Controlling Interneuron Activity in Caenorhabditis Elegans to Evoke Chemotactic Behaviour

Citation

Published Version

Permanent link

Terms of Use

Share Your Story

Controlling interneuron activity in *Caenorhabditis elegans* to evoke chemotactic behavior.

Askin Kocabas[1,2]*,†, Ching-Han Shen[1,2],*, Zengcai V. Guo[3] and Sharad Ramanathan [1,2,4,5,6]†

[1] *FAS Center for Systems Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138*

[2] *Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138*

[3] *Janelia Farm Research Campus, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Ashburn VA 20147*

[4] *Allen Institute for Brain Science, Seattle, WA 98103*

[5] *Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138*

[6] *School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138*

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

† Corresponding author

Animals locate and track chemoattractive gradients in the environment to find food. With its small nervous system, *Caenorhabditis elegans* is a good model system[1,2] in which to understand how the dynamics of neural activity control this search behavior. Extensive work on the nematode has identified the neurons that are necessary for the different locomotory behaviors underlying chemotaxis through laser ablation[3-7], activity recording in immobilized animals and the study of mutants[4,5]. However, we do not know the neural activity patterns in *C. elegans* that are sufficient to control its complex chemotactic behavior. To understand how the activity in its interneurons coordinates different motor

programs to lead the animal to food, we used optogenetics and new optical tools to directly manipulate neural activity in freely moving animals to evoke chemotactic behavior. By deducing the classes of activity patterns triggered during chemotaxis and exciting individual neurons with these patterns, we identified interneurons that control the essential locomotory programs for this behavior. Surprisingly, we discovered that controlling the dynamics of activity in just one interneuron pair (AIY) was sufficient to force the animal to locate, turn towards and track virtual light gradients. Two distinct activity patterns triggered in AIY as the animal moved through the gradient, controlled reversals and gradual turns to drive chemotactic behavior. Since AIY are post-synaptic to most chemosensory and thermosensory neurons[8], these activity patterns in AIY are likely to play an important role in controlling and coordinating different taxis behaviors of the animal.

Organisms, from bacteria to multicellular eukaryotes, have to search for food to survive. Complex internal circuits process external signals to evoke and coordinate multiple motor programs, leading the animal to track attractive odors and find food. Are there master nodes in the circuits that control and coordinate search behavior? Here we ask if the neural circuits generating chemotactic behavior in *C. elegans* can be controlled through such key nodes.

The nematode *C. elegans* uses reversals (backward movement), sharp and gradual turns to locate, and track gradients of chemo-attractive signals[4,5,9,10]. Previous work on *C. elegans* has identified about 14 pairs of inter and motor neurons including the interneurons AIY, AIZ and AIB that are necessary for the locomotory behaviors

underlying chemotaxis (Supplementary Table 1)[3-7]. The neuro-anatomy of the animal shows that a majority of the amphid chemosensory and thermosensory neurons synapse onto one or more of the first layer of interneuron pairs AIY, AIZ, and AIB[11], which are further connected to a dense network of interneurons[8]. The activity dynamics in this network must process sensory signals to produce and coordinate the different locomotory behaviors underlying chemotaxis through the downstream motor neurons. Despite the experiments in the literature involving ablation, genetics, and calcium imaging, we do not know if chemotaxis is driven by key interneurons or if the generation of this complex behavior is achieved by the dynamics of a more diffuse neural network.

To evoke chemotactic behavior by directly controlling interneuron activity, we have to answer two intricately linked questions: which sets of interneurons do we control, and what activity patterns do we stimulate in them? To deduce the classes of activity patterns triggered in the nervous system during chemotaxis, we followed animals as they crawled towards a bacterial lawn (Fig. 1a). The undulatory head swings (from dorsal to ventral, since the animal crawls on its side) caused the angle at which the head bends relative to the locomotory direction, $h(t)$ (Fig. 1b), to oscillate between positive and negative values. Due to this changing head-bending angle and the movement of the animal, sensory cilia at the nose tip experienced the spatial profile of the chemoattractants (Fig. 1a, b) as a temporally fluctuating odor signal, $I(h,t)$. In general, this signal can be written as a sum of two terms $I(h,t) = S_I(h,t) + A_I(h,t)$, where S_I is a symmetric function of h: $S(h,t) = S(-h,t)$ and A_I is an asymmetric function of h: $A(h,t) = -A(-h,t)$. When the animal moves perpendicular to the gradient direction I is dominated by A_I (Fig. 1b-d, top). As the animal turns and

