Radiative forcing from tropospheric ozone calculated with a unified chemistry-climate model
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Abstract. We have developed a global model for the study of chemistry-climate interactions by incorporating a detailed simulation of tropospheric ozone-NO\textsubscript{x}-hydrocarbon chemistry within a general circulation model (GCM). We present a first application of the model to the calculation of radiative forcing from tropospheric ozone since preindustrial times. Longwave and shortwave radiation fluxes are computed every 5 hours in the GCM using the locally simulated ozone fields. In this manner, the model resolves synoptic-scale correlations between ozone and meteorological variables. A simulation for present-day conditions is compared to a preindustrial atmosphere (~1800 A.D.) with no fossil fuel combustion, 10% of present-day biomass burning, and 0.7 ppm methane. The two simulations use the same meteorological fields; the radiative forcing does not feed back into the GCM. The model reproduces well the observed distributions of ozone and its precursors in the present-day atmosphere. Increases in ozone since preindustrial times are 20–200% depending on region and season. The global mean, instantaneous radiative forcing from anthropogenic ozone is 0.44 W m\textsuperscript{-2} (0.35 longwave, 0.09 shortwave). The model reveals large shortwave forcings (0.3–0.7 W m\textsuperscript{-2}) over polar regions in summer. The total forcing is greater than 1.0 W m\textsuperscript{-2} over large areas, including the Arctic, during Northern Hemisphere summer. The normalized radiative forcing per unit of added ozone column varies globally from −0.01 to 0.05 W m\textsuperscript{-2}. This variance can be explained in large part by the temperature difference between the surface and the tropopause; clouds are an additional factor, particularly at low latitudes. An off-line radiative calculation using the same ozone fields but averaged monthly shows nearly identical forcings, with differences less than ±2% over most of the Earth. The similarity between the off-line and on-line simulations suggests that the common use of off-line ozone fields is acceptable in radiative forcing calculations. Addition of the direct forcings from anthropogenic sulfate aerosol and tropospheric ozone computed with the same GCM shows compensating effects, with sulfate dominating at northern midlatitudes and ozone usually dominating elsewhere.

1. Introduction

Ozone in the troposphere is a significant greenhouse gas due to its absorption in the infrared, visible, and ultraviolet spectral regions [Wang et al., 1980; Lacis et al., 1990]. There is observational evidence that tropospheric ozone has increased several fold since preindustrial times, at least at northern midlatitudes [Staehelin, 1994; Mareno et al., 1994]. Model studies have linked increasing emissions of ozone precursor gases NO\textsubscript{x} (NO + NO\textsubscript{2}), CO, and hydrocarbons—to the increasing burden of ozone in the troposphere [Crutzen and Zimmermann, 1991; Levy et al., 1997; Wang and Jacob, 1998]. Despite progress in our understanding of the human influence on tropospheric ozone, estimates of the global mean radiative forcing due to ozone added since preindustrial times range widely, from 0.2 to 0.6 W m\textsuperscript{-2} [Houghton et al., 1995]. A key reason for the wide range of estimates is that, unlike most other greenhouse gases, ozone has a short chemical lifetime (weeks), resulting in an inhomogeneous distribution that is difficult to map out with observations or to model. Accurate knowledge of the change of ozone in three dimensions, however, is essential to the calculation of the radiative forcing of anthropogenic ozone [Lacis et al., 1990; Hansen et al., 1997; Haywood et al., 1998].
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With limited ozone observations available, especially from the preindustrial era [Marenco et al., 1994], most radiative forcing studies have relied on models. Two-dimensional models [e.g., Hauglustaine et al., 1984; Forster et al., 1996] have tended to overestimate ozone abundances, since NO emissions are artificially diluted. Increasing the ozone production efficiency [Liu et al., 1987; Kanakidou and Crutzen, 1993]. While three-dimensional models can better simulate the meridional variations of ozone, they make heavy demands on computer time. To deal with these demands, previous studies have so far employed a simple NOx-CH4-CO chemistry [Chalita et al., 1996; Roelofs et al., 1997] and/or have applied monthly mean ozone distributions, calculated off-line, into the radiative transfer code [Chalita et al., 1996; van Dorland et al., 1996; Bersch et al., 1996; Haywood et al., 1998; Brasseur et al., 1998; Stevenson et al., 1998]. Other studies have inferred seasonally averaged anthropogenic trends in ozone from present-day observations of tropospheric ozone [Portmann et al., 1997; Kiehl et al., 1999]. A simple NOx-CH4-CO chemistry neglects the important contribution of non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs), in particular isoprene, to ozone production [Wang et al., 1998a; Horowitz et al., 1998], using monthly or seasonally averaged ozone distributions in radiative calculations ignores the short term correlations between ozone and meteorological variables such as clouds and temperature [e.g., Pickering et al., 1992; Stillman and Samson, 1995].

