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Brain temperature, as an independent therapeutic target variable, has received increasingly intense clinical attention. To date, brain hypothermia represents the most potent neuroprotectant in laboratory studies. Although the impact of brain temperature is prevalent in a number of common human diseases including: head trauma, stroke, multiple sclerosis, epilepsy, mood disorders, headaches, and neurodegenerative disorders, it is evident and well recognized that the therapeutic application of induced hypothermia is limited to a few highly selected clinical conditions such as cardiac arrest and hypoxic ischemic neonatal encephalopathy. Efforts to understand the fundamental aspects of brain temperature regulation are therefore critical for the development of safe, effective, and pragmatic clinical treatments for patients with brain injuries. Although centrally-mediated mechanisms to maintain a stable body temperature are relatively well established, very little is clinically known about brain temperature’s spatial and temporal distribution, its physiological and pathological fluctuations, and the mechanism underlying brain thermal homeostasis. The human brain, a metabolically “expensive” organ with intense heat production, is sensitive to fluctuations in temperature with regards to its functional activity and energy efficiency. In this review, we discuss several critical aspects concerning the fundamental properties of brain temperature from a clinical perspective.
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INTRODUCTION

The brain comprises only 2% of human body mass, yet accounts for 25% of the body’s total glucose utilization and 20% of oxygen consumption (Squire, 2012). It is a metabolically “demanding” organ with intense heat production (Lamanna et al., 1980; Yablonskiy et al., 2000; Howarth et al., 2012). Almost all cerebral processes are sensitive to temperature fluctuations (Guatteo et al., 2005; Weimer and Hanke, 2005; Fohlemeister et al., 2010; Kiyatkin, 2010). With its energy expenditure efficiency being highly temperature-dependent (Yu et al., 2012), the brain’s thermal regulatory capacity may define its anatomical and physiological architecture and constrain its processing capacity.

As one of the key homeostatic parameters, temperature fluctuations intrinsically modulate behavioral changes and reflexively generate autonomic responses (Craig et al., 2000). As endotherms, humans regulate body temperature quite closely to a basal mean that varies little in the absence of pathology. It might therefore be surprising that thermal differences exist at all in the brain, yet the presence of such differences indicate it would be more surprising if they were not functional in some way.

To date, preclinical and clinical data strongly indicate that a destructive relationship exists between brain temperature elevation and cerebral injuries; conversely, brain hypothermia, with its broader, pleiotropic effects, represents the most potent neuroprotectant in laboratory studies (Dietrich et al., 2009). Hypothermia has been shown to protect against excitotoxicity, specifically the glutamate- and dopamine-associated cerebral cytotoxicity of global ischemia (Dietrich et al., 2009). Injury models show increased tissue preservation with hypothermia following injury, even after injury processes become established at basal temperatures (Maybhate et al., 2012).

Brain hypothermia has well-established therapeutic roles in selected clinical conditions, including anoxic brain injury due to cardiac arrest (Bernard et al., 2002; Hypothermia after Cardiac Arrest Study Group, 2002; Nielsen et al., 2013) and hypoxic ischemic neonatal encephalopathy (Gluckman et al., 2005; Shankaran et al., 2005). However, efforts to expand the
use of therapeutic hypothermia in other major clinical conditions, such as stroke and head trauma, have had mixed results at best (Clifton et al., 2001, 2011; O’Collins et al., 2006; Hutchison et al., 2008). Recent clinical evidence concerning patients suffering cardiac arrest suggests that cooling during reperfusion is key to limiting destructive physiological cascades that result in cellular injury (Kim et al., 2013). This result is exciting because one of the major limitations of cardiopulmonary resuscitation is the cellular injury associated with reactive oxygen species which occurs on return of spontaneous circulation (Lucchesi, 1990; Neumar et al., 2008).

Avoiding this damage at the cellular level through cooling at the systemic level is one approach that has been attempted and is discussed below. Perhaps a key missing ingredient is a fundamental understanding of temperature dynamics in the brain and the interactions between temperature, cerebral blood flow (CBF), regional brain activity and neuronal viability. With a focus on clinical relevance, efforts are warranted to first synthesize what is known about brain temperature regulation, and then propose key research questions to pragmatically develop effective therapeutic strategies.

In this paper, we review the state of our present knowledge concerning brain temperature. Typically, global brain temperature readings assessed in resting clinical patients are congruent with patient body temperature (brain 36.9 ± 0.4°C, rectal 36.9 ± 0.6°C) (Soukup et al., 2002); differences are noted when brain regions are assessed individually. Because denaturation of lipid and protein begins at approximately 45°C and voltage-gated channels exhibit non-Arrhenius behavior at temperatures below 10°C (Collins and Rojas, 1982; He, 2011), this paper will not discuss the extreme temperature ranges.

**OVERVIEW**

**HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE**

Attempts to understand and measure the specific thermal properties of neurological systems began in the mid-19th century. Major advancements proceeded in-stride with improvements in the sophistication of thermal recording equipment. In 1848, the physicist and physiologist Hermann von Helmholtz undertook experiments to be the first to measure both the conduction velocity of the action potential, and the heat produced by it in frog motor neuron. However, the equipment of the day did not possess the necessary amplification to characterize the thermal phenomena (Helmholtz, 1848). In 1892, William James wrote “brain activity seems accompanied by a local disengagement of heat.” This was based on Moritz Schiff’s 1860s studies of brain temperature in the awake dog, where a 1°C temperature rise was recorded following the presentation of meat (James, 1892). In 1904 and 1908, Walther Nernst demonstrated that the potential across the neural membrane was dependent upon both ionic concentrations and temperature, and discovered the threshold for stimulation of the action potential in the frog sciatic nerve. The first successful experiments to quantify heat production from a train of neural impulses were performed in crustaceans and showed an average increase of 2 μ°C per impulse (Hill, 1929; Feng, 1936).

With improved thermal recording equipment, measurements in the brain of cats revealed several key features: (1) an approximately 1°C gradient exists between the cooler cortical regions and warmer basal regions; (2) the depth of anesthesia correlates with the lowering of brain temperature; (3) brain temperature can be reduced with extracranial cooling; (4) a rise and fall in temperature occurs with sleep and arousal; and (5) a rise of temperature in neuronal pathways is associated with sensory stimuli on an extended time course beyond the duration of the stimulus (Serota and Gerard, 1938; Serota, 1939b).

As knowledge of the mechanisms of the action potential grew, so did the understanding of the temperature dependence of the neural impulse. Hodgkin and Huxley recognized the temperature dependence of the action potential form and the ionic gating parameters by which the impulse is generated (Hodgkin and Huxley, 1952; Huxley, 1957). In 1958, again with the introduction of superior signal amplification and thermopile equipment, the first recordings of heat production from a single neuronal impulse were recorded in the crab (Abbott et al., 1958). These results showed a biphasic response with heat production 80% greater than previously estimated and absorption of heat creating the averaged 2 μ°C signal. This production and absorption was found to be temporally correlated with the depolarization and repolarization of the neural membrane during the action potential (Ritchie, 1973).