tracks the gradient, the magnitude of A_I, $|A_I|$ decreases and I is dominated by S_I (Fig. 1b-d, bottom, Supplementary Fig. 1a).

To determine how these asymmetric and symmetric components of $I(t)$ controlled locomotory behavior, we built a microscopy system that delivered odors on a freely crawling animal in precise temporal patterns determined by $h(t)$ (Supplementary Fig. 1b). To mimic A_I, we exposed the animal to asymmetric odor stimulation: air with chemoattractant vapors (10^{-3} M isoamyl alcohol) blown on the entire animal when the head was bent in one direction (for example, dorsally, $h > 0$) and odor-free air blown when the head was bent in the other (ventrally, $h < 0$) (Fig. 1e). The animal turned gradually in the direction in which its head was bent when the odor was delivered (Fig. 1f, Supplementary Fig. 1c-d, Supplementary Movie 1). To mimic S_I we delivered vapors of isoamyl alcohol constantly. independent of h. The animal reduced its reversal frequency[11] and did not turn (Fig. 1g). The most parsimonious hypothesis based on these results is that asymmetric and symmetric odor components generate activity patterns in the nervous system with corresponding symmetries to separately control turning and reversal frequency during chemotaxis.

We therefore identified interneurons that triggered the different locomotory behaviors necessary for chemotaxis by directly stimulating individual neurons in a freely moving animal in asymmetric and symmetric patterns. To do so, we expressed channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2)[12,13] or archaerhodopsin-3 (Arch)[14,15] in different neurons. Light activation of ChR2 (by 480 nm light) and Arch (540 nm) leads to neural excitation and inhibition, respectively.

4

Targeted illumination of specific neurons in motionless animals[16] or of body segments in freely moving animals[17,18] shave been developed to excite neurons for which specific promoters are not known. Since the neurons in the nerve ring are as close as 5-10 μm to each other and their relative positions change quickly as the animal moves (Supplementary Fig. 2a), we could not use these techniques. To optically stimulate one of many neurons (each with a diameter of 5-10 μm) expressing light-gated ion channels in the nerve ring of an animal that typically moves at 150 ± 50 μm/s (Supplementary Fig. 2b), our setup tracks, identifies, and specifically illuminates the neuron(s) of interest, all within 25 milliseconds to achieve a 5 μm spatial resolution of excitation (Fig. 2a).

Using this setup, we first tested how stimulating the interneurons AIY and AIB affected locomotory behavior. Both neuron pairs receive chemical synapses from the AWC sensory neurons that detect isoamyl alcohol[8] and showed calcium activity when animals were stimulated with this chemoattractant[11]. Asymmetric excitation of AIY with light in animals that expressed ChR2 only in AIY (under the promoter *ttx-3*) caused the animal to turn in the direction in which the head was bent when AIY were excited (Fig. 2b, Supplementary Movie 2). We validated our setup by reproducing these results in animals that expressed ChR2 in AIY alone and fluorescence protein monomeric Kusabira-Orange (mKO) in neurons AIY, AIZ and RME (*ser-2prom2* promoter, Supplementary Fig. 2c-f). Asymmetric stimulation of AIY in animals expressing ChR2 in AIY, AIZ and RME (*ser-2prom2*) showed the same results (Fig. 2e-f, Supplementary Fig. 2g, Supplementary Movie 3). Consistently, inhibiting the activity in AIY asymmetrically (*pttx-3::Arch*) caused the animal turn in the opposite direction in which the head was bent when AIY were inhibited (Fig. 2f,

Supplementary Fig. 3a, Movie 4). Symmetric excitation and inhibition of AIY decreased and increased the reversal frequency respectively, but did not cause turning (Fig. 2f-g, Supplementary Fig. 3, Supplementary Movie 5).

