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Abstract

The cyanobacterium *Synechococcus elongatus* PCC 7942 exhibits global biphasic circadian oscillations in gene expression in constant light conditions. Class I genes are maximally expressed in the subjective dusk whereas class II genes are maximally expressed in the subjective dawn. Here we identify sequence features that encode the phase of circadian gene expression. We find that, for multiple genes, a ~70 nucleotide promoter fragment is sufficient to specify class I or II phase. We demonstrate that gene expression phase can be changed by random mutagenesis and that a single nucleotide substitution is sufficient to change the phase. Our study provides insight into how gene expression phase is encoded in the cyanobacterial genome.

Introduction

The cyanobacterium *Synechococcus elongatus* PCC 7942 (hereafter, *S. elongatus*) exhibits circadian oscillations in gene expression in continuous light conditions (1-3). Microarray analysis has shown that the expression of at least 30 to 65% of genes oscillate with ~24 hour periodicity (2, 3), with two primary phases of gene expression – genes peaking in the subjective dusk (class I) or subjective dawn (class II). The presence of a circadian clock provides cyanobacteria with a competitive advantage when grown in light/dark cycles (4), and some of this advantage is likely to be a product of clock-controlled dynamics in gene expression. For example, 89% of circadian genes involved in photosynthesis peak in the subjective dawn, which may allow photosynthesis related proteins to be available during the day (3).

The core circadian clock in *S. elongatus* is comprised of three proteins: KaiA, KaiB, and KaiC (5). KaiC phosphorylation and ATPase activity oscillate with circadian periodicity and are thought to be the two primary state variables of the clock (5). A two-component output pathway consisting of a histidine kinase and DNA binding response regulator relays timing from the core clock to control gene expression (5). Deletion of the DNA binding response regulator of this output pathway abrogates essentially all circadian gene expression (6). KaiC-dependent circadian oscillations in chromosome supercoiling and compaction have also been shown to play a role in generating global oscillations in gene expression (3, 7, 8), but the relationship between circadian oscillations in chromosome topology and the two-component output pathway is not understood. Class I and class II promoters respond
oppositely to changes in chromosome supercoiling, and this differential sensitivity may determine the global circadian gene expression profile (3).

But what are the underlying sequence determinants that dictate whether a particular gene oscillates with class I or II phase? Previous studies of the class II purF (synpcc7942_0004) promoter identified an 89 nucleotide fragment that specifies class II phasing (9), and analysis of the class I kaiBC (synpcc7942_1217 and synpcc7942_1216) promoter identified a 56 nucleotide fragment which specifies class I phasing (10). However, neither study was able to identify the sequence within the fragments that specified phase information, nor were they able to identify mutations that switched the phase. Here, we investigate the sequence features responsible for circadian phase determination. These features may provide insight into the mechanism of circadian gene expression and may aide in understanding cyanobacterial promoter design.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell culture**

*S. elongatus* cells were grown in modified BG-11 medium (hereafter, BG-11M) (11) containing antibiotics at 30°C with cool-white fluorescent illumination of ~60 µE s m⁻² (Phillips). Antibiotic concentrations were 2.5 µg ml⁻¹ each spectinomycin/streptomycin (Sp/Sm) and 5 µg ml⁻¹ chloramphenicol (Cm). Transformations were performed with a few modifications to standard protocols (11). To reduce false-positive colonies, transformations were plated onto a sterile nitrocellulose membrane placed on top of a BG-11M agar plate and kept in low light (~20 µE s m⁻²) for two days prior to transfer to normal light conditions. On the third and fifth days the nitrocellulose membrane was moved to a new BG-11M agar plate with antibiotics to ensure continuous selection. After ten days, individual colonies were isolated and patched.