In 1966, with an early understanding of the impact of the systemic system on brain temperature, Delgado and Hanai produced temperature changes in the ipsilateral orbital cortex by heating and cooling the exposed carotid artery using irrigation with Ringer’s solution (Delgado and Hanai, 1966a). The posterior cruciate gyrus, however, was not similarly cooled. The authors remarked that this was due to “the considerable circulatory independence of these regions.” Their results also confirmed the heterogeneity of intracerebral temperatures, in contrast to the claims of several early investigators (Rampone and Shirasu, 1964; Kawamura and Sawyer, 1965b). Several studies have confirmed temperature gradients between different brain regions in large and small animal studies (Delgado and Hanai, 1966a; Mcelligott and Melzack, 1967b; Hayward and Baker, 1968a; Andersen and Moser, 1995; Moser and Mathiesen, 1996; Thornton, 2003). It was assumed that greater insulation and a warm blood supply generated these gradients.

Interestingly, direct arterial temperature measurements demonstrate that the blood supply is typically cooler than the surrounding basal brain parenchyma (Kiyatkin et al., 2002). Alternative explanations for the observed intracerebral temperature gradients include increased basal rates of neuronal activation and expression of mitochondrial uncoupling proteins (UCPs) in greater quantities in ventral brain regions (Horvath et al., 1999).

**GENERAL OVERVIEW**

In general, core brain temperature is higher than body temperature but correlates well with body temperature. Fluctuating in both physiological and pathological conditions, brain temperature itself largely depends on the summed effects of the following principle variables: brain metabolism, CBF and volume, and blood temperature (Hayward and Baker, 1969). Temperature changes of 1°C or less can result in functional alterations in various areas of the nervous system (Brooks, 1983), indicating the
high thermal sensitivity of the brain. The significance of thermal impact on several principal neurophysiological properties, such as resting potential, action potential, nerve conduction velocity, and synaptic transmission, is well established (Katz and Miledi, 1965; Brooks, 1983; Thompson et al., 1985; Volgushev et al., 2000; Xie et al., 2000; Rosen, 2001; Tryba and Ramirez, 2004; Lee et al., 2005). The temperature-dependent nature of cerebral functional activity has also been well reported. For example, impairment of memory encoding starts at a body temperature of 36.7°C and progresses to the point that 70% of information normally retained is lost at approximately 34–35°C (Coleshaw et al., 1983).

The brain has a relatively high average Van’t Hoff Q10 coefficient (the temperature coefficient that defines the change of a chemical reaction rate as a consequence of increasing the temperature by 10°C), on the order of 2.3 in the physiological temperature range (Swan, 1974; Michenfelder and Milde, 1991); however, cells in many cerebral structures demonstrate even higher temperature sensitivity. For example, within the physiological range (34–39°C), murine substantia nigra dopamine neurons in vitro (Guatteeo et al., 2005) increase their discharge rate with warming (Q10 = 3.7). Below physiological range (34–29°C), their discharge rate dramatically decreases (Q10 = 8.5). Temperature intrinsically affects brain metabolic rate as well as affinity of hemoglobin for oxygen (Guyton and Hall, 2006). Neocortical network activity and cellular properties significantly change with temperature in a murine model (Kalmbach and Waters, 2012).

The dynamics of brain temperature fluctuations in humans have not been well characterized. Physiological fluctuations of 1–3°C in brain temperature have been extensively reported in various animal models (Serota, 1938, 1939a; Hamilton, 1963; Hammel et al., 1963; Jackson and Hammel, 1963; Kawamura and Sawyer, 1965a; Hemingway et al., 1966; Delgado and Hanai, 1966b; Hayward and Baker, 1966b; Reite and Pegram, 1968; Kovalzon, 1973; Kiyatkin et al., 2002). Brain temperature fluctuations of this magnitude dramatically change neural function at multiple levels. Action potential generation, transmembrane ionic transport, and passive membrane properties are altered. Additionally, terminal transmitter release and presynaptic uptake processes are perturbed (Katz and Miledi, 1965; Brooks, 1983; Thompson et al., 1985; Volgushev et al., 2000; Xie et al., 2000; Rosen, 2001; Tryba and Ramirez, 2004; Lee et al., 2005).

Emerging research supports the hypothesis that brain temperature alone may act as an active and dynamic variable, capable of regulating or driving brain activity and function (Long and Fee, 2008; Kiyatkin, 2010; Andalman et al., 2011; Aronov and Fee, 2012). However, definitive clinical and laboratory evidence remains to be elucidated. Physiological brain temperature fluctuations in various experimental system as well as clinical populations are typically within a 3°C range (Serota, 1938, 1939a; Mccelligott and Melzack, 1967a; Hayward and Baker, 1969; Sedunova, 1992; Mellergerd, 1995; Hirashima et al., 1998; Rossi et al., 2001; Kiyatkin et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2004; Mitchell et al., 2006; Lust et al., 2007; Maloney et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2009). At rest, the human brain has an estimated metabolic rate of 3–3.5 mL O2 (100 g cerebral tissue)−1 min−1 with a corresponding cerebral heat production of approximately 0.6 jg−1 min−1 (Lassen, 1985; Madsen et al., 1993). Because perfusing blood clears the metabolic heat produced in the brain, the thermal gradient is from the brain (heat source with higher temperatures) to the blood (heat sink with lower temperatures). At rest, cerebral heat balance is established with a jugular-venous-to-arterial temperature difference (Δv−Δtemp) of approximately 0.3°C (Yablonskiy et al., 2000; Nybo et al., 2002b).

Studies concerning brain temperature in animals and humans demonstrate results that seem to vary with the method of temperature measurement (thermistor, infrared camera, or magnetic resonance, etc.), the type of stimulus, animal preparation (anesthetized, restrained, or freely moving, etc.), species, age and size of the animal. For example, investigation of brain thermal response to various stimuli by introducing thermistors into the brain differs significantly from studies using infrared imaging. Infrared waves are strongly absorbed by water. A thin layer of fluid (100 um) completely shields the infrared radiation (Schlessinger and Spiro, 1995). Therefore, infrared imaging enables direct thermovision of the brain cortex but is suboptimal for subcortical structures. Due to ready thermal exchange with the ambient air, surgically exposed brain cortex has significantly sub-physiological baseline temperatures (Gorbach et al., 2003; Kalmbach and Waters, 2012). In addition, a thin layer of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) circulates in the subarachnoid space in between the arachnoid and pia layers that typically covers the exposed cortex. Therefore, the observed thermal vision may underestimate the true magnitude of the cortical thermal response to various stimuli. However, the insertion of thermocouples results in focal tissue injury. This may modify the anatomical arrangement and physiological function of the adjacent neurovascular units and potentially compromise local heat dissipation. Therefore, the data obtained using thermocouples may overestimate the true magnitude of the brain thermal response to various stimuli.

