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Intelligence quotient–adjusted memory impairment is associated with abnormal single photon emission computed tomography perfusion
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Abstract
Cognitive reserve among highly intelligent older individuals makes detection of early Alzheimer’s disease (AD) difficult. We tested the hypothesis that mild memory impairment determined by IQ-adjusted norms is associated with single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) perfusion abnormality at baseline and predictive of future decline. Twenty-three subjects with a Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) score of 0, were reclassified after scores were adjusted for IQ into two groups, 10 as having mild memory impairments for ability (IQ-MI) and 13 as memory-normal (IQ-MN). Subjects underwent cognitive and functional assessments at baseline and annual follow-up for 3 years. Perfusion SPECT was acquired at baseline. At follow-up, the IQ-MI subjects demonstrated decline in memory, visuospatial processing, and phonemic fluency, and 6 of 10 had progressed to a CDR of 0.5, while the IQ-MN subjects did not show decline. The IQ-MI group had significantly lower perfusion than the IQ-MN group in parietal/precuneus, temporal, and opercular frontal regions. In contrast, higher perfusion was observed in IQ-MI compared with IQ-MN in the left medial frontal and rostral anterior cingulate regions. IQ-adjusted memory impairment in individuals with high cognitive reserve is associated with baseline SPECT abnormality in a pattern consistent with prodromal AD and predicts subsequent cognitive and functional decline. (JINS, 2007, 13, 821–831.)
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INTRODUCTION
As disease-modifying treatments become available, the best hope for altering the progression of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the identification of individuals in the very earliest stages of the disease. However, the task of differentiating normal, age-related memory changes from early symptoms of AD is difficult, particularly among individuals with high cognitive reserve.

It has been widely acknowledged that the trajectory of clinical decline leading to AD passes through a stage of mild cognitive impairment (MCI; Petersen et al., 1999; Winblad et al., 2004) that may begin years before overt demen-
METHODS

Research Participants

Subjects were recruited from a cohort of 293 community-dwelling individuals who answered newspaper advertisements to participate in an aging and Alzheimer’s disease study at Brigham and Women’s Hospital. The study was annually approved by The Institutional Review Board, Partners Human Research Committee, in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration, and participants gave informed consent. All subjects were living independently and agreed to return for follow-up testing. They underwent a series of neuropsychological tests commonly used in the assessment of older individuals (Rentz & Weintraub, 1999), which included the Blessed Dementia Rating Scale (BDS; Blessed et al., 1968); Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS; Yesavage et al., 1983); American National Adult Reading Test (AMNART; Ryan & Paolo, 1992); Clinical Dementia Rating Scale (CDR; Morris, 1993); word generation to the letters F, A, and S (Monsch et al., 1992); category fluency to animals, fruits, and vegetables (CAT; Monsch et al., 1992); the 6-trial version of the Selective Reminding Test (SRT; Masur et al., 1989); the 60-item version of the Boston Naming Test (BNT; Kaplan et al., 1983; Tombaugh & Hubley, 1997); and the Visual Form Discrimination Test (VFDT; Benton et al., 1983). They also underwent a comprehensive medical and psychiatric interview as well as a neurological evaluation to rule out any major neurological disorders that might contribute to cognitive dysfunction. None of the participants had a history of alcoholism, drug abuse, or evidence of neurological, medical, or psychiatric illness.

From a total of 81 subjects who underwent SPECT, 23 subjects were selected for this analysis because they met the following inclusion criteria: (1) a CDR score of 0, (2) an estimated IQ of 120 or greater on the AMNART, (3) baseline scores on the BDS of less than 2, (4) a GDS score in the normal range (score < 11), (5) cognitive test scores within 1.5 SD from the mean on the basis of published test norms adjusted for age and education (see Table 1), and (6) two E3 alleles because E4 has been associated with increased risk for the development of AD and is also associated with regionally reduced cerebral metabolism (Caselli et al., 2004; Reiman et al., 2001, 2005; Small, 2002). We also excluded all subjects with an E2 allele as this allele has been linked to a reduced risk for AD (Hyman et al., 1996). All 23 subjects had a structural magnetic resonance imaging scan within 3 months of their SPECT scan.

