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Model-based segmentation methods have the advantage of incorporating prior shape information into the segmentation process but suffer from the drawback that the model must be initialized sufficiently close to the target. We propose a novel approach for initializing an active shape model (ASM) and apply it to 3D lung segmentation in CT scans. Our method constructs an atlas consisting of a set of representative lung features and an average lung shape. The ASM pose parameters are found by transforming the average lung shape based on an affine transform computed from matching features between the new image and representative lung features. Our evaluation on a diverse set of 190 images showed an averaged dice coefficient of $0.746 \pm 0.068$ for initialization and $0.974 \pm 0.017$ for subsequent segmentation, based on an independent reference standard. The mean absolute surface distance error was $0.948 \pm 1.537$ mm. The initialization as well as segmentation results showed a statistically significant improvement compared to four other approaches. The proposed initialization method can be generalized to other applications employing ASM-based segmentation.

1. Introduction

Lung segmentation methods are required for automated lung image analysis and to facilitate tasks like lung volume calculation, quantification of lung diseases, or nodule detection. Model-based techniques, such as active shape models (ASMs), have been employed for segmenting lungs in three-dimensional CT scans [1–4] or two-dimensional chest radiographs [5–8] because they incorporate prior knowledge of anatomical shape variation into the segmentation process. Progress has been made over the years in improving the robustness and accuracy of fitting the ASMs, as described in [9] for medical images in general and in [1, 4] for lung segmentation. However, as Ibragimov et al. [9] concluded, the major drawback of ASMs lies in its initialization; for correctly converging to the target image structure, the ASM must be initialized sufficiently close to it.

Several techniques have been proposed for initializing ASMs for lung segmentation. The most straightforward ones place the ASM manually [8] or in a semiautomatic manner by annotating the lung size and position [7]. Van Ginneken et al. [5] and Wang et al. [7] adopt a multiresolution framework [10] to iteratively get closer to the target image structure. However, their method assumes that the target is within a certain distance to the initial model. Several other methods initialize the model based on detecting certain points on or in close proximity to lung anatomy. Iakovidis et al. [6] employ heuristics based on finding salient control points on the spinal cord and rib-cage along with a selective thresholding algorithm. Sofka et al. [4] detect the carina of trachea and use a hierarchical detection network to predict pose parameters of left and right lung. Wilms et al. [3] use heuristics based on the bronchial tree, Sun et al. [1] detect the rib-cage, and Gill et al. [2] predict the location of the carina and lung apex to initialize an ASM. The drawbacks of these methods are that, firstly, they depend on the quality of salient point detection. For example, it is possible for rib-cage and bronchial tree to be incorrectly identified due to local changes
(e.g., disease, imaging artifacts, etc.) and the heuristics employed may not robust to the incorrect detections. Secondly, they may not work equally well for lung images at different respiratory states such as total lung capacity (TLC) and functional residual capacity (FRC) because the heuristics used may not account for the deformation of lungs [2].

This paper presents a fast and robust method for automatically initializing an active shape model to lung CT scans by learning a feature-based atlas $\Psi$ comprising average lung shape $\xi$ and a set of representative lung features $\rho_i$. The representative features $\rho_i$ can then be matched to features $\rho_i$ in a new image, based on which a mapping is computed to transform the average lung shape $\xi$ to the new image space. This information is then utilized to calculate ASM initialization parameters. Our method is based on correspondences of generic local features identified in the CT volume, rather than a small set of specific salient points.

For computing the feature-based atlas $\Psi$, we adopt a feature-based alignment (FBA) method [11], which identifies generic lung features in a data-driven fashion and aligns a set of training CT images. The original FBA method operates by identifying a similarity transform between sets of 3D scale-invariant image features. While feature correspondences can be identified, the similarity transform is insufficient for describing the geometrical variation across lung respiratory states (e.g., TLC and FRC). In this paper, we extend the FBA method to affine alignment, which allows us to capture variation in lung shapes and respiratory motion within a single atlas, and demonstrate the advantages compared to the original approach.