To improve estimates of the radiative forcing from anthropogenic ozone since preindustrial times, we have implemented a detailed on-line simulation of tropospheric ozone-N2O-NMHC chemistry in a general circulation model developed at the Goddard Institute for Space Studies, the GISS GCM 2′ [Rind and Lerner, 1996]. Radiative forcing is calculated every 5 hours in the GCM, using the locally simulated ozone fields. In this manner, we hope to resolve the synoptic-scale correlations of ozone with other radiative variables, in particular temperature and clouds. The radiative forcing calculations do not for now feedback into the GCM climate so that the preindustrial and present-day simulations use the same meteorological fields. An on-line simulation of radiative forcing from anthropogenic sulphate using the same GCM is presented by Koch et al. [1999]. We examine here the sum of these two forcings.

In section 2 of this paper we describe the coupled GCM-chemistry model. In section 3 we compare the calculated distributions of ozone and related species to observations in the present day atmosphere, and in section 4 we discuss output from the preindustrial simulation. In section 5, we present and discuss results from the radiative forcing calculations. Our conclusions are in section 6.

2. Model Description

The GISS GCM 2′ is described by Rind and Lerner [1996] and by Rind et al. [1999]. Relative to the earlier GISS GCM 2 [Hansen et al., 1983], the GCM 2′ includes improved schemes for the boundary layer and land surface processes. It also uses the second-order moments scheme of Prather [1986] for heat and moisture advection, and a fourth-order scheme for advection of momentum. The convection scheme includes entrainment, detrainment, and downdrafts, and condensed water in clouds is traced through the hydrological cycle [Del Genio et al., 1996]. The GCM 2′ version implemented here has a resolution of 4° latitude and 5° longitude, with nine vertical layers in a sigma coordinate system extending from the surface to 10 hPa (seven layers up to 150 hPa). Tests of this version using the chemical tracers CFC-11, 85Kr, and 222Rn indicate improved (slower) rates of interhemispheric exchange and vertical transport compared to the GISS GCM 2 [Rind and Lerner, 1996].

Our chemical model largely follows previous off-line simulations of tropospheric ozone-N2O-hydrocarbon chemistry using meteorological fields from the GISS GCM 2 [Wang et al., 1998b; Horowitz et al., 1998; Liang et al., 1998; Horowitz and Jacob, 1999]. We transport in the GCM 2′ 24 chemical tracers, listed in Table 1. Two tracers, NO2 and O3, are chemical families. Tracer transport is calculated every 4 hours, using the same second-order moments scheme employed for heat and moisture advection. With a model top at 10 hPa and coarse vertical resolution, the GCM 2′ cannot realistically simulate the residual circulation in the stratosphere nor the associated transport from the stratosphere into the troposphere [Rind et al., 1999]. Flux up...

### Table 1. Chemical Tracers in the GCM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tracer</th>
<th>Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>O + O + NO2 + 2×NO3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>NO + NO2 + NO3 + HNO2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO3</td>
<td>peroxycetyl nitrate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO4</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C4 alkanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2O4</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkynes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O2</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4H6</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2H6</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C4 alkanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALK4</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRPE</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isoprene acetone</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3OOH</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH2O</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH3CHO</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCIO</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MKE</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEK</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methy vinyl ketone methacrolein</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPAN</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPN</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAN2</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peroxymethacryloyl nitrate</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lumped peroxyacetyl nitrates</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lumped alkyl nitrates</td>
<td>lumped ≥ C3 alkenes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
per boundary conditions are therefore applied between the seventh and eighth layers (about 150 hPa) to represent transport across the tropopause, as described by Wang et al. [1998b]. The flux upper boundary condition for ozone is based on the cross-tropopause air mass fluxes derived by Appenzeller et al. [1996] as a function of latitude and season, together with ozoneonde measurements at 100 hPa at midlatitudes [Logan, 1999a]. Methane is not transported in the model but is specified instead at a uniform mixing ratio on the basis of observations (1.7 ppm for present-day, 0.7 ppm for preindustrial).

The chemical mechanism in the model is described by Horowitz et al. [1998]. It includes 80 chemical species and over 400 chemical reactions. Complete decomposition pathways are included for five NMHCs: ethane, propane, a lumped C₄-C₅ alkane, propene, and isoprene. Heterogeneous hydrolysis of N₂O₅ in sulfate aerosols is included with a reaction probability of 0.1 and using global sulfate fields from Chin et al. [1996]. The chemical mechanism is integrated over 4-hour time steps with a fast Gear solver [Jacobson and Turco, 1994]. Photolysis rates are calculated using a six-stream radiative transfer code for the Rayleigh scattering atmosphere with reflective clouds [Logan et al., 1981; Spivakovskaya et al., 1990]. Dry deposition is calculated with a resistance-in-series scheme [Wang et al., 1998b]. Wet deposition of HNO₃ and H₂SO₄ follows the scheme developed by Koch et al. [1999] for scavenging of soluble gases in the GCM 2'. This scheme ensures consistency with the GCM air and condensed-water fluxes during wet convective events.

