CRISPR-Induced Distributed Immunity in Microbial Populations
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Abstract

In bacteria and archaea, viruses are the primary infectious agents, acting as virulent, often deadly pathogens. A form of adaptive immune defense known as CRISPR-Cas enables microbial cells to acquire immunity to viral pathogens by recognizing specific sequences encoded in viral genomes. The unique biology of this system results in evolutionary dynamics of host and viral diversity that cannot be fully explained by the traditional models used to describe microbe-virus coevolutionary dynamics. Here, we show how the CRISPR-mediated adaptive immune response of hosts to invading viruses facilitates the emergence of an evolutionary mode we call distributed immunity - the coexistence of multiple, equally-fit immune alleles among individuals in a microbial population. We use an eco-evolutionary modeling framework to quantify distributed immunity and demonstrate how it emerges and fluctuates in multi-strain communities of hosts and viruses as a consequence of CRISPR-induced coevolution under conditions of low viral mutation and high relative numbers of viral protospacers. We demonstrate that distributed immunity promotes sustained diversity and stability in host communities and decreased viral population density that can lead to viral extinction. We analyze sequence diversity of experimentally coevolving populations of Streptococcus thermophilus and their viruses where CRISPR-Cas is active, and find the rapid emergence of distributed immunity in the host population, demonstrating the importance of this emergent phenomenon in evolving microbial communities.
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Introduction

All organisms are susceptible to infection by viral pathogens. The sheer number of viruses found in natural environments is staggering; it is estimated that 1031 virus particles are circulating at any time [1,2] containing at least hundreds of thousands of genotypes [3], most of which infect bacteria and archaea. Bacteria and archaea resist infection through random mutation resulting in loss or modification of viral receptors, or through targeted defense systems such as physical blocking, restriction-modification systems, and abortive infection systems [4–10]. Both negative frequency-dependent selection (NFDS) and diversifying selection for microbe-virus coevolution [14], with variation in fitness driving diversification of the host and resulting in the predicted coexistence of many genotypes of both hosts and viruses [15]. These theoretically predicted trade-offs have also been seen to promote diversity of both host and viral populations in experimentally evolved populations [16–21].

Recently the CRISPR-Cas system was experimentally shown to function as an adaptive microbial resistance mechanism, using the model organism Streptococcus thermophilus [22] (see reviews in [6,23–32]). The CRISPR-Cas system, components of which are found in the majority of sequenced microbes [33], is comprised of short DNA fragments (spacers) flanked by palindromic repeats in repeat-spacer arrays [32]. These fragments are often identical to sequences in plasmids, viruses, and other foreign elements [34]. When a microbe containing an active CRISPR system encounters one of these foreign elements, it can add a new spacer matching a sequence in the foreign genome (protospacer) [22]. The CRISPR system can acquire spacers from many locations in a foreign genome, requiring only a short protospacer-associated motif (PAM) adjacent to the protospacer [25,35]. Repeat-spacer arrays are transcribed, processed, and used to guide an effector complex which inactivates matched foreign genetic material on any subsequent encounter [36]. Escape mutations in protospacers
prevent recognition by the CRISPR-Cas system resulting in a coevolutionary dynamic in which viruses evolve through random mutation while hosts evolve through “directed mutation” facilitated by adaptive immunity [25,37–39].

We propose that crucial elements of the CRISPR system result in a diversifying coevolutionary mode that is distinct from the traditional trade-off model described above. Adaptive CRISPR acquisition of new spacers leads to the potential for competing CRISPR genotypes to emerge within a host population at the same (or similar) time – akin to the phenomena of “clonal interference” [40,41]. The vast reservoir of protospacers in each virus creates the potential for competing host genotypes with similar (or identical) resistance phenotypes that are not necessarily subject to fitness tradeoffs between immune alleles. In contrast, viral strains are limited in potential escape mutations by fitness constraints on mutations in their genomes that can modify regulatory elements and RNA- and protein-encoding genes. In addition, each viral escape mutant only allows access to a single host immune allele potentially composing a small subset of the host population [42,43]. We hypothesized that these differences would allow for a dramatic restructuring of the coevolutionary mode wherein many different hosts are immune to the same virus in different ways. We label this many-to-one, genotype-to-phenotype phenomenon distributed immunity.