We have evaluated our method on 100 images consisting of normal and diseased lungs imaged at different respiratory states. Comparison of results with those provided in [1, 2] shows our initialization method significantly improves lung segmentation accuracy. In order to establish the quality of affine transform produced by our approach, we also compare against a registration-based approach that provides affine alignment based on the robust block matching (RBM) method [12]. The RBM method is a well known registration method that was one of the top performers in the EMPIRE 2010 challenge, which compared 34 registration algorithms [13].

2. Prior Work

The presented approach builds on two main components: a collection of local scale-invariant features for image alignment and a robust active shape model for image segmentation.

Local scale-invariant features are distinctive image patches defined by location, scale, and orientation. Scale-invariant features emerged in computer vision literature as a means of repeatably detecting image structure arising from the same underlying scene or object in different images, despite global changes in translation, scale, and orientation. Feature detection operates by identifying the location and scale of image patches maximizing a function of saliency, for instance, the magnitude of Gaussian derivatives in scale [14] or space [15]. Once identified, distinctive intensity patches are be encoded and robustly matched between images despite geometrical deformations or missing or occluded structure. Extensive comparisons have shown the gradient orientation histogram (GoH) descriptor to be among the most effective feature encodings [16], in particular rank-ordered variants [17] in terms of achieving correct correspondences. Scale-invariant feature methods have been generalized to 3D medical image context, and this work adopts the approach of Toews and Wells [11] where 3D features are detected extrema in a difference-of-Gaussian scale-space pyramid, which are encoded by a rank-ordered GoH descriptor.

For model-based lung segmentation, we utilized a combination of robust active shape model (RASM) and subsequent graph-based optimal surface finding (OSF) algorithm [1]. The RASM employs a point distribution model (PDM), which is constructed separately for left and right lungs, and the subsequent segmentation steps are carried out separately as well. Below we briefly review the steps employed for initializing the PDM of the RASM.

Given $N$ training CT images $\{C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_N\}$ and pre-segmented training lung shapes (left or right) $\{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N\}$, a set of $k$ corresponding points or landmarks are automatically identified for each training shape: $S_i = \{(x_{i,k}, y_{i,k}, z_{i,k})\}$, for $k = 1, \ldots, 10$. A PDM is constructed by aligning the training shapes $S_i$ using Procrustes analysis and performing principal component analysis (PCA) on them. Let the aligned shapes be denoted by $S_i^a = \{(x_{i,k}^a, y_{i,k}^a, z_{i,k}^a)\}$. A new shape $S$ can be now represented in terms of the mean shape, $\mu_{pdm} = (1/N) \sum S_i^a$, using the linear model:

$$S = \mu_{pdm} + \mathcal{P}b,$$  

where $\mathcal{P}$ denotes the shape eigenvector matrix and $b$ represents the shape coefficients [1]. To start the RASM fitting process, the mean shape $\mu_{pdm}$ is initialized in the target image space based on pose parameters $T$ comprising of isotropic scale, location, and rotation. In this paper, we present a novel approach to compute the pose parameters $T$ for RASM initialization.

3. Methods

In our method, we generate a feature-based atlas based on aligning the training CT images $C_i$ using the extended FBA method (Section 3.3). Utilizing the alignment information, the individual training lung shapes $S_i$ can be transformed to the atlas space and averaged using existing landmarks. The main purpose of building an atlas is to embed an average lung shape with a set of representative lung features that can then be matched to features in a new CT image.

Our method for automatically initializing a RASM to lung CT images primarily consists of 3 steps: (i) building an atlas $\Psi = \{\xi, \rho_i\}$ comprising average lung shape $\xi$ and a set of representative lung features $\rho_i$ (Section 3.1); (ii) transforming $\xi$ to the new subject image space based on matching features between the new image and $\rho_i$; followed by (iii) computing the pose parameters $T$ (Section 3.2) for RASM initialization.
3.1. Feature-Based Atlas. To build an atlas $\Psi$, we derive an average lung shape $\xi$ and associated set of representative lung features $\rho_r$ as follows (Figure 1).

(a) 3D scale-invariant image features $f_i$ are extracted from all training CT images $C_i$. One of the training images is chosen as the reference image $C_r$. Let the features in $C_r$ be denoted by $f_r$.

(b) The FBA method (Section 3.3) is employed to find an affine transform $T_i$ from a matching set of features in $f_i$ and $f_r$.

(c) Training features $f_i$ are transformed from training to atlas space $\mathcal{R}$ via $T_i$. Let these be denoted by $T_i f_i$.

