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The $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$-$\text{HNO}_3$-$\text{NH}_3$ System at High Humidities and in Fogs

1. Spatial and Temporal Patterns in the San Joaquin Valley of California

Daniel J. Jacob, J. William Munger, Jed M. Waldman, and Michael R. Hoffmann

Environmental Engineering Science, W. M. Keck Engineering Laboratories, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena

A systematic characterization of the atmospheric $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$-$\text{HNO}_3$-$\text{NH}_3$ system was conducted in the fog water, the aerosol, and the gas phase at a network of sites in the San Joaquin Valley of California. Spatial patterns of concentrations were established that reflect the distribution of $\text{SO}_2$, $\text{NO}_x$, and $\text{NH}_3$ emissions within the valley. The concept of atmospheric alkalinity was introduced to interpret these concentrations in terms of the buffering capacity of the atmosphere with respect to inputs of strong acids. Regions of predominantly acidic and alkaline fog water were identified. Fog water was found to be alkaline in most of the valley, but small changes in emission budgets could lead to widespread acid fog. An extended stagnation episode was studied in detail: progressive accumulation of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$-$\text{HNO}_3$-$\text{NH}_3$ species was documented over the course of the episode and interpreted in terms of production and removal mechanisms. Secondary production of strong acids $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ and $\text{HNO}_3$ under stagnant conditions resulted in a complete titration of available alkalinity at the sites farthest from $\text{NH}_3$ sources. A steady $\text{SO}_2$ conversion rate of $0.4-1.1\% \text{ h}^{-1}$ was estimated in the stagnant mixed layer under overcast conditions and was attributed to nonphotochemical heterogeneous processes. Removal of $\text{SO}_2$ was enhanced in fog, compared to nonfoggy conditions. Conversion of $\text{NO}_x$ to $\text{HNO}_3$ slowed down during the stagnation episode because of reduced photochemical activity; fog did not appear to enhance conversion of $\text{NO}_2$. Decreases in total $\text{HNO}_3$ concentrations were observed upon acidification of the atmosphere and were attributed to displacement of $\text{NO}_3^-$ by $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ in the aerosol, followed by rapid deposition of $\text{HNO}_3(g)$. The occurrence of fog was associated with general decreases of aerosol concentrations due to enhanced removal by deposition.

INTRODUCTION

Extremely high acidities have been reported in fogs and low stratus clouds collected in southern California [Munger et al., 1983; Brewer et al., 1983; Waldman et al., 1985; Jacob et al., 1984a, 1985]. The acidity of these fogs was due to $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ and $\text{HNO}_3$, while $\text{NH}_3$ was found to be the main alkaline species titrating the acidity [Jacob et al., 1984a]. Therefore one can attempt to model the "acid fog" phenomenon by consideration of acid-base neutralization processes in the $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$-$\text{HNO}_3$-$\text{NH}_3$ atmospheric system.

Ammonia is released directly to the atmosphere from a variety of sources [Cass et al., 1982], but $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ and $\text{HNO}_3$ are mostly produced by atmospheric oxidation of reduced sulfur and nitrogen compounds. Oxidation of $\text{SO}_2$ to $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ proceeds in the gas phase [Calvert and Stockwell, 1984], in concentrated aerosol [Kaplan et al., 1981; Crump et al., 1983], and in dilute aqueous solutions [Martin, 1984]. Oxidation of $\text{NO}_x$ to $\text{HNO}_3$ proceeds in the gas phase by the reaction $\text{NO}_2 + \text{OH}$ and may also proceed heterogeneously following scavenging by aerosol of $\text{NO}_3$ and $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$ produced from the reaction $\text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_3$ [Heikes and Thompson, 1983]. Nitrite is slowly oxidized to $\text{NO}_3^-$ in dilute solutions [Damschen and Martin, 1983]. Using data available for $\text{S(IV)}$ and $\text{N(III)}$ oxidation reactions, Jacob and Hoffmann [1983] predicted that aqueous phase oxidation of $\text{S(IV)}$ in fog droplets could be an important source of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ under polluted conditions; on the other hand, they found that aqueous phase oxidation of $\text{N(III)}$ provided only a very small source of $\text{HNO}_3$.

Sulfuric acid is present as an aerosol under usual atmospheric conditions, but $\text{HNO}_3$ and $\text{NH}_3$ have substantial vapor pressures over ammonium nitrate aerosol [Stelson and Seinfeld, 1982]. The atmospheric residence times of $\text{HNO}_3$ and $\text{NH}_3$ are strongly dependent on their partitioning between the gas phase and the aerosol. The gaseous species are quickly removed by deposition (1-5 cm s$^{-1}$ for $\text{HNO}_3(g)$ over grass [Huebert, 1983]). On the other hand, secondary particles formed from $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$-$\text{HNO}_3$-$\text{NH}_3$-$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ mixtures are typically in the size range 0.1-1 $\mu$m and have low deposition velocities of the order of 0.01-0.1 cm s$^{-1}$ [Seetharam, 1980; Slade, 1982]. The occurrence of fog enhances the removal of aerosol species because growth of particles to fog droplet size considerably increases their deposition rates. Fog droplet deposition velocities of 2-7 cm s$^{-1}$ over short grass [Dollard and Unsworth, 1983] and 2-4 cm s$^{-1}$ over a dirt surface [Waldman, 1986] have been reported.

A general mechanism to account for fog water acidity in polluted atmospheres may therefore include six fundamental processes: (1) emissions of $\text{SO}_2$, $\text{NO}_x$, and $\text{NH}_3$, (2) pollutant transport, (3) secondary production of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ and $\text{HNO}_3$, (4) detailed chemical speciation within the $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$-$\text{HNO}_3$-$\text{NH}_3$-$\text{H}_2\text{O}$ system, (5) scavenging by fog droplets, and (6) in-fog aqueous phase oxidation of reduced $\text{N}$ and $\text{S}$ species.

The San Joaquin Valley of California is an excellent "field laboratory" for the interpretation of fog water composition in terms of the above processes. It is the site of major oil recovery operations, which release large amounts of $\text{SO}_2$ and $\text{NO}_x$. In addition, agricultural and livestock-feeding activities provide important sources of $\text{NH}_3$. Severe stagnation episodes, associated with persistent fog and low-lying stratus clouds, occur frequently during the winter months. These stagnation episodes are caused by persistent temperature inversions based on a few hundred meters above the valley floor and below the surrounding mountain ridges [Holets and Swanson, 1981]. Tracer studies have documented the lack of ventilation in the valley during these prolonged episodes [Reible, 1982].

