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Abstract

In an effort to enhance antigen-specific T cell recognition of cancer cells, we have examined numerous modulators of antigen-expression. In this report we demonstrate that twelve different Hsp90 inhibitors (iHsp90) share the ability to increase the expression of differentiation antigens and MHC Class I antigens. These iHsp90 are active in several molecular and cellular assays on a series of tumor cell lines, including eleven human melanomas, a murine B16 melanoma, and two human glioma-derived cell lines. Intra-cytoplasmic antibody staining showed that all of the tested iHsp90 increased expression of the melanocyte differentiation antigens Melan-A/MART-1, gp100, and TRP-2, as well as MHC Class I. The gliomas showed enhanced gp100 and MHC staining. Quantitative analysis of mRNA levels showed a parallel increase in message transcription, and a reporter assay shows induction of promoter activity for Melan-A/MART-1 gene. In addition, iHsp90 increased recognition of tumor cells by T cells specific for Melan-A/MART-1. In contrast to direct Hsp90 client proteins, the increased levels of full-length differentiation antigens that result from iHsp90 treatment are most likely the result of transcriptional activation of their encoding genes. In combination, these results suggest that iHsp90 improve recognition of tumor cells by T cells specific for a melanoma-associated antigen as a result of increasing the expressed intracellular antigen pool available for processing and presentation by MHC Class I, along with increased levels of MHC Class I itself. As these Hsp90 inhibitors do not interfere with T cell function, they could have potential for use in immunotherapy of cancer.
Introduction

While there is widespread interest in mobilizing anti-tumor immunity, there remain barriers to immunotherapy [1] [2]. Therapeutic successes have been achieved through adoptive transfer of both CD8+ tumor-reactive cytotoxic T cells (CTL) [3] and CD4+ tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) [3, 4]. Recently, there has been significant progress using adoptive transfer of cells that are programmed to express Chimeric Antigen Receptors (CAR), allowing for therapy with highly defined effector populations [5]. In addition, there is increasing awareness that CD4+ regulatory T cells (Tregs) play an important role in inhibiting anti-tumor immunity [6].

However, even when tumor-specific T cells are enriched within tumor sites, this immune response does not necessarily lead to control of tumor growth [6]. Notably, generating effective immunity can be limited by numerous suppressive factors in the tumor microenvironment, including antigen regulatory factors produced by the tumor cells [7]. Some of the down-regulatory effects on the host immune response have been inhibited therapeutically via neutralization of Treg cells, blockade of the PD-1/PD-L pathway, or inhibition of myeloid-based immunosuppressive molecules [8], including targeting of T cell activation checkpoints such as CTLA-4, but such therapies may be limited by serious side effects [9].

In addition to effects on immune cells, heterogeneity within the tumor itself also plays an important role in limiting the efficacy of the immune response. This communication focuses on approaches to overcoming the loss of tumor antigen expression [7, 10–12], to address this route of tumor escape from T cell-mediated immunity [13]. While antigen loss may be the result of ongoing immune pressures, including immune editing [14], we have demonstrated that there are several ways to restore antigen expression, including MAP-kinase (MAPK)-inhibitors [11], Interferon-beta (IFN-β) [10], topoisomerase inhibitors [15], and most recently iHsp90 [16].

Based on a screen for agents that enhance T cell recognition of Melan-A/MART-1, the iHsp90 17-Allylamino-17-demethoxygeldanamycin (17-AAG) was recognized as a potent stimulus of melanoma antigen expression [16]. By inhibiting Hsp90, 17-AAG causes the destabilization of the products of several mutant oncogenes, including BRAF, CRAF and NRAS [17]. Through its role in regulating the conformation, stability and function of several key oncogenic client proteins, Hsp90 is essential in maintaining malignant transformation and in increasing the survival, growth, and invasive potential of cancer cells, including melanomas [18] [19]. Several members of this drug class have been tested in human clinical trials [20], and while the drugs may slow tumor growth, to date none have succeeded as single agents [21].

Notably, iHsp90s have been shown to increase T cell recognition of both Her-2 [22] and EphA2 [23] antigens. Both of these onco-proteins are known client proteins of Hsp90, and while the levels of intracellular expression of these antigens were decreased after Hsp90 treatment, the enhanced CTL-recognition of the
treated tumor cells was attributed to increased turnover of the proteins, combined with augmented peptide presentation on MHC molecules. In contrast, evidence suggests that the differentiation antigens and MHC Class I proteins that increase in response to iHsp90 are not Hsp90 client proteins, and iHsp90 treatments result in enhanced T cell recognition as a result of increased expression of the actual target proteins.

As there are dozens of new iHsp90 being developed, the possibility that this class of drugs could be used therapeutically offers a novel approach to combination immunotherapy. Studying an extended tumor cell line panel (including 11 different human melanoma cell lines with differential BRAF or NRAS mutations, as well as a murine melanoma cell line and two human glioma cell lines) provided evidence that iHsp90 treatment may be effective on different neural crest-derived tumors. Importantly, the iHsp90s are able to enhance antigen expression on the tumor cells while retaining T-cell function [16], suggesting that this class of drugs could be utilized in immunotherapy to enhance tumor targeting.