(d) A modeling step [18] computes a new representative set of features $\bar{f}_r$ from the set $\{T_i f_i\}_{i=1}^N$.

(e) Steps (b)–(d) are repeated until convergence, that is, when the Frobenius norm of the transformation matrix difference $\max \|T_i^j - T_i^{-1}\|$ is zero [18]. This yields a representative set of lung features $\rho_r = \bar{f}_r$ (Figure 2). Note that the iterative group-wise alignment procedure reduces the dependency on the selection of the reference image [18].

(f) Training shapes $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^N$ are transformed to the atlas space by using the affine transforms $T_i$. We leverage existing landmarks across shapes $S_i$ and take their average to produce the average lung shape $\xi = (1/N) \sum_{i=1}^N T_i S_i$. Note that same transformations $T_i$ are used to separately compute the average for left and right lung shapes.

3.2. Computing Pose Parameters. 3D scale-invariant image features $\rho_t$ are extracted from the new CT image $C_{\text{new}}$ and matched to the representative lung features $\rho_r$ (Figure 3). The matching set of features output an affine transform $T_{\text{new}}$ that describes how $C_{\text{new}}$ can be transformed to the atlas space $\mathcal{R}$. Since we already have a representation of the average lung shape in $\mathcal{R}$, it is transformed to the new subject image space using $T_{\text{new}}^{-1}$ $\xi$. Note that the same transformation $T_{\text{new}}^{-1}$ is used for transforming both the left and right lung shapes.

RASM model fitting starts by placing the average PDM shape $\mu_{\text{pdm}}$ (1) in the image space. The pose parameters $\mathcal{T}$ are obtained by transforming $\mu_{\text{pdm}}$ to the image space by means of a Procrustes analysis $\mathcal{T}: \mu_{\text{pdm}} \rightarrow T_{\text{new}}^{-1} \xi$.

3.3. Extending the Feature-Based Alignment Approach. As noted earlier, our work extends the original FBA approach [11] to compute affine alignment. First we briefly describe the key step of feature matching, followed by the new procedure for affine refinement.

Feature matching begins by computing nearest neighbors (NN) between feature descriptors in the image and
Figure 3: Schematic diagram showing the model initialization process for a new CT image. Features $\rho_1$ are shown superimposed on the coronal slice of a CT image. Features matching with representative lung features $\rho_1$ are marked with a solid line while the others are marked with a dashed line. For sake of clarity, the above process is shown only for the right lung.

4. Evaluation

4.1. Image Data. We selected 190 multidetector computed tomography (MDCT) thorax scans of lungs for testing from 6 different sets $S_{\text{normal}}$, $S_{\text{asthma}}$, $S_{\text{COPD}}$, $S_{\text{mix}}$, $S_{\text{IPF}}$, and $S_{\text{humer}}$ with no significant abnormalities (normals), asthma (both severe and nonsevere), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD with GOLD 1 to 4), mixture of different lung diseases, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF), and lung cancer, respectively. The total number of scans in sets $S_{\text{normal}}$, $S_{\text{asthma}}$, $S_{\text{COPD}}$, $S_{\text{mix}}$, $S_{\text{IPF}}$, and $S_{\text{humer}}$ was 20, 24, 28, 26, 62, and 30, respectively. The first four datasets contained pairs of TLC and FRC images while the last two were all TLC images. The image sizes varied from $512 \times 512 \times 205$ to $512 \times 512 \times 780$ voxels. The slice thickness of images ranged from 0.5 to 1.25 mm and the in-plane resolution from 0.49 to 0.91 mm.

4.2. Experimental Setup. The PDM was built using a separate set of 75 TLC and 75 FRC normal lung scans. The average lung shape $\xi$ was constructed using 50 TLC and 50 FRC scans that were a subset of those used in building the PDM. A 3D SIFT-based feature extractor [18] was used to extract approximately 2500 features in each lung image from which high density (>0 HU) structures (e.g., bones) were automatically removed. The affine feature-based alignment system (Section 3.1) grouped these into 1000 representative lung features, forming one component of our atlas.