Estimating Cognitive Reserve

The cognitive reserve hypothesis postulates that individuals with higher reserve may have AD pathology but not exhibit cognitive impairment (Bennett et al., 2006; Stern et al., 2005). Factors such as education (Stern et al., 1994), occupational status (Stern et al., 1995), life activities (Scarpace et al., 2003), innate intelligence (Alexander et al., 1997), or a combination of these factors (Andel et al., 2006; Stern et al., 2005) are thought to compose aspects of cognitive reserve that help individuals acquire and use the set of skills necessary to cope with pathology and, therefore, perform normally on cognitive tasks. In this study, we chose to estimate cognitive reserve using a word-reading estimate of premorbid ability, namely the AMNART (Ryan & Paolo, 1992). The AMNART is a reading list of 50 irregular words that are scored correct if pronounced accurately. IQ is determined based on an error score. Numerous studies found pronunciation accuracy to correlate highly with measured intelligence on the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale-Revised Verbal IQ (WAIS-R VIQ; r = .80 to .95; Friedman et al., 1992; Nelson & O’Connell, 1978; Ryan & Paolo, 1992; Wiens et al., 1993), and it has shown adequate relia-
bility and stability in healthy elders and questionable/mild dementia when used in a longitudinal epidemiological study (Schmand et al., 1998). Although some studies have successfully used a combination of factors to constitute a cognitive reserve index (Stern et al., 2005), we chose a single measure of premorbid IQ because other studies found this method more sensitive to the neurophysiological effects of AD than other demographic variables (Alexander et al.,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Cutoff</th>
<th>IQ-MN N = 13</th>
<th>IQ-MI N = 10</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMNART IQ</td>
<td>127.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>124.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDS</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blessed IMC</td>
<td>.38</td>
<td>.7</td>
<td>.60</td>
<td>.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cognitive tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cognitive tests</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAS</td>
<td>&lt;31</td>
<td>&lt;44</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>&lt;38</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNT</td>
<td>&lt;44</td>
<td>&lt;55</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFDT</td>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>&lt;29</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRT-TR</td>
<td>&lt;33</td>
<td>&lt;47</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRT-LTS</td>
<td>&lt;22</td>
<td>&lt;42</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRT-LTR</td>
<td>&lt;18</td>
<td>&lt;37</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>.00*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRT-CLTR</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>&lt;24</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>.02*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRT-DR</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRT-DR30</td>
<td>&lt;3</td>
<td>&lt;7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>.03*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRT-MC30</td>
<td>&lt;11</td>
<td>&lt;11</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>.6</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>.7</td>
<td>.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. MN = memory normal; MI = memory impaired; AMNART = American National Adult Reading Test estimated Verbal IQ; GDS = Geriatric Depression Scale; IMC = Information, Memory, and Concentration subtest of the Blessed Dementia Rating Scale; FAS = word generation to the letters F, A, and S; CAT = Word generation to the categories animals, vegetables, and fruits; BNT = Boston Naming Test; VFDT = Visual Form Discrimination Test; SRT = Selective Reminding Test; TR = Total Recall on SRT; LTS = Long-Term Storage on SRT; LTR = Long-Term Retrieval on SRT; CLTR = Continuous Long-Term Retrieval on SRT; DR = 10-min Delayed Recall on the SRT; DR30 = 30-min Delayed Recall on the SRT; MC30 = 30-min Recognition on the SRT. *p < .05. Cutoff scores were calculated at 1.5 SD below the mean and the normative reference groups were as follows: FAS and CAT (Monsch et al., 1992), BNT (Tombaugh and Hubley, 1997), VFDT (Benton et al., 1983), and SRT (Masur et al., 1989).
ing only those subjects who scored within 1.5 standard deviations (SD) of the mean on all cognitive tasks except memory. None of the subjects in this study had a diagnosis of dementia, even in the earliest stages.