In a preliminary study at a single site from December 1982 to January 1983, Jacob et al. [1984a] documented the main...
features of aerosol and fog water chemical composition during stagnation episodes in the San Joaquin Valley. The main features observed were as follows: (1) important accumulation of secondary aerosol proceeded under nonfoggy but stagnant conditions, (2) significant pollutant deposition occurred over the course of fog events, (3) SO₂ conversion in fog did not exceed a few percent per hour, and (4) the fog water pH was determined by the availability of NH₃ to neutralize the H₂SO₄ and HNO₃ present.

A more comprehensive study was conducted during January 1984, of which this report presents the main results. Aerosol, fog water, and gas phase concentrations were monitored at a network of sites. Spatial and temporal variations of atmospheric concentrations are established that reflect both the geographical distribution of emission sources and the meteorological conditions. The concept of atmospheric alkalinity is introduced to predict the potential for high-acidity fog events. Pollutant accumulation and removal over the course of a stagnation episode are characterized under both foggy and nonfoggy conditions. The rate of oxidation of SO₂ to H₂SO₄ is estimated. The effect of stagnation on HNO₃ production is discussed. The partitioning of the H₂SO₄-HNO₃-NH₃ system between the gas phase, the aerosol, and the fog water is interpreted in a companion paper in terms of a thermodynamic model [Jacob et al., this issue]. The complete fog water, aerosol, and gas phase data set is given by Jacob [1985].

**Experimental**

The volumetric concentrations of aerosol, HNO₃(g), and NH₃(g) were monitored at eight sites over the period December 31, 1983, to January 14, 1984 (Figure 1). Samples were collected twice daily (0000-0400 and 1200-1600 PST) at the six valley sites and once daily (1000-1600 PST) at the two mountain sites (Tehachapi and Lake Isabella). The sampling stations were located on platforms 15 m above the ground (Wasco and Tehachapi), on the roof of a building or trailer (Bakersfield, Lost Hills, Buttonwillow, McKittrick, and Visalia), or on the ground, 1.5 m above a grassy area (Lake Isabella). Two open-faced 47-mm Gelman Zefluor Teflon filters (1-μm pore size) were operated side by side (10 L min⁻¹) to provide duplicate determinations of the inorganic content of the aerosol. A flat cover 15 cm above the filters prevented collection of large particles by sedimentation. The Stokes number at the filter inlet is 0.05 for a 50-μm-diameter particle, so that even very large fog droplets should be efficiently sampled [Davies and Subari, 1982]. Under foggy conditions the determinations of total aerosol NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ may be subject to errors because of evaporative losses (see the appendix), and those numbers subject to error were excluded from the data interpretation. A 47-mm Gelman Nylasorb nylon filter collected gaseous nitric acid immediately downstream of one of the Teflon filters, and an oxalic-acid-impregnated glass fiber filter collected gaseous ammonia immediately downstream of the other Teflon filter.

The filters were sealed in petri dishes and kept at 4°C following collection. The Teflon filters were extracted in 10 mL of distilled deionized water (Corning Megapure) for 90 min, using a reciprocating shaker; complete extraction was indicated by insignificant concentrations in repeated extractions. The extracts were analyzed for major ions using standard methods previously described by Munger et al. [1983]. The nylon filters were extracted for 90 min, using a reciprocating shaker in a solution 3 mM HCO₃⁻ and 2.4 mM CO₃²⁻ (a conventional ion chromatography eluent). Oxalic-acid-impregnated filters were extracted and analyzed following the protocol of Russell [1983]. Ion chromatography revealed low levels of S(IV) in the Teflon filter extracts, but these concentrations were small compared to SO₄²⁻, and their contributions were ignored. Although most of the aerosol sulfur is expected to be present as SO₄²⁻, some of the measured SO₄²⁻ may have resulted from the oxidation of reduced sulfur species on the filter or in the extract.

Fogs were sampled by event at four sites (Figure 1). Fog water samples were collected with a rotating arm collector [Jacob et al., 1984b] for intervals ranging from 30 min to 3 hours. The rotating arm collector has a theoretical sampling rate of 5 m³ min⁻¹ and has been shown to collect fog water samples without evaporation or condensation. Laboratory calibration has indicated a lower size cut (50% collection efficiency) of 20-μm diameter. Because the instrument collects fog droplets by direct impaction and does not require drawing air through an inlet, large fog droplets are efficiently collected. Liquid water content in the fog was determined from the sampling rate of the instrument, assuming that 60% of the total liquid water sampled was actually collected [Waldman, 1986].

Fog water samples were preserved and analyzed for major ions and trace metals following the protocol described by Munger et al. [1983], with the exception described below. In fog water samples, significant S(IV) concentrations are found during conventional anion analysis by ion chromatography. A possible explanation is the formation of stable but reversible S(IV)-RCHO adducts, such as CH₃(OH)SO₃⁻ [Munger et al., 1984]. The standard ion chromatographic method ( Dionex AS-3 column, 3 mM HCO₃⁻ + 2.4 mM CO₃²⁻ eluent, 3 mL min⁻¹ flow rate) proposed by Dionex Corporation [1981] and used by Munger et al. [1983] does not clearly separate S(IV) and NO₃⁻. Better separation is achieved with a weaker eluent or with the Dionex AS-4 column, but quantification of S(IV) remains unsatisfactory. To solve this problem, aliquots for anion determination were spiked to 0.09 M H₂O₂ several minutes prior to injection and simultaneously made alkaline.
by the usual addition of HCO$_3^-$ and CO$_3^{2-}$ to match the eluent; this procedure was found to result in the quantitative oxidation of HSO$_3^-$ and CH$_3$(OH)SO$_3^-$ standards to SO$_3^{2-}$ and the total suppression of the Si(IV) peak in fog water samples. Sulfur(IV) concentrations were separately determined by a pararosaniline colorimetric method on aliquots preserved with buffered (pH 4) CH$_3$O immediately upon sample collection [Dasgupta et al., 1980]. Fog water SO$_3^{2-}$ concentrations were calculated by subtracting the Si(IV) concentrations thus obtained from the SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations determined by ion chromatography. Concentrations of carbamate ions were determined by ion exclusion chromatography [Keene et al., 1983].

To date there are no standardized sampling procedures for the collection of fog water and aerosol samples for chemical analysis. Therefore it is important to assess the errors associated with our methods. A detailed discussion of sampling biases, artifacts, and standard errors on our data is presented in the appendix.