We previously developed an eco-evolutionary model of CRISPR-mediated host-viral coevolution [44]. In brief, the model incorporates density-dependent Lotka-Volterra like ecological dynamics with the evolutionary introduction of new hosts and viral strains with novel genetic states. Ecological rules of interaction including host reproduction and death, viral infection of hosts and viral deactivation outside of hosts determine host and viral densities. Viral infection of hosts can lead to either host lysis or viral deactivation, which may occur with or without spacer integration. During replication, viral strains evolve through mutation generating a novel protospacer. Host immunity is determined by the presence of at least one spacer matching a virus, yet is not full-proof, i.e., there is a small chance that a host with a matching spacer to an infecting virus will not be immune [44]. In simulations of our model, host and viral populations oscillate in abundance over short time scales, whereas host and viral genotype composition changes over long time scales, mediated by coevolutionary adaptation. A comparison of this and other models of CRISPR-mediated coevolutionary dynamics (e.g., [45–49]), whose exact dynamics depend on the specific molecular, ecological and evolutionary parameters can be found elsewhere [44,50].

Within our model, examining the diversity of the host population at each maximum in total host population abundance (host peaks), we observed two types of emergent population dynamics: (i) near selective sweeps by novel or recurring strains and (ii) simultaneous growth of phenotypically similar but genotypically diverse groups of strains which we termed coalitions [44]. Although the diversification of host populations with CRISPR immunity had been noted previously [44,45,48,49,51], in this paper, we present a metric, population-wide distributed immunity (PDI) to quantify distributed immunity in a population, to examine how distributed immunity varies over time and to determine how this evolutionary mode affects the coevolutionary dynamic. We used simulated data from our model to: (i) determine when coalitions are characterized by distributed immunity; (ii) identify conditions under which distributed immunity is the dominant evolutionary mode in a simulation; and (iii) quantify the effects of distributed immunity on host-viral relationships by examining diversity and stability of host and viral populations. Finally, we determined that the diversity exhibited in an experimental host-viral community is associated with distributed immunity.

**Results**

**Quantifying distributed immunity**

*Distributed immunity* denotes the emergent phenomenon in which multiple immune alleles coexist within and between hosts. When these alleles are distributed between different hosts that have CRISPR-Cas resistance, then multiple hosts have similar immune phenotypes yet have distinct, coexisting associated CRISPR genotypes. To measure the impact of distributed immunity, on each population, we developed a metric called population-wide distributed immunity (PDI) in which CRISPR-Cas immune relationships of all host-viral strain triplets are tested to determine if the two host strains contain spacers matching different protospacers on the same viral strain (Figure 1, see Methods for details of the calculation). The intuition behind our metric is that all triplets contribute positively to PDI when both hosts are immune to the virus by means of distinct spacers matching the virus. In the case where both hosts are immune to the virus but via the identical spacer, the immunity is not distributed throughout the population and thus does not contribute to PDI. Although phenotypically immunity via identical or distinct spacers is equivalent, the varied genotypes may follow different evolutionary pathways. For example, when PDI is high, mutation of a single protospacer does not permit escape in the majority of the host population. However, when PDI is low, a single protospacer mutation may lead to viral escape in most of the host population. The degree of contribution by each triplet depends on the product of the relative abundance of the host strains and viral strain and immunity between the host and viral strains (see Methods for details of the calculation). The maximum PDI for a population at any time increases with the number of host strains (with n host strains the maximum is 1-1/n) and is only obtainable when the following hold: there are at least two alleles that confer immunity to the viral strains, all hosts are immune to viral strains, and the abundance of each host CRISPR allele is equal (Figure S1). Note that the abundance of the viral strains does not affect the potential for PDI (see SI text for further discussion).

In the simulated eco-evolutionary dynamics of hosts and viruses [44], we find that PDI varies through time (Figure 2). PDI is typically highest just prior to peaks in host population density and drops to at or near zero in between (Figure 2). Every peak of host density does not contain high PDI, even if its potential maximum PDI is high, and in our simulations we find that measured PDI is well below the potential maximum. Low PDI results from (i) unevenness of the host population (Figure 2b-1, Figure S1), (ii) a large fraction of the hosts lacking immunity to the viral population (Figure 2b-2) or (iii) the majority of hosts having immunity to the viral population via the same spacer (Figure 2b-4). In contrast, high PDI occurs when multiple hosts have unique spacers to the same viral strains. This can occur when a dominant host strain diversifies via the acquisition of unique spacers to the same viral strain (Figure 2b-3). Across all simulations, the PDI at host peaks ranges from 0 to 0.7203 with an overall mean of 0.0710. We find no direct, predictable relationship between the abundance of host and viral populations at their peaks in relation to the concurrent value of PDI within a single simulation. In contrast, we hypothesize that PDI functions to alter the future host and viral dynamics within a community. Diversified hosts (with a high PDI) may affect the composition and total density of virus populations that recur in the next peak in host density or much later. This is
different spacer accessions. Parameters that increase population-wide distributed immunity