After IQ-adjustments, 10 of the 23 subjects were reclassified as memory impaired (IQ-MI) and 13 subjects remained within the normal range (IQ-MN). There were no statistically significant differences between groups in age, education, estimated AMNART IQ, GDS, or Information, Memory, and Concentration subtest of the Blessed Dementia Rating Scale (see Table 1).

A total of 5 of the IQ-MN and 5 of the IQ-MI subjects demonstrated minimal or mild increased T2 signal in white matter consistent with small vessel cerebrovascular changes. None of the subjects had evidence of large vessel infarcts or other structural lesions. Mild atrophy was noted on the clinical read in 2 of the IQ-MN and 2 of the IQ-MI subjects.

Subjects underwent annual neuropsychological evaluations for 3 years. A reliable informant, who lived with or near the subject was also administered a structured interview as part of the CDR (Morris, 1993).

The primary variable of interest was pattern of SPECT perfusion at baseline. The secondary variable of interest was degree of cognitive and functional decline from baseline.

**SPECT Image Acquisition**

Images were acquired 20 min after intravenous injection of 20 ± 1 mCi of 99mTc-HMPAO (Ceretec, GE-HealthCare, UK), injected into a previously placed intravenous line with the subject supine at rest, with eyes open, in a darkened room, and with ambient white noise. SPECT images were obtained with the Ceraspect brain camera (Digital Scintigraphics, Inc., Waltham, MA), which consists of a single annular sodium iodide crystal and a rotating parallel hole collimator. Spatial resolution is 8.2 mm full width at half-maximum (FWHM) at the center of the field of view for 99mTc. The acquisition time was 15 s per projection for a total acquisition time of 30 min. Data sets were corrected for attenuation and reconstructed with filtered back-projection, using a Butterworth filter.

**Data Analysis**

**Image analysis**

SPECT data were analyzed using a voxel-based univariate method, Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM2, Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, Functional Imaging Laboratory, London; Friston et al., 1995). Each data set was spatially transformed to the SPM SPECT template, smoothed with a 12 mm FWHM Gaussian kernel, and proportionally scaled to the mean global voxel value. To minimize edge effects, voxels with values less than 80% of the whole brain mean were excluded. Two-sample t tests (contrasting IQ-MI with IQ-MN, no covariates) were performed in both positive and negative directions (i.e., contrasts 1, −1, and −1, 1) to identify both increases and decreases in relative perfusion.
Brain areas reaching a significance threshold of $p < .001$ (uncorrected) were identified in terms of voxel coordinates at the peak significance level and labeled according to Talairach and Tournoux (Talairach & Tournoux, 1988) after conversion from SPM space (i.e., Montreal Neurological Institute space), using the linear transformations of Brett (www.mrc-cbu.cam.ac.uk/Imaging; Brett et al., 2002). Regions that met the threshold of significance and exceeded 200 voxels in extent were identified by comparing cluster location with a probabilistic atlas (Lancaster et al., 2000).

**Neuropsychological analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 11.5. All tests were two-tailed, with statistical significance set at $\alpha = .05$. Independent-samples $t$ tests were used to measure differences between groups on demographic variables at baseline and neuropsychological tests at baseline and follow-up. Change scores were derived from converting raw scores to $Z$ scores and subtracting the difference between follow-up performances from baseline performances. Independent sample $t$ tests explored differences in change scores between IQ-MN and IQ-MI groups.

**RESULTS**

**Neuropsychological Results**

**Group differences at baseline**

When IQ-adjusted norms were applied, 10 subjects were designated as IQ-MI and 13 subjects remained as IQ-MN. The mean raw scores of the IQ-MN and IQ-MI groups differed significantly on all SRT memory measures including Total Recall [TR; $t(1, 21) = 2.903; p = .008$], Long-Term Storage [LTS; $t(1, 21) = 3.117; p = .005$], Long-Term Retrieval [LTR; $t(1, 21) = 3.363; p = .003$], Consistent Long-Term Retrieval [CLTR; $t(1, 21) = 2.538; p = .019$], 10-min Delayed Recall [DR; $t(1, 21) = 3.122; p = .005$], 30-min Delayed Recall [DR30; $t(1, 21) = 2.278; p = .033$], and Recognition Recall [MC30; $t(1, 21) = 2.773; p = .011$]. There were also no significant differences between groups on other neuropsychological tests, including FAS, CAT, BNT, or on the VFDT (see Table 1).

