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The effect of Chinese herbal medicine Jian Ling Decoction for the treatment of essential hypertension: a systematic review

Xingjiang Xiong,1 Pengqian Wang,2 Xiaoke Li,3 Yuqing Zhang4

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Jian Ling Decoction (JLD) is often prescribed to improve hypertension-related symptoms in China. However, this treatment has not been systematically reviewed for its efficacy against essential hypertension (EH). This review aims to assess the current clinical evidence of JLD in the treatment of EH.

Design: Seven electronic databases, including the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, PubMed, EMBASE, the Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), the Chinese Scientific Journal Database (VIP), the Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM) and the Wanfang Database, were searched up to March 2014. Randomised control trials (RCTs) comparing JLD or combined with antihypertensive drugs versus antihypertensive drugs alone. No serious adverse effects were reported.

Results: Ten trials (655 patients) with unclear-to-high risk of bias were identified. Meta-analysis showed that JLD used alone showed no BP reduction effect; however, improvement on QOL was found in the JLD group compared to antihypertensive drugs. A significant reduction in systolic and diastolic BP was observed for JLD plus antihypertensive drugs when compared with antihypertensive drugs alone. No serious adverse effects were reported.

Conclusions: Owing to insufficient clinical data, it is difficult to draw a definite conclusion regarding the effectiveness and safety of JLD for EH, and better trials are needed.

INTRODUCTION

Hypertension is one of the most important preventable causes of death and one of the most common conditions treated in primary healthcare. In addition, hypertension represents an important public health challenge because of its high prevalence and the concomitant increase in the risk of cardiovascular, cerebrovascular and renal diseases.1 2 This condition has been ranked as the leading global risk factor for mortality and is the third leading risk factor for disease burden according to the comparative Risk Assessment Collaborating Group.3 4 Currently, about one billion patients have been affected.5 The association between blood pressure (BP) and mortality was discovered approximately 100 years ago.6 Recent studies also confirmed that BP is closely related to vascular outcomes, and even a minor reduction in BP could reduce cardiovascular events, especially stroke.7 8 Therefore, early diagnosis and effective treatment is of great importance for patients with essential hypertension (EH). Nevertheless, despite remarkable achievements in the research and development of antihypertensive drugs, the current awareness, curative and control rates of hypertension among different age groups are still far from satisfactory.9 10 Additionally, in the light of the adverse effects of antihypertensive drugs and hoping for an adjunctive approach with few adverse effects, patients in Western countries with EH and other cardiovascular diseases increasingly use complementary and alternative medicine (CAM),11–13 including traditional Chinese medicine (TCM).14–16

Chinese herbal medicine (CHM), one of the commonly used TCM therapies, has played an important role in relieving...
hypertension-related signs and symptoms for centuries in East Asia. Recently, more robust evidence from systematic reviews (SRs) has suggested the efficacy and safety of CHM for EH. In TCM theory, liver yang hyperactivity syndrome (LYHS) and liver-kidney yin deficiency syndrome (LKYDS) are the two most prevalent patterns of EH, which often appear at the same time. These patterns manifest as headache, vertigo, tinnitus, irritability, insomnia, lassitude in the waist and legs, dysphoria with feverish sensation, dry mouth, bright red tongue with less fur, and a wiry pulse. Jian Ling Decoction (JLD) is a traditional CHM invented by Zhang Xichun in Yixue Zhongzhong Canxilu (Records of Traditional Chinese in Combination with Western Medicine) in the 1920s. It contains the following eight commonly used herbs: Dioscorea Root (Shanyao, Dioscoreae Rhizoma), Achyranthes Root (Niuxi, Achyranthis Bidentatae Radix), Hematite (Daizheshi, Haematitum), Fossilized Mammal Bones (Longgu, Os Draconis), Oyster Shell (Mulii, Concha Ostreae), Rehmannia (Dihuang, Radix Rehmanniae Glutinosae), White Peony Root (Baishao, Radix Albus Paeoniae Lactiflorae) and Arbor Vitae Seed (Baiziren, Semen Platycladi). All of these herbs have been recorded in the Pharmacopoeia of the People’s Republic of China (2010 edition). LYHS and LKYDS can be effectively treated with JLD. Currently, JLD is often prescribed for the management of EH by TCM practitioners in China. It is worth noting that in the context of CAM therapies, add-on designs are very popular for the treatment of hypertension. JLD is usually used in combination with antihypertensive drugs to achieve greater improvement in the signs and symptoms of hypertension and to enhance the antihypertensive effect of conventional drugs with less adverse effects. The pharmacological mechanisms of these effects may be related to the reduction in levels of angiotensin II, interleukin 6, tumour necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and leptin, as well as insulin resistance and decreased blood lipids. Regarding the clinical use of JLD, a large number of studies (including case reports, case series, controlled observational studies and randomised trials) have reported its effects on EH, including lowering BP, reducing inflammation, reversing cardiovascular risk factors and improving clinical symptoms and quality of life (QOL). However, there has been no comprehensive evaluation of clinical trials on the efficacy and adverse effects of JLD. This review aims to systematically review the published and unpublished randomised controlled trials (RCTs) to evaluate the current evidence for JLD in treating EH.

