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Summary: Obesity increases the risk of upper extremity lymphedema following treatment for breast cancer and can cause lower extremity lymphatic dysfunction in extremely obese individuals. We report the first patient with obesity-induced upper extremity lymphedema. A 62-year-old man with a previous body mass index (BMI) of 105.6, presented with a BMI 60.3 following weight loss. He complained of lymphedema of all 4 extremities, which was confirmed by lymphoscintigraphy. Because the upper limbs are more resistant to lymphedema than the lower extremities, a higher BMI threshold may be necessary to cause upper extremity lymphatic dysfunction. (Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2013;1:e59; doi: 10.1097/GOX.0b013e3182a96359; Published online 23 October 2013.)

CASE REPORT

A 62-year-old man was referred to our Lymphedema Program with bilateral upper and lower extremity lymphedema (Fig. 1). He was 5 feet 10 inches tall (179 cm) and weighed 420 pounds (191 kg); his BMI was 60.3. He had lost weight by dieting over the previous 10 years since he was at his maximum weight of 736 pounds (BMI, 105.6). He complained of difficulty using his extremities and periodic infections of his lower limbs. His medical history was significant for a herniated disk, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, transurethral resection of the prostate, and herniorrhaphy. His medications included lisinopril, percocet, and diazepam. Other than his BMI, he did not have any risk factors for lymphedema (eg, primary lymphedema, penetrating trauma, lymphadenectomy, and radiation). On physical examination, he had pitting edema of all 4 extremities. To determine his extremity lymphatic function, he underwent lymphoscintigraphy, which is 100% specific and 92% sensitive for lymphedema. His lymphoscintigram showed lymphatic dysfunction of all 4 extremities, with delayed...
transit to the inguinal and axillary lymph nodes. The patient was managed with static and pneumatic compression and was referred to a bariatric center.

**DISCUSSION**

Obesity, which affects one third of the population in the United States, negatively affects lymphatic function. Not only does obesity increase the risk of lymphedema following lymphadenectomy and radiation to the axilla, but it may cause the disease in extremely obese individuals (BMI, >59). Previously, obese patients referred to our center complained of lower extremity enlargement, and thus, only the lymphatic function of their legs was assessed using lymphoscintigraphy. The patient in this report was the first to present with upper extremity edema as well, and he was found to have lymphatic dysfunction of all of his limbs. His maximum BMI of 105 was the highest of the cohort of patients managed in our Lymphedema Program. The patient we have treated with the next highest BMI (88) had bilateral lower extremity lymphedema but did not complain of upper extremity edema. A BMI threshold may exist above 88 at which point upper extremity lymphedema seems to occur. The upper limbs should be more resistant to developing obesity-induced lymphedema, compared with the lower extremities, because: (1) adipose deposition in obese patients preferentially affects the legs, (2) lymph drainage from the upper limbs is closer to the central venous circulation, and (3) the arms are less affected by having to transport lymph against gravity.

Obesity may reduce lymphatic function by either (1) impairing the function of lymphatic vessels and/or (2) overwhelming normally functioning lymphatics with increased lymph production. An obese limb may compress lymphatics or the adipose tissue might cause inflammation which damages the lymphatic vasculature. Alternatively, as the size of the obese extremity increases, the elevated production of lymph may overwhelm the capacity of the lymphatics to transport the fluid proximally.

Obesity-induced lymphedema may prove to be the only example of lymphedema that is reversible. Following weight loss through diet or a bariatric procedure, lymphatic clearance may improve because of reduced lymph production and/or by restored function of lymphatic vessels. If lymphatic function does not reverse following massive weight loss, then the mechanism for the disease would be less likely elevated lymph production and more likely injury to lymphatic vasculature.

**Fig. 1.** A 62-year-old man with a maximum BMI history of 105.6, presented with a BMI of 60.3 after dieting. He complained of swelling of all 4 limbs (A and D). Extremity lymphoscintigraphy showed absence of tracer in the axillary (B) and inguinal nodes (E) 45 min following radiolabelled colloid injection into the hands and feet (normal transit time to the axillary and inguinal nodes is <45 min). Minimal, delayed tracer accumulation into the axillary (C) and inguinal nodes (F) occurred 2 h after injection. These findings indicated lymphatic dysfunction of all 4 extremities consistent with lymphedema. Arrows indicate nodal uptake of radiolabelled tracer.
which cannot be reversed following weight loss. If lymphedema proves to be irreversible following massive weight loss, then patients should undergo a bariatric procedure before reaching a BMI threshold when lymphatic dysfunction occurs. Unlike other comorbidities that improve following weight loss (eg, diabetes, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia), patients would continue to suffer from lymphedema.

CONCLUSIONS

Extreme obesity previously has been associated with lower extremity lymphedema. We present a patient with a maximum BMI history of 105 who was referred with bilateral arm and leg lymphedema. Lymphoscintigraphy confirmed lymphatic dysfunction of all 4 limbs. Severe obesity may be a novel cause of upper extremity lymphedema.
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