**Change score group differences at follow-up**

Independent samples $t$ tests of $Z$ change scores revealed IQ-MI subjects statistically declined from baseline over 3 years in contrast to IQ-MN subjects. These declines occurred on tests of memory for TR [$t(1, 21) = 2.155; p = .043$] and CLTR [$t(1, 21) = 2.758; p = .012$] but not LTS, LTR, DR, DR30, or MC30. Significant change was also observed on FAS [$t(1, 21) = 2.695; p = .014$], with IQ-MN subjects demonstrating a significant improvement in performance in contrast to IQ-MI and on VFDT, with IQ-MI doing worse [$t(1, 21) = 2.237; p = .014$]. There were no significant differences noted on CAT or the BNT (see Figure 1).

**Clinical outcome**

CDR scores at 3-year follow-up revealed that, within the IQ-MI group, 6 of 10 IQ-MI subjects declined functionally from a CDR of 0 to a CDR of 0.5 in the memory box score, resulting in a CDR total score of 0.5. All 13 subjects within the IQ-MN group maintained a CDR of 0. Thus, a significantly greater proportion (60%) of individuals identified as at-risk (IQ-MI) declined, compared to (0%) of IQ-MN subjects [$\chi^2(1, n = 23) = 10.55; p = .001$].

**SPECT Results**

Perfusion was significantly reduced in IQ-MI compared to IQ-MN subjects in a large right inferior parietal, superior temporal, insular, and opercular frontal region (2624 voxels, nearest BA 13/22/40/644, $p < .008$, corrected for multiple comparisons at the cluster level), a right precuneus region (216 voxels, BA 7), and a right inferior parietal region (239 voxels, BA 39/40; see Table 2 and Figure 2). Smaller clusters of reduced perfusion that did not reach the planned significance threshold were seen in contralateral homologous regions and included left precuneus (Z = 2.96; $p < .002$, 103 voxels, BA7) and left inferior parietal (Z = 2.84; $p < .002$, 109 voxels, BA 40). Perfusion was significantly increased in a left medial frontal, rostral anterior cingulate region (BA 11/12/32; $p < .004$, corrected for multiple comparisons) with the IQ-MI compared to the IQ-MN group (see Table 2 and Figure 2).

**DISCUSSION**

The cognitive reserve hypothesis suggests that highly intelligent individuals with the beginnings of AD pathology may not exhibit cognitive impairment, making the diagnosis of early AD difficult. In this study, we explored whether making IQ-adjustments was useful in detecting memory impairments in subjects with high cognitive reserve and whether these memory impairments were associated with SPECT perfusion abnormalities at baseline. We found that using an IQ-adjustment method to account for cognitive reserve in CDR0 subjects was able to identify a subset of individuals with subtle memory impairment who showed evidence of
cerebral dysfunction on SPECT at baseline in a pattern consistent with AD. These subjects were also more likely to demonstrate subsequent cognitive and functional decline than those without IQ-adjusted abnormalities.