Materials and Methods
Ethics Statement
The studies reported involve human cell lines that were either obtained from a commercial source (ATCC, Manassas, VA), or from previously reported cell lines obtained from the Massachusetts General Hospital following guidelines approved by the IRB of this hospital. Cell lines obtained from humans were approved by the Human Studies Committee of the Massachusetts General Hospital at the time of their establishment.

Cell culture, and iHsp90
Culture conditions and the cell lines have been previously described [12]. The B16-BL6 murine melanoma cell line was provided by Dr. Andrew Hurwitz [24]. Human cell lines were obtained from the ATCC, (Manassas, VA) (including MALME-M3, MM96L, A375, 453A, MM455, Roth, H59-44T, Mel-Juso, JURKAT), or from a patient at the Massachusetts General Hospital (MU89 and MU-X (derived from MU89 as previously described [6,11]). Construction and culture of the J.RT3-T3.5 cell line expressing a Melan-A/MART-1 specific TCR has been described [15]. IFN-β-1a (Avonex) was obtained from Biogen-Idec (Cambridge, MA). The iHsp90s were obtained from the following sources: Radicicol (A.G. Scientific, San Diego, CA); Novobiocin (BioMol, Plymouth Meeting, PA); 17-DMAG (LC laboratories, Woburn, MA); 17-AEP (InVivoGen, San Diego, CA); Rifabutin, PU-H71, and 17-AAG (Sigma, St. Louis, MO); Gedunin, CCT018159, and celastrol (Tocris, Ellisville, MO); and NVP-AUY922 and BIIB021 (Selleck Chemicals, Houston, TX).
EGFP reporter cell line
The generation and application of Melan-A/MART-1 promoter-linked EGFP reporter cells has been previously described [10]. Stable transfectants were generated in the low-antigen cell line A375 and the high-antigen line MM96L+ and MU89.

Measurement of cell growth
Cell growth was measured using the WST reagent system from Roche (Indianapolis, IN). In brief, 2 × 10^3 cells were plated in culture plates. The time zero absorbance (T₀) of the cells was measured at 450 nm 24 hours after plating. Following 72 h of triplicate well culture with test agents, WST was added to each well and after 1 h the hydrolysis of the WST was read (Tₓ) in a plate reader at 450 nm. Untreated control cells were measured (Con) to establish 100 percent growth. Wells were normalized by subtracting the 655 nm background reading. % Growth = 100 × (T₀ – Tₓ)/(Con–Tₓ).

Treatment of cells with iHsp90
Cells were plated at a density of 1 × 10^5 in 1 ml of medium in a 24-well plate and cultured as indicated in results. Cells were collected by trypsinization for assays described below.

Flow cytometry
Intracellular staining and cytometric analyses of cytoplasmic Melan-A/MART-1, and gp100 expression were performed as described [12]. Cells were fixed, permeabilized and stained with monoclonal antibodies to Melanocyte Antigens The following Antibodies were used as described [10]: Melan-A/MART-1 (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA); gp100/HMB45 (DakoCytomation, Carpinteria, CA) using a goat anti-mouse FITC-conjugated secondary antibody (Invitrogen, Frederick, MD). The level of EGFP was determined by cytometry of unfixed cells washed and re-suspended in PBS. Surface staining of human MHC Class I was performed, on ice, using the antibody W6/32 on cells harvested with Cell Stripper (MediaTech Inc., Manassas, VA). Mouse anti H-2 D^b (Clone 28-8-6, BioLegend, San Diego, CA) was used to stain for MHC Class I antigens on B16 melanoma cells.

RNA preparation and Quantitative PCR (qPCR)
To compare untreated and iHsp90-treated cells for changes in gp100 (pmel17) mRNA levels, total RNA was extracted and reverse transcription to cDNA was performed as previously described (8). qPCR was carried out using SYBR Green detection [25] in an Mx3000P thermocycler with analysis performed using the proprietary MxPro Software (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Each reaction contained 25 ng of cDNA template, 10 μM of each primer and 10 μl of 2X SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY).
Triplicate reaction replicates were amplified for 40 cycles (95°C for 15 seconds and
at 60°C for 1 minute) after initial heat activation at 95°C for 10 minutes. The fold
increase of mouse gp100 cDNA in iHSP90 treated vs. untreated cells was
determined relative to glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GADPH), and
β-Actin. Fold increase = 2^ΔΔCt where ΔΔCt = (CtGOI - CtReference Gene)
Treated - (CtGOI - CtReference Gene)Untreated [26]. (GOI= gene of interest= gp100; Ct = threshold cycle number).