Both asymmetric and symmetric excitation or inhibition of AIB in AIB::*ChR2* and AIB::*Arch* animals (*npr-9* promoter) affected the reversal frequency of the animal but did not produce any gradual turning (Fig. 2f-g, Supplementary Fig. 4). We could thus control the two locomotory behaviors crucial for chemotaxis, gradual turns and reversal frequency, by driving different patterns of activity in AIY alone.

Turning is initiated by a larger head-bending angle in one direction[19,20]. When we forced the animal to turn by asymmetrically stimulating AIY (*pttx-3::ChR2*), the head-bending angle in the direction of the turn increased (Fig. 3a, b), suggesting that asymmetric activation of AIY controlled head bending through head motor neurons to cause gradual turning. AIY are most directly connected to the head muscles through the interneurons AIZ which synapse onto the head motor neurons SMB and RME[8]. AIZ neurons have been implied to play a role in gradual turns by laser ablation[4]. Ablations of SMB and RME change the head-bending angle during crawling and exhibit loopy behavior[7,21].

When we specifically excited AIZ::*ChR2* (*ser-2prom2::ChR2*), SMB::*ChR2* (*podr-2(18)::ChR2*), or RME::*ChR2* (*ser-2prom2::ChR2)* asymmetrically using our setup, the animals turned (Fig. 3c-e, Supplementary Fig. 5, Supplementary Movie 6-8). These results in conjunction with those from asymmetric optical stimulation of the isoamyl alcohol sensing neuron AWC[ON] (Supplementary Fig. 6, Supplementary

Movie 9), show that asymmetric stimulation of the sequence of anatomically connected neurons from AWC^{ON}, through the interneurons AIY, AIZ to the head motor neurons SMB and RME[8] (Fig. 3f) all cause turning. These set of neurons thus sense and respond to the component of the sensory signal that oscillates asymmetrically and in synchrony with head movement to control head bending and turning.

Since different patterns of activity in AIY are sufficient to control both the frequency of reversals and turning, we tested if controlling AIY activity alone was sufficient to coordinate reversal frequency and turning to evoke chemotactic behavior. To do so, we measured the locomotory behavior of animals in a fixed spatial light gradient that directly excited AIY::*ChR2* (Supplementary Fig. 7a, Supplementary Methods). The animals were unable to track the gradient direction (Supplementary Fig. 7c, Supplementary Movie 10).

AIY's somas and processes are 150±25 μm behind the nose tip of an adult animal (Supplementary Fig. 7b). Since the animal's speed is 150±50 μm/sec, AIY follow the position of the nose tip with approximately a one second delay. We argued that the dynamics of AIY excitation caused by the animal's movement through the gradient were not in synchrony with head bending due to this delay, preventing the animals from tracking the gradient. Therefore, we designed a virtual light gradient where the excitation light intensity on AIY depended not on the positions of AIY in space but on the position of the nose tip (Fig. 4a, Supplementary Fig. 7a, Supplementary Methods).

In this setup, animals changed their locomotory direction using reversals and gradual turns to stably track the gradient direction (the fraction of the animals moving up the gradient and hence to the correct quadrant, defined as chemotaxis index[22] =0.94, Fig. 4b). As with the odor profile at the nose tip (Fig. 1d), the temporal light intensity pattern that excited AIY could be written as a sum of an asymmetric ($A_I(h,t)$) and a symmetric ($S_I(h,t)$) component. When the animal's locomotory direction was not along the direction of the light gradient, the magnitude of $A_I(h,t)$ over each head swing, $|A| = \sqrt{\langle A^2{}_I(h,t)\rangle}$, was larger, exciting AIY asymmetrically to make the animal turn. As the animal oriented itself along the direction of the gradient, the magnitude of $A_I(h,t)$ continuously diminished, suppressing turns while the magnitude of $S_I(h,t)$, $|S|$, increased and suppressed reversals (Fig. 4c-d). Thus, manipulating the dynamics of activity in just the AIY interneuron pair is sufficient to evoke chemotactic behavior. This is because the head bending and locomotion of the animal through the virtual light gradient together generate and modulate the levels of symmetric and asymmetric excitation of AIY which in turn control future locomotory behavior (Fig. 4e).