More specifically, IQ-adjustments revealed memory impairment in verbal learning and memory retrieval in 10 CDR0 subjects. Several other longitudinal studies also found that early declines in memory acquisition and retrieval were predictive of conversion to AD (Albert et al., 2001; Collie et al., 2001; Petersen et al., 1994; Stern et al., 1999; Sternberg et al., 2001) and have long been associated with the temporolimbic amnesia commonly found in AD (Albert et al., 2001; Cooper et al., 2004; Monsch et al., 1992; Rentz & Weintraub, 1999). Three years later, all 10 subjects with 

Table 2. Brain areas with significantly different perfusion in IQ-MI subjects compared to IQ-MN subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extent (voxels)</th>
<th>Z score</th>
<th>p &lt; (uncorrected)</th>
<th>x,y,z coordinates</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>BA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perfusion decrease</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>.000004*</td>
<td>44, 2, −2</td>
<td>Rt. Insula/ Sup. Temporal/ Inf. Parietal/ Inf. Frontal/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>216</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>.0001</td>
<td>24, −71, 52</td>
<td>Rt. Precuneus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>239</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>.0004</td>
<td>44, −35, 33</td>
<td>Rt. Inf. Parietal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perfusion increase</td>
<td>2267</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>.000002**</td>
<td>−12, 24, −10</td>
<td>Lt. Ant. Cingulate/ Frontal-subcallosal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Perfusion decreases and increases are listed with the corresponding cluster size (extent in voxels), peak voxel Z score, p value (voxel level, uncorrected), peak voxel coordinates, anatomic label, and nearest Brodmann area(s). MI = memory impaired; MN = memory normal; BA = Brodmann area; Rt. = right; Sup. = superior; Inf. = inferior; Lt. = left; Ant. = anterior. *p = .008 at the cluster-level, corrected. **p = .004 at the cluster-level, corrected.
baseline IQ-adjusted memory abnormalities showed a significant decline in memory, particularly TR and CLTR compared to IQ-MN. In addition, over half of the IQ-MI subjects also declined in functional status on informant report, whereas none of the IQ-MN subjects declined.

Some may argue that the selective reminding procedures, as used in this study, are not comparable to other measures of episodic memory, because they rely on feedback that is tailored to the individual, that is, only a portion of the list that was not immediately recalled is presented for learning. Therefore, decline on the SRT may be related to executive compromise and not exclusively to the ability to remember words (Loring & Papanicolaou, 1987). However, in prodromal AD, early executive compromise has been noted by others (Albert et al., 2001) and may explain why SRT procedures were useful in distinguishing our CDR0 subjects who subsequently declined. It is possible that cognitive reserve may modulate aspects of executive function that are beneficial to memory processing during the SRT. However, it would be helpful, in future studies, to make a comparison between episodic learning paradigms and SRT procedures to determine which measures provide greater predictive ability in subjects with high cognitive reserve.

Various proxy measures of cognitive reserve have been explored in several studies, including demographic based regression estimations (Barona et al., 1984), level of education, word reading estimates of premorbid IQ, and more recently, various combinations of education, occupation, and IQ (Andel et al., 2006; Stern et al., 1994, 1995, 2005). In this study, we chose to estimate cognitive reserve using the AMNART, in part because other studies found word-reading estimates of premorbid IQ more sensitive to the neurophysiological effects of AD than other demographic variables (Alexander et al., 1997) or a combination of variables (Bright et al., 2002). However, it is important to note that using an estimate of premorbid ability as a baseline from which to measure impairment presumes that individuals perform at a consistent level across all cognitive domains. Although this is a standard theoretical assumption in neuropsychology (Filskov & Leli, 1981), there is a potential limitation in interpreting low average neuropsychological test performances as abnormal in persons with superior premorbid ability (Diaz-Asper et al., 2004) and using premorbid IQ as an estimate of premorbid memory (Schretlen et al., 2005). Schretlen et al. (2005) reported that IQ accounts for only 16–28% of the variance in memory, but this number is based on a cross-section of the age span and may not fully reflect the impact of cognitive reserve on memory in older individuals. As memory declines with aging and AD pathology, it is likely that individuals need to rely more on compensatory encoding and retrieval strategies, which may be augmented by cognitive reserve. Our study suggests that IQ adjustment of memory scores as a proxy of cognitive reserve may provide a useful way to identify individuals at higher risk for subsequent cognitive decline.