ANIMAL DATA OVERVIEW

Awake or large anesthetized animals have a positive brain-body temperature gradient (brain temperature > body core temperature). It has been widely demonstrated in monkeys, dogs, rabbits,
cats, and sheep, that the center of cerebral hemispheres (internal capsule, basal ganglia, and the subcortical white matter in the corona radiata) and the midbrain reticular formation are the hottest parts of the brain, 0.5–0.6°C warmer than the blood (Hayward and Baker, 1969). In unrestrained baboons, using implanted thermometric data loggers, temperatures measured directly in the hypothalamus exceeded the blood temperatures by 0.5°C (Maloney et al., 2007). A positive brain-body temperature gradient was also reported in horses (Mitchell et al., 2006), pronghorn antelopes (Lust et al., 2007), awake cats (Hamilton, 1963; Mcelligott and Melzack, 1967a), freely moving rats (Kiyatkin et al., 2002), and un-anesthetized mice (Sedunova, 1992). As a central nervous system (CNS) thermal buffer, CSF of the basal subarachnoid space in primates was reported to have temperatures at the level of arterial blood temperature (Hayward and Baker, 1968b).

In contrast to awake or large anesthetized animals, small anesthetized animals have a negative brain-body temperature gradient (brain temperature < body temperature). Small animals have a high brain-surface-to-volume ratio to induce significant thermal interactions with the environment. In anesthetized states, possibly due to the suppression of metabolic heat production by the anesthetic agent as well as effective heat exchange with the environment through the head, a negative brain-body temperature gradient has been observed in rats, cats, and miniature pigs (Serota, 1938; Mcelligott and Melzack, 1967a; Lamanna et al., 1989; Laptook et al., 2001).

Cerebral structures appear to have their own basal temperatures, with the temperature differences between various brain regions remaining relatively constant (Kiyatkin et al., 2002). A thermal gradient of 1.4°C between the hypothalamus and the cortex was reported in free moving cats as early as 1938 (Serota, 1938, 1939a). From the results of temperature measurements between arterial blood and 100 brain and subarachnoid sites in 16 monkeys during 347 experiments, Hayward et al. (Hayward and Baker, 1968b) constructed a thermal map of the primate brain (Figure 1). Significant background inter-hemispheric thermal asymmetries have also been reported. For example, the left hemisphere temperature in rats under local anesthesia was noted to be 0.5–0.8°C higher than the right (Shevelev, 1998).

Brain temperature fluctuations related to sleep, arousal, sensory stimulation, and environmental challenges have been reported in monkeys (Hamilton, 1963; Hayward and Baker, 1968b; Reite and Pegram, 1968), rabbits (Kawamura and Sawyer, 1965a), cats (Serota, 1938, 1939a; Delgado and Hanai, 1966b), dogs (Hammel et al., 1963; Jackson and Hammel, 1963), sheep (Hemmingway et al., 1966), and rodents (Kovalzon, 1973; Sullivan et al., 1988; Kiyatkin et al., 2002; Trubel et al., 2006). The electrophysiological correlates of spatial learning and memory have a strong relationship with temperature (Erickson et al., 1996). In the awake freely moving rats, temperature in hippocampus and piriform cortex can decrease 0.5–36.5°C over a 1 h period of sleep and quiet wakefulness, and then increase 1.5–38°C when the rat is actively exploring (Andersen and Moser, 1995).

**FIGURE 1 | Thermal map of the brain.** The results of measurements of the temperature difference between arterial blood and 100 brain and subarachnoid sites in 16 monkeys during 347 experiments. Values expressed are $T_i - T_a$ temperature of intracranial site minus the temperature of the aortic arterial blood measured simultaneously, and are all positive values). The major regions which have been studied in the primate brain have been placed on two representative frontal sections, A, frontal 14.5 and B, front 0.3. Values are expressed to the nearest 0.1 C. Reprinted with permission from The American Journal of Physiology, Hayward and Baker (1969).
The temperature fluctuations appear small in anesthetized states but can be quite significant (1–3°C) in freely moving animals (Figure 2). The sexual arousal-related temperature increase in the nucleus accumbens (NAcc) of approximately 1.8°C in the rat was reported by Kiyatkin and Mitchum (2003). Kiyatkin and Brown (2004) and Kiyatkin and Bae (2008) also demonstrated that the magnitude of such fluctuations appeared to depend on the pre-stimulus basal temperature values. In rats, when basal temperatures were low, brain temperature increase was large. However, the thermal response became gradually weaker when basal temperatures were high.

Experimental stimuli such as feeding, social interaction, and tail-pinch induced rapid, unique, and relatively long lasting brain temperature elevations, occurring significantly faster and with greater magnitude than the corresponding temperature elevations in arterial blood (Kiyatkin et al., 2002). The observations that regional brain temperatures rise more quickly and to a larger extent than do arterial blood temperatures suggest that local cerebral heat production may be the primary cause of such functional brain hyperthermia. In addition, these regional brain temperature fluctuations demonstrate structural specificity. For example, in rats, temperature increases in cerebellum were more delayed and prolonged following each stimulus (Kiyatkin et al., 2002). The local thermal responses to certain experimental stimuli also showed a clear habituation pattern in rats. For example, the thermal responses to sound stimuli disappeared by the fifth session (Kiyatkin et al., 2002).

Recent work in songbirds suggests that brain temperature may be an important dynamic variable that actively modulates the function and output of neural circuits. For example, direct focal brain temperature manipulations have been shown to induce changes in tempo of a similar magnitude (Long and Fee, 2008; Andalman et al., 2011). Brain temperature changes recorded in freely-behaving male finches explained nearly all the variance in song tempo related to the presentation of a female bird as well as to the time of day (Aronov and Fee, 2012). These studies suggest a potential causal effect of temperature on song tempo.

Likewise, in vivo mammalian experiments have demonstrated that neuronal activity is a function of temperature (Kalmbach and Waters, 2012). In the anesthetized rat, barrel cortex pyramidal neurons have hyperpolarized membrane potentials at physiological temperature (36°C), while the resting membrane potential is relatively depolarized at cooler temperatures (28°C) (Kalmbach and Waters, 2012). Similarly, the neural network properties of barrel cortex have been investigated in the anesthetized rat, and extrapolation from this study suggests that physiological temperatures place cortical neuron in the down state, in which neurons are more likely to yield action potentials to sensory stimuli (Sachdev et al., 2004). In contrast, cooling the cortex shifts cortical neuron to the up state, known to reduce cortical excitability in barrel cortex (Sachdev et al., 2004). This synthesis of the literature remains to be formally tested, but is expected to be part of the neurophysiological underpinning of the noted neuroprotective state of hypothermia.
Selective cooling of the cortex has been achieved in the primate with cooling probes chronically-implanted over the dura (Fukuda et al., 1987). This method allowed significant localized cooling from approximately 38 to 18°C while permitting performance of an over-learned operant task, although error rate increased by a factor of 10 (Fukuda et al., 1987). Overall, single unit recordings demonstrated reversible decrements in firing rate to preferred stimuli (Fukuda et al., 1987). This study has validated a method to chronically, yet reversibly access and cool the basal surface of primate brain without damaging neural tissue. This portion of the brain is known to contain structures exquisitely sensitive to neurotrauma including the CA1 field of the hippocampus (Nakada et al., 2005; Greenfield et al., 2008).