To determine how biological parameters might influence the evolutionary mode across a simulation toward or away from distributed immunity, we altered four parameters that vary between microbial and viral strains: viral mutation rate, \( \mu \); spacer acquisition rate, \( q \); maximum host spacer number, \( S \); and viral protospacer number, \( P \). To avoid the period of transient dynamics occurring at the initiation of the simulations from a single viral and single host strain, we measure median PDI in the last 500 hours of each simulation, where the host spacer locus is filled and both host and viral diversity are most regular (see File S1, Figure S2). Comparing the population dynamics between sets of simulations with varying parameters, we found that average PDI across the simulations increases when viral mutation rate decreases and when the number of relative protospacers increases (Figure 3). There are also increases in PDI when the spacer acquisition rate increases and the number of spacers increases, but PDI above 0.1 is rarely seen (Figure 3). The highest average PDI is seen with high relative protospacer number (P = 20) and low viral mutation rate (\( \mu = 10^{-7} \)) while lowest average PDI occurs with low relative protospacer number (P = 5) and low spacer acquisition rate (\( q = 10^{-6} \)). Increases in average PDI result from coevolutionary dynamics that include more host population peaks with higher PDI, rather than from an increase in PDI when host populations are not near their peak values.

Population-wide distributed immunity is associated with individual distributed immunity

In simulations with a higher average PDI, we observed an additional dynamic where individual host genotypes contain multiple spacers matching the same viral strain at distinct protospacers. This represents an analogous form of distributed immunity, albeit within a single host. Since this will have similar evolutionary effects as PDI, we quantify the average per host immunity to viral strains with a new metric denoted as individual distributed immunity (IDI). IDI is equal to the average number of distinct matching spacers between each pair of viral and host strains (see Methods for details of the calculation). When IDI is greater than one, the host population is on average immune in multiple ways to the viral population due to targeting multiple regions of the viral genome. We find that there is strong correlation between PDI and IDI (Figure S3) and, as with PDI, there is high IDI with low viral mutation rate and high protospacer number (Figure S4). Hereafter, we collectively refer to PDI and IDI as DI.

Elevated distributed immunity is associated with increases in host diversity, density, and stability

Having identified conditions under which simulations with high levels of distributed immunity are linked to changes in host-virus relationships, we investigated possible consequences of these altered interactions. We found that simulations resulting in high levels of distributed immunity are correlated with increased host strain count and population density (Figure 4A–D). We find a much stronger association between DI and these population level indicators than when evaluating the statistical relationship between mutation rate and protospacer number alone. For example, the Spearman rank correlation coefficient between host population density and PDI is 0.84 whereas it is \(-0.31\) and 0.49, when evaluated against mutation rate and P, respectively (all p < 0.001). Similarly, the Spearman rank correlation coefficient between host strain count and PDI is 0.78 whereas it is \(-0.26\) and 0.27, when evaluated against mutation rate and P, respectively (all p < 0.001). The data collapse of host population density and host strain count as a function of PDI from simulations with different governing parameters is apparent in Figure 4A–D. Investigating simulations where distributed immunity has a strong effect (high DI), we also observed extended periods of high density, stable host populations (see time points between 9700–10000 in Figure 5A–C for a typical example). Periods of stable host-controlled dynamics occur exclusively in parameter sets which have higher DI: P = 15, P = 20, and \( \mu = 10^{-7} \), and the proportion of simulations which exhibit extended stable periods increases with increasing DI (Figure 5E, black bars). The finding of extended stability is not driven solely by the extended high host density; this pattern is observed whether DI is measured at all time points (as in Figure 5E), or only at host density peaks.
Elevated PDI is associated with decreased viral diversity and density

In contrast to the increases in host population density and host strain count as PDI increases, the trends for viral population density and viral strain count are non-monotonic (Figure 4E–H and Figure S5). At lower PDI (PDI < 0.2) increases in PDI correlate with increases in viral population density and weakly correlate with increases in viral strain count (Figure 4 and Figure S5). The observed viral population increases are also correlated with increases in host population size and host immunity (Figure S6). Although immunity is increasing, it is still relatively low, suggesting that individual viral strains can continue to grow on subsets of the total host population. Simultaneously, as PDI increases, the host population is also increasing, so that each subset of hosts that viruses can infect is actually larger than at lower PDI. At higher PDI (PDI > 0.2), increases in PDI correlate with decreases in viral population density and viral strain count (Figure 4). Beyond PDI = 0.2, increases in host population size and immunity no
longer correspond to higher viral densities. This decrease in viral density is consistent with the fact that the proportion of hosts that viruses can infect (HVI, see Methods for details of the calculation) decreases as DI increases, and HVI is significantly lower in simulations with higher DI (Figure S7). Accompanying decreases in viral population sizes we find that the proportion of simulations in which viruses go extinct increases with increasing DI (Table S1 and Figure 6, dark gray bars). Parameter sets with the highest DI, P = 20 and m = 10, result in viral extinction in 10% and 12% of simulations with filled loci, respectively, the highest rates of extinction of any parameter set (Table S1). Considering simulations in which the CRISPR locus does not fill before the last 500 hours, 90.7% end in viral extinction, including 94.3% and 91.6% of P = 20 and m = 10, respectively. Nearly all simulations with lower DI reach a full spacer locus prior to the final 500 hours (Table S1).

