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THE COMPORTMENTAL LEARNING DISABILITIES OF EARLY FRONTAL LOBE DAMAGE

by BRUCE H. PRICE, KIRK R. DAFFNER, ROBERT M. STOWE and M. MARSEL MESULAM

(From the Bullard and Denny-Brown Laboratories, the Division of Behavioral Neurology and Neuroscience of the Harvard Neurology Department and the Charles A. Dana Research Institute of the Beth Israel Hospital, Boston, USA)

SUMMARY

Two adult patients are described who suffered bilateral prefrontal damage early in life and who subsequently came to psychiatric attention because of severely aberrant behaviour. A battery of developmental psychology paradigms (not previously used to assess neurologically impaired individuals) showed that social and moral development of these 2 patients was arrested at an immature stage. In comparison with other types of brain damage which disrupt cognitive development, frontal damage acquired early in life appears to provide the neurological substrate for a special type of learning disability in the realms of insight, foresight, social judgement, empathy, and complex reasoning.

INTRODUCTION

Most of the available literature on the behavioural affiliations of the human frontal lobe is based on the clinical examination of patients with lesions acquired during adulthood (Ackerly, 1935; Brickner, 1936; Hebb, 1945; Benton, 1968; Milner, 1982; Eslinger and Damasio, 1985; Lhermitte, 1986; Lhermitte et al., 1986). Comparatively little information is available about the behavioural outcome of lesions acquired early in life or in the course of embryogenesis. Such information could help to identify the behaviours that depend on the frontal lobes for their acquisition and development.

This report describes 2 individuals who sustained severe frontal lobe damage early in life and subsequently displayed major behavioural abnormalities as adults. These observations suggest that the frontal lobe plays an essential role in the maturation of complex comportmental skills, formal operational thought, social conduct and moral judgement.

CASE REPORTS

Case 1

G.K. is a 31-yr-old right-handed man who has been given multiple diagnoses, including antisocial or borderline personality, atypical psychosis, and paranoid schizophrenia. Because of increased head circumference over the first 7 days of life, bilateral ventricular punctures were performed which yielded 10 ml of subdural haematoma fluid. Air was injected after aspiration and an irregular collection in the left frontal lobe was demonstrated. He was discharged 7 wks after birth when his head circumference had stabilized and he was thriving.
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Detailed history from multiple sources revealed that he was always considered immature but that serious
behavioural difficulties were first identified by the age of 8 yrs. He did not respond to parental discipline,
always sought gratification of his immediate needs, never developed adequate friendships, and blamed
his difficulties on others. Though gregarious, he was irresponsible, tended to wander, and consistently
fell under the influence of other deviant children. Under firm guidance and after two school transfers,
he graduated from high school. He joined the Marine Corps but was dishonourably discharged 6 wks
later. Over the next 10 yrs, he was hospitalized 27 times in psychiatric institutions and imprisoned 8 times
on charges of assault, forgery (using his father's cheque book), grand larceny, drug involvement and lewd
behaviour. Inappropriate behaviours were numerous. While walking by a gas station he saw an unattended
taxi with keys in the ignition. He jumped in and drove off, ripping the hose from the gas pump, only
to be captured several blocks away. When restricted for inappropriate behaviour by a ward attendant,
he escaped from the locked psychiatric unit, scratched the attendant's car with broken glass, signed his own
name, and re-entered the ward. When confronted, he denied his involvement. The only 2 people he claimed
as friends were involved with him in abusing marijuana, alcohol, LSD, and phencyclidine. He was charged
with arson of two public buildings. He is an active bisexual, often trades cigarettes for oral sex, and
masturbates in public. He was a suspect in the rape of 2 female ward patients. Neither individual
psychotherapy nor trials on multiple psychoactive agents were effective.

The patient has 5 younger brothers. One suffers from mild mental retardation of unknown aetiology.
Four siblings are college graduates, 1 is a lawyer. His father successfully operates a landscape business.
His mother was trained as a nurse and suffers from depression and alcoholism. There is no other significant
family psychiatric history. The family environment was not particularly chaotic or stressful.