Hourly average concentrations of SO$_2$ were measured at Bakersfield by the Getty Oil Company and at McKittrick, Kernridge, and Lost Hills by West Side Operators (WSO). Concentrations of nitrogen oxides, NO, and O$_3$ were monitored at Kernridge by WSO. Surface winds were measured by WSO (Lost Hills, Kernridge, McKittrick, and Maricopa), Getty Oil Company (Bakersfield), National Weather Service (NWS) (Bakersfield and Fresno), Lemoore Naval Air Force Base, and Kern County Fire Department (Tehachapi). Upper level winds were measured at Edwards Air Force Base, located in the Mojave Desert on the SE side of the Tehachapi Mountains. Mixing heights were measured hourly by WSO at Kernridge with an acoustic sounder. Additional weather data were available from the National Weather Service station at Bakersfield.

To account for the equilibria between gas and aerosol phases, we define S(VI), N(V), and N(−III) to represent the element at the given oxidation state, both in the gas and aerosol phases. Thus N(V) includes HNO$_3$(g) and NO$_3^-$, and N(−III) includes NH$_3$(g) and NH$_2^+$. We further define [A] as the concentration of constituent A in fog water (moles per liter of water), and (A) as the concentration of A in air (moles per cubic meter of air). Concentrations of HNO$_3$(g) will generally be given in equivalents, for consistency with the units of NO$_3^-$ and NH$_2^+$ concentrations. “Equivalent” in that sense refers to the proton donor/acceptor capacity of the gas when scavenged by the aerosol. Both HNO$_3$(g) and NH$_3$(g) contribute one equivalent per mole; 1 ppb = 43 neq m$^{-3}$ at 5°C.

**Weather Pattern and Pollutant Transport**

Figure 2 shows the profile versus time of mixing heights and stratus cloud bases over the valley floor during the sampling program. Two types of mixing height diurnal patterns were observed.

In the first pattern (December 31 to January 1, January 10–12, and January 14), ground-based inversions formed by radiation at night and broke up the following afternoon, leading to mixing heights in excess of 1000 m above ground level (AGL). This pattern was usually associated with clear skies or high cloudiness, but fogs in ground-based inversions were occasional occurrences (for example, at Bakersfield on December 31). Figure 3a gives the average wind vectors on the days when this pattern was observed. A net slow NW flow was observed on the valley floor, and upper level winds were NW; this is the usual flow in the area and reflects the circulation around the Pacific High off the California coast. Terrain influences in the southern end of the valley led to convergence of the flow in the SE corner of the valley. Surface winds in the valley frequently shifted in direction, and erratic low winds were typically observed under nighttime stable conditions.

Concentrations of trace gases at Kernridge were lowest on the days when this first pattern was observed (Figure 2) and so were aerosol concentrations [Jacob, 1985]. Because net horizontal transport was very slow (Figure 3a), it is unlikely that surface winds ventilated the valley by transport over the mountain ridges in the SE corner of the valley. Aerosol concentrations at Tehachapi and Lake Isabella remained much lower than in the valley, which is evidence against such transport. Instead, pollutant removal was due to rapid vertical mixing as the inversion broke up in the afternoon; this vertical mixing diluted the polluted air parcels and allowed their rapid transport by strong upper level NW winds to the surrounding air basins.

A different mixing height pattern was observed on January 2–7; during that period a strong temperature inversion based a few hundred meters above the ground persisted over the valley. This inversion was due to mesoscale subsidence associated with a stationary high-pressure system (Great Basin High) centered over southern Idaho and northern Nevada. Upper level winds at Edwards Air Force Base switched from NW to east during that period (circulation around the Great Basin High), and afternoon winds at Tehachapi were SE. The valley was capped throughout the January 2–7 period by a stratus cloud filling the upper part of the mixed layer (Figure 2); this cloud frequently intercepted the McKittrick site 250 m above the valley floor. On the night of January 4–5 the stratus base lowered sufficiently to cause fog at elevated sites on the valley floor (Kernridge and Bakersfield NWS station), although most of the valley floor remained overcast. On the nights of January 5–6 and 6–7 the stratus base lowered sufficiently to fill the entire mixed layer, as shown in Figure 2, and dense fog was observed throughout the valley. The cloud layer then deepened considerably on January 7–8; mixing heights rose to above 1000 m AGL, and drizzle fell on the valley floor. On January 9 the inversion base dropped again, but upper level winds had switched back to NW. After January 10 the first mixing height pattern (surface inversions at night breaking up in the afternoon) was again observed.

The capping of the valley by a persistent inversion based at a lower altitude than the surrounding mountain ridges obviously restricted ventilation. Concentrations of trace gases at Kernridge (Figure 2) increased over the stagnant January 2–7 period and dropped on January 8, when the mixing height rose above the mountain ridges. Concentrations then increased again on January 9–10 and dropped on January 10.

Winds in the valley during the January 2–7 period are shown in Figure 3b. Net horizontal transport was extremely slow. Cross-valley winds were dominant south of Lost Hills; farther north, however, a net flow north out the valley was observed. Reible [1982] had previously observed similar flow patterns during stagnation episodes in the valley and found pollutant transport in the southern end of the valley to be extremely complex because of the upslope flow/downslope flow systems associated with the mountain/wind systems. He concluded from a series of tracer releases that the southern San Joaquin Valley behaved as a stirred tank with a mixing time of 1–2 days, slowly ventilated by the outflow at its northern end. We will try to interpret our data in term of that simple model, and for that purpose we define the "southern San Joaquin Valley” (SSJV) as that portion of the valley south of the Kern County line (see Figure 1).

Figure 3b indicates a zone of inflow along the western edge of the SSJV and two possible outflows to the north and to the...
Fig. 2. Concentrations of $\text{SO}_2$, $\text{NO}_x$, and CO at Kernridge: the bold lines were obtained by smoothing the data with a digital filter. Also given are mixing heights (stratus cloud tops) measured at Kernridge and stratus cloud bases measured at the Bakersfield National Weather Service station. The reference altitude is that at Lost Hills, the lowest site on the valley floor. Kernridge hourly mixing height data were missing for January 8-9 (dotted line in the mixing height profile), and mixing heights for that period were interpolated from scattered measurements at Kernridge and cloud top data from pilot reports. Discontinuities in the mixing height profile at the altitude of Kernridge indicate a surface inversion at Kernridge. Discontinuities in the mixing height profile at 1000 m AGL indicate a mixing height in excess of 1000 m AGL.
east. However, very little transport of boundary layer air is expected over the mountain ridges on the eastern edge of the valley. The inversion did not break down or lift near the slopes: the stratus cloud intercepted the Bakersfield-Tehachapi highway as a well-defined fog layer, and the community of Tehachapi always remained sunny and clear. Further, winds in the SSJV were entirely decoupled from the circulation aloft, as indicated by the upper level winds to the east at Edwards AFB and the SE winds at Tehachapi. Aerosol concentrations remained very low at Tehachapi and Lake Isabella throughout the episode.