Although we used normative data from Masur et al. (1989) to identify normal memory performance in older individuals, it is important to note that the normative sample from Masur et al. (1989) was older than our sample and much less educated. If more demographically appropriate norms were available, it is possible that our IQ-MI subjects would have been identified as impaired without the use of IQ-adjustments. However, all of our subjects were CDR0, meaning that they were functioning normal in everyday life and were not clinically impaired.

Moreover, there has been recent discussion as to what cutoff threshold is more sensitive at predicting conversion to AD in at-risk individuals. Petersen et al. (1999) suggests a cutoff of 1.5 SD below the mean to designate memory impairment. Busse et al. (2006) reported cutoff scores at 1 SD below the mean were more sensitive in detecting subjects who later converted to AD. It is possible that adjusting the cutoff thresholds might improve the ability to detect early memory changes in subjects with high cognitive reserve. Future studies exploring various methodologies for accounting for cognitive reserve would be helpful, including more sensitive cutoff scores, IQ-adjustments, or developing more contemporary norms.

The IQ-MI subjects who scored greater than 1.5 SD below the mean for their ability on measures of memory at baseline demonstrated continued decline 3 years later in contrast to IQ-MN subjects who tended to show improvement. We have previously reported that improvement in cognitive test scores is commonly seen among normal controls (Rentz
et al., 2004) and may be due to a practice effect. However, many individuals at-risk for AD do not seem to benefit from practice and demonstrate decline over time. In our sample, these declines were primarily in memory and correspond to the fact that the CDR scores of 6 of 10 IQ-MI subjects demonstrated functional decline related to memory. These findings suggest that IQ-adjustments in memory used to account for cognitive reserve show promise in being able to identify CDR0 subjects who are at risk for both cognitive and functional decline.

Finally, we were interested in learning whether subjects who demonstrated memory impairment for their ability showed abnormalities in functional imaging that were consistent with MCI and AD. Using perfusion SPECT, we found regional functional abnormalities in IQ-MI subjects at baseline in a temporoparietal distribution that is similar to the distribution reported in MCI subjects who subsequently converted to AD (Chetelat et al., 2003; Johnson et al., 1998). Similar findings have also been reported in mild AD (Johnson et al., 2001). More recently, evidence of early AD pathology in these regions was confirmed among MCI patients who came to autopsy (Bradley et al., 2002; Markesbery et al., 2006; Petersen et al., 2006). The temporoparietal perfusion abnormalities noted in our IQ-MI subjects at baseline suggest that AD pathology may have been present at the time of initial evaluation in normal CDR0 subjects. All of our IQ-MI subjects had the apolipoprotein E3/3 genotype, as we intentionally excluded subjects with the E4 and E2 allele. Interestingly, the pattern of SPECT hypoperfusion showed several overlapping regions with those reported in genetic at-risk subjects (Caselli et al., 2004; Reiman et al., 2001, 2005; Small, 2002); however, the presence of an E4 allele could not explain our findings.

We also found a ventral anterior cingulate region of increased perfusion in IQ-MI subjects compared to IQ-MN subjects. This finding is similar to one we recently reported in CDR 0.5 subjects who were followed and converted to AD within 5 years (Johnson et al., 2007). The increased perfusion in this region might reflect a compensatory response arising along the early trajectory of AD in response to encroaching pathologic involvement.

It is possible that our SPECT findings were partly due to regional atrophy occurring in IQ-MI subjects, and a limitation of this study is that we did not have complete high-resolution brain structural data available to fully assess this possibility. We also realize that this study involved a relatively small number of subjects and may limit the generalizability of our findings.

In summary, we used an IQ-adjustment method to account for cognitive reserve in a highly intelligent sample of older individuals. We found that IQ-adjustments identified early memory changes associated with SPECT hypoperfusion in a pattern consistent with AD and predicted subsequent future decline. As disease-modifying treatments and imaging markers to detect pathological changes in vivo are entering clinical trials, the accurate identification of individuals at high risk for developing AD is vital. The findings of this study suggest that neuropsychological methods, which account for cognitive reserve, may improve the ability to identify at-risk individuals with pathological memory changes that portend the trajectory toward AD.
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