Neurological examination revealed an overly familiar, impulsive, but cooperative man. He showed little
insight or empathy and felt victimized by others. Other than a minimal left central facial paresis, the
examination was unremarkable, without grasp, suck or root reflexes. Formal neuropsychological testing
revealed a WAIS-Revised verbal IQ of 102, Performance IQ of 90, and Full-Scale IQ of 96 (Wechsler,
1981). No primary memory, language or visuospatial difficulties were demonstrated. Deficits were confined
to attentional, organizational, and mental flexibility skills. For example, severe deficits were seen on Part
B of the Trail Making Test (Reitan, 1958), Stroop Interference (Stroop, 1935), Wisconsin Card Sorting
(Berg, 1948), Luria hand-motor sequences (Luria, 1973), auditory go-no-go (Luria, 1973), and the visual-
verbal test (Feldman and Drasgow, 1959). Word list generation (Benton and de Hamsher, 1976), and
traditional office tests of abstraction and proverb interpretation were normal (Table 1).

General medical examination, laboratory tests, and routine EEG were normal. Axial MRI images using
T1 and T2-weighted sequences revealed bilateral lesions extending from cortex to the caudate nuclei, more
on the left than the right. There was mild ex vacuo enlargement of the right lateral ventricle (fig. 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WAIS-R Verbal IQ</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAIS-R Performance IQ</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAIS-R Full Scale IQ</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Span</td>
<td>7 forward/4 backward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word Generation (F/A/S)</td>
<td>17/7/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop Interference</td>
<td>143 s/6 errors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trails B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wechsler Memory Scale</td>
<td>86*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Naming Test</td>
<td>54/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooper Visual Organization Test</td>
<td>14/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual-Verbal Test</td>
<td>0/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Although the Wechsler Memory Scale suggests low average memory, no memory
difficulties were apparent in his daily living activities.
FIG. 1. Case 1. Axial MRI scan showing bilateral frontal lobe lesions. The left side of the head is on the right of the scan. Left involvement is greater than right. The lesions extend from cortex to the caudate nuclei. Mild ex vacuo enlargement of the right lateral ventricle can be seen.

Case 2

M.H. is a 26-yr-old ambidextrous woman who was referred by the Massachusetts Department of Social Service for inappropriate behaviour and negligent care of her 2½-month-old infant. She was developing appropriately until 4 yrs of age, when she was struck by an automobile. She was unconscious for 48 h and suffered bilateral skull fractures and a right frontal haematoma.

Over the next year she began to exhibit temper outbursts when frustrated. She became verbally and physically assaultive in an abrupt, unpredictable, and short-lived manner. She hit her brother, threw her father over a table, and cut her sister with glass. Family members lived in constant terror and once called the police when she threatened them at knife point. She repeated the first and second grades and was given special tutorials in English, social studies, and reading. She graduated from high school at age 20 yrs. Since her early teens, she was known for her sexual promiscuity and bravado. She intermittently engaged in heavy alcohol and marijuana use. She had no sustained friendships.

After high school graduation, she held several temporary menial jobs where infrequent outbursts against coworkers or customers occurred. Although free of major depressive symptoms, she impulsively attempted suicide twice, once with an overdose of hypnotics, and another time by jumping out of a second floor window.

At age 17 yrs, she was raped while wandering through a local cemetery, but returned to the scene and was raped again by the same man. Her first pregnancy was terminated by an elective abortion, another ended in miscarriage, and her third accidental pregnancy yielded a daughter despite her parents’ and boyfriend’s pleas for an abortion. She under-dressed the baby in inclement weather, fed her erratically and left her unsupervised for lengthy periods of time. There were suspicions of physical assault. At 20 days of age, the patient’s daughter was placed in foster care. This intervention infuriated the patient who saw nothing wrong with her child care. She attacked the social worker, and threatened to kill members of the social service agency. At her last follow-up visit in our unit, she was pregnant again but was uncertain who the father might be. Individual psychotherapy did not significantly alter her behaviour nor did a prolonged trial of anticonvulsant agents.
The patient is the fourth of 6 children. None of her 5 siblings has similar behavioural problems. Her father is an optician and her mother is employed as a purchasing agent. Besides the father's occasional alcohol abuse, there is no history of psychiatric disease in the family.

Neurological examination revealed a somewhat diffident, but cooperative woman with limited insight. Other than skull deformities over the right orbit and temporal region, and a slightly increased left knee jerk, examination was normal.

WAIS-Revised testing estimated a Verbal IQ of 78, Performance IQ of 83, and Full Scale IQ of 78 (Wechsler, 1981). No deficits in memory or visuospatial abilities were noted. WRAT performance revealed deficiencies in reading (23rd percentile), spelling (32nd percentile) and mathematics (4th percentile) (Jastak and Jastak, 1965). Attention was slightly impaired with a digit span of 6 forwards and 6 backwards (Wechsler, 1981) and a Corsi block span of 5 forwards and 5 backwards (Milner, 1971). She identified 40 of 60 pictures on the Boston Naming Test plus 6 given additional naming cues (Kaplan et al., 1978). Moderate impairment was seen on tests of mental flexibility, sustained effort and abstract reasoning, such as the Trail Making Test, Part B (Reitan, 1958), Stroop interference (Stroop, 1935), word list generation (Benton and de Hamsher, 1976), visuo-verbal (Feldman and Drasgow, 1959), and proverb interpretation tests (Table 2).