HUMAN DATA OVERVIEW

On average, deep brain temperature is less than 1°C higher than body temperature in humans, unless cerebral injury is severe enough to significantly disrupt the brain-body temperature regulation (Soukup et al., 2002). Theoretically, the maximal brain temperature elevation over blood temperature, under physiological conditions, would be approximately 0.9°C for a typical hematocrit level of 40% (Yablonskiy et al., 2000), and both the magnitude and direction of the difference can be temperature dependent (Nybo et al., 2002b; Smith et al., 2011). The temperature gap may become more accentuated at higher body temperatures and diminish or even reverse in its relationship at lower body temperatures.

Brain temperature in head trauma patients, directly measured in the frontal white matter at 0.8 cm below the cortical surface, was on average 0.22°C above body temperature (Wang et al., 2004). CSF temperature, measured directly in the frontal horn of the lateral ventricle (4–5 cm below the brain surface), was on average 0.3–0.9°C above body temperature (Hirashima et al., 1998; Rossi et al., 2001). Consistent with extensive animal data (see above), human brain temperatures measured at various cerebral tissue depths confirmed a thermal gradient from the hotter core to the cooler periphery (Whiby and Dunkin, 1971; Mellgard and Nordstrom, 1990; Hirashima et al., 1998).

CSF acts as a CNS temperature buffer and may have more extensive thermal interactions with the superficial cerebral tissues (<2–3 cm) via the strikingly vast CSF-cortical and CSF-vessel contact surface areas (see below). In addition, it is thought that the superficial cerebral tissues may be more susceptible thermally to the external environment. In humans, brain temperatures measured in the superficial cerebral tissues, including the subdural and epidural spaces, can be lower than body temperatures (Mellgard and Nordstrom, 1990; Hirashima et al., 1998; Nakagawa et al., 2011; Suehiro et al., 2011).

Intraoperative infrared imaging of surgically-exposed human cortex demonstrated baseline cortical temperature heterogeneity as well as reproducible temperature increases within the primary somatosensory cortex during median nerve stimulation, and the sensorimotor cortex during repetitive hand movements and finger tapping, and also in language areas during speech production (Gorbach et al., 2003). The detected temperature increases (0.04–0.08°C) with various stimuli were quite small compared with animal data obtained using thermoreceptors (thermistors) or thermocouples implanted into the brain (Serota, 1938, 1939a; Hamilton, 1963; Hammel et al., 1963; Jackson and Hammel, 1963; Kawamura and Sawyer, 1965a; Hemingway et al., 1966; Delgado and Hanai, 1966b; Hayward and Baker, 1968b; Reite and Pegram, 1968; Kovalzon, 1973; Kiyatkin et al., 2002), but were similar to rodent data acquired with infrared imaging (Shevelev, 1998). Implanted thermoresistors (relying on temperature dependent changes in resistance between two leads) and thermocouples (relying on the Seebeck effect) may thus exhibit a quantitative but not a qualitative difference vs. less invasive techniques based on imaging.

Ecker et al. (2002) used infrared cameras to detect temperature changes of the cerebral cortex during awake craniotomy in humans (Figure 2). The authors reported correlations between the temperature of cortex with various stimuli, such as speech cortex with verbalization, and motor cortex with hand motion. A 0.7°C temperature increase of the speech cortex during verbalization was reported.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has evolved as a valid noninvasive technique to characterize brain temperature. With functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), decreases in the local brain temperature on the order of 0.2°C were reported in the visual cortex during prolonged visual stimulation of human brain (Yablonskiy et al., 2000). The study results contradict the majority of animal and human data concerning regional brain temperature changes during functional activation. fMRI relies on the changes in the signal intensity due to blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) contrast. The BOLD effect depends upon a complex interaction between temperature dependent variables, such as the oxidation of blood hemoglobin, blood flow, and cerebral metabolism (Ogawa et al., 1990, 1993). Therefore, the discrepancy may have stemmed from the current methodological limitations in measuring these temperature-dependent variables and makes it difficult to determine whether fMRI has accuracy to match its precision.

BRAIN TEMPERATURE AND PARENCHYMA

CEREBRAL TISSUE SENSITIVITY AND TOLERANCE TO TEMPERATURE CHANGES

CNS tissue is one of the most sensitive to heat (Haveman et al., 2005). Both the change in temperature (ΔT) and the duration of exposure are critical in determining the degree of thermal tolerance as well as the amount of thermal damage to the tissue. Although studies using chronic implants of a cooling loop demonstrated that repeated cooling did not alter neuronal responsiveness, animal behavior, or produce anatomical damage (Keating and Gooley, 1988; Lomber et al., 1996, 1999; Fingas et al., 2007), very little is known about human cerebral tissue’s response and tolerance to chronic exposure to a thermal stress (e.g., cortical brain-machine interface or implanted cooling patch) that generates low (<2°C) temperature changes.

Permeability of the blood-brain barrier (BBB), quantitatively evaluated via intra-brain albumin leakage, is highly temperature dependent (Kiyatkin and Sharma, 2009). In rats, the number of albumin-positive cells were noted to be minimal at normothermic values (34.2–38°C), slightly higher (2–4 fold) at hypothermic values (32.2–34.2°C), and dramatically higher (26 fold) at
hyperthermic values (38–42.5°C). Brain cells are exceptionally sensitive to thermal damage. In cultured neurons, spontaneous activity stopped irreversibly between 42 and 43°C (Gahwiler et al., 1972) indicating a direct cell-level injury. In a rat model, structurally abnormal cells were absent at low and normal temperatures (Kiyatkin and Sharma, 2009). Although a few abnormal cells were found at 38.5°C, the number increased linearly as temperature rose (Kiyatkin and Sharma, 2009). The temperature threshold to produce progressive thermal injury to the metabolically active brain cells, BBB, and the vascular endothelium appears to be between 39 and 40°C (Bechtold and Brown, 2003; Sharma and Hoopes, 2003; Kiyatkin and Sharma, 2009). At a similar temperature threshold, heat-shock proteins are activated to induce heat tolerance and enhance cellular protection (Haque et al., 2012). In various settings of physiological hyperthermia (38.5–39.5°C) such as environmental warming, intense physical exercise, and copulatory behavior, an increase in BBB permeability is well-noted, and emerging research indicates that hyperthermia within the physiological range may potentiate cerebral damage induced by pathological processes such as trauma and stroke (Hajat et al., 2000; Jiang et al., 2002; Dietrich and Bramlett, 2010).

In addition to damage at the cellular level, thermal damage is also a part of tissue-level sequelae that includes parenchymal edema and damage to the BBB. Chronic ischemic conditions are known to damage not only the vascular but also the ventricular side of the BBB, with autophagy in endothelial cells and pericytes alike and astrogliosis in nearby parenchyma (Garbuzova-Davis et al., 2014), and it has been postulated that enhancement of venous drainage from an ischemic site could improve patient outcome (Li et al., 2014). New evidence suggests that well-known blood pressure lowering drugs, specifically angiotensin II type two receptor blockers, can preventatively block edema and subsequent parenchymal damage to the brain by blocking the damage to the BBB (Min et al., 2014). While these studies deal with injury secondary to ischemia, the pathophysiological effects of dysregulation of oxidative phosphorylation are similar whether the inciting event is an oxygen supply decrease or a more direct dysregulation of mitochondrial proteins such as UCP-2 and generally result in the same increased ROS and mitochondrial membrane permeability. Cardiac researchers have recognized this and made gains either through utilization of hypothermia (Zhou et al., 2011) or drugs that reduce ROS generation more specifically (Deja et al., 2009). The use of drugs with selective physiological effects is an approach not lost on neuroscientists (Ghebi et al., 2014), nor is selectively applied hypothermia (Wang et al., 2004).