Therefore slow transport north out of the valley was the main outflow for SSJV air. Resultant winds at Lemoore, Fresno, and Lost Hills were consistent (Figure 3b). Projections of these winds on the valley axis (150°) yielded average speeds of 0.24, 0.26, and 0.22 m s⁻¹ at each site, respectively. Since the SSJV is about 100 km long, this flow led to an average residence time of 5 days for an air parcel within the SSJV. The accumulation pattern for CO at Kernridge (Figure 2) suggests approach of a steady state by January 5, which is consistent with a residence time of 5 days.

**Average S(VI), N(V), and N(−III) Concentrations at Each Site**

The SSJV is the site of important SO₂, NOₓ, and NH₃ emissions (Table 1). The geographical distribution of the main emission sources is shown in Figure 1. Most of the NH₃ is emitted from confined-feeding operations concentrated on the east side of the valley, especially around Bakersfield and Visalia. Another important source of NH₃ is cropland, which occupies most of the land in the valley floor not used for oil recovery operations, and the associated fertilizer use. Emissions of SO₂ and NOₓ are concentrated in the east side and west side oil fields of the SSJV; the oil field emissions originate mostly from small boilers, which release their exhausts 10-20 m above the ground and therefore affect the immediate surroundings. Mobile sources (two major highways, off-road farm equipment, and city traffic) also contribute to NOₓ emissions.

Spatial patterns of aerosol and fog water concentrations (Tables 2 and 3a-3c) directly reflected the distribution of emission sources. The ionic content of aerosol in the valley was dominated by SO₂²⁻, NO₃⁻, and NH₄⁺, which typically contributed over 90% of the total measured ionic loading. Concentrations of N(−III) were highest at Bakersfield and Visalia, near the large cattle feedlots, and lowest on the west side (Lost Hills and McKittrick). Mountain sites (Lake Isabella and Tehachapi), which have no important local NH₃ sources, had very low N(−III) concentrations.

Concentrations of S(VI) were highest at Bakersfield, which is within the east side oil fields; they were also high at McKittrick, which is within the west side oil fields, and at Buttonwillow, directly downwind of the west side oil fields. Concentrations of S(VI) at Wasco, Lost Hills, and Visalia were lower, reflecting their respective distances from oil recovery operations. Concentrations of S(VI) at the two mountain sites were very low and indicated no observable impact from the valley air.

Because most of the NOₓ was emitted from the same sources as SO₂, one would expect the spatial distribution of N(V) concentrations to be similar to that of S(VI). Indeed, N(V) concentrations were highest at Bakersfield; however, N(V) concentrations at McKittrick were low. The lack of NH₃ at McKittrick frequently led to acidic conditions, in which N(V) would be mostly present as HNO₃(g) and therefore quickly removed by deposition. This point will be addressed in more detail below. Concentrations of N(V) were higher at Visalia than would be expected from the spatial distribution of S(VI); Visalia is an important population center, and emissions from mobile sources were probably the dominant source of N(V) precursors at that site.

Fog water concentrations of trace metals were consistent with the above analysis (Table 3b). Concentrations of Ni and V, which are almost exclusively associated with residual oil burning [Cooper and Watson, 1980], were high at Bakersfield,
Our observation that horizontal pollutant transport on the cle at the McKittrick but never at the other sites. At the close balance of acids and bases at that site, small fluctuations in emissions can result in a lack of available base to neutralize S(VI) and N(V); low pH values were found at McKittrick and very high pH values were found at Visalia. This supports our observation that horizontal pollutant transport on the valley floor was very slow. Under such conditions, fog water acidity should be directly determined by the relative abundance of local acidic (SO₂, NOₓ) and alkaline (NH₃) emissions. Indeed, Table 3 indicates that fog water acidity differed greatly from site to site, depending on the availability of NH₃ to neutralize S(VI) and N(V); low pH values were found at McKittrick and very high pH values were found at Visalia.

The pH of fog samples collected simultaneously at four sites during the widespread January fog event clearly showed this spatial pattern (Figure 4). Acidic fog was consistently observed at McKittrick but never at the other sites. Acidic fog had frequently been observed at the Bakersfield side 116 west side 69 Agriculture 1 Mobile sources 6 Total emissions 1972 NO₂ Source Emissions, t d⁻¹ Stationary sources Mobile sources Total emissions NH₃ Total emissions 138 52 190 46 18 10 3 2 79 Oil production east side 116 west side 69 Agriculture 1 Mobile sources 6 Total emissions 1972 See Figure 1 for definition of southern San Joaquin Valley. Area is 7930 km². *Aerovironment, Incorporated [1984], December 1982 data. †California Air Resources Board [1982], 1979 data. ‡Mostly oil and gas production. §Jacob [1985], January 1984 data.
sphere before an acid fog problem is to be feared and is thus a useful tool for regulatory purposes.

For the subset of samples analyzed for carboxylic acids we calculated the fog water alkalinity \([\text{ALK}]\) as follows:

\[
[\text{ALK}] = [\text{HCOO}^-] + [\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^-] + [\text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{COO}^-] + [\text{CH}_3\text{CH}(_\text{OH})\text{COO}^-] + [\text{HCO}_3^-] + [\text{NH}_3(aq)] + [\text{OH}^-] - [\text{H}^+] \tag{2}
\]

where \([\text{H}^+]\) was directly measured, and the concentrations of alkalinity-contributing species were determined from the fog water concentrations and the equilibrium constants for the acid-base equilibria at 5°C. Smith and Martell [1976] and Martell and Smith [1977] give \(K_{a1}\) values of \(1.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}\), \(1.7 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}\), \(1.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}\), and \(1.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}\) for HCOOH, CH\(_3\)COOH, CH\(_3\)CH\(_2\)COOH, and CH\(_3\)CH(OH)COOH, respectively. \(K_b = 1.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}\) for \(\text{NH}_3\), and \(K_w = 2.0 \times 10^{-15} \text{ M}^2\) for \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) dissociation. Concentrations of
TABLE 2. Aerosol, HNO₃(g), and NH₃(g) Data, December 31, 1983 to January 14, 1984