Emission schemes in the model are similar to those implemented by Wang et al. [1998b]. Anthropogenic emissions include fossil fuel combustion and industrial sources for NOₓ, CO, and NMHCs; soil emissions of NOₓ from fertilizer application; wood fuel emissions of CO; and biomass burning emissions of NOₓ, CO, and NMHCs. Table 2 lists the global annual emissions for all the emitted species in the model. Chemical rates, dry deposition fluxes, and natural emission fluxes vary locally as a function of the GCM environmental variables, as described by Wang et al. [1998b].

The preindustrial simulation uses the same GCM meteorological fields as the present-day simulation. We also chose to use the same cross-tropopause flux of ozone as in the present-day simulation, given that little is known about trends in this flux. All anthropogenic emissions are shut off except for biomass burning emissions, which are reduced to 10% of their present-day value.

The GCM employs the correlated k-distribution method to determine radiative absorption and emission in the longwave and shortwave spectral regions [Hansen et al., 1983]. Absorbers in the longwave include H₂O, CO₂, N₂O, CH₄, and ozone; and in the shortwave H₂O, CO₂, NO₂, O₃, and ozone. Important absorption features of tropospheric ozone include the 9.6 and 14 µm bands in the infrared and the Huggins bands (310-400 nm) and Chappuis bands (400-800 nm) in the ultraviolet and visible. A prescribed climatological distribution for ozone is used in the radiative heating calculations that drive the GCM meteorology. We also apply the same radiative transfer code at each time step and grid square to calculate local radiative budgets on the basis of the ozone concentrations simulated with the online chemical model. The radiative forcing from ozone is then obtained by comparing our computed radiative fluxes at the tropopause in the present-day and preindustrial simulations. Our radiative calculation does not feed back into the GCM climate; the present-day and preindustrial simulations use exactly the same meteorological fields.

All forcings calculated in this manner are instantaneous forcings [Hansen et al., 1997]. Many previous studies [e.g., Roolof et al., 1997; van Dorland et al., 1997; Bernsten et al., 1997; Haywood et al., 1998] have calculated the "adjusted" radiative forcing, in which the stratospheric temperatures are permitted to relax to equilibrium following the radiative perturbation. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Global Emission Inventories for the Present-Day Atmosphere</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fossil fuel combustion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass burning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil (natural)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil (fertilizer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratosphere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fossil fuel combustion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood fuel combustion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass burning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoprene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass burning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ C₄ alkanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biogenic sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass burning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units are Tg N yr⁻¹ for NOₓ, Tg CO yr⁻¹ for (X), and Tg C yr⁻¹ for the other molecules. Values are annual means.
difference between instantaneous and adjusted forcings may be 10–20% [Berntsen et al., 1997; Haywood et al., 1998].

To initialize the model meteorology, the GCM 2′ was run without chemistry for 10 years. Two 18-month simulations were then performed with chemistry for preindustrial and present-day conditions. We analyze the results from the last 12 months of these simulations.

3. Evaluation of the Present-Day Simulation

The simulation for the present-day atmosphere needs to be compared with observations. Because the GCM generates a typical meteorological year, not a specific year, the best comparisons are with statistics of measurements taken over many years. Such observations are available only for ozone (from the ozonesonde network) and for surface CO [Oltmans and Levy, 1994; Novelli et al., 1998; Logan, 1999b]. The global mean OH concentration in the model can be evaluated with the methyl chloroform lifetime inferred from observations [Prinn et al., 1995]. For other species, we must rely on sparse data from aircraft campaigns (B. Bradshaw et al., Observed distributions of nitrogen oxides in the remote free troposphere from NASA Global Tropospheric Experiments, submitted to Reviews of Geophysics, 1999). For comparison to model results, we average the aircraft data regionally.

A detailed evaluation of model results for an earlier simulation using GISS GCM 2 meteorological fields was presented by Wang et al. [1998c] (W98c). Our simulation differs mostly in its use of GCM 2′ meteorological fields and also in its improved chemical mechanism. We have conducted the full range of model evaluations presented in W98c and show here a small selection of results particularly relevant to the ozone simulation. Comparisons to the model results of W98c are also discussed.

3.1. Carbon Monoxide

Figure 1 compares model CO to observations at four surface sites. The model captures the seasonal variation of CO, which peaks in late winter-early spring when OH abundances are lowest and, in the Southern Hemisphere, when biomass burning is most intense. The model overestimates CO at Cape Meares, on the coast of Oregon, because of averaging of emissions over the 4° by 5° grid scale. We also show in Figure 1 model results for the adjacent grid box west of Cape Meares. The agreement with observations is much improved. The model underestimates CO at Samoa, a discrepancy which we diagnose is due to insufficient cross-equatorial transport over the western Pacific in the GISS GCM 2′. Compared to W98c, the model has higher concentrations in the boundary layer and mid-troposphere over source regions (industrial continents at northern midlat-

![Figure 1](image-url). Comparison of observed with simulated monthly mean CO concentrations near the surface. Data are from Novelli et al. [1998]. Solid lines and open circles represent observations; dashed lines and triangles are model simulations.
3.2. Nitrogen Oxides

Figure 2 (first column) compares model results for NO to aircraft observations. The figure also shows the standard deviation of the calculated mean NO value for the grid boxes in each region. The agreement is generally close, although the standard deviations are large in source regions.