METHODS
This study was conducted according to the Cochrane practice.

Search strategies
RCTs of JLD for the treatment of patients with hypertension were screened through the following electronic databases from their respective inceptions to March 2014: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL, 1996–2014), PubMed (1959–2014), and EMBASE (1980–2014). In addition, as JLD is mainly prescribed in China, four Chinese electronic databases including the Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI, 1980–2014), Chinese Scientific Journal Database (VIP, 1989–2014), Chinese Biomedical Literature Database (CBM, 1978–2014) and Wanfang Database (1998–2014) were searched to retrieve the maximum possible number of trials. We also conducted a literature search of the website of the Chinese clinical trial registry (available at http://www.chictr.org/) and international clinical trial registry hosted by the US National Institutes of Health (available at http://clinicaltrials.gov/) for all of the relevant ongoing registered clinical trials and unpublished articles. The bibliographies of the studies identified in the systematic search were reviewed for potentially relevant additional publications. No restriction on publication status or language was imposed.

The keywords for searching databases were listed as follows: (‘hypertension’ OR ‘essential hypertension’ OR ‘primary hypertension’ OR ‘high blood pressure’ OR ‘blood pressure’) AND (‘jian ling decoction’ OR ‘jianling decoction’ OR ‘jianling tang’ OR ‘jianling tang’ OR ‘jianlingtang’) AND (‘clinical trial’ OR ‘randomized controlled trial’ OR ‘randomised controlled trial’).

Study selection
Types of studies
RCTs on the use of JLD for the treatment of EH were included. Quasi-randomised trials and animal experiments were excluded.

Types of participants
Trials focused on the patients suffering from EH were included. All of the participants who were enrolled in the trials were required to meet at least one of the current or past definitions of EH. Trials without a description of the detailed diagnostic criteria but which reported patients with definite EH were also included. Patients with secondary hypertension were excluded. There was no restriction on gender, age or ethnic origin of the participants.

Types of interventions
Only studies that tested JLD used alone versus antihypertensive drugs, or JLD combined with antihypertensive drugs versus antihypertensive drugs were included. However, trials assessing the combined effect of JLD with other interventions (eg, another CHM, qigong, Tai Chi, acupuncture, moxibustion and massage) were excluded, given that the therapeutic effect of JLD could not be distinguished. Interventions in the control group included antihypertensive drugs. Studies that used non-conventional medicine or CAM as control groups were also excluded. The duration of treatment was required to be at least 2 weeks.
According to the principle of similarity of the TCM formula, modified JLD should contain at least six of eight herbs used in JLD, and only a few herbs could be added into the JLD based on the TCM syndrome theory. However, the resulting prescription should contain the following four principal drugs: Achyranthes Root (Niuxi, Achyranthis Bidentatae Radix), Hematite (Daizheshi, Haematitum), Fossilized Mammal Bones (Longgu, Os Draconis) and Oyster Shell (Muli, Concha Ostreae).

Types of outcome measures
The primary outcome analysed for this meta-analysis was categorical or continuous BP, and the secondary outcome was QOL.

Data extraction
All of the articles were read by two independent reviewers. Then the eligible studies were retrieved for further identification according to the above inclusion and exclusion criteria. Duplicate papers were excluded. The data extraction form comprised the authors, title, publication year, sample size, age, sex distribution, diagnosis standard, study design, interventions in the treatment and control groups, composition of JLD or modified JLD, trial duration, outcome measures and adverse effects. If missing or unclear information regarding the original study was found, we contacted the primary authors via email, telephone or fax whenever possible. Any disagreement was resolved by discussion between the reviewers.