The cerebral tissue appears to have a remarkable tolerance to brain temperature reduction. In patients undergoing operative deep hypothermic circulatory arrest (Mascio et al., 2009; Percy et al., 2009), the brain appears to tolerate temperatures of 15–18°C well for relatively short intervals (2–3 h). Yang et al. (2003) cooled cat brains to 3°C for 1–2 h daily for 7–10 months and demonstrated no significant neuropathological consequences. Cooling rat brains over the sensorimotor cortex, Oku et al. (2009) demonstrated that focal brain temperature reduction above 0°C for 1 h did not result in motor dysfunction or histological changes. However, cooling at −5°C for 1 h resulted in transient motor dysfunction and irreversible histological changes (Oku et al., 2009). Using electroencephalography (EEG) (Schiller et al., 1974) or evoked potentials elicited by sensory (Jasper et al., 1970; Kalil and Chase, 1970) or electrical stimulation (Benita and Conde, 1972; Schmielau and Singer, 1977), studies on neural inactivation by the cold demonstrated that the EEG and evoked potentials did not disappear until around 10°C. In the Macaque monkey, the cortical neurons did not cease to respond to visual stimulation until they were cooled to temperatures between 4 and 18°C (Girard and Bullier, 1989). In vitro studies using guinea pig hippocampal slices demonstrated that synaptic function, neuronal excitability, and membrane properties, although greatly influenced by local temperature reduction, maintained their reversibility after > 90 min of cooling at temperatures < 10°C (Aihara et al., 2001). Gahwiler et al. (1972) demonstrated in cultured neurons that all neurons were still active at 20°C and that spontaneous activity remained in a number of neurons for temperatures between 5 and 10°C.

**IMPLICATIONS FOR MOLECULAR PHYSIOLOGY OF THE SYNAPSE**

Brain temperature may be an important but non-specific and passive physiological parameter with its fluctuations determined primarily by alterations in neuronal activity, brain metabolism, and CBF. Recent evidence, however, indicates that small temperature gradients generated in axon terminals upon the activation of brain uncoupling proteins (UCPs) may directly modulate presynaptic and postsynaptic events, as neurotransmitter diffusion and convection are temperature dependent (Horvath et al., 1999; Andrews et al., 2005; Fuxe et al., 2005). The UCPs, as a family of mitochondrial anion-carrier proteins, are located in the inner membrane of mitochondria. Activation of UCPs reduces the mitochondrial membrane potential by causing a proton leak back into the matrix. This process dissipates the proton motive force, uncouples oxidative phosphorylation from ATP synthesis, and thereby generates heat and local temperature gradients. UCPs are relatively well understood in the context of brown adipose tissue, specifically UCP-1, in which they generate heat associated with vasodilation (Matthias et al., 2000) and increases in glucose consumption in excess of that calculated from increased blood flow alone (Wang et al., 2006b), indicative of active uptake and utilization of glucose for heat production confirmed through nuclear medicine studies (Cypess et al., 2013). In the case of the brain, this distinction between passive warming through increased blood flow and active warming through energy utilization is more difficult to elucidate but recent evidence suggests this may be accomplished by UPCs. This highly provocative hypothesis that CNS synaptic transmission may be modulated by heat produced locally in axon terminals still warrants experimental investigation.

What is known is that a UPC variant, UPC-2, is expressed in a unique manner in neural tissue (Richard et al., 1998; Horvath et al., 1999) despite an overall wide distribution (Fleury et al., 1997). Immunohistochemical staining of human tissue preparations demonstrate the localization of UPC-2 predominantly to axons and axon terminals (Horvath et al., 1999). Thus, the ability to generate heat in neurons is concentrated in axons and axon terminals, indicating that heat generation may be intricately
involved in neurotransmission either as a modulatory factor or as a direct response to a stimulus. As a sequence of coupled diffusive and reactive events, synaptic transmission involves molecular processes that display stochastic (random) properties (Ribault et al., 2011). At the synapse, Brownian motion governs not only the diffusion of small molecules such as neurotransmitters and calcium ions, but also the motion of proteins such as receptors or scaffolding proteins within the membrane or the cytoplasm (Triller and Choquet, 2008). Brownian motion, as the intrinsic random motion of molecules, is characterized by a diffusion coefficient that is fundamentally temperature dependent (Ribault et al., 2011).

Although findings from adipose tissue indicate that regional temperature differences are not attributable to bulk flow variance but rather to intrinsic heat-generating activity, it cannot be assumed that temperature variance in neural tissue is directly related to—let alone scales with—neural activity levels. While temperature variance may indeed be an important variable influencing neural transmission, more experimentation is needed to determine the functional importance of temperature at the synapse.

Recent evidence suggests that neural transmission may be a function of thermodynamic energy barriers to physiological mechanisms (Rost et al., 2011) which are as yet not understood. For example, one such physiological barrier is the well-known case of vesicular fusion at axon terminals, the biophysical rate-limiting step of neurological transmission. Of all the barriers to efficient synaptic transmission, vesicular fusion stands out for its biophysical rather than statistical nature. The process of union of two phospholipid bilayers has a high entropic barrier, and is dependent upon SNARE proteins to overcome the energy barrier of activation. Owing largely to their amphipathic nature, the discovery of the SNAREs helped to reveal how the major thermodynamic barrier to neurotransmitter release might be overcome through enzymatic intermediate steps, and to explain how such entropically counter-intuitive steps could happen very rapidly and repeatedly (Trimble et al., 1988).

As the energy derived from SNARE proteins is dependent only upon Boltzmann’s constant and temperature (Gao et al., 2012), increased temperatures at axon terminals are strongly implicated as a modulatory factor capable of regulating the process of vesicle fusion through modulation of whether this energetic barrier can be overcome. Indeed, in well-studied multiple-input systems such as hippocampal neurons, metabotropic GABA-B receptors have been shown to inhibit neurotransmitter release by increasing the energy barrier to synaptic transmission (Rost et al., 2011), a gap which recent SNARE research suggests could be overcome with increased local temperature (Gao et al., 2012). The fact that this phenomenon has been shown to happen in hippocampal neurons is suggestive of its involvement in complex neural circuits, perhaps indicating that axonal heat variance is a technique employed by highly active centers with multiple integrating influences to globally modulate signal transmission in axons analogous to long-term potentiation and depression (LTP/LTD). Due to the passive radiation of heat, such a temperature-based phenomenon would be potentially applicable both to single synapses and to local regions over which locally generated heat could dissipate. It is possible that temperature variation may be employed to further fine-tune activity-dependent processes such as learning and memory, as exemplified by the hippocampus.

