Structural brain lesions and restless legs syndrome: a cross-sectional population-based study
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the association between white matter lesion (WML) volume, silent infarcts and restless legs syndrome (RLS) in a population-based study of elderly individuals.

Design: Cross-sectional study.

Setting: Population-based Three-City study.

Participants: 1035 individuals from the Dijon, France, centre of the Three-City study who had available information on volume of WMLs from MRIs and who answered questions about the prevalence of RLS.

Primary outcome measure: Prevalence of RLS.

Results: WML volume was measured using an automated tissue segmentation method. Logistic regression was used to evaluate adjusted associations between tertiles of WML volume and RLS and between silent infarcts and RLS. 218 individuals (21.1%) were determined to have RLS. Compared with those in the first tertile of WML volume, individuals in the second tertile (OR=1.09; 95% CI 0.75 to 1.60) or third tertile (OR=1.17; 95% CI 0.79 to 1.74) did not have an increased prevalence of RLS. We also did not observe associations between the volume of deep or periventricular WML and RLS; nor did we observe an association between silent brain infarcts and RLS (OR=0.74; 95% CI 0.40 to 1.39). These findings were not modified by age or gender.

Conclusions: Higher volume of WML and the presence of silent infarcts were not associated with an increased prevalence of RLS in this population-based cohort of elderly individuals.

INTRODUCTION

Restless legs syndrome (RLS) is a neurological disorder that originates in the motorneurons of the brain and is characterised by an urge to move the legs and unpleasant leg sensations. Population-based studies using the minimal diagnostic criteria from the International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group1 2 have found the prevalence of RLS ranges from 6% to 12% with women being affected twice as often as men.3 In addition, the prevalence of RLS increases with age.3

While the causes of RLS are unknown, some studies have suggested that dysfunction of the dopaminergic system may contribute to disease development. However, neuroimaging studies have not shown any primary neurodegeneration of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra.4 A large genetics consortium study has found some genetic variants that may be associated with the development of RLS.5 Several studies have found associations between cardiovascular risk factors including smoking6–10 diabetes,7 9 11 hypercholesterolaemia,9 10 exercise,9 body mass index6 9 12 13 and hypertension7 14 15 and RLS. These associations have led to the hypothesis that RLS may be a risk factor for cardiovascular disease. However, evidence for an association between RLS and incident cardiovascular disease is mixed.6 9 11 15–20 One recent longitudinal study did not find evidence of an association between RLS and incident cardiovascular disease21 while others found an association between RLS and coronary heart disease22 and mortality.23 Several possible biological mechanisms have been proposed to explain why RLS may be associated with high blood pressure, heart disease and
stroke. RLS is associated with sympathetic hyperactivity which may lead to daytime hypertension which itself is a risk factor for heart disease and stroke. Alternatively, even if the sympathetic hyperactivity does not lead to daytime hypertension, it may impact atherosclerotic plaque formation and rupture which could lead to heart disease and stroke. Other comorbidities associated with RLS may also be risk factors for heart disease and stroke.\textsuperscript{24} In addition to its association with cardiovascular risk factors, RLS is also associated with migraine in men and women.\textsuperscript{25 26}

Given the association of RLS with several vascular risk factors as well as with migraine, we were interested to know if white matter lesions (WMLs), which are also strongly related to vascular risk factors, including migraine, and cardiovascular disease, were associated with RLS. Using a population-based cohort of elderly individuals residing in France, we examined the cross-sectional association between WML volume and RLS prevalence.

**METHODS**

The Three-City (3C) is a longitudinal cohort study enrolling participants living in three French cities (Bordeaux, Dijon and Montpellier) designed to estimate the risk of dementia and cognitive impairment attributable to vascular risk factors.\textsuperscript{27} The present analysis only uses data from participants living in Dijon because data on RLS were only collected in that city. Each participant signed an informed consent statement.

To be eligible for the 3C study, the participant needed to live in Dijon, be registered on the electoral rolls in 1999, be 65 years or older and not be institutionalised. A total of 4931 individuals were recruited at the Dijon site between 1999 and 2001. For the MRI substudy, all participants recruited from the Dijon centre who were <80 years of age and enrolled between June 1999 and September 2000 were eligible to participate. Of those eligible, 2285 (82%) participants agreed to participate in the MRI study, but only 1924 scans could be performed at baseline due to financial constraints.