Methodological quality
The risk of bias of the included studies was independently evaluated by two reviewers according to the criteria in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Review of Interventions V.5.1.0 (updated March 2011). The following seven items were included: (1) sequence generation (selection bias); (2) allocation concealment (selection bias); (3) blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias); (4) blinding of outcome assessments (detection bias); (5) incomplete outcome data (attrition bias); (6) selective reporting (reporting bias); and (7) other sources of bias (from Chapter 8: assessing risk of bias in included studies). Each domain was assessed as a ‘high’, ‘unclear’ or ‘low’ risk of bias based on the above criteria. Then the methodological quality of the trials was ranked into three levels: low risk of bias (all items with low risk of bias), high risk of bias (at least one item with high risk of bias), or unclear risk of bias (at least one item with an unclear domain).

Data synthesis
Review Manager, V.5.1 (The Nordic Cochrane Centre, Copenhagen, Denmark) was used for data analysis. The values of the outcome measures after treatment were retrieved to assess differences between the JLD and control groups. The weighted mean difference (WMD) was used for continuous data, while the risk ratio (RR) was used for binary data. Subgroups analysis was conducted among different types of comparisons (including JLD vs antihypertensive drugs and JLD plus antihypertensive drugs vs antihypertensive drugs). If high quality trials could be found, comparisons between all of the studies and studies with high quality would be conducted. In a three-group design study that had two treatment groups of JLD and JLD plus antihypertensive drugs, the two comparisons were split in the meta-analysis. Heterogeneity was assessed by I² statistics. Funnel plots were applied to detect for publication bias when the number of included studies of any particular outcome was more than ten. \( p<0.05 \) was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Study characteristics
Figure 1 shows the process of study selection. We identified 308 potentially relevant articles in the initial screening of the seven databases. Ten RCTs, with a total of 655 participants, met the eligibility criteria and were included. The basic characteristics of included trials are summarised in Table 1. Six diagnostic criteria of EH were specified: two trials used the Guidelines of Clinical Research of New Drugs of Traditional Chinese Medicine (GCRNDCM); four trials used the WHO-ISH guidelines for the management of hypertension-1999 (WHO-ISH GMH-1999); one trial used the WHO-ISH GMH-1985; one trial used the Chinese Guidelines for the Management of Hypertension-2005 (CGMH-2005); and one trial used the Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (JNC 7); and one trial used the Chinese...
Table 1 Basic characteristics of the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study ID</th>
<th>Sample size (randomised/analysed) M/F</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Diagnosis standard</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Course (week)</th>
<th>Outcome measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tong⁴⁰</td>
<td>60/60</td>
<td>40–60 (T/C: NR)</td>
<td>GCRNDTCM</td>
<td>JLD (1 dose/day)</td>
<td>felodipine (5 mg, qd)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He et al⁴¹</td>
<td>60/60</td>
<td>T: 54.89±5.34</td>
<td>GCRNDTCM</td>
<td>JLD (1 dose/day)</td>
<td>felodipine (5 mg, qd)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan et al⁴²</td>
<td>50/50</td>
<td>T: 64.80±7.40</td>
<td>WHO-ISH GMH-1999</td>
<td>JLD (1 dose/day)</td>
<td>felodipine (2.5 mg, qd)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>BP; QOL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cai¹³</td>
<td>100/100</td>
<td>T: 50–81</td>
<td>WHO-ISH GMH-1985</td>
<td>modified JLD (1 dose/day)</td>
<td>nifedipine (10 mg, tid)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>BP; adverse effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang⁴⁴</td>
<td>90/89</td>
<td>T1: 58.23±8.26</td>
<td>WHO-ISH GMH-1999</td>
<td>T1: modified JLD (1 dose/day)</td>
<td>enalapril (10 mg, bid)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>BP; adverse effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang⁴⁵</td>
<td>60/57</td>
<td>T1: 56.41±10.98</td>
<td>CGMH-2005</td>
<td>modified JLD (1 dose/day)</td>
<td>enalapril (10 mg, bid)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>BP; adverse effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiang and Cao⁴⁶</td>
<td>82/82</td>
<td>T: 60–75</td>
<td>WHO-ISH GMH-1999</td>
<td>modified JLD (100 mL/day)</td>
<td>benazepril hydrochloride (10 mg, tid)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chu and Xu⁴⁷</td>
<td>67/67</td>
<td>T: 67.00±7.20</td>
<td>WHO-ISH GMH-1999</td>
<td>modified JLD (100 mL/day)</td>
<td>nifedipine controlled release tablet (30 mg, qd)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al⁴⁸</td>
<td>60/60</td>
<td>18–70 (T/C: NR)</td>
<td>JNC 7</td>
<td>modified JLD (100 mL/day)</td>
<td>enalapril (10 mg, bid)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>BP; adverse effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li⁴⁹</td>
<td>60/60</td>
<td>T: 64.33±7.96</td>
<td>CGMH-2010</td>
<td>modified JLD (400 mL/day)</td>
<td>nifedipine controlled release tablet (30 mg, qd) and irbesartan (150 mg, qd)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>BP; adverse effect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bid, twice daily; BP, blood pressure; C, control group; CGMH, Chinese Guidelines for the Management of Hypertension; F, female; GCRNDTCM, Guidelines of Clinical Research of New Drugs of Traditional Chinese Medicine; JLD, Jian Ling Decoction; JNC 7, Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure; M, male; NR, not reported; qd, four times a day; QOL, quality of life; T, treatment group; tid, three times a day; WHO-ISH GMH, WHO-ISH guidelines for the management of hypertension.
Guidelines for the Management of Hypertension-2010 (CGMH-2010). All of the studies were conducted in China and published in the Chinese language. One trial was a three-arm design (two intervention groups vs one control group), and the others used a two-arm study design (one intervention group vs one control group). The clinical efficacy of JLD was observed in all of the trials. However, the evaluation criteria on BP was different: three trials used categorical BP recommended by the Chinese government in GCRNDTCM, and seven used continuous BP. QOL was only tested in one trial.