Figure 2 also compares calculated profiles of HNO₃ with aircraft measurements (second column). As in W98c and other global three-dimensional models [e.g., Lawrence and Crutzen, 1998; Hauglustaine et al., 1998], the model overestimates HNO₃ in the remote troposphere. Lawrence and Crutzen [1998] have recently proposed that gravitational settling of cirrus ice crystals, a process not included in the GISS GCM 2', may significantly reduce HNO₃ abundances in the upper troposphere. New kinetic data for the reactions NO₂ + OH + M and HNO₃ + OH [Brown et al., 1999], not included in our model, would also reduce HNO₃ abundances in the free troposphere [Schultz et al., 1999]. Suppression of heterogeneous hydrolysis of N₂O₅ when the aerosol is dry would further reduce HNO₃ [McKeen et al., 1997; Schultz et al., 1999].

The third column of Figure 2 compares simulated profiles of PAN to observations. Agreement is in general fairly good, to within a factor of 2. The model appears to underestimate PAN in regions affected by biomass burning. Interpretation of this discrepancy is

![Figure 2](image-url)
difficult because biomass burning emissions may vary significantly from year to year and because the aircraft preferentially sampled biomass burning plumes.

3.3. OH and H$_2$O$_2$

Figure 3 shows the 24-hour averaged, zonal mean OH concentration fields calculated for January and July. Maximum OH concentrations are found in the mid-troposphere over the tropics, reflecting the abundances of ultraviolet radiation and water vapor. Our OH concentration fields agree closely with the MOZART global model simulation of Haukka et al. [1998], but are about 25% lower at the maximum than those reported by W98c and by C. M. Spivakovsky et al. (Three-dimensional climatological distribution of tropospheric OH, submitted to *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 1999; hereinafter referred to as submitted paper.) A key reason for the discrepancy with W98c is that we calculate higher concentrations of CO, a sink of OH, in the mid-troposphere over the tropics. Analysis of the discrepancy with C. M. Spivakovsky et al. (submitted paper, 1999), on the other hand, shows that we calculate about 25% lower ozone concentrations in the mid troposphere over the tropics, leading to lower OH abundances in that region. We calculate the globally averaged lifetime of methyl chloroform against oxidation by OH below 150 hPa to be 6.2 years, significantly longer than the value of 4.8 ± 0.3 years estimated by Prinn et al. [1995] from observed methyl chloroform concentrations and global inventories.

**Figure 4.** Same as Figure 2 but for H$_2$O$_2$. 

**Figure 3.** Calculated zonal mean OH concentrations (10$^5$ molecules cm$^{-3}$) in January and July.
Comparison of our model results with aircraft observations for \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), the major sink of odd hydrogen and a sensitive indicator of \( \text{HO}_2 \) concentrations, is presented in Figure 4. The figure shows fair agreement except in biomass burning regions where again the model is too low.

### 3.4. Ozone

Simulated ozone concentrations near the surface and at 500 hPa for January and July are shown in Figure 5. Ozone concentrations are high over industrial regions in the Northern Hemisphere in summer and over biomass burning regions in the tropics. Figures 6 and 7 compare the observed and simulated seasonal variations at 800 and 500 hPa, respectively, and Figure 8 compares vertical profiles. The observations are multiyear averages taken from ozonesonde measurements [Logan, 1999b]. At most sites the model captures the monthly mean of ozone to within about 10 ppb. There are some important discrepancies, such as underestimate in biomass burning regions or over Wallops Island at 800 hPa during summer. These discrepancies are comparable to those in W98c. The model reproduces the observed vertical gradient of ozone much better than W98c, in which the gradient was too weak, particularly in the tropics. This improvement can be attributed to the better convection in the GCM 2'.'

A global budget of odd oxygen (\( \text{O}_3 \)) in the present-day scenario is presented in Table 3. For the purpose of this budget, \( \text{O}_3 \) is defined as the sum of ozone, \( \text{NO}_2 \), \( 2\times\text{NO}_3 \), \( \text{HNO}_4 \), \( 3\times\text{N}_2\text{O}_5 \), and the peroxyacylnitrates; ozone makes up over 99% of \( \text{O}_3 \) globally. Calculated \( \text{O}_3 \) production and loss rates — 4300 Tg yr\(^{-1}\) and 3960 Tg yr\(^{-1}\), respectively — are on the high side but within the range of those calculated by other models (3018–4500 Tg yr\(^{-1}\) for production rates and 2511–4000 Tg yr\(^{-1}\) for loss rates [Hauglustaine et al., 1998]). Ozone loss by dry deposition in our model, 760 Tg yr\(^{-1}\), is again within the range of values reported in other three-dimensional model studies (534–1100 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)). For the transport of ozone from the stratosphere, our model calculates 390 Tg yr\(^{-1}\), a rate nearly identical to that estimated by MOZART but at the low end in the range of rates calculated by other models (391–846 Tg yr\(^{-1}\)) [Hauglustaine et al., 1998].