Elevated distributed immunity identified in an experimental viral-host community

We examined whether the dynamic of distributed immunity observed in simulations is consistent with patterns observed in experimental microbial communities in which both virus and host sequence is known. To do so, we estimated DI within an experimental set of host and viral populations. A quantitative assessment of the contribution of the relative DI to the maintenance of diversity in natural microbial populations is not possible in most studies, as the contemporary virus population is not typically sequenced. Despite technical challenges to date in testing distributed immunity in natural populations, studies in laboratory populations offer an opportunity to measure distributed immunity. Numerous studies in laboratory populations have shown that upon challenge by a single phage, multiple S. thermophilus genotypes emerge with different spacers providing immunity [22,25,26,37,38,52]. For our analysis, we used data from Sun et al. [38], the only study with both sequences and abundances from the entire coevolving host and viral populations as required to measure DI. In this study, a laboratory-coevolved population of Streptococcus thermophilus and its phage 2972 was found to exhibit rapid spacer addition as well as phage CRISPR escape mutations. After 1 week of co-culture, the host had added 43 new spacers to one CRISPR locus, and three viral mutations in targeted protospacers or PAMs were detected [38]. Given the diversity of new spacers matching a small pool of viral types, we estimated a high value of PDI for these populations. Using populations reconstructed from spacer-containing reads and viral SNP distributions (Figure 6, see Methods), the value of PDI after 1 week of coevolution was 0.4331, out of a maximum possible PDI of 0.5933. This estimate of elevated PDI complements Sun et al.’s [38] observation of multiple acquisitions of distinct CRISPR escape mutants, and suggests a population-level effect that may act synergistically with individual host-viral interactions. Note that this PDI value is larger than the median PDI in 99.8% and the highest observed PDI in 75.9% of all simulations we conducted. The value of IDI, 1.2264, was higher than the median IDI in 97.7% and the highest observed IDI in 58.7% of simulations.

Figure 3. PDI is elevated at high protospacer number and low viral mutation rate. Measured PDI in numerical simulations is shown with varying (A) protospacer number; (B) host acquisition rate; (C) viral mutation rate; (D) spacer number. Bars (and lines) represent mean (and SEM) of PDI of replicate simulations, with each replicate represented by the median value across the final 500 hours of that single simulation. Unless varied, parameters are S = 10, P = 10, q = 10^{-5}, m = 5 \times 10^{-7}. Using analysis of variation for unbalanced data all pairwise comparisons of mean PDI are significant at p < 0.001 except comparisons between: μ = 5 \times 10^{-7} and μ = 7.5 \times 10^{-7} (p < 0.01) in (C); μ = 7.5 \times 10^{-7} and μ = 10^{-6} (not significant) in (C); and q = 5 \times 10^{-7} and q = 10^{-6} (not significant) in (D).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101710.g003
Discussion

We have explored the immune dynamics resulting from a computational eco-evolutionary model driven by CRISPR-mediated immunity. The model demonstrates how a host-viral community can evolve a complex structure where different hosts are immune to the same virus as a result of immunity conferred by

Figure 4. PDI and population measures when varying mutation rate and protospacer number. High PDI is associated with (A–B) increases in host population density, (C–D) increases in host strain count, non-monotonic changes (E–F) in viral population density, and non-monotonic changes (G–H) in viral strain count. Left column is varying mutation rate, $m$, and right column is varying protospacer number, $P$. Unless varied, parameters are $S = 10$, $P = 10$, $q = 10^{-5}$, $m = 5 	imes 10^{-6}$. Each point is the median from the last 500 hours of a single simulation. Note that both viral population density and viral strain count are unimodally related to PDI, with the lowest levels of both viral population density and strain count occurring at high PDI. The Spearman rank correlation coefficients of all comparisons, noted in the upper right corner of Figure panels, are significant at $p<0.001$. These relationships, including those that are non-monotonic, are discussed further in the main text.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101710.g004
different immune alleles, which we have quantified as distributed immunity. Immunity relationships between hosts and viruses with distributed immunity may appear similar from the phenotype level to relationships lacking distributed immunity; however, the underlying genetic diversity present in distributed immunity changes the dynamics of coevolution. In particular, during periods of elevated distributed immunity, the host population is diverse and stable while the viral population is restricted in the number and extent of possible beneficial mutations and is prone to extinction. The stable maintenance of multiple non-dominant genotypes that accompanies distributed immunity is likely facilitated by NFDS. The generation of distributed immunity and the selective mechanisms of NFDS may work together to promote diversity.