### Treatment groups

The types of intervention were classified as JLD (n=4) or combination therapy (JLD plus antihypertensive drugs, n=7). The variable prescriptions based on JLD are presented in table 1. Different compositions of either JLD or modified JLD are presented in table 2.

### Control groups

All of the patients in the control groups received antihypertensive drug treatment, including felodipine, nifedipine, enalapril, and benazepril hydrochloride.

### Treatment duration

The total treatment duration in the trials ranged from 3 to 4 weeks, with most being 4 weeks (n=8). The duration of follow-up was only mentioned in one trial, being 3 months.

### Methodological quality

As shown in table 3, four trials reported the method used to generate the allocation sequence (random number table). Information regarding allocation concealment was provided in two trials. Blinding of participants and personnel was reported in three trials; however, no trial used blinding of outcome assessment. Dropout and withdrawal data were provided for three trials. No trial had a pretrial estimation of sample size. Selective reporting could not be evaluated as no preregistered protocols could be obtained from the primary authors.

### Outcome measures

**Primary outcome: BP**

**JLD versus antihypertensive drugs (4 studies)**

The clinical efficacy of JLD as monotherapy for BP was assessed in four trials. Three trials did not use continuous BP to evaluate the efficacy of JLD, but used categorical BP, the evaluation criteria of which have been authoritative recommended by the China Food and Drug Administration (available at http://www.sda.gov.cn). It was defined as follows: ‘significant improvement’ (diastolic blood pressure (DBP) decreased by 10 mm Hg, reaching the normal range, or DBP not returning to normal but reduced by more than 20 mm Hg), ‘improvement’ (DBP decreased by less than 10 mm Hg but reaching the normal range, DBP decreased by 10–19 mm Hg but not reaching the normal range, or systolic blood pressure (SBP) decreased by more than 30 mm Hg), and ‘no improvement’ (not meeting the above standards). These outcomes were converted into binary data for further overall analysis. Both ‘significant improvement’ and ‘improvement’ were classified as ‘effective’, and ‘no improvement’ was classified as ‘ineffective’. The meta-analysis showed that JLD had no BP reduction effect compared with antihypertensive drugs (n=170; RR: 0.99; 95% CI 0.90 to 1.08; p=0.79; figure 2A), with no significant heterogeneity ($\chi^2=0.22$, p=0.90; $I^2=0\%$). Another trial used continuous BP to evaluate the efficacy of modified JLD when compared with enalapril. No significant difference was found for either SBP or DBP (p=0.05).