### 4. Preindustrial Simulation

In Figure 9, we show simulated ozone near the surface in January and July for the preindustrial atmo-
and ozone, and have declined by 10–20% over the remote atmosphere, where the effect of increasing CO and CH₄ dominates. We calculate that the mass-weighted global mean OH concentration has decreased by 16% since preindustrial times, from $1.1 \times 10^6$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ to $9.2 \times 10^5$ molecules cm$^{-3}$. WJ98 calculated a percent change of only 9%. One reason for this difference is that we calculate increases in CO of up to 600% over the northern midlatitudes, where WJ98 reported increases in CO of only 400%. Most other model studies concur that global OH abundances have declined since preindustrial times [Thompson, 1992], though Berntsen et al. [1997] calculate a 6% increase in OH.

In Figure 11, we present by season the difference in tropospheric ozone column between the preindustrial and present-day simulations, in Dobson units (DU), where 1 DU = $2.7 \times 10^{16}$ molecules O$_3$ cm$^{-2}$. The differences range from less than 5 DU over remote regions to as much as 20–25 DU over polluted areas in Northern Hemisphere summer. Observed present-day tropospheric ozone columns range from less than 20 DU over the remote Pacific to more than 60 DU over polluted areas [Fishman et al., 1990].

5. Radiative Forcing

Plate 1 (top panels) shows the seasonally averaged longwave radiative forcing by anthropogenic ozone computed in the model for December-January-February (DJF) and June-July-August (JJA). Figure 12 shows these longwave forcings zonally averaged. The forcing correlates with the change in ozone. In DJF, the
Radiative forcing reaches a maximum of 0.8 W m$^{-2}$ over sub-Saharan Africa due to biomass burning pollution. In March-April-May (not shown), increasing solar insolation in the Northern Hemisphere causes ozone abundances to increase together with the longwave radiative forcing; maximum forcings (0.8–1.2 W m$^{-2}$) are over the Sahara and India. In JJA the forcing is highest at northern midlatitudes (0.8–1.1 W m$^{-2}$) and much weaker over India because of the monsoon. Finally, in September-October-November (not shown), longwave forcings decrease over the Northern Hemisphere but increase in the Southern Hemisphere due to biomass burning pollution from South America and southern Africa. The longwave forcings generated over sub-Saharan Africa due to biomass burning in boreal winter are greater than those generated by biomass burning during austral spring over South America. The reason for this difference, discussed in further detail below, involves the difference in cloudiness between the two regions.
The maximum longwave forcings are at subtropical latitudes (Figure 12), except during boreal summer, when the maximum forcings are at about 50°N. The forcing is relatively weak at equatorial latitudes (10°S–10°N). As we shall see below, the subtropical maxima reflect the large temperature differences between Earth’s surface and the tropopause in these regions, and the equatorial dips are due in part to the influence of clouds.

Plate 1 and Figure 12 also show the shortwave radiative forcing from anthropogenic ozone. The forcings are greatest, as much as 0.7 W m⁻², over the Arctic and Greenland in Northern Hemisphere summer. The high albedo of the Arctic region and Greenland means that more sunlight is reflected and can then be intercepted by the added ozone. In Northern Hemisphere winter there is little or no sunlight reaching the high latitudes, and the shortwave forcing drops to zero. Forcings are less in the Southern than in the Northern Hemisphere summer, simply because less anthropogenic ozone is generated in the former.
Table 3. Global Budgets for Tropospheric Ozone in the Present-Day and Preindustrial Simulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present-Day Atmosphere</th>
<th>Preindustrial Atmosphere</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In situ chemical production</td>
<td>4330</td>
<td>1620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport from stratosphere</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sources</td>
<td>4720</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sinks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In situ chemical loss</td>
<td>3960</td>
<td>1650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry deposition</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sinks</td>
<td>4720</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Burden (Tg O₃)</strong></td>
<td>360</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence time (days)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units are Tg O₃ yr⁻¹. Budgets are for the odd oxygen family defined as the sum of ozone, O₃, NO₂, 2×NO₂, HNO₃, 3×N₂O₅, and the peroxyacylnitrates.

In Plate 2, we add the longwave and shortwave forcings to obtain the total radiative forcing due to anthropogenic ozone for the four seasons. In JJA the combined forcings are more than 1.0 W m⁻² in some regions over Europe, southeastern and central Asia, the western United States, and the Arctic. These local forcings are nearly comparable to the globally averaged forcing since preindustrial times from anthropogenic CO₂, 1.6 W m⁻² [Houghton et al., 1995]. As seen in Plate 1, the shortwave forcing is an important contributor to the total forcing at high latitudes.