Primers used for each gene are as follows:

**gp100 (pmel17):** Forward-ACCTGGAACCACATCTAGGC;
Reverse-TCCAGAGGGCTGTGTAGTTG; product = 100 bp;

**GADPH:** Forward- TGCCCAGAACATCATCCCTG,
Reverse-GACGGACACATTGGGGGTAG; product = 121 bp;

**β -Actin:** Forward-CCACCATGTACCCAGCATCTCCCTG,
Reverse-CGGACTCATCGTACTCCTGC; product = 189 bp.

**Western blot analysis**

Cell lysates were prepared using RIPA buffer (Santa Cruz, CA) containing
protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Protein concentrations were determined
using Bradford assay (BioRad, Hercules, CA). Equal amounts of protein were
loaded in each well for SDS-PAGE analysis. Proteins were transferred to PVDF
membranes (Pierce Biotechnology, Rockford, IL). Blocking and both primary and
secondary antibody incubations were performed using Starting Block (Pierce).
Blots were washed with TBS with 0.5% tween 20. Primary antibodies used: BRAF
H-145 (Santa Cruz, CA), Phos-MEK and Phos-ERK (Cell Signaling Technologies,
Danvers, MA) β-actin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Goat anti-rabbit HRP conjugated
secondary antibody (Pierce, Rockford, IL). Chemiluminescence was performed
using the Femto kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL).

**Assays of CD8+T lymphocytes**

Primary CD8+ T lymphocytes were obtained as previously described [15] and
cultured in medium supplemented with 200 IU/ml recombinant IL-2 (Proleukin,
Cetus, Emeryville, CA). For transduction of the Melan-A/MART-1 specific TCR, 1
× 10^5 stimulated primary CD8+ cells were incubated with lentiviral vector at a
multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10. Cells were grown for two days after
lentiviral infection and stained with tetramer [15]. The CD8+ T cells were further
propagated for 2 weeks in medium supplemented with IL-2, prior to use in
cellular assays described below.

**Assay for T cell activation by tumor cells**

Melanoma cells were treated with antigen-modulating agents, (iHsp90 or IFN-β)
for 3 days prior to counting and plating for the T cell co-culture assay. 5 × 10^4
tumor cells were co-cultured overnight (16 to 24 hours) with 2.5 × 10^4 Jurkat T
cells (stably transduced to express a TCR specific for Melan-A/MART-1) prior to removal of supernatant fluids for assay of cytokine production as previously described [16]. To assess antigen-specific T cell responses, 3.33 μg/ml Melan-A/MART-1 peptide was added to the co-culture. The sequence of the Melan-A/MART-1 peptide used is 26ELAGIGILTV35 (A27L from wild-type).

Cytokine ELISA
Protocols for ELISA evaluation of IL-2 and IFN-γ followed the manufacturer’s recommendations as previously described [16]. The HRP color reaction proceeded for 15 min before being stopped with 2N H2SO4. The absorbance at 450 nm was read in a BioRad 3550 plate reader. IL-2 levels for experimental samples (pg/ml) were calculated from the standard curve.