Several CRISPR models have previously observed diversity in host spacer content both at an individual and population level [44,45,48,49], but understanding that diversity has been a recent exploration. Although Iranzo et al. [49] established several population-level findings, such as CRISPR immunity promoting the coexistence of viruses and hosts at intermediate viral mutation rate and the lack of increased viral diversity with CRISPR immunity, they did not attempt to expound upon these findings, which they labeled counterintuitive. Our model, even with its reduced complexity as we ignore populations lacking CRISPRs, is able to reproduce these results and offer an explanation for them via distributed immunity. Here, we have demonstrated that the consequences of viral protospacer number and mutation rate as well as host spacer acquisition rate and spacer number on the
Figure 6. PDI and IDI estimated in a population of *Streptococcus thermophilus* and its phage 2972. Data from [38] (accession number SRA049615). Virus protospacer and host spacer states are shown after one week of experimental coevolution. Matching colors in host and viral boxes indicate a spacer-protospacer match. White boxes are spacers or protospacers without a match. All viruses are shown; host strains that make up less than 3% of the population are not shown for clarity. Protospacer positions for which there is no match between any virus and the hosts shown are omitted.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0101710.g006

population dynamics can be explained as acting through distributed immunity thereby linking the molecular and evolutionary mechanisms to the eco-evolutionary dynamics that have been observed. Since distributed immunity only requires some of the spacers to be distinct, it is consistent with a previously posed model where random deletion lead to selective sweeps of trailer-end spacers [48].

CRISPR-Cas diversity varies greatly among systems. At one end of the spectrum are the slowly-evolving CRISPR-Cas systems of *Escherichia* and *Salmonella*, where estimates indicate that strains that have diverged in the last thousand years have identical CRISPR loci [53]. At the other end are natural populations exhibiting high CRISPR-Cas diversity, including the human gut microbiome [54], *Yersinia pestis* plague foci [55], and hot spring populations of *Sulfobolus islandicus* [56,57]. Notably, in the case of *S. islandicus*, these archaean populations do not contain a dominant genotype or display evidence of selective sweeps over a ten-year interval [57] but maintain diversity at both the leader and trailer ends of the CRISPR loci over time. Some natural populations demonstrate evidence of past selective sweeps in the form of conserved trailer-end spacers, particularly populations of acidophilic microbes found in acid mine drainage [48,58,59]. The difference between the immune structures of different microbial populations may be driven by differences in the extent of distributed immunity within populations, differences in the levels of reassortment of CRISPR allels between strains in different populations [57], or the action of other host defense systems operating along with CRISPR-Cas immunity.

Indeed, our model suggests that the biology of CRISPR-Cas system might define the resulting level of diversity observed in natural populations. We show that the number of protospacers, viral mutation rate, and host acquisition rate all significantly influence the level of distributed immunity in a way that would result in different immune structures in natural populations. These factors have been shown to vary in natural microbial populations. For example, in microbes with active CRISPR-Cas defense, the number of protospacers is determined by both the length of the viral genome and the length and sequence of the PAM sequences, which direct acquisition and interference. We infer that protospacer number is positively correlated with distributed immunity because at higher protospacer numbers it is easier for hosts to acquire multiple spacers to the same virus (higher IDI) and for different hosts to acquire different spacers (higher PDI). We hypothesize that microbial hosts utilizing shorter PAMs or that are infected by viruses with larger genomes are more likely to display a diversified immune structure that is consistent with distributed immunity. Variation in viral mutations rates has also been observed in natural populations. For example, it has been suggested that thermophiles and their viruses have lower mutation rates than their mesophilic counterparts [60,61]. Our model suggests that this is consistent with data showing that the thermophilic archaean *S. islandicus* appears to maintain a stable diversified population over time [56,57]; however, this hypothesis must be explicitly tested. Finally, in this study we did not explore variation in the probability that CRISPR immunity fails such that a host cell does not recognize and clear a virus for which it has a matching spacer. Such failure may result in the proliferation of a virus to which there exists some immunity in the population. Given our previous analysis showing the relatively minor effects of such failure on resulting dynamics [44], we do not expect significant effects of the stochastic failure of host spacers on distributed immunity, at least in the range of failure values observed experimentally [22]. However, in the case of exposure to plasmids rather than viruses, such failure may permit the exchange of genetic material between hosts [62]. Under conditions when genetic exchange is advantageous (e.g., in the presence of many beneficial plasmids [63]) then the occurrence of distributed immunity may result, even if seemingly unfavorable, to protect against virulent viruses.