We followed the implementation of the RASM-OSF framework for lung segmentation presented in [1] with the exception of RASM initialization. We refer to different methods evaluated in this paper based on their method of initialization: by detecting ribs ($M_{\text{ribs}}$) [1], carina and apex ($M_{\text{capex}}$) [2], and average lung shape $\xi$ in this paper using affine FBA ($M_{\text{FBA}_{\text{mix}}}$), using similarity transform provided by original FBA ($M_{\text{FBA}_{\text{mix}}}$) [18], and using affine transform obtained from RBM ($M_{\text{RBM}_{\text{mix}}}$) [12] (implementation: NiftyReg, http://www.cs.ucl.ac.uk/staff/m.modat).

4.3. Independent Reference and Quantitative Indices. An independent reference standard was generated for all test data sets by first using a commercial lung image analysis software package Apollo (VIDA Diagnostics Inc., Coralville, IA) to automatically create lung segmentations. These were
then inspected by a trained expert under the supervision of pulmonologist, and segmentation errors were manually corrected.

For performance assessment, the dice coefficient $D$ was computed with respect to reference segmentations to measure the accuracy of model initialization ($D_{\text{init}}$) and of the final segmentation after the RASM and OSF segmentation steps ($D_{\text{final}}$). In addition, the mean absolute surface distance $d_a$ was calculated.

### 5. Results

Table 1 presents the average initialization ($D_{\text{init}}$) and segmentation accuracy ($D_{\text{final}}$) obtained by our method on different test sets. Tables 2 and 3 compare the average initialization ($D_{\text{init}}$) and segmentation accuracy ($D_{\text{final}}$ and $d_a$) between different methods, respectively. Based on the paired Wilcoxon rank test on $D_{\text{init}}$, $D_{\text{final}}$, and $d_a$, our method $M_{\text{FBAAff}}$ shows statistically significant improvement over all other methods. Table 3 also shows the number of cases, for each method, whose final segmentation performance is below a certain Dice value.

Figure 4 shows two examples of model initialization generated using different methods. Our method $M_{\text{FBAAff}}$ obtains initializations very close to the target while the other methods are sometimes off. Figures 5(a)–5(f) show 6 different cases where the initialization obtained by other methods causes the segmentation to be inaccurate. In all those cases, our method (Figures 5(g)–5(l)) obtains a closer initialization and good segmentation.

### 6. Discussion and Conclusion

Compared to the four other approaches, the proposed method delivered significantly better initializations (Table 2), which also translated into significantly better overall segmentation performance (Table 3). In addition, it produces segmentations with $D_{\text{final}}$ ≥ 0.8 in all cases, while all the other methods have 10 or more segmentations with $D_{\text{final}} < 0.8$. Table 1 shows that initialization performance ($D_{\text{init}}$) is in a close range across test sets. Due to superior initialization, the final segmentations generated by our method converge correctly to the target structure across test sets (Figures 5(g)–5(l)).

The importance of extending FBA to affine transform is underlined by its statistically significant improvement over the version using the similarity transform ($M_{\text{FBASim}}$). Moreover, it is also superior to the affine transforms produced by the RBM method ($M_{\text{RBMAff}}$). The reason is that RBM, like most registration methods, typically works well when lung masks are available. For example, 16 out of 20 algorithms discussed in the EMPIRE challenge paper used lung masks [13]. Generating lung masks is the goal of the presented work, and thus we did not employ any masks for the RBM method. Therefore, the affine global alignment produced by RBM can be inaccurate, resulting in low initialization accuracy (Table 2) and subsequent segmentation failures (Figures 5(a) and 5(f)).

Our method produces robust ASM initializations as demonstrated by an experiment on synthetic lung data depicted in Figure 6. Despite different levels of occlusion,
the ASM is placed in close proximity to the actual lung in all cases. In addition, our method is fast and takes about 30 seconds on average, the majority of which is required for feature extraction. In comparison, $M_{\text{RBMAff}}$ takes 2.5 minutes, $M_{\text{ribs}}$ 2 minutes, and $M_{\text{capex}}$ 40 seconds on average.

The proposed method only requires a set of training shapes with landmarks and a set of representative features
learnt from training CT images to initialize an ASM in a new image. Consequently, our method can be generalized to other medical imaging applications that employ an ASM-based segmentation approach. Furthermore, it can be adapted for 2D and 4D applications.
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