OBSERVATIONS

Neck Circumference, Carotid Wall Intima-Media Thickness, and Incident Stroke

Locally acting fat depots may contribute to obesity complications, in particular vascular disease, through direct paracrine effects (1,2). The carotid arteries are encased in fat, and total upper-body subcutaneous fat is estimated by neck circumference (NC). NC has been independently correlated with cardiometabolic risk factors above and beyond that of other adiposity measures (3,4). Carotid wall intima-media thickness (IMT) is a surrogate marker of subclinical atherosclerosis and has been associated with cardiovascular and stroke outcomes (5). The aim of this study was to evaluate the association of NC and carotid wall IMT above and beyond traditional cardiovascular risk factors and generalized adiposity.

Framingham Heart Study offspring participants who underwent carotid ultrasonography and NC measurements were included (n = 3,274; mean age 59 years; 52% women); NC, internal carotid artery (ICA) IMT, and common carotid artery (CCA) IMT were determined as previously described (4,5). Linear regression models evaluated the association between adiposity exposures and IMT. NC, BMI, and waist circumference were standardized to a mean of 0 and SD of 1 to make the β-coefficients comparable per 1-SD increase of each independent variable. We secondarily adjusted for sleep-disordered breathing from a self-assessment questionnaire. Cox proportional hazards models were used to assess the longitudinal association between adiposity measures and incident stroke.

NC, BMI, and waist were correlated with IMT (Table 1). In multivariable models, a 1-SD increase in NC was associated with a 0.071-mm increase in ICA IMT (P = 0.001), which remained significant after BMI adjustment (P < 0.0001). Neither BMI (P = 0.64) nor waist (P = 0.38) was associated with ICA IMT. The association between NC and ICA was similar and remained significant after adjustment for BMI (P < 0.001). Significant but smaller associations were observed for BMI and waist, which were attenuated upon additional adjustment for NC. The association between NC and CCA was slightly attenuated (β = 0.017, P = 0.003) but remained significant after adjustment for sleep.

NC, BMI, and waist were not associated with incident stroke over 6.1 years of follow-up (n = 147 events); subgroup analysis excluding transient ischemic attacks and evaluating the outcomes by stroke type showed results similar to the primary analysis (data not shown).

NC, but neither BMI nor waist, was associated with ICA IMT. CCA IMT is preferentially associated with NC after adjustment for BMI and waist. Finally, NC, BMI, and waist were not associated with incident stroke. Strengths include a well-defined cohort with comprehensive clinical characterization. Some limitations warrant mention. Evaluation of sleep-disordered breathing was based on available data; more robust measures are needed to evaluate this association. The small number of stroke events and relatively short follow-up time may have limited our power. The correlation between NC and volumetric evaluation of upper-body subcutaneous fat has yet to be determined. This study was hypothesis generating and not intended to impact clinical practice. NC is uniquely associated with carotid IMT. Upper-body subcutaneous fat may have a direct pathogenic impact on local vasculature.

Table 1—Comparison of outcomes based on NC, BMI, and waist circumference among 3,274 participants of the Framingham Heart Study offspring cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NC (cm)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Waist circumference (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Correlation coefficient or β</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient or β</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICA IMT</td>
<td>Age- and sex-adjusted Pearson correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multivariable-adjusted linear regression β per 1-SD increase in adiposity measure (SE) a</td>
<td>0.071 (0.022)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCA IMT</td>
<td>Age- and sex-adjusted Pearson correlation coefficient</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multivariable-adjusted linear regression β per 1-SD increase in adiposity measure (SE) a</td>
<td>0.025 (0.003)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident stroke (n = 147)</td>
<td>Age- and sex-adjusted HR per 1-SD increase in adiposity measure (95% CI)</td>
<td>1.25 (0.99–1.58)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multivariable-adjusted Cox proportional HR per 1-SD increase in adiposity measure (95% CI) b</td>
<td>0.99 (0.77–1.27)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR, hazard ratio. aMultivariable linear regression model adjusted for age, sex, systolic blood pressure, hypertension treatment, diabetes, total cholesterol–to–HDL cholesterol ratio, and smoking. bCox proportional HR adjusted for age, sex, systolic blood pressure, hypertension treatment, diabetes, total cholesterol–to–HDL cholesterol ratio, smoking, prevalent cardiovascular disease, and prevalent atrial fibrillation.
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