Although natural population data is not yet available to employ our novel metrics PDI and IDI for quantifying distributed immunity, we have quantified this evolutionary mode in an experimental population. Qualitatively, Sun et al. [38] observed rapid transition from clonal to diversified in both host and viral populations as a result of CRISPR-Cas immunity. We demonstrated that this diversification also exhibited rapid emergence of DI and hypothesize that our finding of highly elevated PDI in Sun et al. [38] may be due, in part, to the relatively large number of protospacers in the genomes of phage (associated with replete PAMs), as compared to the use of low number of protospacers (P = 5–20) in our models due to computational constraints. This hypothesis is further supported by our simulation results where DI increases as we increase protospacer number (see Figure 3A). We predict that in this system when the *S. thermophilus* hosts exhibit distributed immunity, viral populations will be smaller, less diverse and more prone to extinction. We consider it an important future goal to extend the DI analysis of *S. thermophilus* and phage to systems in which host and viral metagenomes are available to further quantify the variation of DI in natural populations.

A better understanding of CRISPR-mediated coevolutionary dynamics will have important implications for medical applications for example those seeking to target microbial pathogens with phage therapy. In addition, our model suggests possible optimal strategies for engineering stable microbial communities immune to phage attack such as those used in biofuels production or other industrial applications. Finally, CRISPR immunity serves as an interesting model system in which to study the broader effects of...
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Methods

Model information and statistical analyses

We use the model introduced in Childs et al. [44] to generate our simulation data. Briefly, in the model, ecological host-viral dynamics are combined with the introduction of new host and viral strains through changes in the CRISPR space and protospacer states. Hosts may acquire new spacers during viral infection, and viruses may mutate to novel protospacers during replication. Host immunity towards an infecting virus requires the presence of at least one spacer matching a viral protospacer, but is not full proof. The population dynamics of host and viral strains are deterministic but the incorporation of hosts’ spacers and mutation of viral protospacers occurs stochastically. Further details of the model are reviewed in the supplemental information with the parameters used in Table S2. Although this paper focuses on four parameters (protospacer number, spacer number, viral mutation rate, spacer acquisition rate), Childs et al. [44] more thoroughly tests dependencies of model dynamics on other parameters. Due to the stochastic nature of our model, the parameter regions surveyed were limited by computational cost. All results presented are averages of 200 replicate simulations, unless otherwise noted (Table S1), with each replicate represented by the median value across the final 500 hours of that simulation. One hour is equivalent to the inverse of the growth rate – what we denote here as a typical host generation time. Simulations were excluded from population averages whenever the spacer states did not contain the maximum number of spacers (full locus) throughout the final 500 hours of simulation or whenever the viral population fell below our density cutoff before the locus was filled (Table S1).

For each of the four parameters varied (protospacer number, spacer number, viral mutation rate, spacer acquisition rate), measurements from replicates at each parameter value tested were grouped. The means of replicate PDI and IDI measurements were compared using analysis of variation for unbalanced data (data from Figure 3 and Figure S4). The Spearman rank correlation coefficients were determined for variations in each parameter between PDI, host population density, viral population density, host strain count, viral strain count, and IDI (data from Figure 3, Figure S3 and Figure S5). The Spearman rank correlation coefficients were also determined for variations in PDI, host population density and immunity combining all parameter sets (data from Figure S6). R² values were determined for correlations between HVI and PDI, and between HVI and IDI (data from Figure S7).

The data collapse of host and viral output variables, as a function of PDI, from simulations with different governing parameters is apparent in Figures 4 and Figure S5. To test for correlations, linear R² values were determined for variations in each parameter between PDI, host population density, viral population density, host strain count, viral strain count and IDI for variations in each parameter (data from Figure 4, Figure S5). Despite significant linear correlation in almost all cases, except between PDI, host strain count and viral strain count when varying S, it was evident upon inspection that the relationships between PDI and viral population density and viral strain count were better described by non-linear functions, particularly quadratic functions. To quantify this, we fit a quadratic model for viral output parameters and compared the quality of fit to a linear model using AIC; the relationship of all PDI and viral output statistics were better fits as demonstrated by lower AIC values except for PDI and viral strain count when varying S where both linear and quadratic fits were not significant (See Table S3).

To compare the proportion of simulations that are stable, fluctuating, or end in viral extinction, 10,000 random subsamples of 230 simulations (10% of the total simulations with filled loci) were taken. The mean proportions of simulations in each bin that fell into the stable or viral extinction category were compared using analysis of variation (data from Figure 6). We define a population to be stable when the host population exceeds 3e5 for more than 100 hours (approximately 95% of the carrying capacity).