A 1980 routine awake EEG while the patient was on phenytoin was normal. An axial MRI scan revealed abnormal T1 and T2 signal intensities in both prefrontal regions with dilatation of frontal horns, greater on the left (fig. 2).

TESTS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENT

Logical thought, role-taking ability, social and moral reasoning undergo predictable stages of development which can be assessed quantitatively with the help of paradigms that have been validated extensively in many normative populations. We used these paradigms to test our 2 patients.

Piaget (1968) has shown that children go through stages of cognitive development which are characterized by successively more complex modes of information processing (i.e., thinking). According to Piaget's nomenclature, children undergo a sequence of cognitive development from sensorimotor (0—2 yrs) to preoperational (2—5 yrs), concrete operational (6—10 yrs) and then formal operational thinking. Formal operational thinking (age 11 yrs to adulthood) is based on hypothetical, deductive and propositional thought which allows the individual to predict the divergent outcomes that could result from a given set of actions. Research has indicated that the vast majority of middle class Americans exhibit major components of formal operational thought by their early twenties (Kohlberg and Gilligan, 1971).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEST</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WAIS Verbal IQ</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAIS Performance IQ</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAIS Full Scale IQ</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Span</td>
<td>6 forward/3 backward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word Generation (F/A/S)</td>
<td>13/9/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroop Interference</td>
<td>74 s/7 errors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trails B</td>
<td>99 s/0 errors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 words/3 shapes* (10 and 30 min delay)</td>
<td>6/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Naming Test</td>
<td>40/60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(46/60 with cues)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooper Visual Organization Test</td>
<td>26/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual-Verbal Test</td>
<td>4/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This test is based on 3 simple designs and 3 words with low imagery value. Immediate incidental recall and recall after 10 and 30 min were intact (Weintraub and Mesulam, 1985).
Both our patients were able to perform a concrete operational task based on the recognition that the quantity of water remains unaltered when it is poured from a tall, narrow flask to a short but wider container. Neither patient, however, demonstrated formal operational thinking on the cognitive task described below.

Kuhn developed a problem based on presenting the subject with 4 plants, of which 2 look healthy and 2 unhealthy (Kuhn and Brannock, 1977). Each plant has been cared for in a different way with a combination of 1 of 2 kinds of plant food and 1 or 2 different amounts of water, with or without leaf lotion (fig. 3). The problem is constructed so that only one variable (plant food) determines the plant's health. In Kuhn's study of middle class students, only 15% of sixth graders failed to demonstrate any level of formal operational thought. Both our patients focused their attention on the problem but scored at the concrete operational level. They were unable to isolate the critical variable and failed to demonstrate formal operational thinking.

Selman (1971) has shown that a child is initially unable to differentiate his own perspective from that of another. Subsequent development allows the child to assume other perspectives and roles. The development of interpersonal empathy is presumably also dependent on the emergence of this capacity.

To investigate our patients' role-taking ability, a task developed by Flavell (1968) was given. It is performed by presenting the subject with a simple map and telling him that it is identical to one held by someone else lost in the countryside. The task requires the subject to take into account the location of the lost person, to suppress an egocentric perspective and, instead, to imagine how the lost person might interpret the message and respond to the instructions (fig. 4). Flavell demonstrated that while 75% of third graders found no more than 1 error, by the seventh grade 45% had found 2 errors and 40% 3 or all of the 4 errors. Both our patients found the task engaging and carefully read the directions. Case 1 found only 2 errors. Case 2 was unable to recognize any errors saying 'The directions were fine'.

Kohlberg has described 6 stages of moral development based on a longitudinal study over the past 30 yrs of 50 American boys (Kohlberg and Gilligan, 1971; Kohlberg, 1976) (Table 3). Studies suggest that by adolescence nearly 80% of middle class American boys have developed beyond preconventional moral reasoning (Kohlberg and Gilligan, 1971).
FIG. 3. Kuhn's plant problem. Two healthy and 2 dying plants are shown with different combinations of water volume, plant food (black and white), with or without leaf lotion. The subject's task is to isolate the one crucial variable (plant food) that determines the plant's health while excluding the inoperative variables (water and leaf lotion). Reproduced from Kuhn and Brannock (1977) with permission.