The process of obtaining the MRI information has been described in detail elsewhere.\textsuperscript{28 29} In brief, MRIs were obtained using a 1.5 T Magnetom (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). A three-dimensional (3D) high-resolution T1-weighted brain volume was obtained using a 3D inversion recovery fast spoiled-gradient echo sequence. T2-weighted and proton density (PD)-weighted brain volumes were acquired using a 2-D dual spin echo sequence with two echo times. Each participant data set (T1, T2, PD) was reconstructed and visually checked for major artefacts before being stored.

A fully automated image processing software was used to detect, measure and localise WMLs. The process has been described in detail previously.\textsuperscript{28 29} Based on the morphological parameters (centre of mass coordinates, Euclidian distance to the ventricular system, principal axes dimension), each WML was labelled as being either periventricular if the distance to the ventricular system was <10 mm or deep otherwise. Total volume of periventricular and deep WMLs were estimated by summing the volumes of all periventricular and deep lesions.

We log transformed the values of total WML, periventricular WML, deep WML and total white matter volume as they were not normally distributed. We then divided the log-transformed values into tertiles to allow for non-linear associations between WML volumes and RLS.

Infarcts were rated on T1-weighted, T2-weighted and PD-weighted images and defined as focal lesions >3 mm in diameter with the same signal characteristic as cerebrospinal fluid on all sequences. They were discriminated from dilated Virchow-Robin spaces using multiplanar reformating. Those with a typical vascular shape and following the orientation of perforating vessels were classified as dilated Virchow-Robin spaces and not as infarcts.\textsuperscript{30}

**RLS assessment**

RLS was assessed at the fifth and sixth follow-up waves of the study. Participants were asked series of questions designed to address the four minimal diagnostic criteria of the International Restless Legs Study Group which has been established and validated in previous studies.\textsuperscript{31 32} The participants were asked: “Have you ever felt unpleasant sensation in the legs (restlessness, tingling, tension, annoyance, annoyances, contractions, twitching, numbness, electricity, etc) with the irresistible need or want to move?” Response options were yes or no. If the participant responded ‘yes’, he or she was further asked: “Do these unpleasant sensations occur solely or mainly at rest (when you are sitting or lying down, without moving your legs) and do they improve with movement?” and “Are these unpleasant sensations more intense in the evening or at night than in the morning?” Response options for these questions were yes or no. If the participant responded yes to all three questions, he or she was defined as having RLS.

**Covariates**

Trained psychologists collected sociodemographic and medical data on participants during home visits. Participants were asked if they were treated for various comorbidities at baseline and in the fifth and sixth waves of the study. We used all available information from baseline to RLS assessment to determine a participant’s comorbidity history. History of cardiovascular disease was defined as a history of myocardial infarction, stroke, angina, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty or coronary artery bypass surgery. History of diabetes was defined as glycaemia ≥7 mmol/L or use of antidiabetic treatment.\textsuperscript{33} High blood pressure was defined as measured systolic blood pressure ≥140 mm Hg or measured diastolic blood pressure ≥90 mm Hg. High cholesterol was defined as lipid lowering treatment or cholesterol ≥6.2 mmol/L. Information was also collected on history
of peripheral artery disease, history of leg operation and history of oedema/swelling of legs and ankles.

For the following covariates, we used values from the follow-up waves during which RLS was assessed: body mass index, smoking status, alcohol consumption and physical activity. If this value was missing, we used values from baseline. Height and weight were used to calculate body mass index. Smoking status was reported as never, past or current, and alcohol consumption was measured in grams per day. Owing to changes in the questionnaires on physical activity over time, we classified participants as active versus non-active.

Statistical analysis
Of the 1924 individuals in the MRI substudy, 1189 were still alive and participating in the 3C study during the waves in which RLS was assessed. We excluded 97 participants who did not answer any of the questions about RLS and the 57 participants who did not have data on total WML volume, leaving a total of 1035 participants eligible for our analyses of which 218 (21.1%) reported RLS (figure 1).

We performed a cross-sectional analysis using logistic regression to calculate ORs and 95% CIs of reporting RLS for each tertile of WML volume using the lowest tertile as the reference group. Analyses examining the association between tertiles of total WML volume and RLS adjusted for tertiles of total white matter correlate with the size of the total white matter. Analyses examining the association between tertiles of periventricular or deep WML and RLS adjusted for tertiles of WML. We also used logistic regression to examine the association between any silent brain infarct and RLS. For the infarct analyses, we excluded participants with a brain tumour detected at MRI.

