</td>
<td>(99.08)</td>
<td>(81.97)</td>
<td>(149.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total intensity (mV(^2))</td>
<td>0.888***</td>
<td>2.052</td>
<td>2.925***</td>
<td>3.085+++</td>
<td>7.741+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.070)</td>
<td>(0.153)</td>
<td>(0.205)</td>
<td>(0.242)</td>
<td>(0.667)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle $\theta$ (rad)</td>
<td>1.490***</td>
<td>1.423</td>
<td>1.467</td>
<td>1.452+++</td>
<td>1.494+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.012)</td>
<td>(0.011)</td>
<td>(0.011)</td>
<td>(0.012)</td>
<td>(0.014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Mean and standard error of the mean for mechanical and excitation variables during MG force relaxation during concentric contractions. Values are averages across the measurement period. Asterisks in the columns “level walking, trotting, and galloping” indicate significant differences between gaits (compared to level trotting) on the level surface in post hoc comparisons (* p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001). Addition signs in the columns “incline walking and trotting” indicate significant differences between level and incline surface for each gait (+ p<0.05, ++ p<0.01, +++ p<0.001).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Level walking</th>
<th>Level trotting</th>
<th>Level galloping</th>
<th>Incline walking</th>
<th>Incline trotting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strain</td>
<td>0.129 (0.006)</td>
<td>0.120 (0.007)</td>
<td>0.140*** (0.008)</td>
<td>0.211+++ (0.007)</td>
<td>0.221+++ (0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain rate (s⁻¹)</td>
<td>-0.510*** (0.062)</td>
<td>-0.722 (0.049)</td>
<td>-1.055*** (0.051)</td>
<td>-0.905++ (0.083)</td>
<td>-1.451+++ (0.142)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force (N)</td>
<td>23.62 (1.95)</td>
<td>29.64 (2.97)</td>
<td>40.01** (4.16)</td>
<td>51.00+++ (3.52)</td>
<td>64.76+++ (4.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Force rate (N s⁻¹)</td>
<td>-415.37*** (23.97)</td>
<td>-997.90 (77.66)</td>
<td>-1429.71*** (111.94)</td>
<td>-511.19++ (29.94)</td>
<td>-1274.25+++ (72.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total intensity (mV²)</td>
<td>0.466*** (0.047)</td>
<td>0.647 (0.078)</td>
<td>0.964*** (0.128)</td>
<td>1.920+++ (0.142)</td>
<td>2.356+++ (0.217)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle θ (rad)</td>
<td>1.427*** (0.011)</td>
<td>1.370 (0.012)</td>
<td>1.326*** (0.013)</td>
<td>1.414+++ (0.011)</td>
<td>1.379+ (0.012)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure Legends

Figure 1. Representative lateral gastrocnemius force, fascicle length, and myoelectric signals from fine-wire EMG of a goat during different locomotor tasks. Shaded bars indicate the stance phase.

Figure 2. Mean (thick lines) ± S.E.M. (thin lines) of lateral gastrocnemius a) fascicle strain, b) fascicle strain rate, c) force, d) force rate, and e) normalized intensity for different locomotor tasks over a stride (level walking, trotting, and galloping, incline walking and trotting).

Figure 3. Mean (thick lines) ± S.E.M. (thin lines) of medial gastrocnemius a) fascicle strain, b) fascicle strain rate, c) force, d) force rate, and e) normalized intensity for different locomotor tasks over a stride (level walking, trotting, and galloping, incline walking and trotting).

Figure 4. Principal component (PC) analysis of the myoelectric signals. a) The first two principal components defined from the myoelectric spectra of LG and MG. The percentage values reflect the percentage of the signal explained by the PC. b) Principal component I and II loading scores for medial gastrocnemius (solid) and lateral gastrocnemius (dotted) during different locomotor tasks (diamond: level walking, triangle: level trotting, star: level galloping, circle: incline walking, square: incline trotting).
Figure 1. Representative lateral gastrocnemius force, fascicle length, and myoelectric signals from fine-wire EMG of a goat during different locomotor tasks. Shaded bars indicate the stance phase. The dotted line indicates fascicle length during standing.
Figure 2.

Figure 2. Mean values of lateral gastrocnemius a) fascicle strain, b) fascicle strain rate, c) force, d) force rate, and e) normalized intensity for different locomotor tasks over a stride (level walking, trotting, and galloping, incline walking and trotting).
Figure 3. Mean values of medial gastrocnemius a) fascicle strain, b) fascicle strain rate, c) force, d) force rate, e) normalized intensity for different locomotor tasks over a stride (level walking, trotting, and galloping, incline walking and trotting).
Figure 4. Principal component (PC) analysis of the myoelectric signals. a) The first two principal components defined from the myoelectric spectra of LG and MG. The percentage values reflect the percentage of the signal explained by the PC. b) Principal component I and II loading scores for medial gastrocnemius (solid) and lateral gastrocnemius (dotted) during different locomotor tasks (diamond: level walking, triangle: level trotting, star: level galloping, circle: incline walking, square: incline trotting).