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Number of Samples</th>
<th>Na⁺</th>
<th>K⁺</th>
<th>NH₄⁺</th>
<th>Ca²⁺</th>
<th>Mg²⁺</th>
<th>Cl⁻</th>
<th>NO₃⁻</th>
<th>SO₄²⁻</th>
<th>HNO₃(g)</th>
<th>NH₃(g)</th>
<th>N(V)</th>
<th>N(-III)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bakersfield</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>&lt;4-56</td>
<td>&lt;4-19</td>
<td>144-1149</td>
<td>6-75</td>
<td>&lt;4-12</td>
<td>&lt;20-67</td>
<td>85-467</td>
<td>78-855</td>
<td>&lt;4-46</td>
<td>19-483</td>
<td>93-471</td>
<td>209-1204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;4-4</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>706</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKittrick</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>&lt;4-86</td>
<td>&lt;4-20</td>
<td>74-584</td>
<td>4-43</td>
<td>&lt;4-10</td>
<td>&lt;20-93</td>
<td>23-419</td>
<td>19-312</td>
<td>&lt;4-54</td>
<td>&lt;17-273</td>
<td>54-431</td>
<td>95-648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>&lt;4-4</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>378</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost Hills</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;4-48</td>
<td>&lt;4-7</td>
<td>55-553</td>
<td>4-57</td>
<td>&lt;4-11</td>
<td>&lt;20-43</td>
<td>4-310</td>
<td>16-314</td>
<td>&lt;4-174</td>
<td>&lt;17-88</td>
<td>40-414</td>
<td>55-617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&lt;4-8</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>254</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKittrick</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>5-70</td>
<td>&lt;4-13</td>
<td>28-424</td>
<td>6-43</td>
<td>&lt;4-10</td>
<td>&lt;20-71</td>
<td>10-246</td>
<td>36-802</td>
<td>&lt;4-164</td>
<td>&lt;17-205</td>
<td>21-347</td>
<td>37-457</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>&lt;4-4</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>258</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttonwillow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>&lt;4-10</td>
<td>36-663</td>
<td>6-68</td>
<td>&lt;4-11</td>
<td>&lt;20-41</td>
<td>34-400</td>
<td>31-579</td>
<td>&lt;4-37</td>
<td>18-645</td>
<td>77-406</td>
<td>135-1001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>&lt;4-4</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>437</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visalia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>&lt;4-16</td>
<td>&lt;4-7</td>
<td>108-359</td>
<td>6-37</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>&lt;20-41</td>
<td>73-318</td>
<td>35-129</td>
<td>&lt;4-15</td>
<td>184-662</td>
<td>73-322</td>
<td>484-869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>&lt;4-4</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>689</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Isabella</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&lt;4-28</td>
<td>&lt;4-4</td>
<td>8-32</td>
<td>6-32</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;4-23</td>
<td>&lt;4-23</td>
<td>5-36</td>
<td>&lt;17-44</td>
<td>&lt;8-59</td>
<td>&lt;8-44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt;4-4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tehachapi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>&lt;4-93</td>
<td>&lt;4-140</td>
<td>8-73</td>
<td>10-126</td>
<td>&lt;4-43</td>
<td>&lt;20-252</td>
<td>&lt;4-50</td>
<td>&lt;4-47</td>
<td>&lt;4-18</td>
<td>&lt;17-74</td>
<td>&lt;8-68</td>
<td>&lt;8-84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are in nanoequivalents per cubic meter. See Jacob [1985] for the complete data set.
aFrom January 5 to January 14.
bFrom December 31 to January 7.

HCO₃⁻ were calculated by assuming equilibrium with $P_{CO_2} = 340$ ppm ($H \times K_a = 7.07 \times 10^{-6}$ M e atm⁻¹ at 5°C). We assumed $[S(IV)^2-] = 0$ in all our calculations; free $S(IV)$ is mostly divalent at pH > 7, but there is strong evidence that $S(IV)$ in fog water actually combined with aldehydes to form stable adducts [Munger et al., 1984]. These $S(IV)$-aldehyde adducts remain monovalent over a higher range of pH, up to pH 11.7 for the $S(IV)$-formaldehyde adduct [Sørensen and Andersen, 1970]. Even if $S(IV)$ was actually divalent at pH > 7, $S(IV)$ concentrations in fog water at such high pH values were low (Table 3, Visalia), and the contribution of $S(IV)^2-$ to the fog water alkalinity would be very small.

Estimating (ALK)$_a$ from the available aerosol data was less straightforward. We assumed that the ions on the right-hand side of (1), possibly plus additional weak acid anions, constituted the aerosol ionic content unaccounted for in our chemical analysis. By a charge balance on the aerosol we obtained:

$$ (ALK)_a = (Na^+) + (K^+) + (NH_4^+) + 2(Ca^{2+}) + 2(Mg^{2+}) - (Cl^-) - (NO_3^-) - 2(SO_4^{2-}) $$

$$ (ALK) - (ALK)_a + (NH_3(g)) - (HNO_3(g)) $$

Since we have argued that the inorganic acidity in fog is mostly controlled by species in the $H_2SO_4-HNO_3-NH_3$ system, we will assume that the only gas phase contributors to (ALK) are $HNO_3(g)$ and $NH_3(g)$. A calculation of the ultimate alkalinity of an air parcel should include $SO_2(g)$; however, the contribution of $SO_2(g)$ to (ALK) may be limited by the slow rate of $SO_2$ scavenging by fog [Jacob and Hoffmann, 1983]. For now we ignored the $SO_2(g)$ contribution and calculated the atmospheric alkalinity from the expression:

$$ (ALK = (ALK)_a + (NH_3(g)) - (HNO_3(g)) $$

Average alkalinitities at each site are given in Table 4a. Because of the possibility of $HNO_3$ or $NH_3$ volatilization from aerosol filter samples collected in fog (see appendix), (ALK) and (ALK)$_a$ were calculated only for nonfoggy conditions. (ALK) and (ALK)$_a$ were usually small numbers determined by the difference of two large numbers, so the standard errors were fairly large. The calculation of the fog water alkalinity (ALK-I) involved subtracting a small number from a large number; the resulting standard errors were small and were not indicated explicitly.

TABLE 3a. Liquid Water-Weighted Average for Water Concentrations of Major Ions, December 31, 1983, to January 14, 1984

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Number of Samples</th>
<th>pH Range</th>
<th>H⁺</th>
<th>Na⁺</th>
<th>NH₄⁺</th>
<th>Ca²⁺</th>
<th>Mg²⁺</th>
<th>Cl⁻</th>
<th>NO₃⁻</th>
<th>SO₄²⁻</th>
<th>(IV), μM g m⁻³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bakersfield</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5.10-6.92</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3270</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>2070</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKittrick</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2.68-5.23</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttonwillow</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.01-6.79</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1067</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visalia</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.51-7.23</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unless otherwise indicated, concentrations are in microequivalents per liter of water. See Jacob [1985] for the complete data set.
aAverage liquid water content, based on the total amount of water collected and the total sampling time.
TABLE 3b. Liquid Water-Weighted Average Fog Water Concentrations of Trace Metals, December 31, 1983, to January 14, 1984

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Fe (µg ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>Mn (µg ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>Pb (µg ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>Cu (µg ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>Ni (µg ml⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bakersfield</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKittrick</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttonwillow</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visalia</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concentrations are in micrograms per liter of water. See Jacob [1985] for the complete data set.