We performed age-adjusted and sex-adjusted analyses and multivariable-adjusted analyses. Our multivariable analyses adjusted for age (continuous), sex, smoking status (never, past or current smoker), alcohol consumption (0, 0 to ≤12, 12 to ≤24 and >24 g/day), physical activity (active vs not active), body mass index (<25, 25 to <30 and ≥30 kg/m²), history of hypertension (yes/no), history of diabetes (yes/no), history of cardiovascular disease (yes/no), history of peripheral artery disease (yes/no), history of leg operation (yes/no) and history of oedema/swelling of legs and ankles (yes/no).

Further adjustment for measures of sleep quality, difficulty sleeping and taking sleep medications did not affect our results (results not shown). All covariates were measured at baseline. Less than 39 people were missing information on any covariate, except for quality of sleep and were assigned to the reference value of that covariate. We created a separate category for those missing information on quality of sleep. We also performed separate age-adjusted analyses stratified by sex or mean age (72 years).

We considered a two-tailed p value of <0.05 as statistically significant and used SAS V9.3 as statistical software (Cary, North Carolina, USA).

RESULTS
The characteristics of the participants by RLS status can be seen in table 1. Those who reported RLS were more likely to be women, never-smokers, non-drinkers, and were less physically active than those who did not report RLS.

We did not observe an association between tertiles of WML and RLS (table 2). Compared with those in the lowest tertile of WML, the multivariable-adjusted OR of reporting RLS was 1.09 (95% CI 0.75 to 1.60) for those in the second tertile and 1.17 (95% CI 0.79 to 1.74) for those in the top tertile. We also did not observe an association between tertiles of deep or periventricular WML and RLS (table 2).

We also performed age-adjusted analyses stratified by sex or mean age (72 years). Among men, compared with those in the lowest tertile of WML, the age-adjusted OR of reporting RLS was 0.74 (95% CI 0.35 to 1.56) for those in the second tertile and 0.85 (95% CI 0.41 to 1.77) for those in the top tertile. Among women, compared with those in the lowest tertile of WML, the age-adjusted OR of reporting RLS was 1.17 (95% CI 0.76 to 1.81) for those in the second tertile and 1.13 (95% CI 0.72 to 1.78) for those in the top tertile. For those under 72 years of age, the age-adjusted OR of reporting RLS was 0.99 (95% CI 0.61 to 1.62) for those in the second tertile and 1.03 (95% CI 0.61 to 1.75) for those in the top tertile compared with those in the lowest tertile of WML. For those 72 years of age or older, the age-adjusted OR of reporting RLS was 1.10 (95% CI 0.61 to 1.98) for those in the second tertile and 1.20 (95% CI 0.68 to 2.10) for those in the top tertile compared with those in the lowest tertile of WML.

We also explored whether there was an association between infarcts and RLS. Of the 1031 people with

Figure 1 Flow chart of participants included in this analysis (RLS, restless legs syndrome; WML, white matter lesions).
DISCUSSION

In this large, population-based study of elderly individuals, we found no cross-sectional association between WML volume or brain infarcts and RLS. The results of this study do not support an association between RLS and vascular brain lesions.

Previous research on the association between WML volume and RLS is limited. A small study of 45 patients found that white matter hyperintensities were correlated with total limb movements per hour of sleep after adjusting for hypertension \( (r=0.66, p=0.01) \). The authors suggest that leg movements may be associated with poor quality sleep which may contribute to episodes of nocturnal hypertension. Although nocturnal hypertension has been associated with the development of white matter hyperintensities even among those with daytime hypertension, \(^{35}\) this study did not present results on the association between RLS and white matter hyperintensities. Additionally, it is unclear if the authors adjusted for other potential confounders including age and sex.

Another study using data from the Memory and Morbidity in Augsburg Elderly Study (MEMO) examined the association between RLS and brain lesions detected using MRI. They found a non-significant increase risk of silent infarction \((OR=2.11, 95\% CI 0.71 to 6.27)\) and subcortical brain lesions greater than or equal to 10 mm \((OR=1.35, 95\% CI 0.56 to 3.22)\) in those who reported RLS compared with those without RLS.\(^{24}\) The small size of this study (26 RLS cases and 241 controls) and limited power to control for confounding by cardiovascular risk factors may explain some of the differences between the results of the MEMO study and our study.

While evidence for a direct association between WML and RLS is limited, some cross-sectional studies have suggested that RLS may be associated with hypertension,\(^{14}\) stroke\(^{9,26}\) and migraine,\(^{25,26}\) which are associated with WML. However, a recent longitudinal study found no association between RLS and incident cardiovascular disease\(^{31}\) and not all cross-sectional studies have found associations between hypertension and RLS.\(^{9,11}\) Our study did not find an association between RLS and structural brain lesions which are strongly related to vascular risk factors and cardiovascular disease. This observation aligns with the previous study that did not find an association between RLS and incident cardiovascular disease.