**Bioluminescence measurements and data analysis**

Patched colonies were directly transferred to a transparent 96-well plate with 200 µl of liquid BG-11M containing antibiotics. Multiple independent colonies were selected and assayed multiple times. Cells were grown in a clear 96-well plate at ~60 µE s m⁻² illumination for at least two days. Cells were diluted to OD750 ~0.5 and transferred to a black opaque 96-well plate covered with punctured TopSeal (Perkin Elmer) to allow air exchange. Cells were grown in ~60 µE s m⁻² illumination for one day prior to two consecutive entrainments with 12 hour dark-12 hour light.
Cells were then released into continuous light (~60 $\mu$E s m$^{-2}$ illumination) and bioluminescence measurements were made every two hours on a TopCount (Perkin Elmer). Prior to each individual bioluminescence measurement, cells were maintained in the dark for three minutes. Five consecutive bioluminescent measurements were made for each well (each integrating incident photons over a one second interval) and subsequently averaged. Independent clones were assayed multiple times for each promoter fragment and a representative trace is shown in Fig. 2 or Fig. 4. Phase was extracted from the first Fourier component of linearly de-trended data. A period of 24 hours was assumed when calculating the Fourier component. Each promoter fragment was assigned a class based on whether the calculated phase was closer to a class I control than to a class II control from the same experiment. All calculations were verified by visual inspection. Reported means and standard deviations of the phase are calculated from independent clones from the same set of experiments. At least 2 independent clones for time-course in Fig. 2, at least 4 for mutants in Fig. 4, and 3 for controls (P1 and P3) in Fig. 4.

Raw bioluminescence data is shown everywhere except in Fig. 3 and Fig. S2. For Fig. 3 and Fig. S2, bioluminescence data was linearly de-trended and normalized such that minimum and maximum bioluminescence was 0 and 1, respectively. Phase was calculated as described above. All mutant promoter fragments in Fig. 3 and Fig. S2 are ordered from phase of 0° (top) to 360° (bottom). Mutants marked as phase changing were determined by visual inspection. All library mutants with bioluminescence above background were measured in duplicate and showed qualitatively similar time-courses in the replicates. To verify the libraries, two and four phase changing clones from the P1 and P2 libraries, respectively, were constructed from scratch and the phase change was confirmed. All raw bioluminescence data and calculated phase for mutant libraries P1 and P2 is provided in Data Set S1.

All data processing, analysis and visualization were done with custom scripts MATLAB (MathWorks).

**Cloning and library preparation**

Promoter fragments were synthesized as oligonucleotides (Eurofins MWG Operon) with 5’-GCTCTAGA-3’ appended to the 5’ and 5’-AGGCCTTC-3’ appended to the 3’. Sequence of the promoter fragments without appended sequences is: P1 (5’-

TCGAACGTCGTTTGGCTAAAGACTAACCGCTAGGGTTAAGTCATTGTTAAATTTGCATTAGCCGCTACA
-3’), P2 (5’-TTCGCCCTGCTGACTGAATCTCATTGCCAATCGCTTGCTGCCTCGCCTAGGCTCGGCATAGCACGTGGAAAGG-3’), P3 (5’-TCTCGGCTGCCCCCCTGTATTCCGGACGGCGCAGCGGGCAAACGTGAAACGTCCACTCTCTACTTTTGGTGCATGCGCTGATCT-3’), and P4 (5’-AGCATCACATGGGGCGGATGATAACGGCCCCGTCACGTTAATGTGGGCACATTAACGCCGAAAGATTAGAGAAAATGACAAGG-3’). Oligonucleotides were annealed to a primer (5’-GAAGGCCT-3’), extended with Klenow (exonuclease-) (NEB) to generate double-stranded DNA, and subsequently cloned into the XbaI and StuI restriction sites of pAM1580 (9, 11). The S. elongatus strain AMC 395 (9), expressing luxCDE, was transformed with pAM1580 containing the promoter fragment. Mutagenesis libraries were prepared using mutagenized oligonucleotides (Integrated DNA Technologies) with the previously described flanking sequences. Mutagenized oligonucleotides were synthesized with a 15% substitution rate (5% chance that each of three non-endogenous nucleotides replaces the endogenous nucleotide at each position) in the promoter region. Mutagenized oligonucleotides were primer extended and cloned into pAM1580 as previously described. Over 1000 Escherichia coli colonies were combined and plasmid was extracted to generate a plasmid library with sufficient sequence diversity. The S. elongatus strain AMC 395 was transformed with the plasmid library. The promoter fragment in each resultant S. elongatus colony with bioluminescence above background was subjected to colony PCR (primers 5’-GACGGATGGCCTTTTTGCGTTGTC and 5’-TGGTGAGTTGTTCTAAATCA-3’) and sequenced (sequencing primer 5’-GACGGATGGCCTTTTTGCGTTGTC-3’).