The 'Heinz dilemma', one of the paradigms used to assess moral development, asks the subject whether or not someone should steal a drug to save his dying wife. Case 1 responded at Kohlberg's early conventional level (stage 3), stating that Heinz should steal the drug 'because his wife is dying and human life is more important than money'. When asked why that should be, he responded 'because it is sacred. God put everyone on earth to do the best that he or she can and to help everyone out'. His reasoning about real life dilemmas revealed a more primitive preconventional orientation. After having impregnated a vulnerable and disturbed woman, his main concern was that 'Her father looked like Mean Joe Green. I wondered whether I would be killed now or later'. Case 2 responded to the Heinz dilemma at stage 1 of the preconventional level, and concentrated on her concerns about punishment. Heinz should not steal the drug because 'if you do it you're going to end up in jail. Then you'll fry in the electric chair'. At this primitive level, human interactions are viewed in physical, not psychological terms. People are seen as avenues or obstacles to gratification.

DISCUSSION

We have described 2 patients, aged 28 and 24 yrs at the time of our examination, who sustained brain injury at birth and 4 yrs of age. While we cannot be certain about the precise extent of the lesions, the neurodiagnostic, neurological and neuropsychological examinations indicated that the principal (if not exclusive) site of involvement was located bilaterally in the frontal lobes. Both patients displayed impulsive behaviour triggered by the immediate stimulus, performed with childish abandon and shallow foresight. Neither was able to learn from negative experience or punishment. Each patient remained
Fig. 4. Flavell's role-taking map test. The subject is instructed as follows. 'Now suppose there were a man in his car out in the country some place who got lost—he didn’t know where he was or how to get to the house he wanted to go to. Now suppose he had this map (points) and I had exactly the same map here (points). Now he calls me up on the telephone and from what he says I can figure out where he is now. He is here (points to bottom X on the map, and I can also tell him how to get to where he wants to go—there (points to second house on the right on the street which contains a cluster of six houses). I’m going to read out loud what I might tell him over the phone, and you may look along with me as I read. When I get through I want you to tell me what’s wrong with the way I gave him the directions, why he’d have trouble getting there from what I say. Okay, now I’m going to talk to him on the phone.' The message is slowly read. 'If you look on your map you’ll find an X. That’s where you are right now. You go down the road until you see a house on your left. Turn right there and keep going until you pass a pond on your left. Then you make a left turn and the house you want is the second one on the street.' The subject is then asked to identify the ambiguities (total of 4) which might prevent the lost person from reaching the destination. The map is shown to the subject minus the designations of the lost person’s present location (—) and destination (—). Reproduced from Flavell (1986) with permission.

socially isolated without enduring friends, had little sense of remorse, empathy or fairness towards others but, instead, felt victimized. Both responded to firm parental and school guidance, but never acquired self-governance over their behaviour. Neither was raised in a particularly chaotic environment which could account for their life-long behavioural problems. Neither benefited from prolonged psychotherapy or medications. In comparison with the severe deficits of comportment, judgement and insight, neither patient displayed limiting deficits of language, memory or visuospatial skills in daily activities. The salient behavioural features in these patients are qualitatively similar to but appear quantitatively more intense than the behavioural deficits that emerge as a consequence of bilateral frontal lobe lesions acquired in adulthood.