**JLD plus antihypertensive drugs versus antihypertensive drugs (7 studies)**

Seven RCTs evaluated the effect of JLD combined with antihypertensive drugs versus antihypertensive drugs. Continuous BP was measured in all of these studies. SBP was significantly reduced in the JLD plus antihypertensive drugs group when compared with antihypertensive drugs (n=485; WMD: $-8.37$ mm Hg; 95% CI $-9.84$ to $-6.90$; p<0.00001; figure 2B), with no significant heterogeneity ($\chi^2=8.45$, p=0.21; $I^2=29\%$). For DBP, a significant beneficial effect was also found in the JLD plus antihypertensive drugs group (n=485; WMD: $-6.71$ mm Hg; 95% CI $-9.52$ to $-4.10$; p<0.00001; figure 2C), with significant heterogeneity ($\chi^2=9.47$, p=0.0001; $I^2=80\%$).

### Secondary outcome: QOL

Only one trial, conducted by Fan et al., used the Croog Scale to assess the effectiveness of JLD on QOL in aged hypertension patients. At the end of the trial, QOL was significantly improved by JLD when compared with the felodipine group (P<0.05). The trial demonstrated that the long-term use of JLD might improve QOL for patients with hypertension.

### Adverse effects

Adverse effect monitoring was reported in only five studies, and was not mentioned in the other five trials. Among the former, no severe adverse effects were reported in two trials. Three trials reported dry cough caused by enalapril in the JLD and antihypertensive drug groups. Two trials reported severe dry cough in the antihypertensive drug groups. None of the adverse effects were serious in the JLD groups.