Results
(1) Multiple iHsp90s are active on diverse tumors and antigens
We tested an expanded group of iHsp90s including 17-AAG derivatives (such as 17-AEP), as well as structurally distinctive compounds, such as PU-H71 and CCT018159. As shown in Table 1, these additional iHsp90 are each active on a variety of melanoma cell lines with different levels of antigen expression, including MALME, MU-89, A375, MU-X, a murine melanoma cell line (B16), and two glioma cell lines (U-87MG and U-118MG). The melanomas all express varying levels of differentiation antigens Melan-A/MART-1, gp100 and TRP-2, as well as the MHC Class I antigen (as evidenced by staining with W6/32 antibody) that is required for T cell recognition of the tumor cells. Each of these antigens is enhanced by all of the iHsp90, although the melanomas expressing higher levels of antigen (MALME and MU89) prior to treatment respond to a greater extent than the low-antigen tumors (A375 and MU-X). The murine melanoma, B16, can be stained with the gp100 and TRP-2 antibodies (both are raised against human proteins, but cross-react with their murine homologs), but the Melan-A/MART-1 antibody does not react with B16 melanoma. The antigen levels were compared as mean fluorescence in Flow Cytometric analyses of at least 1000 viable cells per sample. Because of the sample size with normally distributed fluorescence the t-test statistical analysis was highly significant (p<0.0001) in almost all samples. Of the data in Table 1, all samples reaching a ratio of 1.2 or greater reached this level of significance. Of note, the iHsp90 stimulated statistically significant increases in every combination of cell and antigen with the exception of CCT018159 on the U-87 MG glioma MHC Class I antigen expression, and PU-H71 failed to increase TRP-2 on MUX cells. In contrast, CCT018159 was highly effective at induction of MHC Class I antigen on the murine melanoma (6.8 fold induction), and PU-H71 induced strong increases in TRP-2 in these same B16 murine melanoma cells, indicating differential sensitivity of different cell lines to the various iHsp90s. All cell lines were tested in a minimum of 3 different experiments with the panel of
Table 1. Effect of Hsp90 Inhibitors on differentiation antigens and MHC Class I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Line</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>µg/ml</th>
<th>MART-1</th>
<th>gp100</th>
<th>TRP-2</th>
<th>Class I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MALME-3M</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>IFN-beta</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17-AEP</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>205 (1.8)</td>
<td>839 (3.7)</td>
<td>256 (2.4)</td>
<td>105 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PU-H71</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>226 (1.9)</td>
<td>511 (2.3)</td>
<td>279 (2.6)</td>
<td>137 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCT018159</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>291 (2.5)</td>
<td>823 (3.6)</td>
<td>222 (2.1)</td>
<td>72 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MU89</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>IFN-beta</td>
<td>59 (1.8)</td>
<td>140 (1.1)</td>
<td>150 (1.6)</td>
<td>51 (2.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17-AEP</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>130 (3.9)</td>
<td>342 (2.8)</td>
<td>144 (1.6)</td>
<td>54 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PU-H71</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>147 (4.5)</td>
<td>381 (3.1)</td>
<td>214 (2.3)</td>
<td>66 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCT018159</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>99 (3.0)</td>
<td>273 (2.2)</td>
<td>252 (2.7)</td>
<td>75 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A375</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>IFN-beta</td>
<td>8 (1.3)</td>
<td>17 (1.1)</td>
<td>24 (1.5)</td>
<td>84 (2.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17-AEP</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>11 (1.8)</td>
<td>22 (1.5)</td>
<td>31 (1.9)</td>
<td>67 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PU-H71</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>11 (1.8)</td>
<td>24 (1.6)</td>
<td>26 (1.6)</td>
<td>71 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCT018159</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>13 (2.2)</td>
<td>32 (2.1)</td>
<td>37 (2.3)</td>
<td>70 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUX</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>IFN-beta</td>
<td>18 (1.4)</td>
<td>46 (1.8)</td>
<td>55 (1.3)</td>
<td>158 (1.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17-AEP</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>19 (1.5)</td>
<td>34 (1.3)</td>
<td>48 (1.2)</td>
<td>219 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PU-H71</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>19 (1.5)</td>
<td>32 (1.2)</td>
<td>41 (1.0)</td>
<td>212 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCT018159</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>22 (1.7)</td>
<td>40 (1.5)</td>
<td>40 (1.0)</td>
<td>174 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-118 MG</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(glioma)</td>
<td>IFN-beta</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>49 (1.1)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>132 (2.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17-AEP</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>61 (1.4)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>117 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PU-H71</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>63 (1.9)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>132 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCT018159</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>83 (1.4)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>101 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-87 MG</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(glioma)</td>
<td>IFN-beta</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>36 (1.4)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>73 (2.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17-AEP</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>51 (2.0)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>66 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PU-H71</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>66 (2.7)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>53 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCT018159</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>71 (2.5)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>28 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B16</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(murine melanoma)</td>
<td>IFN-beta</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>36 (1.4)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>73 (2.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17-AEP</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>51 (2.0)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>66 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PU-H71</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>66 (2.7)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>53 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CCT018159</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>71 (2.5)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>28 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cells were untreated (control) or treated with 5000 Units/ml of IFN-beta, or with the Hsp90 inhibitors as indicated for 3 days.

*Dose indicated is optimal dose for antigen increase.

*Number represents geometric mean of intracellular staining with an antibody to Melan-A/MART-1, gp100 or TRP-2 of live gated cells. Number in parenthesis is fold increase relative to untreated control.

*Number represents geometric mean of surface staining with the MHC Class I antibody W6/32 (or H2kb for B16) of live gated cells.

Antigen status of human melanoma cell lines: (+) = Melan-A/MART-1 and gp100 high (+) = Melan-A/MART-1 and gp100 low.

n.a. = not applicable, glioma do not express Melan-A/MART-1, and human Melan-A/MART-1 antibody did not cross react with murine Melan-A/MART-1

n.d. = not determined; these cells were not stained with the TRP-2 antibody.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114506.t001
iHsp90s shown, and some cell lines were tested more than 10 times, with the data from these repeated studies supporting the data shown in Table 1.

Melanomas and gliomas are of neural crest origin, and gliomas are known to express gp100, but not most of the other melanocyte differentiation antigens. Thus, we tested the glioma cell lines for induction of gp100 and MHC class I expression and noted that glioma-derived cells can also be enhanced by iHsp90 treatment (Table 1).

(2) iHsp90 activity on melanomas with differing mutant BRAF status

Previous work has demonstrated that iHsp90 can modulate cellular levels of BRAF and NRAS [17, 27]. Several cell lines expressing wild-type (WT) or mutant (M) alleles of these genes were evaluated, to determine if there is a relationship between levels of iHsp90-mediated antigen up-regulation and BRAF and NRAS mutational status [11]. The highest responses were observed for cells heterozygous for BRAF mutation and WT for NRAS (Table 2); activating mutations in these genes appear to be mutually exclusive [28]. Of note, the iHsp90 increased antigens in both types of cell lines (those with mutant NRAS and WT BRAF, as well as cells expressing WT NRAS and mutant BRAF), indicating that the effects of iHsp90 are not limited to the mutant status of either BRAF or NRAS genes.