This study has several strengths including the population-based setting with available brain imaging, the size of the cohort, and standardised assessment of RLS using criteria from the International Restless Legs Study Group.\(^{1,2}\) We also used an automated measurement procedure to quantify and localise WML. Compared with visual scale, automated procedures are not subject to a ceiling effect, permit better discrimination of lesion volume and are more sensitive in detecting small group differences.\(^{37}\)

Limitations to this study include its cross-sectional design which prevents us from determining the temporal ordering of RLS and WML or examining how RLS may impact WML progression over time. RLS was first assessed in the fifth and sixth waves of the study (approximately 10 years after baseline). Participants who were still in the study then may be healthier than participants who died or dropped out prior to RLS assessment. We did not have information on kidney disease or iron

Table 1 Characteristics of participants by RLS status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic (%)*</th>
<th>No RLS (n=817)</th>
<th>RLS (n=218)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (mean, SD)</strong></td>
<td>71.6 (3.9)</td>
<td>71.6 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex (% female)</strong></td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>72.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoking status (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>61.3</td>
<td>67.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alcohol consumption (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-drinker</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 to ≤12 g/day</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 to &lt;24 g/day</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥24 g/day</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physically active (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>56.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 to &lt;30</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>32.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥30</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>History of high blood pressure (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of high blood pressure (%)</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>71.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of high cholesterol (%)</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>51.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of diabetes (%)</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of cardiovascular disease (%)</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of stroke (%)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of peripheral artery disease (%)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of leg operation (%)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of oedema/swelling of legs and ankles (%)</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Difficulty sleeping</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarely</td>
<td>52.6</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regularly</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quality of sleep</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>38.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediocre or bad</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take medications for sleep (%)</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of white matter hyperintensities (cm³, median and IQR)</td>
<td>3.9 (2.7–5.8)</td>
<td>4.0 (2.7–6.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Numbers may not add to 100% due to missing data.
RLS, restless legs syndrome.
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Table 2 Cross-sectional age-adjusted and sex-adjusted and multivariable-adjusted* associations between RLS and brain
WML volumes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No RLS (n=817)</th>
<th>RLS (n=218)</th>
<th>Age-adjusted and sex-adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Multivariable-adjusted* OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total WML volume in tertiles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest tertile</td>
<td>269 (32.9)</td>
<td>73 (33.5)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle tertile</td>
<td>271 (33.2)</td>
<td>71 (32.6)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.72 to 1.52)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.75 to 1.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest tertile</td>
<td>277 (33.9)</td>
<td>74 (33.9)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.74 to 1.59)</td>
<td>1.17 (0.79 to 1.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular WML volume in tertiles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest tertile</td>
<td>267 (32.7)</td>
<td>74 (33.9)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle tertile</td>
<td>273 (33.4)</td>
<td>69 (31.7)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.49 to 1.51)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.48 to 1.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest tertile</td>
<td>277 (33.9)</td>
<td>75 (34.4)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.44 to 2.10)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.41 to 2.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep WML volume in tertiles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest tertile</td>
<td>275 (33.7)</td>
<td>66 (30.3)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle tertile</td>
<td>266 (32.6)</td>
<td>77 (35.3)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.85 to 1.90)</td>
<td>1.24 (0.82 to 1.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest tertile</td>
<td>276 (33.8)</td>
<td>75 (34.4)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.78 to 2.05)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.81 to 2.17)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for age, sex, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, body mass index, history of hypertension, history of diabetes, history of cardiovascular disease, history of peripheral artery disease, history of leg operation and history of oedema/swelling of legs and ankles.

RLS, restless legs syndrome; WML, white matter lesions.

deficiency for participants, which may be related to RLS. Information on RLS was self-reported and potential mis-
classification is possible. However, we used the best avail-
able questionnaire for population-level assessment of RLS and this questionnaire has been validated in previ-
ous cohorts. Additionally, our questionnaire did not
assess RLS severity or periodic limb movements associ-
ation with RLS so we are unable to determine if the
severity of RLS or presence of periodic limb movements may modify the association between RLS and WMH.

While our data do not support a strong association between structural brain lesions and RLS, further tar-
geted research is warranted to evaluate whether sub-
groups of patients with RLS exist who are at increased
risk for structural brain lesions.
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