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OBJECTIVE—Fetuin-A levels are associated with higher risk of type 2 diabetes, but it is unknown if the association is causal. We investigated common (>5%) genetic variants in the fetuin-A gene (AHSG) fetuin-A levels, fasting glucose, and risk of type 2 diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—Genetic variation, fetuin-A levels, and fasting glucose were assessed in 2,893 Caucasian and 342 African American community-living individuals 65 years of age or older in 1992–1993.

RESULTS—Common AHSG variants (rs4917 and rs2248690) were strongly associated with fetuin-A concentrations (P < 0.0001). In analyses of 259 incident cases of type 2 diabetes, the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were not associated with diabetes risk during follow-up and similar null associations were observed when 579 prevalent cases were included. As expected, higher fetuin-A levels were associated with higher fasting glucose concentrations (1.9 mg/dL [95% CI, 1.2–2.7] higher per SD in Caucasians), but Mendelian randomization analyses using both SNPs as unbiased proxies for measured fetuin-A did not support an association between genetically predicted fetuin-A levels and fasting glucose (−0.3 mg/dL [95% CI, −1.9 to 1.3] lower per SD in Caucasians). The difference between the associations of fasting glucose with actual and genetically predicted fetuin-A level was statistically significant (P = 0.001). Results among the smaller sample of African Americans trended in similar directions but were statistically insignificant.

CONCLUSIONS—Common variants in the AHSG gene are strongly associated with plasma fetuin-A concentrations, but not with risk of type 2 diabetes or glucose concentrations, raising the possibility that the association between fetuin-A and type 2 diabetes may not be causal.
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Fetuin-A (also known as α-Heremans–Schmid glycoprotein) is secreted from the liver and reversibly binds the insulin receptor tyrosine kinase in peripheral tissues, thereby inhibiting the insulin-induced intracellular signal cascade and producing peripheral insulin resistance (1–3). Elevated fetuin-A levels have been associated with the risk of incident type 2 diabetes in several human populations (4,5). Recently, we also observed an association between plasma fetuin-A concentrations and risk of type 2 diabetes in a large sample of community-living older adults who participated in the Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS) and were followed for a median of 11 years (6).

Thus far, little is known about the potential causal relation between fetuin-A and type 2 diabetes. In the absence of experimental evidence that selective lowering of fetuin-A improves insulin sensitivity and reduces risk of type 2 diabetes, investigations that use genetic variants with strong influence on circulating fetuin-A levels as unconfounded proxies (i.e., Mendelian randomization) may shed light on the potential causal role of fetuin-A in type 2 diabetes.

The locus (3q27) where the gene encoding fetuin-A (AHSG) is situated has been identified in human linkage studies of phenotypes such as the metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes (7,8). These findings were followed by direct sequencing of gene-encoding regions and the identification of nine highly correlated single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) with a minor allele frequency (MAF) >5% (9). Case-control studies have suggested that a few of these SNPs (rs1071592, rs4917/rs4918, and rs2248690) are associated with type 2 diabetes and insulin resistance (9,10), but none of these studies had plasma measurements of fetuin-A available to evaluate the potential causal nature of the direct association between fetuin-A and type 2 diabetes. In general, carriers of the minor alleles of promoter variant rs2248690
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and 466 African Americans). Those with no follow-up for incident type 2 diabetes at baseline (\(n=109\)), resulting in a study sample of 3,093 participants (2,627 Caucasians and 466 African Americans). The study was approved by the Investigational Review Boards of the four clinical sites and the Data Coordinating Center at the University of Washington.

**METHODS**

Study population

The CHS is a community-based study of older adults designed to evaluate risk factors for development and progression of cardiovascular disease. The study design and protocols have been described previously (15,16). In brief, eligibility required 65 years of age or older, expectation to remain in the area for 3 years after recruitment, no active cancer treatment, and the ability to provide consent. Between 1989 and 1990, 5,201 participants were recruited from 4 communities using Medicare eligibility lists in each area (Sacramento, California; Forsyth County, North Carolina; Washington County, Maryland; and Allegheny County, Pennsylvania). An additional 687 African American participants were recruited in 1992–1993. We considered the 1992–1993 visit as the baseline study visit for this analysis. Among 5,265 individuals who participated at this visit, we excluded individuals who had not given consent for genetic analyses, those whose samples failed genotypic quality control (\(n=1,026\)), and those with insufficient blood specimen for fetuin-A measurement (\(n=390\)). For analyses of fasting glucose, we additionally excluded those with missing glucose levels at baseline and participants who reported using insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents. The sample size for these analyses was 3,438 (2,893 Caucasians and 542 African Americans). In prospective analysis of incident type 2 diabetes, we excluded participants with prevalent or missing information for type 2 diabetes at baseline (\(n=236\)) and those with no follow-up for incident type 2 diabetes (\(n=109\)), resulting in a study sample of 3,093 participants (2,627 Caucasians and 466 African Americans).