This model would predict robust chemotactic behavior in the light gradient stimulating AIY, with the symmetric and asymmetric components modulating their relative magnitudes to stably guide the animal in the correct direction. To test for robust tracking, we suddenly rotated the virtual light gradient direction by different angles and measured the animal's response. The animals followed the gradient

direction as this direction was suddenly and repeatedly rotated by 180 (Supplementary Movie S11), 120 or 90 degrees (Fig. 4f).

Previous studies have identified neurons involved in chemotaxis by showing that defects in these neurons compromise locomotory programs and sensory modalities necessary for this behavior. Through our approach we can identify key neurons in the neural network whose dynamics are sufficient to drive chemotactic behavior and hence act as control nodes in the network. Our study leads to questions of how activity patterns stimulated in the AIY neurons during chemotaxis are disentangled by the downstream neurons to drive the different motor programs. Since many chemosensory and thermosensory neurons synapse onto AIY, the modulation of symmetric and asymmetric activity patterns in these interneurons are likely to play a central role in the different taxis behaviors of *C. elegans*. Our techniques provide avenues to eventually identify and generate neural activity patterns to control all the behaviors of this nematode.

Methods Summary

See supplementary methods.

References

1   Brenner, S. The genetics of behaviour. *Br Med Bull* **29**, 269-271 (1973).

2   Brenner, S. The genetics of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Genetics* **77**, 71-94 (1974).

3   Tsalik, E. L. & Hobert, O. Functional mapping of neurons that control locomotory behavior inCaenorhabditis elegans. *Journal of Neurobiology* **56**, 178-197, doi:10.1002/neu.10245 (2003).

4   Iino, Y. & Yoshida, K. Parallel Use of Two Behavioral Mechanisms for Chemotaxis in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Journal of Neuroscience* **29**, 5370-5380, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.3633-08.2009 (2009).

5   Pierce-Shimomura, J. T., Morse, T. M. & Lockery, S. R. The fundamental role of pirouettes in Caenorhabditis elegans chemotaxis. *J Neurosci* **19**, 9557-9569 (1999).

6   Wakabayashi, T., Kitagawa, I. & Shingai, R. Neurons regulating the duration of forward locomotion in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Neuroscience Research* **50**, 103-111, doi:10.1016/j.neures.2004.06.005 (2004).

7   Gray, J. M. Inaugural Article: A circuit for navigation in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **102**, 3184-3191, doi:10.1073/pnas.0409009101 (2005).

8   White, J. G., Southgate, E., Thomson, J. N. & S., B. The structure of the nervous system fo the nematode c elegans. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* **B**, 1-340 (1986).

9   Ward, S. Chemotaxis by the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans: identification of attractants and analysis of the response by use of mutants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **70**, 817-821 (1973).

10   Izquierdo, E. J. & Lockery, S. R. Evolution and Analysis of Minimal Neural Circuits for Klinotaxis in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Journal of Neuroscience* **30**, 12908-12917, doi:10.1523/jneurosci.2606-10.2010 (2010).

11   Chalasani, S. H. *et al.* Dissecting a circuit for olfactory behaviour in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature* **450**, 63-70, doi:10.1038/nature06292 (2007).

12   Boyden, E. S., Zhang, F., Bamberg, E., Nagel, G. & Deisseroth, K. Millisecond-timescale, genetically targeted optical control of neural activity. *Nature Neuroscience* **8**, 1263-1268, doi:10.1038/nn1525 (2005).