The average total (shortwave+longwave) forcing for the year in the Northern Hemisphere is 0.53 W m⁻²; for the Southern Hemisphere it is 0.34 W m⁻². The global average total for the year is 0.44 W m⁻² (0.35 longwave + 0.09 shortwave). This value is higher than the average instantaneous radiative forcing obtained by other three-dimensional model studies, about 0.35±0.03 W m⁻² [Chalita et al., 1996; Berntsen et al., 1997; Brasseur et al., 1998; Haywood et al., 1998; Kiehl et al., 1999]. (The average adjusted radiative forcing reported in other studies is, as expected, less than the average instantaneous forcing, about 0.33±0.06 W m⁻² [van Dorland et al., 1997; Berntsen et al., 1997; Roelofs et al., 1997; Haywood et al., 1998; Stevenson et al., 1998].) One possible reason for our higher value is that we compute a higher change for the tropospheric ozone burden than at least some of the other authors. (Not all authors report this change.) We calculate a change of 130 Tg ozone; the average change of three other models is 98 Tg ozone [Roelofs et al., 1997; Berntsen et al., 1997; Haywood et al., 1998]. Our value for the global mean, instantaneous radiative forcing per DU of ozone, 0.034 W m⁻²·DU⁻¹, is comparable to that

---

**Figure 9.** Simulated ozone concentrations (in ppb) near the surface for the preindustrial atmosphere in January and July.
Figure 10. Percentage change of zonally averaged annual mean ozone, OH, NO₂, and CO concentrations from the preindustrial to the present-day simulation.

obtained from other models, 0.036-0.039 W m⁻²DU⁻¹ [Berntsen et al., 1997; Haywood et al., 1998].

Four studies present plots of the annual mean total radiative forcings [Berntsen et al., 1997; Haywood et al., 1998; Stevenson et al., 1998; Kiehl et al., 1999]. In general, the distribution of our annual mean forcings (not shown) compares well with the distributions of these four studies, except our maximum forcings tend to be higher, 1.0 W m⁻² versus 0.7-0.9 W m⁻² in the other studies. Compared to Kiehl et al. [1999], we calculate lower annual mean forcings over the South Atlantic (0.6 W m⁻² versus 0.8 W m⁻²), but higher values over the Sahara (0.8 W m⁻² versus 0.5 W m⁻²). Roelofs et al. [1997] present seasonal mean plots for DJF and JJA; Chalita et al. [1996] and van Dorland et al. [1997] give monthly mean plots for selected months. Compared to these three studies, we calculate higher forcings over the Arctic during boreal summer—more than 1.0 W m⁻² versus 0.4-0.6 W m⁻². Our seasonal mean distributions compare well with Roelofs et al. [1997], but our monthly mean distributions show higher maxima compared to Chalita et al. [1996] and van Dorland et al. [1997]. For example, we calculate as much as 1.1 W m⁻² for July over parts of Europe, Asia, and North America (not shown), while the other studies obtained maxima of only 0.8-1.0 W m⁻².

Finally, our annual mean shortwave radiative forcings (not shown) have a steeper meridional gradient than forcings calculated by Berntsen et al. [1997] or by Haywood et al. [1998]. Though our global mean shortwave forcing of 0.09 W m⁻² is only slightly higher than the forcings obtained by Berntsen et al. [1997] (0.07-0.08 W m⁻²), we calculate more than twice the shortwave forcing over the Arctic on an annual average (0.30 W m⁻² versus 0.12-0.15 W m⁻²).

To determine under what conditions an addition of ozone has the greatest radiative forcing impact, we have normalized the radiative forcings in the model to the change in ozone in DU for that column. Figure 13 shows the zonally averaged, normalized forcings for the months of January and September. We chose these months to show the differences between solstices and equinoxes and to highlight the effect of biomass burning in the Southern Hemisphere in September. Normalization removes much of the variability in the calculated radiative forcings (compare Figure 12 to Figure 13). The normalized longwave forcings peak in the subtropics and decrease markedly toward the poles, while the shortwave forcings peak over the sunlit polar latitudes. Compensation between longwave and shortwave forcings results in little latitudinal gradient for the total forcing, except near the dark and cold poles.

What accounts for the variance of the normalized longwave forcing with latitude? We examined two factors: (1) the temperature difference between Earth's
surface and the tropopause and (2) the cloud optical depth. In Figure 14, we plot the normalized longwave forcing as a function of the temperature difference between the surface and the tropopause in the corresponding grid square. The temperature difference between Earth’s surface and the tropopause is a rough measure of how much energy can be trapped by the added ozone. Indeed, the plots show a strong positive correlation between normalized longwave forcing and temperature difference at latitudes poleward of about 20°. Over Antarctica (small temperature difference), the normalized forcing can actually be negative due to a temperature inversion, with an extremely cold surface. At latitudes equatorward of 20° (large temperature difference), the correlation between longwave forcing and temperature difference breaks down.

A second factor contributing to variation of the normalized longwave forcing is cloud optical depth. Clouds absorb outgoing longwave radiation in the 9.6 μm ozone band and thus limit the radiative forcing effect of added ozone. Figure 15 shows the normalized longwave radiative forcing versus monthly mean cloud optical depth in the mid- and upper troposphere (740–150 hPa) for 0–20° latitude in September. The negative correlation is apparent. The cloud effect explains the lower normalized forcing at equatorial compared to subtropical latitudes (Figure 15).