Glucose and incident type 2 diabetes

Glucose was measured in fasting blood samples obtained during the annual clinic examinations in 1992–1993, 1996–1997, 1998–1999, and 2005–2006 and in nonfasting blood samples in 1994–1995 (19). Medication use was assessed at baseline and annually thereafter by medication inventory through 2007 (20). We classified participants as having type 2 diabetes if fasting glucose was \(\geq 126 \text{ mg/dL}\), casual glucose was \(\geq 200 \text{ mg/dL}\), or individuals used insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents.

**Statistical analysis**

We used means and proportions of the demographics and type 2 diabetes risk factors to describe the study population according to ethnicity. We assessed the genotype and MAF for the variants and conducted tests for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. All analyses were performed separately for Caucasian and African American participants. We estimated mean fetuin-A concentration across genotypes and per minor allele copy in linear regression models adjusted for age, sex, and field center site. Multivariable Cox regression models were fit to evaluate the risk of incident type 2 diabetes with adjustment for age, sex, and field center site. We used Schoenfeld residuals to evaluate proportional hazards assumptions and found no appreciable evidence of violations of assumptions of proportionality. To see if results were stronger when prevalent cases of type 2 diabetes (\(n=579\)) were included in addition to incident diabetes as the outcome of interest, we also estimated odds ratios from logistic regression analyses including both prevalent and incident type 2 diabetes cases. To account for multiple testing, we used Bonferroni-corrected thresholds for statistical significance (\(P<0.007\) in Caucasians and \(P<0.006\) in African Americans).

To perform a Mendelian randomization analysis of fetuin-A and fasting glucose levels, we chose two generally unrelated SNPs and used them as a single instrument; rs4917, a nonsynonymous amino acid coding variant, and the promoter variant rs2248690 were strongly associated with fetuin-A levels in our data, were not in strong LD, and previous literature suggests strong associations or even regulatory potential of these SNPs in relation to fetuin-A levels (11–14,21). We first explored if the two SNPs were associated with any of the potential confounders listed in Table 1, and we found no statistically significant associations. We used the two-stage least-squares (ivregress function in Stata) approach to estimate the difference in fasting glucose per 1 SD difference in genetically predicted fetuin-A concentrations (22). We assumed an additive genetic model (i.e., fetuin-A concentration increasing linearly with each additional minor allele of the genotypes).
We compared the differences in fasting glucose per 1 SD difference from analyses using measured fetuin-A concentrations and instrumentally predicted fetuin-A (i.e., endogenuity) using the Wooldridge test. Analyses were repeated with adjustment for population stratification by including the top 10 principal components as covariates. All analyses were conducted using Stata version 11.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

RESULTS—The majority of the participants were Caucasian (85%) and female (60%) (Table 1). The mean was 75 years of age for participants of Caucasian descent and 73 years of age for participants of African American descent. The mean fetuin-A concentration was 0.48 ± 0.10 g/L in Caucasians and 0.43 ± 0.09 g/L in African Americans, and the distributions were approximately normal within the study samples.

Association of ASHG SNPs with plasma fetuin-A concentrations

Table 2 lists the frequencies of the genotypes and minor alleles for the genetic variants that had MAF >5%. The MAFs differed slightly between the Caucasian and African American subset, and two polymorphisms were observed with MAF >5% in the African American sample but not in the Caucasian sample (rs16860928 and rs6795506). The three SNPs (rs4917, rs4918, and rs2248690) that have been consistently associated with fetuin-A concentrations in previous studies were frequent in both subsamples (MAFs >25%). borderline significant deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium was observed for rs4918 in both subsamples (P = 0.04 and 0.05 for Caucasians and African Americans, respectively) and for rs4917 in Caucasians (P = 0.04). Among Caucasians, all the measured SNPs were in high LD when based on D* (all D* > 0.9), whereas r² measures were not as high for SNPs that varied in frequency. The only SNPs in complete LD were rs4917 with rs4918 and rs2248690 with rs12486044, respectively. As expected, the LD measures were not as high in the African Americans (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2).

