Personal neoantigen cancer vaccines
The momentum builds
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Neoantigen-based cancer vaccines designed to target the unique immunogenic mutations arising in each patient’s tumor are breathing new life into a struggling approach. Data continue to demonstrate the importance of neoantigens in immune control of cancer. Despite manufacturing complexity, outstanding questions and desired further improvements, neoantigen vaccines are currently undergoing clinical evaluation.

Many long-standing problems in science, medicine, or engineering are solved when new approaches become possible and are ardently applied. After many years of best efforts and countless dollars, but unmet expectations, cancer vaccines have become a long-standing problem. We and others have proposed that neoantigens—a newly available class of immunogens based on the personal, exquisitely tumor-specific mutations found uniquely in each tumor—may be the paradigm shift needed for cancer vaccines (Fig. 1).1,2

Developing novel approaches requires commitment to a path without precedent and engaging in activities that systematically contribute to maintaining the momentum needed for change - capturing information to support the concept, raising, but not being paralyzed by, potential challenges, and identifying current shortcomings in knowledge or capabilities. In the following three sections we: (1) identify new results that continue to encourage the development of neoantigen vaccines; (2) highlight potential shortfalls of a neoantigen approach, and (3) point out areas where we challenge immunologists, biochemists and bioinformaticians to expand our basic knowledge and capabilities to help realize this novel opportunity for treatment of such a dreaded disease.

New Information

Data supporting the critical role of neoantigens in immune control has continued to build.
- Neoantigens represent dominant targets in tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte (TIL) populations in patients benefiting from adoptive therapy and a neoantigen-specific population was sufficient to induce tumor regression in mouse and man1,6 (T. Schumacher, personal communication).
- The widespread detection of spontaneously occurring neoantigen-specific T cells5,7,8 demonstrates that processing and presentation of multiple neoantigens does occur, despite the current insensitivity of biochemical detection on tumors.
- Checkpoint blockade therapy has revealed new and amplified neoantigen-specific responses which, in the mouse, are central to disease control6 (R. Schreiber, personal communication).
- Building on our previous results that T cells in autologous leukemia cell vaccinated patients recognize tumor-specific antigens,9 we have identified a neoantigen as one of these targets10.
- Our comprehensive literature analysis of multiple spontaneous human neoantigen responses showed that all were predictable using available algorithms for MHC binding and provided guidance for epitope selection9.
- A retrospective meta-analysis of six tumor types showed that overall survival was improved in patients predicted to have at least one immunogenic neoantigen epitope.11

Two clinical trials have now been initiated to directly test the concept of a neoantigen vaccine (NCT01970358 and NCT02035956).

Valid Questions

Guilty until proven innocent is a valid scientific philosophy and so multiple questions challenge the viability of a neoantigen vaccine.
- By the time cancer is detected, would a vaccine approach have lost its chance...
due to local and systemic immune suppression? Paradoxically, the success of checkpoint blockade in producing durable remission in a significant subset of patients has both validated the physiological importance of immune suppression but also validated that pharmacological intervention can shift that delicate balance toward tumor control. Correspondingly, we posit that the spontaneous immune reaction to a slowly growing and evolving tumor is likely sub-optimal, especially in the absence of appropriate ‘danger’ signals, and that a multi-epitope, neoantigen-based vaccine delivered with a powerful adjuvant has the ability to productively impact the clinical response.

- **Is a multi-epitope, personalized vaccine too impractical to manufacture for widespread use?** We reject this barrier to innovation. Interwoven key concerns frequently raised include cost, timing, and good manufacturing practice (GMP). Ultimately, engineering, focused technology development and logistics will solve these problems if clinical benefit is demonstrated. The cost of sequencing continues to fall and a properly scaled and designed process along with a streamlined approach to product assays can maintain manufacturing time and cost within acceptable limits. Finally, regulatory authorities have always responded to exciting new therapeutic opportunities with cooperation and adaptability.

- **Will genetic heterogeneity within the tumor always result in cells resistant to immune attack?** Tumor evolution is driven by multiple independent pressures, including immune pressure, and results in genetic variation over time and space. Heterogeneity is thus a primary rationale for utilizing multiple independent epitopes in a vaccine, reducing the possibility of immune escape due to downregulation of a single antigen.

### Conclusion

The recent failure of the MAGE-A3 vaccine to meet the first two primary endpoints in its non-small cell lung cancer trial sent chills through an already stymied set of cancer vaccine proponents. The old adage ‘If wishes were horses, then beggars would ride’ is a sobering reminder that to move forward we need results. We anticipate that neoantigen vaccination will provide such results by fostering anticancer immunity.
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