The western edge of the SSJV currently suffers from a general acid fog problem, as shown by the negative average values of (ALK). In the remainder of the valley, (ALK) > 0, and fog water is not usually acidic. However, the average values of (ALK) at Bakersfield and Wasco presently amount to less than 20% of (S(VI) + N(V)) equivalent concentrations. If NH₃ is not in excess of NO₃⁻ and SO₄²⁻ in the fog water but not in the dried aerosol. Artifact aerosol neutralization should not occur during filter storage (see appendix).

Under nonfoggy acidic conditions the aerosol contained significant inorganic acidity when S(VI) was present in excess of N(−III). This occurred in six of the samples, all at McKittrick. In the remainder of the samples collected under acidic conditions the aerosol was neutralized, and the inorganic acidity was entirely present in the gas phase as HNO₃(g). This observation is in agreement with aerosol equilibrium models [Bassett and Seinfeld, 1983]; NH₃(g) is scavenged by acid sulfate aerosol until this aerosol is neutralized as (NH₄)₂SO₄. Excess NH₃ may then combine with HNO₃ to add NH₄NO₃ to the aerosol phase, but HNO₃ in excess of NH₃ remains in the gas phase.

The contributions of different species to fog water alkalinity are shown in Table 4b. The main contributors to [ALK] at Bakersfield and Buttonwillow were formate and acetate. Formic and acetic acids are efficiently scavenged in fog water at pH > 5; they are highly soluble, as indicated by their large Henry’s law constants (HCOOH,298 = 8.8 x 10⁻³ M atm⁻¹, HCH₃COOH,298 = 3.7 x 10⁻³ M atm⁻¹) and they are mostly dissociated, as indicated by their acidity constants (see above). At Visalia the fog water pH was much higher than at Bakersfield or Buttonwillow, and the contribution from HCOOH⁻ to [ALK] was correspondingly larger. Carboxylic anions did not provide higher contributions at Visalia than at Bakersfield or Buttonwillow; since carboxylic acids are already efficiently scavenged at pH 5–6, raising the pH higher leads to little additional scavenging.

TABLE 4a. Average Alkalinitites at Each Site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Number of Samples</th>
<th>[ALK] L, µeq L⁻¹</th>
<th>[ALK] L⁺, µeq m⁻³</th>
<th>L⁺ L⁻</th>
<th>µeq m⁻³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bakersfield</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>111 ± 31</td>
<td>20 ± 30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wasco</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>46 ± 19</td>
<td>13 ± 18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost Hills</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-11 ± 16</td>
<td>-2 ± 16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McKittrick</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-12 ± 18</td>
<td>-20 ± 17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buttonwillow</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>131 ± 31</td>
<td>-14 ± 28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visalia</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>441 ± 30</td>
<td>-7 ± 18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*From January 5 to January 14.
*From December 31 to January 7.

ALK is the atmospheric alkalinity, ALKₒ is the aerosol alkalinity, and ALK_L is the fog water alkalinity. ALK and ALKₒ were calculated for the subset of samples analyzed for organic acids. Error bounds are the standard errors on the determinations of the means.

ACCUMULATION AND REMOVAL OF H₂SO₄—HNO₃—NH₃ SPECIES OVER THE COURSE OF A SEVERE STAGNATION EPISODE

The goal of this section is to interpret the accumulation of S(VI), N(V), and N(−III) species over the course of the January 2–7 severe stagnation episode, in terms of atmospheric production and removal mechanisms. As shown in Figure 5, the stagnation episode was generally associated with high concentrations of S(VI), N(V), and N(−III). The inversion base was roughly stable at h = 400 m AGL throughout the episode, and the residence time for air parcels in the SSJV was tₑ = 5 days. Therefore deposition was a more important removal pathway than ventilation for species with deposition velocities > 0.1 cm s⁻¹. The highest concentrations on the SSJV floor (Bakersfield, Wasco, and Lost Hills) were observed on January 5, after 4 days of stagnation under nonfoggy, overcast conditions; low aerosol deposition rates in the absence of fog allowed high levels of pollutant accumulation. Dense, widespread valley fogs on the mornings of January 6 and 7 (Figure 2) were associated with general decreases in aerosol con-
centrations, probably due to rapid deposition of fog droplets. Jacob et al. [1984a] have previously suggested that enhanced aerosol deposition in fogs efficiently limits pollutant accumulation during stagnation episodes, and our data support this hypothesis. Further decreases in atmospheric concentrations were observed on January 7–8, due to the rise of the inversion base (Figure 2) and deposition from drizzle.

As S(VI) and N(V) were produced over the course of the stagnation episode, significant inorganic acids were observed at Wasco, Lost Hills, and McKittrick. At Bakersfield, sufficient N(−III) was available to totally neutralize acid inputs. Because of the remarkably stable mixing height and the lack of ventilation we can attempt to apply stirred-tank considerations to calculate the rates of H$_2$SO$_4$ and HNO$_3$ production in the SSJV. The residence time $\tau$ of a species in a stirred tank is given by the expression:

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{v}{h} + \frac{k}{h} \tag{5}$$

where $v$ is the deposition velocity and $k$ is a first-order chemical loss rate. Of special interest is the period January 2–5, ranging from the onset of stagnation to the first widespread valley fog. The SSJV floor (Bakersfield, Wasco, and Lost Hills) remained overcast throughout that period, and roughly constant values of $v$ and $k$ may be expected. We can thus follow the evolution over 4 days of a very well-controlled stagnant atmospheric system.