### Evaluation of publication bias

As the number of included trials was so small, it was not possible to conduct a sufficient additional analysis of publication bias.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study ID</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Composition of formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tong^{40}</td>
<td>JLD</td>
<td>Arbor Vitae Seed (Baiziren, Semen Platycladi) 10 g, White Peony Root (Baishao, Radix Albus Paeoniae Lactiflorae) 10 g, Rehmannia (Dihuang, Radix Rehmanniae Glutinosae) 15 g, Oyster Shell (Muli, Concha Ostreae) 10 g, Fossilized Mammal Bones (Longgu, Os Draconis) 10 g, Hematite (Daizheshi, Haematitum) 10 g, Dioscorea Root (Shanyao, Dioscoreae Rhizoma) 15 g, and Achyranthes Root (Niuxi, Achyranthis Bidentatae Radix) 20 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He et al^{41}</td>
<td>JLD</td>
<td>Dioscorea Root (Shanyao, Dioscoreae Rhizoma) 15 g, Achyranthes Root (Niuxi, Achyranthis Bidentatae Radix) 20 g, Hematite (Daizheshi, Haematitum) 10 g, Oyster Shell (Muli, Concha Ostreae) 10 g, Fossilized Mammal Bones (Longgu, Os Draconis) 10 g, Rehmannia (Dihuang, Radix Rehmanniae Glutinosae) 15 g, White Peony Root (Baishao, Radix Albus Paeoniae Lactiflorae) 10 g, and Arbor Vitae Seed (Baiziren, Semen Platycladi) 10 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fan et al^{42}</td>
<td>JLD</td>
<td>Dioscorea Root (Shanyao, Dioscoreae Rhizoma) 30 g, Achyranthes Root (Niuxi, Achyranthis Bidentatae Radix) 30 g, Hematite (Daizheshi, Haematitum) 24 g, Oyster Shell (Muli, Concha Ostreae) 18 g, Fossilized Mammal Bones (Longgu, Os Draconis) 18 g, Rehmannia (Dihuang, Radix Rehmanniae Glutinosae) 18 g, White Peony Root (Baishao, Radix Albus Paeoniae Lactiflorae) 12 g, and Arbor Vitae Seed (Baiziren, Semen Platycladi) 12 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cai^{43}</td>
<td>Modified JLD</td>
<td>Achyranthes Root (Niuxi, Achyranthis Bidentatae Radix) 30 g, Dioscorea Root (Shanyao, Dioscoreae Rhizoma) 30 g, Abalone Shell (Shijueming, Haliotidis Concha) 30 g, Hematite (Daizheshi, Haematitum) 24 g, Oyster Shell (Muli, Concha Ostreae) 18 g, Fossilized Mammal Bones (Longgu, Os Draconis) 18 g, Rehmannia (Dihuang, Radix Rehmanniae Glutinosae) 18 g, White Peony Root (Baishao, Radix Albus Paeoniae Lactiflorae) 12 g, and Arbor Vitae Seed (Baiziren, Semen Platycladi) 12 g, Headache plus Puncturevine Caltrop Fruit (Cijili, Tribulus terrestris Linn) 10 g; constipation plus Rhubarb Root and Rhizome (Daihuang, Radix Et Rhizoma Rhei) 5 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang^{44}</td>
<td>Modified JLD</td>
<td>Dioscorea Root (Shanyao, Dioscoreae Rhizoma) 30 g, Achyranthes Root (Niuxi, Achyranthis Bidentatae Radix) 30 g, Hematite (Daizheshi, Haematitum) 24 g, Oyster Shell (Muli, Concha Ostreae) 18 g, Fossilized Mammal Bones (Longgu, Os Draconis) 18 g, Rehmannia (Dihuang, Radix Rehmanniae Glutinosae) 18 g, White Peony Root (Baishao, Radix Albus Paeoniae Lactiflorae) 12 g, and Arbor Vitae Seed (Baiziren, Semen Platycladi) 12 g, Headache, dizziness, and irritability plus Gastrodia (Tianma, Gastrodiae Rhizoma) 15 g and Abalone Shell (Shijueming, Haliotidis Concha) 30 g; irritability, bitty mouth and red face plus Coptis Rhizome (Huanglian, Rhizoma Coptidis) 10 g and Gardenia (Zhizi, Fructus Gardeniae Jasminoidis) 10 g; and constipation plus Rhubarb Root and Rhizome (Daihuang, Radix Et Rhizoma Rhei) 5 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang^{45}</td>
<td>Modified JLD</td>
<td>Dioscorea Root (Shanyao, Dioscoreae Rhizoma) 30 g, Achyranthes Root (Niuxi, Achyranthis Bidentatae Radix) 30 g, Hematite (Daizheshi, Haematitum) 24 g, Oyster Shell (Muli, Concha Ostreae) 18 g, Fossilized Mammal Bones (Longgu, Os Draconis) 18 g, Rehmannia (Dihuang, Radix Rehmanniae Glutinosae) 18 g, White Peony Root (Baishao, Radix Albus Paeoniae Lactiflorae) 12 g, and Arbor Vitae Seed (Baiziren, Semen Platycladi) 12 g, Excessive accumulation of phlegm-dampness plus Pinellia Rhizome (Banxia, Rhizoma Pinelliae Tematae) 15 g, Sclerotium of Tuckahoe (Fuling, Sclerotium Poriae Cocos) 15 g, Tangerine Peel (Chenpi, Pericarpium Citri Reticulatae) 10 g, Bamboo Shavings (Zhurui, Bambusae Caulis in Taeniam) 10 g, and Liquoric Root (Gancao, Radix Glycyrrhizae) 3 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiang and Cao^{46}</td>
<td>Modified JLD</td>
<td>Dioscorea Root (Shanyao, Dioscoreae Rhizoma) 30 g, Fresh Water Turtle Shell (Glijia, Plastrum Testudinis) 30 g, Achyranthes Root (Niuxi, Achyranthis Bidentatae Radix) 30 g, Hematite (Daizheshi, Haematitum) 20 g, Oyster Shell (Muli, Concha Ostreae) 20 g, Fossilized Mammal Bones (Longgu, Os Draconis) 20 g, Rehmannia (Dihuang, Radix Rehmanniae Glutinosae) 20 g, White Peony Root (Baishao, Radix Albus Paeoniae Lactiflorae) 15 g, and Arbor Vitae Seed (Baiziren, Semen Platycladi) 15 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chu and Xu^{47}</td>
<td>Modified JLD</td>
<td>Rehmannia (Dihuang, Radix Rehmanniae Glutinosae) 25 g, Fresh Water Turtle Shell (Glijia, Plastrum Testudinis) 25 g, Dioscorea Root (Shanyao, Dioscoreae Rhizoma) 30 g, Hematite (Daizheshi, Haematitum) 15 g, Oyster Shell (Muli, Concha Ostreae) 25 g, Fossilized Mammal Bones (Longgu, Os Draconis) 25 g, Achyranthes Root (Niuxi, Achyranthis Bidentatae Radix) 25 g, White Peony Root (Baishao, Radix Albus Paeoniae Lactiflorae) 20 g, and Arbor Vitae Seed (Baiziren, Semen Platycladi) 20 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al^{48}</td>
<td>Modified JLD</td>
<td>Dioscorea Root (Shanyao, Dioscoreae Rhizoma) 30 g, Rehmannia (Dihuang, Radix Rehmanniae Glutinosae) 18 g, Hematite (Daizheshi, Haematitum) 24 g, Oyster Shell (Muli, Concha Ostreae) 18 g, Fossilized Mammal Bones (Longgu, Os Draconis) 18 g, Achyranthes Root (Niuxi, Achyranthis Bidentatae Radix) 30 g, White Peony Root (Baishao, Radix Albus Paeoniae Lactiflorae) 10 g, and Arbor Vitae Seed (Baiziren, Semen Platycladi) 10 g</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study ID</th>
<th>Formula</th>
<th>Composition of formula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
DISCUSSION
Summary of evidence
Taking into account the gap between the lack of scientific evidence regarding the efficacy of JLD and the widespread application by TCM practitioners, the objective of this study was to systematically review the current English and Chinese literature to evaluate the efficacy and safety of JLD for EH. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first SR of JLD in English.