(3) Characterization of iHsp90 Treatment of Melanoma Cells

(A) Effects of iHsp90 on a Melan-A/MART-1 Expression Reporter

Since antigen induction by 17-AAG is accompanied by enhanced reporter gene activity driven by the Melan-A/MART-1 promoter [16], we tested promoter-driving activity of 12 different iHsp90. The tested inhibitors included 7 compounds that bind to the amino-terminal ATP-binding region of Hsp90 [29], while 3 of the inhibitors, (gedunin, celastrol, and novobiocin), do not bind to this ATP-binding site and manifest their activity via distinct mechanisms [30, 31]. Similar relative levels of EGFP induction were observed after treatment with either class of iHsp90 (Table 3). All of the iHsp90 increased EGFP, both in a cell line with low intrinsic levels of Melan-A/MART-1 (A375), and in a cell line with higher levels of endogenous Melan-A/MART-1 (MM96L+) (Fig. 1). These results are consistent with the hypothesis that the effect of iHsp90 on antigen levels operates through transcriptional up-regulation. Optimal doses were determined using dose response curves (Fig. 2).

(Aii) Effects of iHsp90 on Pmel 17 (gp100) Expression in Murine B16 Melanoma

To determine if increased levels of intracellular protein are accompanied by corresponding increases in mRNA transcripts, murine B16 melanoma cells were stimulated for 3 days with CCT018159, followed by parallel protein staining and quantitative PCR (qPCR) analysis. The flow cytometry data demonstrated 4.6 fold increase in the expression of gp100 protein in the treated B16-cells, while qPCR
showed a 4.5 to 8.1 fold increase in gp100 (pmel17) when compared to β-actin and GAPDH reference genes, respectively (Table 4). The calculation of fold increase assumes that the reference genes remain the same with or without treatment. The discrepancy in the fold increase of gp100 transcripts as measured
against GAPDH and β-actin could be due to the effect of iHsp90 on one or both of these reference genes. BIIB treatment is toxic to B-16 cells and causes the cells to enlarge and become more granular even at less than lethal doses. Since β-actin is a cytoskeletal protein it is conceivable that treatments that alter the cell structure could have an effect on this protein.

### (B) Effect of Hsp90 Inhibitor treatment on cell growth

The iHsp90s, 17-AAG, 17-AEP, CCT018159, and PU-H71 were tested for effects on cell growth. The toxicity of iHsp90 to melanoma cells has been reported [32]. The WST assay was used to assess the effect of Hsp90 inhibitor treatment on melanoma cell growth over a range of doses. A linear increase in growth inhibition was observed. As shown in Fig. 3, the increased levels of Melan-A/MART-1 antigen induction correlate with the decrease in cell growth. In fact, the doses required for total growth inhibition and maximal Melan-A/MART-1 induction correspond. Thus, the optimal dose for antigen expression occurs at doses of Hsp90 inhibitor that significantly inhibit growth of tumor cells.

### (C) Hsp90 Inhibitor Treatment Kinetics

We performed a kinetic analysis to determine the effect of iHsp90 on Melan-A/MART-1 promoter activity over time. Using the Melan-A/MART-1 promoter EGFP system in the melanoma cell line MU89, we showed that cells treated with 4 separate Hsp90 inhibitors (17-AAG, 17-AEP, CCT018159, and PU-H71) significantly enhanced the fluorescent reporter signal as early as 2 days (Fig. 4). Reporter activity increases steadily for the first 72 hours of Hsp90 inhibition, and

---

### Table 3. Effect of Hsp90 inhibitors on Melan-A/MART-1 promoter-driven EGFP reporter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hsp90</th>
<th>A375 Binding</th>
<th>Treatmenta</th>
<th>μg/mlb</th>
<th>EGFPc</th>
<th>MM96L+ Treatmenta</th>
<th>μg/ml</th>
<th>EGFP</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-term</td>
<td>17-AAG</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>347.2</td>
<td>[53]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>17-AEP</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>248.3</td>
<td>[54]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>17-DMAG</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>644.8</td>
<td>[54]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>PU-H71</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>541.9</td>
<td>[29]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>CCT018159</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>389.6</td>
<td>[55]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radicicol</td>
<td>Radicicol</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>614.3</td>
<td>[56]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifabutin</td>
<td>Rifabutin</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>370.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIIB021</td>
<td>BIIB021</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>449.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-term</td>
<td>NVP-AUY922</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>528.7</td>
<td>[57]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cdc37</td>
<td>Gedunin</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>294.0</td>
<td>[59]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>site</td>
<td>Celastrol</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>466.3</td>
<td>[31]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-term</td>
<td>ATP</td>
<td>Novobiocin1d</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>470.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

aCell were treated for 3 days.
bDose indicated is optimal dose for antigen increase.
cGeometric mean of EGFP flow histogram.
dConcentration of Novobiocin in μM.
Fig. 1. Effect of Hsp90 Inhibitors on Melan-A/MART-1 promoter. Data shown are flow cytometry-generated histograms of EGFP production in reporter cell lines with EGFP linked to the Melan-A/MART-1 promoter. In each histogram, the thin line curve represents the untreated control, and bold line is Hsp90 inhibitor treated cells. In each case, the reporter cells were treated for three days prior to assessing EGFP-related fluorescence. Data are from one representative experiment. The first and third columns are low antigen A375 cells and the second and fourth columns are high antigen-expressing MM96L+.
cells. Doses of Hsp90 inhibitor used are listed in Table 1.