**Quantitative PCR**

RNA was extracted every four hours from 800 mL cultures grown in BG-11M supplemented with 10 mM HEPES-KOH pH 8.0 and no antibiotics. Cultures were entrained with two consecutive 12 hour dark-12 hour light periods prior to release into continuous light and manually maintained at an OD₅₇₀ of ~0.3 during sampling. Cultures were bubbled at ~100 mL min⁻¹ with ~1% CO₂ in air and were grown at 30° C under ~100 µE m⁻² cool white fluorescent lights. 60 mL of cells were collected every four hours by vacuum filtration onto nitrocellulose membranes and subsequently frozen in liquid nitrogen. RNA was extracted and reverse transcribed into cDNA as previously described (3). qPCR was performed using SYBR Green qPCR master mix (Invitrogen) on an MX3000p (Stratagene)
qPCR machine. The *hslO* (synpcc7942_0559) transcript was used for loading normalization of time-points since its expression is relatively constant over circadian time both by microarray and by RNA polymerase ChIP (3, 12). Standards for each individual primer pair were created by qPCR of a dilution series of cDNA from an arbitrary time-point. As a result, only the relative level of expression of a single primer pair across a time-course can be compared and not the relative level of one primer pair versus another. The following primer pairs were used for qPCR analysis: *luxAB* primers (5’-GTATGAGTCGTACCATGTC-3’ and 5’-GCTACGATGTGACATT-3’), *hslO* primers (5’-CAGACCAACTGATTCGAGCG-3’ and 5’-GGAGGCCAGGAGCAGTC-3’), *kaiBC* primers (5’-TACATTCTCAAGCTCTACG-3’ and 5’-CGTCGCTAGGATTATCC-3’), and *purF* primers (5’-CTAAGAACCAGAGCTGAC-3’ and 5’-CGATCGTCAGGCTAAAGG-3’).

**Results and Discussion**

**Identification of a promoter region sufficient to encode circadian gene expression phase**

A previous study analyzing the relationship between sequence and phase in *S. elongatus* identified a long range (~3 kilobase) statistically significant enrichment in AT content (~1%) in both the promoter and open reading frame of genes activated when the chromosome is relaxed versus those that are repressed (3). These AT content differences were similar in magnitude and location to those found in genes activated and repressed after induction of chromosomal relaxation in *Escherichia coli* (13). The concordance in sequence signature, combined with the observation of circadian changes in chromosome supercoiling (7, 8), suggested a role for supercoiling in circadian gene expression in *S. elongatus* (3).

Although a long range (~ 3 kilobase) enrichment in AT content exists between genes activated when the chromosome is relaxed versus those that are repressed (3), circadian transcripts (median length 1320 nucleotides (12)) of a given phase are randomly distributed along the densely transcribed genome (2, 3). This suggests that the relevant sequence information encoding phase is not long range, but more proximal to each transcript. Recent RNA sequencing and transcription start site identification in *S. elongatus* (12) allows analysis based on transcription start sites as opposed to translation start sites which were used in both of the previous bioinformatic studies (3, 13). This added resolution enables a more detailed analysis of sequence content. In the region between -20 and -100 relative to the transcription start site, we find an enrichment of AT content in transcripts that are activated when the
chromosome is relaxed (subjective dawn) (Fig. 1A). To identify the location of the most statistically significant enrichment in AT content, we computed a p-value across the promoter and the transcript, and find a particularly significant p-value – corresponding to a 1 in 14 nucleotide GC to AT substitution – for the sequence between -20 and -30 often called the ‘spacer’ (Fig. 1B). This spacer region is directly between the -10 and -35 elements at which the RNA polymerase complex makes its initial contacts (14, 15).