Ten claimed RCTs, with a total of 655 patients with hypertension, met the inclusion criteria and were included in this review. The results suggested that SBP and DBP were significantly improved in patients receiving JLD plus antihypertensive drugs therapy, although the effect was not significant in the JLD alone group. Moreover, JLD was found to be effective in terms of improving QOL when compared with antihypertensive drugs. However, the evidence for JLD as an effective modality for treating EH was restricted by a limited number of trials, small sample sizes, poor methodological quality and a high risk of bias in primary studies.

Limitations
The following limitations should be considered before accepting the findings of this review.

1. Although there were two randomised, single-blind, controlled trials, the methodology of most of the included trials was assessed to be generally poor. The main reasons are analysed as follows:
   - First, although all studies claimed randomisation, only four trials demonstrated the random sequence generation, and two trials reported allocation concealment; therefore, selection bias may exist.
   - Second, only three trials described the blinding of participants and personnel; however, no trials reported the blinding of outcome assessment. Therefore, both selection bias and detection bias might have occurred.
   - Third, only three trials reported dropout or withdrawal statistics, suggesting a high risk of attrition bias.
   - Fourth, most of the included studies did not mention the intention-to-treat analysis, which may lead to some other bias.
   - Fifth, no trials had a placebo control, which might decrease the quality of positive conclusions.

2. As shown in figure 2C, heterogeneity is another critical issue that should be considered, which may be associated with variations in study quality, participants, JLD compositions and antihypertensive drugs.

3. The limited number of included trials and different interventions in the JLD and antihypertensive drug groups restricted us from conducting meaningful subgroup analyses to explore effect modifiers such as the duration of intervention and types of antihypertensive drug therapies.

4. Publication bias should also be considered. In this review, all of the included trials were conducted in China and published in Chinese. Almost all studies...
claimed a similar beneficial effect or a better effect when compared with antihypertensive drugs alone. No negative conclusions were found. What is more, a funnel plot checking for possible publication bias for BP could not be conducted due to the small number of included studies.

5. Although one trial had a short-term follow-up, most of the studies had no follow-up, indicating a lack of knowledge for some critical outcomes, such as all-cause mortality and progression to severe complications due to high BP, which is the most common problem for TCM studies in general.

6. As the use of natural products is very common among patients in a variety of healthcare settings, the safety of CHM and potential herb-drug interactions has hence become a concern. This review suggested that JLD may be safe for the management of EH. In fact, no parallel double blind randomised placebo controlled trials indicating the adverse effects of JLD for EH could be found. Owing to the insufficient clinical data, it is difficult to draw a definitive conclusion regarding the safety of JLD for EH at present. We therefore suggest that the adverse effects of JLD need to be monitored rigorously in future studies.

CONCLUSION

Owing to the insufficient clinical data, poor methodological design and high risk of bias, it is difficult to draw a definite conclusion regarding the effectiveness and safety of JLD for EH. More rigorously designed trials reported according to the CONSORT statement are needed.
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