Population-wide distributed immunity (PDI)

To quantify the population-level distribution of immune alleles between hosts with similar immune phenotypes but distinct CRISPR genotypes, we compare all triplets of two host strains and a viral strain. We determine which triplets contain distinct spacers matching protospacers in the virus to quantify PDI as follows:

\[
PDI = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \frac{1}{\text{max}(N)} \left( 1 - \frac{|N_{i} - N_{j}|}{\text{max}(N)} \right) \sigma_{ijk} N_{i} N_{j} V_{k}
\]

where \(N_{i}\) is the population proportion of the \(i^{th}\) host strain, \(V_{k}\) is the population proportion of the \(k^{th}\) viral strain, \(G_{i}\) is the set of spacers belonging to the \(i^{th}\) host strain, \(H_{k}\) is the set of protospacers belonging to the \(k^{th}\) viral strain, \(R(G_{i},H_{k})\) determines the number of matching spacers and protospacers between the states \(G_{i}\) and \(H_{k}\), and \(R(G_{i},G_{j},H_{k})\) determines the number of matching spacers and protospacers between all the states \(G_{i}\), \(G_{j}\) and \(H_{k}\). Further, \(\text{max}(N)\) denotes the maximum proportion of any given host strain in the population.

Triplets with matching spacers and protospacers contribute to PDI via the function \(\sigma\). The relative abundance of the strains from a triplet determines the level of contribution of that triplet to PDI. The total value of PDI is weighted by host strains at or similar to the size of the dominant host strain in order to minimize the summed contribution of numerous strains found at low proportion.

Individual distributed immunity (IDI)

We introduce individual distribution immunity to quantify the distribution of immunity within hosts, in contrast to PDI, which quantifies the distribution of immunity between hosts. IDI is the average number of spacers per host matching the viral population:

\[
IDI = \sum_{i} \sum_{k} N_{i} V_{k} R(G_{i},H_{k})
\]

where the host proportion \(N_{i}\), the viral proportion \(V_{k}\), the host spacer state \(G_{i}\), the viral spacer state \(H_{k}\), and the number of
matches between spacer and protospacer states $R(G_i,H_i)$ are defined as in PDI.

Hosts that Viruses can Infect (HVI)

The average proportion of hosts that viruses can infect is quantified by HVI:

$$HVI = \sum_i \sum_k N_i V_k \left( 1 - M(G_i, H_k) \right)$$

where $M(G_i,H_k)$ determines the presence or absence of matching spacers and protospacers between the states $G_i$ and $H_k$. The host proportion ($N_i$), the viral proportion ($V_k$), the host spacer state ($G_i$), the viral spacer state ($H_k$) are defined as in PDI.

Experimental population DI calculations

Sequencing reads from the Sun et al. study [38] (accession number SRA049615) containing at least two novel spacers, or at least one novel spacer plus ancestral spacers or leader sequence were considered. Reads were grouped by spacer content; where trailer-end sequence information was not available, the locus was considered. Reads were grouped by spacer content; where trailer-end sequence information was not available, the locus was considered. Reads were grouped by spacer content; where trailer-end sequence information was not available, the locus was considered.

To determine the proportion of phages with each combination of SNPs, each mutation was considered an independent event. To determine the proportion of phages with each combination of SNPs, each mutation was considered an independent event. To determine the proportion of phages with each combination of SNPs, each mutation was considered an independent event. To determine the proportion of phages with each combination of SNPs, each mutation was considered an independent event. To determine the proportion of phages with each combination of SNPs, each mutation was considered an independent event. To determine the proportion of phages with each combination of SNPs, each mutation was considered an independent event. To determine the proportion of phages with each combination of SNPs, each mutation was considered an independent event. To determine the proportion of phages with each combination of SNPs, each mutation was considered an independent event. To determine the proportion of phages with each combination of SNPs, each mutation was considered an independent event.

Assuming similar CRISPR loci whenever possible maximizes the number of reads grouped into each CRISPR-type and prevents overestimation of PDI.

Frequencies of three phage mutations in protospacers or PAMs identified by Sun et al. [38] were confirmed using breseq [64] (available online at http://barricklab.org/breseq). Each possible combination of SNPs was considered a different viral strain. To determine the proportion of phages with each combination of SNPs (SNP-i only, SNP-i and SNP-ii, SNP-i and SNP-iii, or all three SNPs), each mutation was considered an independent event and the probability of each combination was calculated. These proportions were used for $V_k$ in the PDI and IDI equations. Otherwise, PDI and IDI were calculated as in simulated populations.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Maximum possible PDI changes with the number of host strains. The maximum attainable PDI is determined by the number of host strains, the evenness of the host abundances and requires all host strains are immune to all viral strains. Maximum PDI increases towards one when all hosts have equal abundance (blue). When one host dominates, for example 50% of the population (green) or 90% of the population (red), and all other hosts have equal abundance, the maximum PDI is significantly reduced.