13   Nagel, G. *et al.* Light Activation of Channelrhodopsin-2 in Excitable Cells of Caenorhabditis elegans Triggers Rapid Behavioral Responses. *Current Biology* **15**, 2279-2284, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2005.11.032 (2005).

14   Chow, B. Y. *et al.* High-performance genetically targetable optical neural silencing by light-driven proton pumps. *Nature* **463**, 98-102, doi:10.1038/nature08652 (2010).

15   Okazaki, A., Sudo, Y. & Takagi, S. Optical Silencing of C. elegans Cells with Arch Proton Pump. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e35370, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0035370 (2012).

16   Guo, Z. V., Hart, A. C. & Ramanathan, S. Optical interrogation of neural circuits in Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature Methods* **6**, 891-896, doi:10.1038/nmeth.1397 (2009).

17   Leifer, A. M., Fang-Yen, C., Gershow, M., Alkema, M. J. & Samuel, A. D. T. Optogenetic manipulation of neural activity in freely moving Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature Methods* **8**, 147-152, doi:10.1038/nmeth.1554 (2011).

18   Stirman, J. N. *et al.* Real-time multimodal optical control of neurons and muscles in freely behaving Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nat Methods* **8**, 153-158, doi:nmeth.1555 [pii]

10.1038/nmeth.1555 (2011).

19   Lockery, S. R. The computational worm: spatial orientation and its neuronal basis in C. elegans. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology* **21**, 782-790, doi:10.1016/j.conb.2011.06.009 (2011).

20   Kim, D., Park, S., Mahadevan, L. & Shin, J. H. The shallow turn of a worm. *Journal of Experimental Biology* **214**, 1554-1559, doi:10.1242/jeb.052092 (2011).

21   McIntire, S. L., Jorgensen, E., Kaplan, J. & Horvitz, H. R. The GABAergic nervous system of Caenorhabditis elegans. *Nature* **364**, 337-341, doi:10.1038/364337a0 (1993).

Supplementary Information is linked to the online version of the paper at www.nature.com/nature.

Author Contributions AK, CHS, ZG and SR designed the experiments. AK, CHS and ZG performed the experiments. AK, CHS and SR wrote the manuscript.

Author Information Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints. Correspondence and requests for materials should be

addressed to A.K. (akocabas@cgr.harvard.edu) and S.R. (sharad@post.harvard.edu). The authors have no competing financial interests.

Figure Captions

Figure 1: Asymmetric component of the odor signal controls gradual turning.

a, Trajectory of a nematode's nose tip overlaid on modeled exponential profile (decay length 1 cm) of the gradient of chemoattractants (pseudocolor) from the bacterial lawn. Plus signs: positions of animal at indicated times. a.u.: arbitrary units. **b,** Illustration of an animal crawling perpendicular to (above) and along (below) the odor gradient. h : head-bending angle; D: dorsal ($h > 0$); V: ventral ($h < 0$). **c,** Odor signal at nose tip, $I(t)$, vs. head-bending angle, $h(t)$, over the last (black) and sequentially prior (grey) head swings for the nose-tip trajectory in (**a**). **d,** Plot of the mean and s.d. of the asymmetric ($A(t)$) and symmetric ($S(t)$) components of $I(t)$ in (**c**). |A|: magnitude of $A(t)$. **e,** Dorsal asymmetric odor stimulation (see supplementary method). **f-g,** Sample trajectories of center of mass of the animals upon (f) dorsal and ventral asymmetric, (g) symmetric odor stimulation. Grey bar: mean turning angle; D: dorsal; V: ventral; F: front; B: back; angles (0, 90, -90, 180) define the turning angles with respect to initial orientation of animal. n=10; **, p<0.05, two-sample t-test.