Fig. 2. Dose response curves for various Hsp90 inhibitors. As in Fig.1, reporter cells expressing EGFP-linked to the Melan-AMART-1 promoter were treated with a series of Hsp90 inhibitors. Filled diamonds: A375 antigen low Melan-A/MART-1 promoter EGFP reporter cell line. Open circles: MM96L+, high antigen-expressing Melan-A/MART-1 promoter EGFP reporter cell line. Data are from one representative experiment.
Table 4. Effect of the iHsp90 CCT018159 on the melanoma antigen gp100 in the mouse B-16 cell line.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatmenta</th>
<th>μg/mlb</th>
<th>gp100 expression by Flow Cytometry (mean channel fluorescence)c</th>
<th>Change in gp100 mRNA relative to GAPDHD</th>
<th>Change in gp100 mRNA relative to β-actinde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>71.5 (1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCT018159</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>331.8 (4.6) (p&lt;0.00001)</td>
<td>8.1 (p&lt;0.003)</td>
<td>4.5 (p&lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Cells were untreated (control) or treated with the Hsp90 inhibitor CCT018159 as indicated for 3 days.
b Dose indicated is optimal dose for antigen increase.
c Number represents geometric mean of intracellular staining with an antibody to gp100 of live gated cells. Number in parenthesis is fold increase relative to untreated control.
d Number represents the fold increase relative to untreated control. Fold difference is calculated as $2^{\Delta \Delta Ct}$ (Materials and Methods).
p value from Student t test comparing iHsp90-treated cells to control. Data are highly significant with respect to control values for each of the comparisons shown.

Fig. 3. Effect of Hsp90 inhibition on MU89 growth. A WST assay was used to assess cell numbers in control and Hsp90-inhibitor treated tumors. WST levels were assayed at time zero and after 3 days. Cells were treated with the indicated Hsp90 inhibitors at the doses indicated. Percent growth was calculated as described in Methods and is plotted on the left y-axis. Data represent the average and standard deviation of triplicate wells. The level of Melan-A/MART-1 (geometric mean), as assayed by intracellular staining and flow cytometry, is shown for comparison on the right y-axis. The data for Melan-A/MART-1 staining are from one representative experiment.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114506.g003
then plateaus. We further assessed the need for continued presence of Hsp90 inhibitors in order for them to be effective, (whether the drug can be removed after short exposure, or whether it must be continually present). Transient exposure of EGFP-expressing A375 cells to the Hsp90 inhibitors 17-AAG, 17-AEP, CCT018159, and PU-H71 requires a minimum of 24 hours of exposure in order to induce significant increases in Melan-A/MART-1 promoter EGFP reporter levels (Fig. 5). However, to achieve full signal enhancement, it is necessary to retain the drug for at least 48 hours, after which the effect reaches a plateau.

(D) Hsp90 inhibitor treatment changes level of MAPK pathway signaling

To address the effect of Hsp90 inhibition on the MAPK pathway we analyzed protein levels and signaling activity (protein phosphorylation levels) of the proteins in this pathway directly. Since both BRAF and NRAS are known Hsp90 client proteins, these proteins will be destabilized when Hsp90 is inhibited.
Western blots of the BRAF protein confirmed that this protein was degraded after Hsp90 inhibitor treatment (Fig. 6A; top panel). In contrast to the decreased level of BRAF in iHsp90-treated cells, the level of melanocyte antigens increased strongly (MART-1, TRP-2) while β-Actin increased slightly (Fig. 6A; lower 3 gel panels). Western blotting for phosphorylated MEK showed that signaling was blocked by iHsp90 treatment of both cells that are mutant for NRAS (and also WT BRAF), as well as WT NRAS cells that are also mutant for BRAF (Fig. 6B).

(E) iHsp90 treatment of melanomas increases T cell recognition
We tested three iHsp90s (17-AEP, CCT and PU-H71) in a cell-based assay in which increased IL-2 secretion by responding T cells is a manifestation of tumor cell recognition [16]. Jurkat cells transduced with a TCR specific for Melan-A/MART-1 showed increased IL-2 production when co-cultured with iHsp90-
treated tumors than with untreated (control) tumor cells (Fig. 7A), as an indication of enhanced T cell recognition as a consequence of inhibited Hsp90 function.