Ackerly and Benton (1948) presented a 35-yr-old man who sustained bilateral frontal lobe damage around 3 yrs of age. The patient had ‘normal’ intelligence (Stanford-Binet IQ 92) but displayed behavioural abnormalities very similar to those in our patients. These included immaturity, inability to learn from experience, diminished insight, immersion in the present, lack of drive and curiosity, and irritability when crossed or restricted. When retested 15 yrs later, his behaviour remained unchanged (Ackerly, 1964). Russell (1959) briefly mentioned a boy who at 5 months of age sustained frontal
TABLE 3. STAGES OF MORAL DEVELOPMENT ACCORDING TO KOHLBERG (1976)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>What is considered to be right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage 1. Obedience and punishment orientation</td>
<td>To avoid breaking rules backed by punishment, obedience for its own sake, avoiding physical damage to persons and property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 2. Instrumental purpose and exchange</td>
<td>Following rules only when it is to someone’s immediate personal interest; acting to meet one’s own interests and letting others do the same; right is an equal exchange, a good deal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 3. Interpersonal accord and conformity</td>
<td>Living up to what is expected by people close to you or what people generally expect of people in your role; being good is important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 4. Social accord and system maintenance</td>
<td>Fulfilling the actual duties to which you have agreed; laws are always to be upheld except in extreme cases where they conflict with other fixed social duties; right is also contributing to society, the group, or institution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 5. Social contract, utility, individual rights</td>
<td>Being aware that people hold a variety of values and opinions, that most values and rules are relative to your group but should usually be upheld because they are the social contract; some nonrelative values and rights like life and liberty, however, must be upheld in any society regardless of the majority opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage 6. Universal ethical principles</td>
<td>Following self-chosen ethical principles; particular laws or social agreements are usually valid because they rest on such principles; when laws violate these principles, one acts in accordance with the principle; principles are universal principles of justice; the equality of human rights and respect for the dignity of human beings as individual persons; the reason for doing right is the belief, as a rational person, in the validity of universal moral principles and a sense of personal commitment to them</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Stages 1 and 2 characterize the preconventional level. Stages 3 and 4 represent the conventional level. Stages 5 and 6 occur at the postconventional level. Reproduced from Snarey (1985) with permission.

lobe damage from a bomb fragment. Severe behavioural problems were subsequently noted, including physical attacks on smaller children and the school cat, stealing, eating refuse, and deliberately emptying tea on his bed. His brothers and sisters were reportedly normal. A preliminary report describes a third case of early frontal injury leading to comportmental abnormalities during adulthood (Eslinger et al., 1989).

Recent evidence suggests that a specific learning disability such as dyslexia may be associated with developmental or early-acquired structural abnormalities located predominantly in the left hemisphere (Galaburda, 1988). Analogous involvement of the right hemisphere may lead to shyness, chronic emotional difficulties, poor paralinguistic abilities, and impaired visuospatial skills (Weintraub and Mesulam, 1983). Our 2 patients, as well as the additional case reports mentioned above, suggest that a third type of learning disability, associated with bilateral prefrontal lesions, may lead to major deficits in the maturation of judgement, insight, foresight, abstract reasoning, perspective taking, empathy and moral development.

Anatomical and physiological observations show that the prefrontal cortex provides a template for the convergence of limbic inputs with extensively processed associative
information (Mesulam, 1986). This part of the brain is likely to provide one of the most important components of a neural network underlying the integration of thought with emotion (Mesulam, 1985, 1988). The behavioural deficits displayed by our 2 patients are in keeping with the disruption of such a network.

The determinants of functional recovery after brain damage are not fully understood. In a large sample of patients who suffered profound damage to the left hemisphere before the age of 5 yrs most language functions appeared to have developed normally when the subjects were subsequently tested (Lenneberg, 1967). Only subtle deficits emerged when such patients were challenged with more difficult language tasks (Dennis and Whitaker, 1976). Equivalent lesions acquired during adulthood would be expected to yield much more severe and permanent aphasias. A different temporal relationship appears to characterize the impact of frontal lobe damage. Our 2 patients suggest that early bilateral frontal lesions may leave permanent uncompensated deficits that persist into adulthood. It even appears as if bifrontal lesions yield behaviours that are more chaotic and aberrant when the damage is acquired early in life rather than during adulthood.

Our patients' lack of premeditation, the remarkable clumsiness in committing crime, and the lack of predisposing factors in the social background distinguish them from others who are designated as sociopaths. The extent to which frontal lobe lesions underlie deviant and criminal behaviour in the general population is difficult to surmise. Several investigations of incarcerated juvenile delinquents have reported a profile of neuropsychological deficit that resembles the pattern displayed by our 2 patients (Moffitt and Silva, 1988). A recent study of juveniles condemned to death revealed that the majority had a history of head trauma, EEG abnormality and abnormal performance in tests of reasoning and mental flexibility (Lewis et al., 1988). Conceivably, a subset of these individuals could have underlying bifrontal dysfunction acquired early in life or even during the stage of prenatal development. In some of these cases, the dysfunction could be at a neurochemical or physiological level not detectable by conventional neurodiagnostic methods.

The 2 patients described in our report show that bifrontal dysfunction acquired early in life may lead to a specific subtype of learning disability by selectively interfering with the acquisition (or development) of many complex faculties such as insight, foresight, social judgement, empathy and abstract reasoning. Diagnosis may be particularly challenging. The predominantly behavioural presentation in the absence of focal elementary neurological signs may lead to the erroneous impression that the underlying factors are primarily psychiatric or environmental.
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