An important question to ask is whether calculating radiative forcings with on-line chemistry, as we have done here, leads to results significantly different from those obtained with monthly or seasonally averaged ozone distributions as in most previous studies. To answer that question, we conducted an “off-line” radiative forcing calculation in the GCM, using monthly mean ozone fields from the preindustrial and present-day simulations for the month of September. Percent differences between the two calculations range from less than ±2% over most of the Earth to ±8% in only a few grid boxes (not shown). The monthly mean, globally averaged radiative forcing differs by less than 1%. Our results suggest that synoptic-scale correlations between ozone and other radiative variables such as temperature and clouds do not significantly influence the radiative forcing from ozone and that the common use of off-line ozone fields is an acceptable method of calculating the forcing. However, it is possible that the synoptic-scale correlations cannot be adequately resolved in the model. This is an issue for further research.

To what extent does the cooling effect due to anthropogenic sulfate aerosol offset the the warming effect
Plate 1. Seasonally averaged longwave (top panels) and shortwave (bottom panels) radiative forcings at the tropopause from anthropogenic ozone in the model. Units are W m$^{-2}$. 
Figure 12. Zonally averaged, seasonal mean radiative forcings from anthropogenic ozone in the model for (a) December-January-February and (b) June-July-August. Values are for longwave (LW), shortwave (SW), and total.

Figure 13. Zonally averaged, normalized radiative forcing (W m⁻² DU⁻¹) from anthropogenic ozone in the model for January and September. Values are for longwave (LW), shortwave (SW), and total.

Figure 14. Normalized radiative forcing from anthropogenic ozone as a function of the monthly mean temperature difference between Earth’s surface and the tropopause for each model 4° × 5° grid square in September.
Plate 2. Total (shortwave + longwave) radiative forcing from anthropogenic ozone computed by the model for different seasons. Units are W m$^{-2}$.
due to anthropogenic ozone? To answer this question, we have added the direct, instantaneous shortwave forcing from sulfate aerosol obtained by Koch et al. [1999] with the same version of the GISS GCM 2' to the forcing from ozone calculated in this study. Figure 10 shows the zonally averaged radiative forcing due to ozone and sulfate for two seasons, DJF and JJA. The effect of sulfate dominates over the Northern Hemisphere mid-latitudes, particularly in summer when solar radiation is most intense and sulfate production is maximum. Ozone tends to dominate at other latitudes, reflecting the greater geographical extent of human influence on ozone than on sulfate.

The combined globally and annually averaged radiative forcing from tropospheric ozone and sulfate is $-0.23 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ($0.44 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ozone, $-0.67 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ sulfate). A previous study by van Dorland et al. [1997] found that the radiative forcings of sulfate and ozone nearly cancel each other, with a combined forcing of $0.02 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ($0.38 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ ozone, $-0.36 \text{ W m}^{-2}$ sulfate). The sulfate negative forcing calculated by van Dorland et al. [1997] is only half that calculated by Koch et al. [1999]. One possible reason for this difference is that Koch et al. [1999] use a prognostic simulation of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ for aqueous phase oxidation of $\text{SO}_2$, which as they point out leads to a higher sulfate burden than off-line $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$. Van Dorland et al. [1997] do not report their sulfate burden.

6. Conclusions

To investigate the radiative forcing due to anthropogenic ozone added to the troposphere since preindustrial times, we have implemented a detailed on-line simulation of ozone-$\text{NO}_x$-hydrocarbon chemistry into a general circulation model, the GISS GCM 2'. Model results for ozone and related species in the present-day atmosphere compare well with observations and
give us confidence in our use of the model as a tool
to examine radiative forcing. For the preindustrial sim-
ulation, all anthropogenic emissions were shut off, ex-
cept for biomass burning emissions, which were reduced
to 10% of current rates. Preindustrial CH4 was 0.7 ppm.
We calculate increases in ozone since preindus-
trial times of 20–200% depending on region and season.
The change in column ozone ranges from less than 5 
DU over Antarctica to as much as 20–25 DU over indus-
trial regions in the Northern Hemisphere during sum-
er. Over areas affected by biomass burning pollution,
the change in ozone is typically 15–20 DU.

We calculate a globally averaged radiative forcing due
to anthropogenic ozone of 0.35 W m\(^{-2}\) in the longwave
and 0.09 W m\(^{-2}\) in the shortwave, for a total radiative
forcing of 0.41 W m\(^{-2}\). The radiative forcing we ob-
tain for ozone is about one fourth that from CO2 since
preindustrial times [Houghton et al., 1995]. Ozone forc-
ings of 0.8 1.2 W m\(^{-2}\) occur over the Sahara and India
in spring and over large regions in the northern mid-
latitudes in summer. Over the Arctic in summer, partic-
ularly strong shortwave forcing results in a total forcing
of more than 1.0 W m\(^{-2}\), comparable to the forcing at
northern midlatitudes.