The same anti-Melan-A/MART-1 specific TCR used in the Jurkat cells was also transduced into normal CD8+ Peripheral Blood Leukocyte (PBL)-derived T cells. In Fig. 7B, increased IFN-γ levels were produced by responding T cells after tumors were treated with 17-AEP, CCT or PU-H71. Tumor cells treated with iHsp90 stimulated a 3 and 5-fold increase in cytokine production by the transduced PBL when compared to the response of these PBL to untreated tumor cell controls.

Fig. 6. Characterization of Hsp90 inhibition of MAPK signal transduction pathway and Melanoma-Associated Antigens. A. Western blot performed on extracts of the MU89 cell line treated as indicated for three days. Extracts were probed with antibodies to BRAF, Melan-A/MART-1, TRP-2, or β-Actin in rows top to bottom as indicated. 30 μg of total protein was loaded in each lane of the gel. 1.0 μg/ml of 17-AAG, 1.0 μg/ml of 17-AEP, or 2.5 μg/ml CCT018159 were used to treat the cells. B. Protein gel electrophoresis was performed using 30 μg of total protein extracts prepared from the indicated cell lines. Cells were untreated (control) or treated with 0.15 μg/ml of BIIB021 for three days. After transfer, Western blots were probed with antibodies to phosphorylated MEK (p-MEK) or β-Actin.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114506.g006
Fig. 7. Increased T-cell recognition of Hsp90 inhibitor-treated tumor cells. A. Tumor cells were co-cultured with the Jurkat T cell line expressing the Melan-A/MART-1 specific T cell receptor and IL-2 secretion was measured by ELISA. B. Tumor cells were co-cultured with CD8+ isolated from PBLs and infected with the either a vector control or a Melan-A/MART-1 reactive TCR (about 20% positive infection determined by tetramer staining and flow cytometry). The treated MU89 tumor cells used for co-culture in both experiments (A and B) are the same. Cells were treated for three days with IFN-beta (5000 U/ml), 17-AEP (0.5 μg/ml), CCT018159 (5 μg/ml), or PU-H71 (0.15 μg/ml) for three days in a flask before being collected and counted. A 25:10 ratio of tumor cells to T cells were mixed for co-culture (5 × 10⁴ of tumor cells and 2 × 10⁵ T cells).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0114506.g007
Discussion

Hsp90 has documented chaperone function that assists protein folding and prevents aggregation of non-native proteins [33]. Hsp90 is also required for the function of several oncogenes, including epidermal growth factor [34], Her-2, and BRAF (reviewed in [20]). BRAF is especially relevant in melanoma progression because of the high frequency of its activating mutations [35] and resistance to its inhibition [36]. iHsp90s have been previously reported to be potential tools in combating tumor growth, ascribed to several distinct mechanisms [37], including inhibiting both tumor cell anchorage and proliferation [38], as well as induction of apoptosis as a result of blocking NF-kappaB and Akt [39]. In a pancreatic cancer iHsp90 resulted in potent anti-tumor activity through several molecules belonging to the class of intracellular signal transduction, immune response, cell growth and maintenance [40]. Although several Hsp90 inhibitors are already being used in clinical trials [41,42] the utility of this class of molecules in cancer therapy is still unproven [43].

As we demonstrate in this communication, iHsp90s represent a class of agents with the ability to enhance antigen expression in a variety of tumor cell lines, including a series of cell lines derived from human melanomas, a murine-derived melanoma cell line, and two human glioma cell lines. The panel of iHsp90 we tested included several compounds related to 17-AAG, but also included distinct structural entities that share only the ability to interfere with Hsp90 function. Although the most effective dose for each compound varied, each iHsp90 was active on diverse melanomas.

In addition, the data show that the enhanced antigen expression on tumors includes both differentiation antigens, (Melan-A/MART-1, gp100 and TRP2), and MHC Class I antigen. These combined increases in antigen levels lead to improved T cell recognition of treated tumor cells. As we have previously shown, several diverse compounds share the ability to enhance antigen expression by tumors, including IFN-β [10], MAPK inhibitors [11] and topoisomerases [15]. While IFN-β is not highly toxic to tumors, both iHsp90s and MAPK inhibitors are often toxic to tumors at doses that are needed to enhance antigen expression. While the stress induced by agents such as topoisomerases and iHsp90s may play a role in antigen induction, the fact that IFN-β enhances tumor antigen recognition without accompanying toxicity implies additional pathways not directly related to toxicity. As a chaperone, Hsp90 has a wide range of client proteins [41], many of which have essential roles in cellular operations. Thus, irrespective of experimental focus on specific clients, attainment of effective inhibition of Hsp90 chaperoning will most likely impair vital cellular functions as an accompanying global effect. In turn, it is not mechanistically surprising that the iHsp90 toxicity dose response parallels the dose levels required to destabilize specific client proteins associated with increased immune recognition. In any case, despite the toxicity of iHsp90s, the treated tumors are demonstrably better recognized by antigen-specific T cells. In contrast, topoisomerases are highly toxic not only to
tumors, but also to T cells, making this class of drugs less satisfactory for combination immunotherapy [15, 16].