Figure 2: Asymmetric and symmetric excitation of AIY control gradual turning and reversal frequency.

a, Setup for closed-loop single neuron stimulation (see supplementary method). **b,** Sample trajectories of center of mass of animals upon dorsal or ventral asymmetric

13

excitation of AIY (n=10, *pttx-3::ChR2*). **c,** Fluorescence image overlaid on the bright field image of a nematode co-expressing ChR2 and mKO in AIY, AIZ and RME (*ser-2prom2::mKO* and *ser-2prom2::ChR2*). **d,** Asymmetric dorsal stimulation of the animal in (**c**). Upon dorsal head-bending (top, left), ChR2 and mKO were excited in AIY using setup in (**a**) (top, right, higher fluorescence in AIY) but not upon ventral head-bending (bottom, right, decreased fluorescence in AIY). Left: 1x dark-field image of animal; right: 15x fluorescent images of neurons in that animal. **e,** Sample trajectories of nose tip of animals upon dorsal (left) or ventral (right) asymmetric excitation of AIY (n=10, *ser-2prom2::ChR2*). **f,** Turning angle of animals upon asymmetric stimulation of AIY::*ChR2* (n=10), AIY::*Arch* (n=10) and AIB::*Arch* (n=7). Dorsal: asymmetric dorsal stimulation. No light: unstimulated. Ventral: asymmetric ventral stimulation. No ATR: Control without all-*trans* retinal (ATR). **g,** Reversal frequencies upon symmetric stimulation of AIY::*ChR2* (n=10), AIY::*Arch* (n=19), AIB::*ChR2* (n=14), and AIB::*Arch* (n=11) (white bars: no ATR; **, p<0.05, two-sample t-test).

Figure 3: Asymmetric AIY excitation modulates head-bending angle to cause turning.

a, Representative head-bending angle (horizontal lines: means of maximum and minimum h during each full head swing) of an *AIY::ChR2* animal forced to first turn ventrally and then dorsally (positive: dorsal, negative: ventral). **b,** Histogram of head-bending angle bias (max(h)+min(h)) during dorsal and ventral turn caused by asymmetric AIY excitation (n=5). **c-d,** Sample tracks of animals upon dorsal (left) or ventral (right) asymmetric excitation (**c**) of AIZ::*ChR2* (*ser-2prom2::ChR2*), (**d**) of SMB::*ChR2* (*podr-2(18)::ChR2*) animals. **e,** Turning angle upon asymmetric

stimulation with odor (n=10) and optically of AWC^{ON}::*Arch* (n=10), AWC^{ON}::*ChR2* (n=9), AIY::*ChR2* (n=10)*,* AIZ::*ChR2* (n=5), SMB::*ChR2* (n=5), and RME::*ChR2* (n=5). Dorsal: asymmetric dorsal stimulation; no light: unstimulated; ventral: asymmetric ventral stimulation (**, $p<0.05$, two-sample t-test). **f,** The monadic (red) and polyadic (orange) synapses between AWC^{ON}, AIY, AIB, AIZ, SMB and RME (thickness: proportional to synapse number). Triangle: sensory neuron; hexagon: interneuron; circle: motor neuron.

Figure 4: Controlling AIY activity is sufficient to evoke chemotactic behavior.

a, Virtual light gradient algorithm (see supplementary method). At each time *t*, AIY*::ChR2* animals (*pttx-3::ChR2*) are stimulated with 480 nm blue light with an intensity ($I(n_x, n_y)$) of the virtual gradient at the nose-tip position (n_x, n_y). **b,** Trajectories of AIY::*ChR2* animals moving in a virtual light gradient (as in (**a**)) with a gradient direction at 45 degrees (black tick: mean direction of trajectory, grey bar: s.d., n=10). **c,** Top: A sample trajectory of an animal in (**b**). Bottom: Snapshots of the animal making a gradual turn to reorient itself to the gradient direction (pseudocolor: same as (**a**)). **d**, Magnitude of A_I (black), and S_I (blue, right axis), over a head swing, as a function of numbers of head swings during a gradual turn (n=5, from trajectories in (**b**)). **e,** Model for chemotaxis in the virtual light gradient. **f,** Trajectories of center of mass of animals when the gradient direction was suddenly rotated (at times when the animal reached the red dots) by 180, 120 or 90 degrees.