The normalized radiative forcing per unit of added
ozone column varies globally from -0.01 to 0.05 W m\(^{-2}\)
DU\(^{-1}\) in the model. At latitudes poleward of 20\(^\circ\), the
variance of the normalized longwave forcing is largely
determined by the temperature difference between the
tropopause and Earth's surface. At lower latitudes,
cloud cover is an important factor. In the shortwave,
radiative forcing of ozone is amplified by high surface
albedo.

To test the importance of resolving synoptic-scale
correlations of ozone with other radiative variables in
radiative forcing calculations, we have compared our
simulation using on-line chemistry with an off-line sim-
ulation using monthly mean ozone fields as input. The
two simulations generate similar forcings when averaged
over the globe, as well as similar distributions of forc-
ing. The results suggest that synoptic-scale correlations
between ozone, temperature, and clouds do not signifi-
cantly affect the radiative forcing from ozone and that
the use of monthly mean ozone fields provides satisfac-
tory results in radiative forcing calculations. However,
this further work is needed to determine if the model pro-
vides a realistic simulation of these correlations.

We examined the additive radiative forcings from tro-
pospheric ozone and from sulfate [Koch et al., 1999]
computed with the same GCM. Sulfate strongly dom-
ninates the forcings at northern midlatitudes. Ozone
tends to dominate elsewhere due to the greater geo-
ographical extent of human influence. The globally and
annually averaged radiative forcing due to ozone and
sulfate combined is -0.23 W m\(^{-2}\).

The capability for on-line simulation of the radiative
forcing of tropospheric ozone provides a platform for
investigation of the couplings between chemistry and
climate. In the future, we plan to use the model to in-
vestigate the effects of changing climate on tropospheric
ozone, methane, and aerosols, and to assess the associ-
ated chemical feedbacks such as those involving surface
temperature, lighting, and ozone in the upper tropo-
sphere [Toumi et al., 1996; Sinha and Toumi, 1997].

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the
Interdisciplinary Study Program of the Earth Observing
System of the National Aeronautics and Space Administra-
tion (NASA/EOS/IDS). L. Mickley acknowledges support
from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administra-
tion (NOAA) Postdoctoral Program in Climate and Global
Change and from the Bunting Institute of Radcliffe College.

References

Appenzeller, C., J. R. Holton, and K. H. Rosenlof, Seasonal
variation of mass transport across the tropopause, J. Geo-

Berner, T. K., I. S. A. Isaksen, G. Myhre, J. S. Fu-
gestvølt, H. Stordal, T. A. Larsen, R. S. Freckleton,
and K. P. Shine, Effects of anthropogenic emissions on
tropospheric ozone and its radiative forcing, J. Geo-

Brasseur, G. P., J. Kiehl, J. F. Müller, T. Schneider, C.
Granier, X. Tie, and D. Hauglustaine, Past and future
changes in global tropospheric ozone: Impact on radiative

Brown, S. S., T. Gierczak, R. W. Portmann, R. K. Taluk-
dar, J. B. Burkhoffler, and A. R. Rashvishnikara, Role of
nitrogen oxides in the stratosphere. A reevaluation based
on laboratory studies, Geophys. Res. Lett., 26, 2387-2390,
1999.

Chalita, S., D. A. Hauglustaine, H. Le Treut, and J. F.
Müller, Radiative forcing due to increased tropospheric
ozone concentrations, Atmos. Environ., 30, 1641-1646,
1996.

Chin, M., D. J. Jacob, G. M. Gardner, M. S. Foreman-
Fowler, P. A. Spirko, and D. L. Savoie, A global three-
dimensional model of tropospheric sulfate, J. Geo-

Cruzen, P. J and P. H. Zimmermann, The changing pho-
tochemistry of the troposphere, Tellus, 43A, 136-151,

Del Genio, A. D., M. S. Yao, W. Kovari, and K. K. W.
Lo, A prognostic cloud water parameterization for global

Fishman, J., C. E. Watson, J. C. Larsen, and J. A. Logan,
Distribution of tropospheric ozone determined from satel-

Forster, P. M., C. B. New, J. C. Hansen, J. A. Pyle, and
K. P. Shine, Further estimates of radiative forcing due
to tropospheric ozone changes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 23,
3321-3324, 1996.

Hansen, J., G. Russell, D. Rind, P. Stone, A. Lacis, S. Lebe-
doff, R. Ruedy, and L. Travis, Efficient three-dimensional
models for climate studies: Models I and II, Mon. Weather

Hansen, J., M. Sato, and R. Ruedy, Radiative forcing and

Hauglustaine, D. A., G. P. Brasseur, S. Walters, P. J.
Rasch, J. F. Müller, L. K. Emmons, and M. A. Carroll,
MOZAIC: A global chemical transport model for ozone
and related chemical tracers, 2. Model results and evalu-

Hauglustaine, D. A., C. Granier, G. P. Brasseur, and G.


Wang, W., J. P. Pinto, and Y. L. Yung, Greenhouse effects due to man-made perturbations of trace gases, J. Atmos.


D. M. Koch and D. Rind, Goddard Institute for Space Studies, 2880 Broadway, New York, NY 10025.

(Received March 26, 1999; revised June 15, 1999; accepted June 17, 1999.)