**Production of H$_2$SO$_4$**

Concentrations of S(VI) increased progressively on the SSJV floor during the nonfoggy January 2–5 period. Concentrations remained very low at Visalia, which is out of the SSJV and far from SO$_2$ sources. Sulfur dioxide, the main precursor of S(VI) in the SSJV, has a deposition velocity of the order of 1 cm s$^{-1}$ over grass [Sehrnel, 1980]. A steady state for SO$_2$ in the SSJV should therefore be approached on a time scale of the order of 1 day after the onset of stagnation, and this appeared to be the case at Kernridge (Figure 2). Measured concentrations of SO$_2$ at Bakersfield, Kernridge, and Lost Hills during January 3–5 averaged 25, 12, and 3 ppb, respectively; a strong spatial gradient in SO$_2$ concentrations was maintained because mixing was slow. Modeling of SO$_2$ transport under stagnant conditions [Aerovironment, Incorporated, 1984] indicates that the SO$_2$ concentration field in the SSJV should be bounded on the lower end at Wasco and Lost Hills and on the upper end at Bakersfield. Therefore we expect steady state SO$_2$ concentrations in the SSJV mixed layer to range between 3 and 25 ppb. A stirred-tank calculation based on the volume of the SSJV mixed layer and the emission data of Table 1, assuming chemical loss to be slower than deposition ($V_{SO2} = 1$ cm s$^{-1}$), gives an average steady state SO$_2$ concentration of the order of 9 ppb in the SSJV. This is consistent with our observations.

The accumulation pattern of S(VI) on the SSJV floor was consistent with a pseudo first-order conversion rate of SO$_2$ to S(VI). Concentrations of S(VI) increased relatively steadily during the January 3–5 period, when SO$_2$ concentrations were approaching steady state. This steady conversion of SO$_2$ maintained important differences in S(VI) concentrations from site to site within the SSJV. A time lag for S(VI) production was clearly seen at Bakersfield on January 2, attributable to the time required for SO$_2$ to accumulate after the onset of stagnation. The profiles of S(VI) concentrations in the SSJV did not suggest an approach of steady state by January 5; the residence time of S(VI) aerosol in the SSJV under nonfoggy conditions was thus longer than 3 days, indicating a deposition velocity $v_{SO2} < 0.05$ cm s$^{-1}$ (equation (5)). This is in agreement with predicted deposition velocities for particles in the 0.05- to 1-μm size range at low wind velocities [Sehrnel, 1980]. Over the period January 3–5, S(VI) was produced in the SSJV much faster than it was removed, and we can therefore approximate the observed rate of S(VI) accumulation to the rate of SO$_2$ conversion. The average rates of S(VI) accumulation during January 3–5 were 9 neq m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ at Bakersfield, 3 neq m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ at Wasco, and 3 neq m$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ at Lost Hills; from the assumed steady state SO$_2$ concentration field in the SSJV (range 3–25 ppb, average 9 ppb), we estimate an average SO$_2$ conversion rate in the range 0.4–1.1% h$^{-1}$.

Because of the lack of photochemical activity during the stagnation episode (see Figure 6 and discussion below), conversion of SO$_2$ to H$_2$SO$_4$ must have proceeded predominantly in the aerosol and the cloud droplets. A likely pathway is metal-catalyzed autoxidation in the aqueous phase [Hoffmann and Jacob, 1984], which does not require photochemically generated oxidants. Considering that a stratus cloud filled a large fraction of the mixed layer during the period of January 3–5, an important question is to determine if the principal site for S(VI) oxidation was the cloud or the haze aerosol below. Concentrations of SO$_2$ progressively decreased at Kernridge during the foggy January 5–7 period, while concentrations of CO and NO$_x$ (which was emitted from the same sources as SO$_2$) kept on increasing. This is strong evidence that removal of SO$_2$ from the atmosphere was enhanced in the presence of fog. From Figure 2 the rate of SO$_2$ scavenging by fog appears to be on the order of 5% h$^{-1}$. This enhanced scavenging of SO$_2$ in fog does not necessarily imply enhanced production of S(VI); S(VI) may be stabilized in the aqueous phase by formation of adducts [Munger et al., 1983, 1984] or removed by deposition before being oxidized. We tried to evaluate S(VI) production directly in fog droplets by comparing S(VI) concentrations in successive fog water samples collected at one site, using Ni and V as conservative tracers for sulfur. However, we did not obtain statistically significant rates of SO$_2$ conversion in fog water (2 ± 6% h$^{-1}$ at Bakersfield, 0 ± 3% h$^{-1}$ at McKittrick [see Jacob, 1985]). This failure to find statistically significant rates is probably due to the complex nature of fog droplet growth and transport.
Fig. 5. Aerosol and gas phase concentrations and atmospheric alkalinites at the six valley sites over the period January 1-8. An open circle on top of the data bar indicates that the gas phase species was not measured. A plus sign on top of the data bar indicates that volatilization from the filter in fog could have affected the aerosol measurement (see the appendix).
Production of HNO₃

In addition to H₂SO₄, HNO₃ was produced. However, N(V) did not accumulate as steadily as S(VI). Concentrations of N(V) in the SSJV increased rapidly at the onset of stagnation (January 2–3) but did not increase after January 3. Nitrogen oxides accumulated steadily throughout the stagnation episode (Figure 2), showing no indication of loss from chemical conversion. Concentrations of N(V) did not show the large differences from site to site that were observed for S(VI), even though SO₂ and NOₓ mostly originated from the same combustion sources.

A logical explanation for these observations is that the rate of HNO₃ production was slow during the stagnation episode because of the widespread and persistent low overcast. Figure 6 shows the NO and O₃ concentration profiles at Kernridge. Ozone levels prior to the onset of stagnation were relatively high, indicating substantial photochemical activity; HNO₃ production by the reaction NO₂ + OH should proceed rapidly under those conditions. Also, O₃ was always present in excess of NO, so that HNO₃ could be produced at night by heterogeneous pathways initiated by the reaction NO₂ + O₃ [Heikes and Thompson, 1983]. After the onset of stagnation, however, the overcast restricted photochemical activity, and very low O₃ concentrations were observed; OH concentrations were probably very low. Further, since NO progressively accumulated to levels sufficient to titrate O₃ fully, the reaction NO₂ + O₃ did not proceed. Therefore little secondary production of HNO₃ would be expected in the SSJV after January 3. Fog did not perceptibly enhance the conversion of NOₓ to HNO₃; concentrations of NOₓ at Kernridge kept on increasing during the January 5–7 foggy period, similar to CO, which is not water-soluble. This is consistent with the poor solubilities of NO and NOₓ in water at atmospheric concentrations [Schwartz and White, 1981].

The NO₃⁻ aerosol present after January 3 was therefore mostly aged aerosol, slowly mixing within the SSJV. As mixing proceeded, the differences in NO₃⁻ concentrations from site to site became progressively weaker. Concentrations of N(V) at Bakersfield and Wasco were similar on January 10–15, even though S(VI) concentrations were much higher at Bakersfield. At Lost Hills and McKittrick, acidity of the atmosphere coincided with a brief increase in HNO₃(g) concentrations, immediately followed by an important drop in HNO₃(g) concentrations [Schwartz and White, 1981]. The atmospheric lifetime for HNO₃(g) is thus short (<0.5 days), which implies a large deposition velocity (>1 cm s⁻¹).