In contrast to iHsp90 impact on Hsp90 client proteins, the Western blot data show that the observed augmentation of melanocyte differentiation antigens in response to iHsp90 results from increased levels of full-length protein, a further indication that Melan-A/MART-1, gp100 and TRP-2 are not Hsp90 client proteins. These observations are in contrast to previous reports of iHsp90 drugs that lead to antigen degradation of Hsp90 client proteins, such as Her-2 [22] and EphA2 [23]. While the mechanism for antigen augmentation by iHsp90 remains to be determined, the data are consistent with transcriptional regulatory mechanisms, rather than a direct impact of iHsp90 on the antigens themselves. Not only is more intracellular protein detectable by Flow Cytometry, Western Blot and EGFP reporter signals, but the qPCR evidence also shows an increase in mRNA in iHsp90 treated cells. Taken together, these data make a cogent case for true enhanced expression of these proteins. While the list of Hsp90 client proteins is long [44], proteins mediating signal transduction and regulating transcription are frequently found [45]. Therefore, a transcriptional up-regulatory effect is both feasible and consistent with current data as the most likely mechanism underlying antigen augmentation by iHsp90.

Indeed, the impact on BRAF levels in iHsp90-treated cells indicates that MAPK-regulated pathways could be targets of iHsp90s in cells where activated mutant BRAF has been shown to at least transiently down-modulate antigen expression [11]. However, it is clear that BRAF WT cells also respond to iHsp90s with increased antigen expression, as cells expressing only NRAS mutations, as well as cells that are WT for both of these genes, (such as the human gliomas [46]) and the murine melanoma) all are susceptible to iHsp90 antigen induction.

The kinetic studies indicate that it takes at least 2 days of continuous iHsp90 treatment to achieve optimal antigen induction. This result too is consistent with antigen induction resulting from indirect regulatory events that take time to develop, rather than through alternative mechanisms where direct interactions of antigens with Hsp90 are implicated.

It is important to note that the role of iHsp90 in immune responses is complicated by countervailing forces. For example, it has been shown that Hsp90 inhibition causes decreased cell surface expression of co-stimulatory molecules, leading to inhibition of dendritic cell function [47]. Moreover, Hsp90 is required for MHC- Class II antigen presentation by B-cells [48]. Hsp90 is also required for Type I and II interferon signaling [49], so that its inhibition may result in impaired responses to these important anti-cancer cytokines, and reduced expression of both Class I and Class II antigens needed for CD8+ and CD4+ T cell receptor specificity. Preliminary data indicate that while Hsp90 inhibitors do indeed prevent interferon signaling when the two drugs are added simultaneously. Yet if tumor cells are pre-treated with interferon, and subsequently Hsp90 inhibitors are added, there may be synergistic effect of these interferon-stimulated cells, indicating that timed pulsed treatments could indeed provide enhanced antigen expression (manuscript in preparation).
However, there is also evidence that iHsp90s can enhance anti-tumor immunity. The degradation of EphA2 [23], and Her-2 [22], leads to enhanced recognition by CTL, probably as a result of increased turnover and expression on MHC Class I antigens. The augmented recognition of tumor cells we describe is a result of a true increase in tumor associated antigen expression. For these studies, TCR-transfected tumor cells were used for screening purposes, and the same TCR was used to transfect freshly-isolated CD8+ PBL. While these cells are not identical to naturally-occurring anti-tumor T cells, the TCR used was derived from a cloned T cell derived from Tumor Infiltrating Lymphocytes [6] with known anti-Melan-A/MART-1 specific cytotoxic activity [4]. The ability to use these defined effector cells adds consistency to our assays, and also provides a parallel to the growing use of CAR-expressing T cells for immunotherapy [5].

One should be cautious in pointing out that the increased immune reactivity against tumor cells could also lead to increase auto-reactivity against normal cells sharing differentiation antigens, such as Melan-A/MART-1 and gp100. Indeed, it has been noted in both animals and human clinical studies that improved efficacy of immunotherapy is often accompanied by “off-target” destruction of melanocytes [50], leading to vitiligo. Retinitis has also been observed [51]. While of concern, it is fortunate that these toxicities have, in general, been tolerable, and treatable [51]. While some serious auto-immune toxicities have been reported, particularly in patients being treated with anti-CTLA-4 antibodies [52], in most cases the risk of autoimmune complications have been outweighed by the need to treat advanced cancers.

In summary, we present data that iHsp90s represent a class of drugs with the ability to enhance T cell recognition of tumors by inducing increased expression of both differentiation antigens and MHC Class I molecules. Moreover, iHsp90s are functionally compatible with T cell anti-tumor immunity, and in murine models of tumors have shown efficacy in EphA2-directed therapy [23]. While this murine immunotherapy model targeted an Hsp90 client protein, in contrast to the antigen induction we have observed, the results emphasize that iHsp90s are fully compatible with T cell anti-tumor immunity, and thus could be useful in combination immunotherapy by targeting tumors that can otherwise escape immune destruction through low expression of T cell recognition molecules, including both differentiation antigens and presentation-restricting MHC molecules.
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