Identification of a local difference in AT content in the spacer suggested that a single fragment containing the -10, spacer, and -35 elements may be capable of both transcription and encoding circadian phase. To determine if this is the case, we asked if a ~70 nucleotide fragment encompassing these elements from four different circadian transcripts (Fig. 2A) – two class I and two class II – could drive expression with the same phase as the endogenous transcript. Transcription start site and circadian phase for each of these transcripts were obtained from RNA sequencing (12) and microarray (3) experiments, respectively. These fragments (P1 through P4) were fused to a promoterless luxAB (luciferase) bioluminescence reporter and subsequently inserted into a defined chromosomal locus, NS 2.1 (11), in the strain AMC 395 (9) (Materials and Methods). AMC 395 expresses the luxCDE genes, which encode enzymes for synthesis of the luciferase substrate, using the highly expressed class I psbAI promoter (11, 16-18). We assume that the luciferase substrate is in excess at all time points (11). The promoterless luxAB alone does not lead to any detectable bioluminescence, but when fused to a promoter fragment can recapitulate the phase of the endogenous transcript (Fig. 2B). To verify that the bioluminescence reporter accurately reports phase, we confirmed that the phase of mRNA accumulation is also preserved by measuring the abundance of the luxAB transcript in strains with the P1 fragment by quantitative PCR (qPCR) (Fig. S1A). Our results indicate that the information required to encode phase is at least partially contained in a short fragment surrounding the spacer region of the promoter. Although the tested promoter fragments are able to reproduce the phase of circadian gene expression, they do not always preserve the overall level of bioluminescence. Cells with a much larger ~900 nucleotide version of the P1 fragment (AMC 408 (9, 19)) have much higher overall expression than the P1 fragment, even though the phase and amplitude (peak to trough ratio) are identical (Fig. 2B).

Random mutagenesis of promoter fragments can change the phase of gene expression

Since we found that the information encoding phase is contained in a ~70 nucleotide fragment, we asked if mutagenesis of this fragment could alter the phase of gene expression. Promoter fragments P1 (class II), P2 (class I),
and P3 (class I) were synthesized with a 15% per base substitution rate (5% chance that each of three non-endogenous nucleotides replaces the endogenous nucleotide at each position), fused to the promoterless luxAB cassette, and integrated into the NS 2.1 chromosomal locus of AMC 395 (Materials and Methods). A 15% substitution rate was chosen so that at least one substitution could be expected in the spacer region of the promoter. Approximately 200 individual colonies from each library (P1, P2, and P3), each with a unique mutagenized promoter fragment, were assayed for bioluminescence.

Nearly half of the colonies in each library had bioluminescence above background, and all of these colonies also exhibited circadian gene expression oscillations (Fig. 3 and Fig. S2). The observation that mutagenesis of these three promoters resulted in no active promoter without circadian oscillations suggests that the transcription of all transcribed genes oscillates with 24 hour period, in agreement with a previous bioluminescence promoter trap experiment (1). Previous microarray measurements reporting that expression of 30 to 65% of the genes oscillates may not have the resolution to detect all oscillations in mRNA abundance (2, 3) or there may be an additional translational aspect to the circadian rhythms.

Over 20% of colonies with bioluminescence above background from P1, P2, and P3 exhibited a change in gene expression phase after mutagenesis (Fig. 3 and Fig. S2). In addition to the phase of expression, several other characteristics including shape, amplitude, and expression level were affected. To determine which mutations may cause the change in phase, the promoter fragment of each clone from the P1 and P2 libraries with bioluminescence above background was sequenced (Data Set S1). Mutations in clones with altered phase were very diverse in sequence and location. Since on average nearly 1 in every 7 nucleotides is substituted, and a large fraction of colonies changed phase, we expect the majority of the substitutions in phase changing clones to be non-causal.