**BRAIN TEMPERATURE AND CEREBRAL CIRCULATION**

**CEREBRAL CIRCULATION AND THE THERMAL ENVIRONMENT OF THE BRAIN**

The net chemical reaction of oxygen and glucose generates most of the energy required for cerebral metabolic activities. While some of this energy (33%) is immediately released as heat, the rest is used to produce ATP molecules to fuel a complex chain of chemical reactions (Siesjö, 1978). Given that no mechanical work is performed in this process, the final ATP hydrolysis releases the energy back to the biological system as heat (Siesjö, 1978). On average, 0.66 J is released every minute per gram of brain tissue (Yablonskiy et al., 2000). If not promptly removed, this heat generation and accumulation will lead to a continuous increase in local brain temperature. In humans and other large animals, the principal heat removal mechanism is through the cerebral circulation.

Cerebral circulation is most critical for stabilizing the thermal environment of the brain. With compromised cerebral circulation, the heat exchange properties of the brain rapidly change. In a monkey model of cardiac arrest occurring at 35°C ambient temperature, superficial brain sites immediately cooled while deep brain temperatures initially rose. However, after 8–10 min, the deeper intracranial sites cooled in parallel to surface temperatures (Hayward and Baker, 1969). Increasingly ambient air temperature to 45°C eliminated this pattern of immediate cooling of frontal subcortical sites and later cooling of all brain sites (Hayward and Baker, 1969).

In awake or anesthetized large animals, the physiological direction of thermal energy flow is from the brain (heat source) to the blood (heat sink). Cooling or warming of the perfusing blood results in prompt thermal exchange with various brain sites. In regards to speed and amount of heat transfer, it is most effective in the cerebral cortex adjacent to a cortical arteriole (Hayward and Baker, 1968b). With no significant change in metabolic heat production, vasodilatation enhances cerebral heat clearance, while vasoconstriction impedes brain cooling (Hayward and Baker, 1969). Known to produce cerebral vasodilatation, hypercapnia, induced in monkeys using 8–10% CO2 in inhalation air, narrows the brain-body temperature gradients. Similarly, hypocapnia, induced with hyperventilation, widens the brain-body temperature gradients. In anesthetized small animals such as rats, although the brain-body temperature gradient is negative with the absolute value being dependent on experimental circumstances (see above), hypercapnia-induced cerebral vasodilatation elevates the absolute brain temperature and subsequently narrows the brain-body temperature gradient (Zhu et al., 2009).

In humans, cortical arterial branches traverse over long distance (up to 20 cm) within the subarachnoid space. They are thin-walled vessels with diameters similar to those of the carotid rete of other species (Simoens et al., 1987). Because of the structural similarities to the carotid rete, cortical arterial vessels are thought to have highly effective CSF-arterial thermal interaction (Zenker and Kubik, 1996). In addition, 70–80% of the cerebral...
blood volume circulates in the veins. Like the cortical arterial vessels, cortical veins are also thin-walled and traverse over long distance within the subarachnoid space. In contrast to cortical arterial vessels, the cortical veins contain cerebral blood circulating at low velocity and pressure. The venous vasculature, like the arterial vasculature, likely provides a highly effective CSF-venous thermal exchange interface and regulation checkpoint.

**BRAIN TEMPERATURE AND CEREBRAL BLOOD**

Brain temperature and CBF are two closely associated homeostatic parameters with significant mutual impact. CBF is the principal mechanism to maintain brain and body temperature coupling; physiological or pathological perturbations of CBF may result in significant changes of brain temperature with the subsequent de-coupling of brain and body temperature (Hayward and Baker, 1968b; Zhu et al., 2009). Yet, the interplay of regional CBF and local brain temperature regulation has received scant attention.

The pattern of brain temperature changes (global vs. regional), the magnitude of brain temperature changes (physiological vs. pathological), and the underlying induction mechanism (extravascular vs. intravascular) must be considered in delineating the corresponding effects on CBF. An induction mechanism that relies primarily on convective heat exchange through the cerebral circulation to accomplish brain temperature alterations is considered intravascular in nature. For example, whole body hypo- or hyper-thermia secondarily induces brain temperature changes convectively through the cerebral circulation and is therefore intravascular in nature. Temperature manipulation of the perfusing blood in the cervical carotid arteries to accomplish selective brain cooling or warming is also intravascular in nature. Conversely, extravascular induction mechanisms do not primarily rely on convective heat exchange through the cerebral circulation. They include conductive (e.g., heating or cooling devices applied externally), convective (e.g., forced cold or hot air circulation), and other methods (e.g., high intensity exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields).

Brain hypothermia secondarily induced through whole body cooling has been associated with a reduction in CBF (Hagerdal et al., 1975; Busija and Leffler, 1987; Greeley et al., 1991; Michenfelder and Milde, 1991; Laptook et al., 2001). Significant brain temperature elevation associated with whole body hyperthermia during intense exercise is well documented in humans (Saltin et al., 1972; Nybo et al., 2002b), and this thermal perturbation also results in a reduction of CBF (Nybo and Nielsen, 2001; Nybo et al., 2002a,b). This reduction is only partly related to the hyperventilation induced decline in arterial $P_{\text{CO}_2}$. Due to the confounding effects of systemic hypothermia or hyperthermia on the cardiovascular and respiratory systems, it is difficult to elucidate the relationship between brain tissue temperature and CBF using whole body temperature manipulation methodologies. Therefore, selective brain cooling or warming may be a more suitable experimental condition to further delineate the regulatory mechanisms between brain tissue temperature and CBF.

The limited numbers of studies investigating the cerebral hemodynamic consequences of selective brain cooling have yielded contradictory results. Walter et al. (2000) induced selective brain cooling in juvenile pigs by bi-carotid perfusion of the head with extracorporeally cooled blood and demonstrated a reduction of CBF to a degree comparable to whole body cooling. Similar findings were reported in baboons with extracorporeally cooled blood through one carotid artery (Schwartz et al., 1996). Gelman et al. (1996) demonstrated no change in CBF at 15 and 45 min of selective brain cooling after cardiac arrest in infant piglets. In newborn miniature swine, selective brain cooling resulted in a reduction of global CBF (Laptook et al., 2001). In rats, Kuluz et al. (1993) used laser-Doppler flowmetry (LDF) and reported a significant increase in cortical CBF (to 215% of baseline values) during selective brain cooling. The conflicting findings of these studies suggest that cerebrovascular response to brain cooling may depend on differences in species (particularly rete vs. non-rete species), age, and induction mechanisms (e.g., intravascular vs. extravascular and global vs. regional).