Mean plasma fetuin-A concentrations according to genotypes are also shown in Table 2. In Caucasians, the minor allele of all SNPs were strongly associated with lower fetuin-A concentrations, except for the rs4831 variant, which was weakly associated with slightly higher fetuin-A concentrations in both Caucasians and African Americans. After taking multiple testing into consideration, the rs4831 was not statistically significantly associated with fetuin-A levels. The direction of associations for the minor alleles were the same for all SNPs in African Americans, except for the rs2518136 variant allele, which was associated with higher fetuin-A, and the rs16860926 variant, which was only observed in African Americans and was not statistically significantly associated with fetuin-A concentrations. Carriers of the minor alleles for the tightly linked rs4917 (T) and rs4918 (G) variants had lower fetuin-A concentrations (~0.07 g/L ~13%) per minor allele in Caucasians and ~0.05 g/L ~11% in African Americans. Carriers of the rs2248690 T allele also had lower fetuin-A concentrations (~0.06 g/L in Caucasians and ~0.04 g/L in African Americans).

Association of ASHG SNPs with incident type 2 diabetes

We did not detect any significant associations between the common genetic variants in ASHG and risk of type 2 diabetes in prospective analyses of either race (Table 3) or in analyses that included the 579 prevalent type 2 diabetes cases (data not shown). Rather than being associated with a lower risk of type 2 diabetes, as would be expected based on the direction of association observed between the genetic variants with lower plasma fetuin-A concentrations, the variant alleles tended to be associated with greater risk of type 2 diabetes by evaluation of the point estimates, albeit none reached statistical significance. The risk of type 2 diabetes per additional copy of the rs4917 minor allele was 1.12 (95% CI, 0.92–1.37) in Caucasians and null in African Americans (hazard ratio [HR] 1.01; 95% CI, 0.67–1.52). The rs2248690 variant allele was associated with a HR of 1.19 (95% CI, 0.96–1.48) per minor allele in Caucasians, whereas the opposite was observed for African Americans (HR 0.64; 95% CI, 0.40–1.05). Additional adjustment for population stratification did not alter these estimates appreciably (data not shown).

AHSG SNPs and fasting glucose

We next performed Mendelian randomization analyses using rs4917 and rs2248690 as instruments and using fasting glucose as the outcome for maximal power. Each 1-SD greater measured plasma fetuin-A concentration was associated with 1.9 mg/dL (95% CI, 1.2–2.7) higher glucose concentration in Caucasians and 3.5 mg/dL.
African American (Table 4). In contrast, slightly lower glucose levels (−0.3 mg/dL [95% CI, −1.0 to 1.3] lower glucose per SD in Caucasians and −1.3 mg/dL [95% CI, −8.6 to 6.1] lower in African Americans), although neither association reached statistical significance. Although the Mendelian randomization analysis had broad CIs, the coefficients for measured and genetically predicted fetuin-A concentrations were significantly different from one another in Caucasians (P = 0.0001), with a similar but not statistically significant trend in the smaller African American sample (P = 0.09). Inclusion of the top 10 principal components as covariates capturing potential confounding by population stratification did not change the results (data not shown).

In our previous investigation from CHS, we reported that the association between plasma fetuin-A and risk of type 2 diabetes was stronger in individuals younger than 75 years of age (6). We did not observe any statistically significant interactions between the AHSG SNPs and age on the risk of incident diabetes (all P for interaction >0.4) or on fasting glucose levels (P > 0.2). Whereas the association of plasma fetuin-A and fasting glucose was strongest in the participants younger than 75 years of age, the genetically predicted fetuin-A levels were not associated with fasting glucose irrespective of age in this study (data not shown).

CONCLUSIONS—In a large biracial cohort of community-living older persons, we confirmed previously reported
Table 4—Differences in fasting glucose per 1 SD difference in fetuin-A and per 1 SD difference in genetically predicted fetuin-A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>African American</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measured fetuin-A</td>
<td>n = 2,807</td>
<td></td>
<td>n = 531</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted for age, sex, clinic</td>
<td>2.34 (1.57–3.10)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.67 (−0.65 to 7.99)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully adjusted*</td>
<td>1.92 (1.16–2.68)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.49 (−1.13 to 8.12)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetically predicted fetuin-A</td>
<td>n = 2,893</td>
<td></td>
<td>n = 542</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs2248690</td>
<td>−0.30 (−2.14 to 1.53)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>−5.54 (−16.53 to 5.45)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs4917</td>
<td>−0.27 (−1.92 to 1.38)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.32 (−7.53 to 8.18)</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both variants</td>
<td>−0.29 (−1.91 to 1.32)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>−1.28 (−8.62 to 6.06)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fetuin-A was measured by ordinary least-square regression, and genetically predicted fetuin-A was measured by two-stage least-square regression. All analyses were adjusted for age, sex, race, and clinic. Participants using insulin or oral hypoglycemics were excluded. Sample size for linear regression of measured fetuin-A and glucose was further restricted to participants with full information on covariates in the fully adjusted model. 
P for difference between the estimates of measured fetuin-A and genetically predicted fetuin-A (based on both SNPs) was 0.0001 for Caucasians and was 0.09 for African Americans (Wooldridge test). *Fully adjusted analysis includes the following potential confounders of the association between fetuin-A and glucose levels: physical activity, smoking, alcohol use, estimated glomerular filtration rate, and prevalent cardiovascular disease.