**Single nucleotide substitutions are sufficient to change phase of gene expression**

Since each promoter fragment contained many substitutions, further subcloning was used to identify which mutations caused the change in phase. Substitutions from two mutagenized promoters with class I phase, M1-1 and M2-1, both from the parent class II P1 library were subcloned to identify the causal substitutions (Fig. 4). M1-1 has substitutions in 11 of 69 nucleotides and M2-1 in 9 of 69 nucleotides. For M1-1, all strains which retained substitutions at either -2 or -5 or both locations maintained the phase change to class I (see M1-6, M1-7, and M1-8 in
Fig. 4A). The T to C substitution at either -2 or -5 is sufficient to change the phase of the P1 parent promoter from class II to class I. A strain that does not contain either substitution does not change the phase of the P1 parent promoter (see M1-5 in Fig. 4A). A similar result was observed for M2-1. All strains which retained substitutions at either -12 or -13 or both locations, maintained the phase change to class I (see M2-4, M2-8, and M2-9 in Fig. 4B). The T to G substitution at either -12 or -13 is sufficient to change the phase of the P1 parent promoter. Three other substitutions downstream of -12 were also sufficient to change phase of P1 to class II, but this strain exhibited very weak rhythmicity (see M2-7 in Fig. 4B). Quantification of mRNA by qPCR shows altered temporal dynamics of mRNA abundance in all four strains with single nucleotide substitutions (Fig. S1).

Albeit a small sample size, all four of the causal substitutions in M1-1 and M2-1 increased GC content, consistent with our genome-wide observations (Fig. 1A). The class II P1 promoter is highly expressed when the chromosome is relaxed (3), and substitutions increasing the GC content may switch the promoter to be repressed, resulting in a change in phase. Although only two of the four identified single nucleotide substitutions fall near or within the spacer between the -10 and -35 elements, all substitutions are located proximal to where the RNA polymerase holoenzyme makes initial contacts.

**Concluding remarks**

Here we have shown that short promoter fragments centered around the spacer region – the region between the -10 and -35 elements – are sufficient to encode circadian phase for multiple circadian genes. Furthermore, we show using random mutagenesis of these fragments that single nucleotide substitutions are sufficient to change circadian gene expression phase.

Previous studies suggested a role for chromosome supercoiling in controlling circadian gene expression in cyanobacteria (3, 7, 8). However, very little is known about the general relationship between sequence and supercoiling sensitivity of promoters in any organism. A genome-wide study in *Escherichia coli* observed a long-range (several kilobase) AT content enrichment in genes repressed by chromosomal relaxation compared to those activated by this perturbation (13). Here we identify a local difference in AT content in the spacer of the promoter using transcription start site information, and find that a ~70 nucleotide promoter fragment encompassing this region is sufficient to encode circadian gene expression phase. This promoter fragment has the potential to affect the
binding, open complex formation, or even promoter clearance of RNA polymerase complex. Several studies on individual promoters in other organisms have found that the region between -35 and +1 is critical for a promoter’s sensitivity to changes in supercoiling (20-23), but no consensus mechanism has been identified. Our analysis of the relationship between sequence and phase in S. elongatus provides an entry point for studying mechanism and sequence dependence of supercoiling-mediated gene expression changes.

Our findings suggest that the phase of circadian gene expression is not firmly encoded in the S. elongatus genome. Strikingly, even a single nucleotide substitution can dramatically alter the phase of gene expression. Although this lack of sequence structure makes it difficult to design a class I or class II promoter de novo, it may serve a role in the fine-tuning of circadian gene expression during the course of evolution. Even though cyanobacteria did not evolve in continuous light conditions, the phase in continuous light is indicative of a gene’s expression dynamics in the first twelve hours of light under the more natural light/dark conditions (see first 12 hours of Fig. 2B). Random mutations in the promoter region have the potential to switch the phase of a gene, and if this phase change is beneficial, it may fix in the population. Since the mutations required to change the phase of a gene are minimal, each gene may be able to sample a different phase in a relatively short period of time. This may explain why almost all of the circadian genes involved in the photosynthesis pathway are more highly expressed in the dawn (3). This strategy of non-stringent sequence encoding may be applicable to other genome-wide responses where fine-tuning may be beneficial.
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**Figure Legends**

**Fig. 1:** A local difference in AT content is observed between transcripts activated or repressed when the chromosome is relaxed.