The relevance of regional CBF and local brain temperature is well recognized (Moriyama, 1990; Kuluz et al., 1993; Ohmoto et al., 1996; Masuda et al., 2011). In the skin, temperature changes result in local skin blood flow changes through autoregulated microcirculation (Hales et al., 1978; Charkoudian, 2003). Similar microcirculation has also been identified in the human brain cortex (Duvernoy et al., 1981). Therefore, cortical temperature changes may regulate regional CBF through autoregulation of the microcirculation. Temperature induced alterations in local cerebrovascular resistance has also been proposed as a possible mechanism for the corresponding changes in regional CBF (Kuluz et al., 1993). Moriyama (1990) demonstrated that regional CBF changes depended upon the cortical temperature alterations in the 36–46°C range in monkeys. In rats, Masuda et al. (2011) induced cortical temperature changes with radiofrequency electromagnetic fields and demonstrated temperature-dependent regulation of regional CBF in the 33–50°C range. Under physiological conditions, in the absence of cell damage, regional CBF elevation correlates with cortical temperature rise in a linear fashion (Masuda et al., 2011). However, prolonged temperature elevation (≥20 min) above 43°C resulted in the gradual decline in CBF (Moriyama, 1990; Ohmoto et al., 1996) and whether such a linear relationship exists with physiologic changes in humans remains in question.

In human studies changes in regional CBF have been linked to states of maladaptive responses to normal stimuli (Bradley et al., 2000), a finding confirmed by different imaging techniques (Sundgren et al., 2007; Duschek et al., 2012) but whose clinical applicability is currently debated (Guedj, 2009). Caution must be used when evaluating these studies, however, as some (Inamura et al., 2013) use sub-physiological temperatures known to trigger the disassembly of microtubules thereby potentially undermining endothelial cell structure and disrupting basic cellular functions. Results would then be subject to uncertainty with respect to what cellular responses are specific to the process being investigated. Most studies recognize the dangers of studying physiological processes at such temperatures and generally accept temperatures below 29°C as "profound hypothermia" (Dietrich et al., 2009). In the case of inflammatory processes, cytokine-mediated increased vascular permeability results in higher blood flow to the area.
of inflammation and classically contributes to local temperature increases, known to Celsius as calor. It does not necessarily follow, however, that increased local temperature is a function of increased blood flow.

It is more likely that CBF and brain temperature relate to each other in a complicated fashion. To date, the regulatory mechanism of CBF, as one of the central pieces of the neurophysiology puzzle, remains elusive. Supportive evidence for a number of established hypotheses is based on in vitro experiments involving isolated tissue: brain slices, excised vessels, cell cultures, etc. (Rauchle and Mintun, 2006; Attwell et al., 2010; Cauli and Hamel, 2010; Paulson et al., 2010). Although in vitro experiments have produced a wealth of data, extrapolation of conclusions to the in vivo situation remains uncertain. Many of the in vivo physiological parameters and intracellular milieu cannot be realistically simulated in vitro. In particular, CBF and brain tissue temperature represent two of such essential parameters that depart significantly between the in vitro and in vivo conditions. For example, experiments using brain slices cannot examine CBF and are typically conducted at significantly sub-physiological temperatures due to the tissue vitality concerns. With the advent of new technologies to image brain temperature, CBF, and metabolism, efforts directed toward establishing an in vivo model are crucial to further elucidate the regulatory mechanisms between CBF and brain temperature.

BRAIN TEMPERATURE REGULATION AND STRESS STATES

Neuronal stress is not a superficial or transient change in cellular function, but a fundamental and multifaceted alteration of cellular physiology (Khatri and Man, 2013) which affects intracellular homeostatic physiology and intercellular system physiology (Bartnik-Olson et al., 2013). What is especially provocative about states of neuronal stress, under certain circumstances culminating in neurodegenerative disease, is the recurrence of amphipathic proteins recognized as causal agents in several such diseases. Amphipathic proteins are known to have activities highly sensitive to temperature due to its influence on hydrophobic/hydrophilic interactions. The amphipathicity of these proteins suggests an intrinsic responsiveness to temperature, but the physiological significance of neural temperature regulation or impact upon specific proteins remains unclear. Further, there is evidence that the modulation of biophysical parameters is an underappreciated method of neurotransmitter regulation. SNARE proteins are of such importance in large part because of their amphipathic nature. Several other examples of neuronal amphipathic proteins are known, including alpha-synuclein which displays increased expression in neurons involved in learning pathways in songbird systems (George et al., 1995) and experience-dependent turnover of synaptic vesicles within axons (Clayton and George, 1999), suggesting history-dependent modulation similar to that observed in LTP/LTD. Alpha-synuclein is better known for its role in pathologies, however, most notably Parkinson Disease among a host of so-called synucleinopathies known to involve alpha-synuclein dysfunction though precise mechanisms have yet to be elucidated (Cheng et al., 2011). Another amphipathic protein of note shares several features with alpha-synuclein, most notably secondary structure (Serpell et al., 2000) and an involvement in both physiology and pathophysiology: amyloid beta. Known best for its characteristic involvement in Alzheimer Disease, amyloid beta also is involved in acute responses to trauma as shown in murine models (Marklund et al., 2013) and cellular stress in general as shown clinically (Abrahamson et al., 2006). In human specimens, amyloid beta and amyloid precursor protein (APP) accumulate after traumatic brain injury despite the lack of any appreciable plaques in long-term studies (up to 3 years) (Chen et al., 2009). A similar study found that in human deaths from traumatic brain injuries, not only amyloid beta and APP but also alpha synuclein accumulated in neural tissue (Chen et al., 2004), lending credence to the prevailing theory that neurodegenerative disease represents an inappropriate activity of a physiological mechanism triggered by stress. The recurrence of amphipathic proteins in such pathologies is suggestive of a role for temperature in neural regulatory patterns, and recent research into neural UPCs lends some of the most specific such evidence.

Increased levels of UPC-2 have been found in neurons experiencing physiological stress such as chronic alcohol consumption, in which the compensatory increase of UPC-2 initially reduces neuronal cell death but eventually reaches toxic levels due to its involvement in the mitochondrial permeability transition pore (mPTP) (Graw et al., 2013). The mPTP complex causes cell death primarily through interference of complex I of the electron transport chain in mitochondria. Malfunctions of other specific components of the mPTP have also been linked to disease states such as myositis, Paget disease and frontotemporal dementia in addition to approximately 1–2% of all cases of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (Bartolome et al., 2013). Mitochondrial uncoupling is known to reduce ATP levels and increase reactive oxygen species; further, mitochondrial depolarization and dysfunction has been shown to be present in a host of neurodegenerative diseases (Chaturvedi and Beal, 2013; Chaturvedi and Flint Beal, 2013) and is thought to be a contributor to the etiology of such diseases. A particularly interesting correlation is seen with alpha-synuclein, which has been shown to interact with the mPTP in a fashion similar to UPC-2, specifically interacting with a voltage-dependent pore component VDAC1 and facilitating a transition to apoptosis at high activity levels (Lu et al., 2013). This pattern of an initially compensatory reaction to a stimulus turning to a detrimental reaction once sustained is curiously common, not only with respect to neurodegenerative disease hypotheses and chronic alcohol consumption discussed above but also to other systemic physiological disease states.