Figure S2 Early time course of a representative simulation with standard parameters listed in Table S2. Despite seeding with a single host and viral strain, many strains rapidly appear as result of the ever-changing immunity structure. Thick lines at the top of panels A and B are total population density; thin lines are population density of individual host strains (blue lines, A) and viral strains (red lines, B). During the initial hours there is more defined population strain structure when the average spacers per host is low (C).

Figure S3 PDI is positively correlated with IDI. Each point is the median from last 500 hours of a single simulation varying (A) protospacer number, $P$; (B) spacer number, $S$; (C) viral mutation rate, $\mu$; (D) host spacer acquisition rate, $q$. Unless varied, $S = 10, P = 10, q = 10^{-5}, \mu = 5 \times 10^{-7}$. $R^2$ correlation coefficients, noted in the upper-right corner of figure panels, of all comparisons are significant at p<0.001. Correlations are depicted with solid black lines.

Figure S4 IDI varies with: (A) protospacer number; (B) spacer number; (C) viral mutation rate; (D) spacer acquisition rate. Unless varied, $S = 10, P = 10, q = 10^{-5}, \mu = 5 \times 10^{-7}$. Bars (and lines) are mean (and SEM) of IDI of replicate simulations, with each replicate represented by the median value across the last 500 hours. Using analysis of variation for unbalanced data all pairwise comparisons of mean PDI are significant at $p<0.001$ except in (D) where all pairwise comparison with $q>1e-6$ (not significant).

Figure S5 PDI and population measures when spacer acquisition rate and spacer number are varied. PDI is only weakly correlated, if at all, with host population density (A–B), host strain count (C–D), viral population density (E–F) and viral strain count (G–H) across variation in spacer acquisition rate, $q$ (left column), and spacer number, $S$ (right column). Unless varied, $S = 10, P = 10, q = 10^{-5}, \mu = 5 \times 10^{-7}$. Each point represents the median of the last 500 hours in a single simulation. Linear $R^2$ correlation coefficients (A–D) and quadratic $R^2$ correlation coefficients (E–H), noted in the figure panels, of all comparisons are significant at $p<0.001$ except PDI with host strain count (in D) and PDI with viral strain count (in G) when spacer acquisition rate is varied. Correlations are depicted with solid black lines (A–D) and curves (E–H).

Figure S6 Low PDI (<0.2) is correlated with increases in immunity (A) and host population density (B). At high PDI (≥0.2) immunity (A) and host population density (B) are uniformly high. Each point represents the median of the last 500 hours of a single simulation; all parameter sets from Table S1 are included. $R^2$ correlation coefficients (A) 0.59 and (B) 0.78 are significant at $p<0.001$.

Figure S7 HVI decreases with increasing PDI (A–C) and IDI (D–F). PDI values binned by 0.1; IDI values binned by 0.6. Bars (and lines) are mean (and SEM) of median HVI across the last 500 hours of each replicate simulation from a pool of 100 simulations per parameter set. Parameters for each panel are (A,D) $S = 10, P = 10, q = 10^{-5}, \mu = 5 \times 10^{-7}$; (B,E) $S = 10, P = 20, q = 10^{-5}, \mu = 5 \times 10^{-7}$; (C,F) $S = 10, P = 10, q = 10^{-5}, \mu = 10^{-7}$. All other parameters as listed in Table S2. $R^2$ values (data not binned) are noted in each panel with *, $p<0.01$, **, $p<0.001$; NS, not significant.

Figure S8 Example of methodology of CRISPR locus reconstruction from sequencing reads. Each color represents a unique spacer. Each horizontal row on the left shows the spacer content of a single read; its corresponding row on the right shows the inferred complete spacer content. The spacer marked
with an asterisk is not present in the ancestral host but has become fixed in the current population. L, leader sequence; T, spacers present in ancestral host.

Table S1 Summary of simulated population outcomes. Summary of the population outcomes (complete, viral extinction, unfilled locus) of simulations for each parameter set.

Table S2 Model parameters. Description of parameters including symbol and value used for simulation of the model.

Table S3 Linear-quadratic model comparisons. Summary of the R² computation for Figure S4E–H and Figure S5E–H and choice of model fit using AIC.

File S1 Supplemental Information. Includes a detailed description of the model used for simulation; a discussion of how host and viral strain size and immunity affect PDI; and a description of transient dynamics of hosts with limited immune history.
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