(A) A comparison of the AT content of transcripts activated or repressed when the chromosome is relaxed. Transcripts are aligned by transcription start location (+1) (12) and their AT content is averaged. An 11 nucleotide smoothing window is applied to the average AT content. A large difference in AT content exists between -20 and -100. The relaxation repressed transcripts were defined as those with greater than 0.5 correlation with chromosomal supercoiling (n = 211 of 1473 total transcripts) and the relaxation activated transcripts as those with less than -0.5 correlation (n = 244) (3). (B) p-value for AT content difference calculated in 11 nucleotide bins. For every 11 nucleotide bin, the probability of having as extreme an AT enrichment as the relaxation activated set is calculated by 10,000 simulations with randomized sets of relaxation activated and relaxation repressed transcripts. The majority of the bins between -20 and -100 nucleotides are significant (p < 0.1), with the most significant bins in the spacer region (-20 to -30).

**Fig. 2:** A short promoter fragment is sufficient to encode circadian gene expression phase.

(A) Four promoter fragments P1 through P4 were fused to a promoterless luxAB cassette in the S. elongatus strain AMC 395. P2 and P3 are class I genes (synpcc7942__0488 and synpcc7942__2046); P1 and P4 are class II genes (synpcc7942__0004 and synpcc7942__0466). The promoter fragment from a control class II strain (AMC 408 (9, 19)) is shown as a reference. This control strain uses the full length version (~900 nucleotides) of the P1 fragment.
(B) Bioluminescence data collected every two hours indicates that each fragment is sufficient to reconstitute the phase of the endogenous gene. The bioluminescence from a control class II strain (AMC 408) and a promoterless strain are shown as positive and negative controls, respectively. P4 and AMC 408 bioluminescence were scaled by 1/10th as indicated. Mean and standard deviation of the phase were calculated from at least 2 independent clones.

**Fig. 3: Random mutagenesis of promoter fragments can alter the phase of gene expression from class I to class II and vice-versa.**

(A) Random mutagenesis of the promoter fragment P1 from the class II gene, synpcc7942__0004 (purF), yields class I mutants. Top panel: bioluminescence from two biological replicates of P1 and P3 are shown as class II and class I controls, respectively. Bottom panel: bioluminescence from mutant clones with gene expression ordered by phase. Mutants with a phase change are marked with the double lines on the y-axis. All bioluminescence traces have been linearly de-trended and normalized such that the minimum and maximum bioluminescence are 0 and 1 units, respectively. All bioluminescence time-courses shown were measured in duplicate and replicates were qualitatively similar. Raw data and calculated phase are provided in Data Set S1. (B) Random mutagenesis of the promoter fragment P2 from the class I gene, synpcc7942__0488, yields class II mutants. Top panel: bioluminescence from two biological replicates of P1 and P2 are shown as class II and class I controls, respectively. Bottom panel: same as Fig. 3A.

**Fig. 4: Single nucleotide substitutions can change circadian gene expression phase.**

(A) A particular clone (M1-1) from random mutagenesis of P1 was analyzed to determine the causal mutations. Top panel: mutations in M1-1 were subcloned in the P1 background (M1-2 through M1-8). All mutations are shown in red, bold, underline. All clones with the T to C substitution at either -2 or -5 change phase from class II to class I. The +1 position is determined from RNA sequencing data (12). Mean and standard deviation of the phase are calculated from at least 4 independent clones for all mutants and from 3 independent clones for P1 and P3 controls. Bottom panel: bioluminescence time-course for promoter fragments shown in top panel. M1-2, M1-3, and M1-4 bioluminescence were scaled as indicated. (B) A particular clone (M2-1) from random mutagenesis of P1 was analyzed to determine the causal mutations. Top panel: mutations in M2-1 were subcloned in the P1 background (M2-2, M2-4, M2-6, M2-7, M2-8 and M2-9). All mutations are shown in red, bold, underline. All clones with the T to G substitution at either -12 or -13 change phase from class II to class I. The +1 position, mean phase, and standard
deviation of the phase are determined as in Fig. 4A. Bottom panel: bioluminescence time-course for promoter fragments shown in top panel. M2-6 bioluminescence was scaled as indicated.