The field of cardiology has been dealing with the issue of ischemia-reperfusion injury for some time (Robinson et al., 2011). Interest in mitochondrial uncoupling proteins specifically (Murray et al., 2004) and cellular damage through ROS and mitochondrial dysregulation in general (Yusuf et al., 2012) has led to some interesting discoveries in pathogenesis and possible pharmacological therapies (Deja et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2010). It has long been recognized (Mattson and Kroemer, 2003) that some of the same basic dysfunctions underlie the pathogenesis of both cardiac and neural end organ damage: mitochondrial homeostasis (Rajanikant et al., 2007; Sharma et al., 2013), ROS generation (Wang et al., 2006a; El-Sawalhi and Ahmed, 2014), and inadequate ROS scavenging (Green and Ashwood, 2005; Sabbah et al., 2010; Paulson et al., 2010). Although in vitro experiments have produced a wealth of data, extrapolation of conclusions to the in vivo situation remains uncertain. Many of the in vivo physiological parameters and intracellular milieu cannot be realistically simulated in vitro. In particular, CBF and brain tissue temperature represent two of such essential parameters that depart significantly between the in vitro and in vivo conditions. For example, experiments using brain slices cannot examine CBF and are typically conducted at significantly sub-physiological temperatures due to the tissue vitality concerns. With the advent of new technologies to image brain temperature, CBF, and metabolism, efforts directed toward establishing an in vivo model are crucial to further elucidate the regulatory mechanisms between CBF and brain temperature.
The pharmalological benefits of any number of antioxidant moieties have been studied and some have shown promise for cardiac and neural dysfunction alike (Green and Ashwood, 2005; Mack et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2006a; Hernandez-Resendiz et al., 2013) in a rapidly expanding field of literature. A full review of the pharmacology is regrettably not within the scope of the present article.

**ANATOMICAL CONSIDERATION**

**SURROUNDING STRUCTURES**

In order to effectively study and examine brain temperature regulation, it is critical to understand the relevant anatomical and physiological properties in the head-neck regions. Heat transfer in the head occurs via radiation, conduction, convection, and evaporation and the various anatomical features have different thermal properties.

The bony and soft coverings of the brain are composed of head hair, scalp, skull, and meninges. Collectively, those structures help maintain brain temperature homeostasis by shielding the brain from external thermal challenges. Without these layers, the surgically exposed cerebral cortex can have temperatures 5–10°C below core body temperatures (Gorbach et al., 2003; Kalmbach and Waters, 2012). The surgical removal of a large piece of skull, performed to relieve the intracranial pressure after brain injury, increased brain’s thermal susceptibility to the external environment and resulted in lowered brain temperatures (Nakagawa et al., 2011; Suemihro et al., 2011). An additional structural feature of the head is its round shape, which minimizes surface area to volume ratio and therefore further protects the brain from environmental thermal challenges.

In humans diagnosed with brain death, despite normal body core temperature, the scalp cools significantly because of the lack of metabolic thermal load generated by the cerebrum. In children, a rectal-scalp temperature difference of greater than 4°C has been reported to correlate with clinical criteria for brain death (Miller et al., 1999). In primates, although temperatures of deep brain sites as well as the arterial blood were unaffected by environmental cooling or warming, shifts in air temperature from 5 to 7°C on either side of the neutral zone (28–32°C) were reported to increase or decrease temperatures of superficial cerebral sites and CSF of the basal subarachnoid space (Hayward and Baker, 1968b). These data illustrate that heat exchange with the environment through the overlying skull and scalp is a compensatory physiological mechanism of thermal transfer by the brain, particularly for the cortical surface. However, under physiological conditions with normal anatomical arrangements, the ambient temperature is not likely to thermally impact the cerebral tissue beyond the depth of 2–3 cm from the cortical surface (Stone et al., 1997; King et al., 2010) without temperature changes in the perfusing blood.

**CEREBROSPINAL FLUID**

The CSF provides a second fluid circulation system for the CNS, analogous to the lymphatic system for the rest of the body (Cushing, 1914; Taketomo and Saito, 1965; Milhorat, 1975). The fluid around the brain provides a suspensive force, reducing the brain’s effective weight from 1500 g to only 50 g (Segal, 1993). CSF is vital to the brain’s structural, biochemical, and thermal health (Wolfsen et al., 1974; Segal, 1993; Zenker and Kubik, 1996; Redzic et al., 2005; Johanson et al., 2008).

CSF fills the brain ventricles internally, and the subarachnoid space externally. It intimately interacts with the blood circulation not only in the subarachnoid space but also at the capillary level through the perivascular spaces (formally called Virchow-Robin spaces) and the interstitial spaces (Hutchings and Weller, 1986; Esiri and Gay, 1990; Zhang et al., 1990). The contact surface between the CSF and the brain is extraordinarily large. For example, in adult humans, the cerebrocortical surface area was approximated to be 2300 cm² (Elias and Schwartz, 1969). Inside the brain, the fluid-brain contact surface at the capillary level through the perivascular spaces and the interstitial spaces is even more extraordinary, estimated at 250 cm²/g of the tissue (Crone, 1963; Raichle, 1983). The strikingly vast fluid-brain and fluid-vessel contact surface is essential for CSF to stabilize and finely regulate the biochemical and thermal environment of the CNS.

Multiple studies using CSF tracers have confirmed that the fluid spaces of the subarachnoid, ventricular, perivascular, and interstitial compartments constitute a functional continuity (Wagner et al., 1974; Rennels et al., 1990; Stoodley et al., 1997; Brodbelt et al., 2003); therefore, fluid-brain and fluid-vessel thermal interaction at the capillary level must also be inferred.

**CONCLUSION**

A synthesis of brain temperature regulation and the effects of brain hypo- and hyper-thermia is needed not just for a better understanding of neurophysiology, but also to achieve better outcomes for patients with common neurological or cardiac injuries/illnesses. For example, the current standard of care in patients at risk for myocardial infarctions is focused on reducing infarct size, but tissue damage resulting from reperfusion injury may be limiting the utility of such proven beneficial measures as cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) (Neumar et al., 2008). The research reviewed in this article illustrates the potential for improved outcomes, and as Nielsen et al. (2013) recently demonstrated the lack of harm from pre-hospital cooling, the field may now shift focus from determining whether cooling might improve patient outcomes to how best to implement and standardize cooling procedures to minimize post-CPR reperfusion injury on return of spontaneous circulation (Rittenberger and Callaway, 2013). Some problems associated with early clinical studies of pre-hospital cooling appear method dependent, such as transient pulmonary edema in patients cooled with a 2L 4°C saline infusion (Kim et al., 2013), again indicating that optimization of currently used methods or experimentation into new methods of cooling would be beneficial. There have already been calls to open up such optimization with more and larger trials, especially with respect to better defining neurological outcomes in myocardial infarct cases by instituting a protocol for withdrawal of care (Rittenberger and Callaway, 2013).

Humans, as endotherms, maintain a nearly constant core-body temperature (36–37.5°C) over a wide range of environmental conditions. For the past two decades, CNS temperature, as an independent therapeutic target variable, has received increasingly intense clinical attention. Above, we have discussed several critical aspects concerning the fundamental properties of brain
temperature. We will continue to direct our subsequent efforts to best summarize the current state of knowledge on CNS temperature from a clinical perspective.
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