We also found similar associations for the other commonly occurring variants across the AHSG gene, indicating a genetic region with a high extent of LD, especially in Caucasians.

We (6) and others (4,5) previously have reported that higher plasma fetuin-A concentrations are associated with greater risk of type 2 diabetes. Here, we extend these findings by reporting that SNPs associated with lower fetuin-A concentrations were not associated with a lower risk of type 2 diabetes or fasting glucose concentrations, suggesting that genetically elevated fetuin-A may not be causally related to type 2 diabetes.

Other studies have explored candidate SNPs in AHSG in relation to type 2 diabetes and markers of insulin sensitivity with conflicting results. In a case–control study, the rs1071592 minor allele was statistically significantly less prevalent among individuals with type 2 diabetes compared with normoglycemic controls (9). Minor alleles at rs4918 and rs2248690 showed the same tendencies, although associations did not reach statistical significance. Surprisingly, no association was observed for rs4917 despite its being in near complete LD with rs4918 (9). None of the investigated AHSG SNPs were associated with homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance or glucose levels in the ~700 normoglycemic controls (9). In a Danish study of >7,000 healthy participants, the finding of an association with risk of type 2 diabetes was not replicated for the rs1071592 variant; rs4917/rs4918 exhibited lower insulin resistance and fasting insulin but were not associated with risk of type 2 diabetes (10).

There are a number of possible reasons for the disparity in the associations of plasma fetuin-A concentrations and fetuin-A–associated SNPs with fasting glucose concentrations and risk of type 2 diabetes. One possibility is that the observed association between elevated plasma fetuin-A concentrations and risk of type 2 diabetes is confounded by other determinants of fetuin-A concentrations, whereas the fetuin-A genotype is not subject to confounding by similar factors. For example, fetuin-A, which is derived from hepatocytes, appears to increase with hepatic steatosis and obesity, which themselves have been independently associated with risk of type 2 diabetes (23–25). Importantly, the AHSG SNPs do not appear to be associated with other glucose-related traits available in CHS, and the locus has not been implicated in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. This suggests that these AHSG SNPs meet the necessary criteria for Mendelian randomization analyses of not being associated with potential confounders and not influencing the outcome through another potential intermediate. Although using genetic markers as instrumental variants for fetuin-A level is limited by the degree to which genetics contribute to the interindividual variation in circulating fetuin-A levels (26), our CIs (which reflect the other sources of variability) excluded large effects of genetically determined fetuin-A on glucose.

It is also possible that the differences between the relationships of measured plasma fetuin-A concentrations and the fetuin-A genotype with fasting glucose concentrations and risk of type 2 diabetes relate to our study of older community-living individuals. Participants in this study had an average of 75 years of age at blood draw; therefore, we may not have captured the appropriate study population if the studied genetic variants impact risk of type 2 diabetes primarily earlier in life. Two variants (the rs4917/rs4918 polymorphisms) were slightly out of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, which might indicate an unlikely scenario of survival bias. We found no similar issues for the remainder of the variants.

Another explanation may be related to the fact that individuals who carry the major AHSG allelic variants, and thus have lived with elevated fetuin-A levels into older age, may have developed compensatory mechanisms that buffer against...
the diabetes risk associated with higher plasma fetuin-A concentrations. It is possible that it would take years to develop feedback mechanisms that dampen the effect of continuously elevated fetuin-A concentrations on the insulin receptor, blunting an association between the studied genetic variants and type 2 diabetes in an elderly population used in the CHS. We did not find any evidence of effect modification when we stratified by younger than 75 years of age compared with older than 75 years of age to explore the associations of the genetic variants with glucose and diabetes. However, to test this hypothesis formally, a study population with a larger distribution in age would be necessary.

In conclusion, we observed that genetic variants in the gene encoding fetuin-A were strongly associated with lower plasma fetuin-A concentrations but were not associated with fasting plasma glucose concentrations, prevalent diabetes, or incident diabetes in community-living older persons. Future studies are needed to identify nongenetic determinants of plasma fetuin-A concentrations and to evaluate if such factors may confound the association of plasma fetuin-A concentrations and diabetes risk.
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