Accumulation of NH₃

Almost all of the NH₃ emitted in the SSJV during the stagnation episode was used to neutralize acid inputs. The fate of the resulting (SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, NH₄⁺) aerosol has been discussed above. At Visalia, however, acid inputs were small, and a large fraction of total N(−III) remained in the gas phase as NH₃(g). No accumulation of NH₃(g) was apparent at that site over the course of the episode, and this suggests an atmospheric residence time of <0.5 days for NH₃(g) (deposition velocity of >1 cm s⁻¹). Frequent fog and drizzle after January 5 at Visalia resulted in an important depletion of NH₄⁺ aerosol, but NH₃(g) concentrations were unaffected. Ammonia is poorly scavenged at the high pH values typical of Visalia fog water [Jacob et al., this issue].

Stirred-Tank Simulation

The above discussions have shown that the profiles of concentrations versus time during a stagnation episode can be successfully interpreted, based on stirred-tank considerations of pollutant accumulation and removal; however, the differences in concentrations from site to site clearly indicate that a stirred-tank model for the SSJV as a whole is not an adequate modeling tool. The major reason is that internal mixing is slow. Nevertheless, the success of the stirred-tank model in interpreting the data at individual sites suggests that one could model the SSJV by subdividing it into a number of cells where the stirred-tank approximation could be properly invoked. Such an exercise is beyond the scope of this paper; however, for the sake of illustrating and summarizing our discussion of field data we will present the results of a stirred-tank calculation applied to the entire SSJV. The accumulation of constituent A in such a model is described by

\[
d(A)/dt = E_A + k'(B) - k(A)
\]

where \(A_{gr}, A_{aq}, A_f\) and \(A_i\) are the gas phase, aerosol phase, and fog water phase species, respectively, \(E_A\) is the emission rate averaged over the volume of the mixed layer (moles per cubic meter per day), and \(k\) is the pseudo first-order rate for conversion of precursor \(B\) to \(A\). We simultaneously solved the coupled stirred-tank equations for SO₂, NOₓ, S(VI), N(V), and N(−III). The model conditions are given in Table 5 and are found on the back of the discussion of the field data. The emission data are those of Table 1, averaged over the volume of the SSJV mixed layer. We assumed that the aerosol was a neutralized mixture under nonfoggy conditions if N(−III) was in excess of S(VI), and that the formation of NH₄NO₃ aerosol was sufficiently favored to prevent HNO₃ and NH₃ from coexisting in the gas phase under any conditions (valid if the atmosphere is sufficiently humid). The simulation was run for 4 days from the beginning of the episode, with a foggy period extending from \(t = 2\) days to \(t = 3\) days. On the basis of results presented by Jacob [1985], Jacob et al. [this issue], and Waldman [1986] we made the simplifying assumptions that (1) 30% of the aerosol is present in the fog water at any given time, (2) HNO₃(g) is 100% scavenged under all foggy conditions, and (3) NH₃(g) is 100% scavenged...
in fog under acid conditions but not scavenged at all under alkaline conditions.

Figure 7 shows the predicted concentration profiles. Aerosol accumulates rapidly under nonfoggy conditions and is partially removed by fog. The main features of the observed concentration profiles are reproduced, in particular, the accumulation patterns for SO\textsubscript{4}^{2−} and NO\textsubscript{3}−. The emission rates of Table 1 lead to concentrations of species that are in the range of those observed. Some excess alkalinity as NH\textsubscript{3}(g) remains present throughout the episode.

**CONCLUSION**

A systematic characterization of the H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}-HNO\textsubscript{3}-NH\textsubscript{3} system in the fog water, the aerosol, and the gas phase was conducted at a network of sites in the San Joaquin Valley of California. Spatial patterns of atmospheric concentrations reflected the geographic distribution of oil recovery operations (SO\textsubscript{2}, NO\textsubscript{x}) and livestock-feeding and agricultural activities (NH\textsubscript{3}). The acidity of the fog water was found to be determined by the relative abundances of local acidic (SO\textsubscript{2}, NO\textsubscript{x}) and alkaline (NH\textsubscript{3}) emissions. A region of prevailing acidic conditions was identified on the western edge of the valley, where NH\textsubscript{3} emissions were low. Elsewhere, sufficient NH\textsubscript{3} was available to fully titrate the acidity. In the southern end of the valley, where major oil recovery operations release large amounts of SO\textsubscript{2} and NO\textsubscript{x}, a precarious atmospheric balance was found between high concentrations of acids and bases. The concept of atmospheric alkalinity was introduced as a quantitative measure of the acid-neutralizing capacity of the atmosphere with respect to fog. On the basis of this concept we predicted the regional potentials for high-acidity fog events in the San Joaquin Valley. We concluded that small changes in the activities of the agricultural industry or the oil industry could lead to widespread “acid fog” in the southern end of the valley.

Pollutant concentrations in the valley were strongly affected by the height of the mixed layer and by the occurrence of drizzle or fog. Mixing heights above 1000 m AGL efficiently ventilated the valley. A severe stagnation episode was documented when a temperature inversion based a few hundred meters above the valley floor persisted for 6 days. Progressive accumulation of H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}-HNO\textsubscript{3}-NH\textsubscript{3} species in the mixed layer was observed and interpreted in terms of atmospheric production and removal mechanisms. The accumulation patterns were consistent with very low deposition velocities for secondary (SO\textsubscript{4}^{2−}, NO\textsubscript{3}−, NH\textsubscript{4}+) aerosol under nonfoggy conditions (<0.05 cm s\textsuperscript{−1}), and high deposition velocities for HNO\textsubscript{3}(g) and NH\textsubscript{3}(g) (>1 cm s\textsuperscript{−1}). Decreases in aerosol concentrations were observed following fogs and were attributed to the rapid deposition of fog droplets. Therefore the occurrence of fog was found to effectively limit pollutant accumulation during stagnation episodes.

Secondary production of strong acids under stagnant conditions entirely titrated available alkalinitities at the sites farthest from NH\textsubscript{3} emissions. A steady conversion rate of SO\textsubscript{2} to H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} was estimated at 0.4–1.1% h\textsuperscript{−1} under overcast stagnant conditions. Conversion of NO\textsubscript{x} to HNO\textsubscript{3} was rapid at the beginning of the episode but dropped as the widespread persistent low overcast reduced photochemical activity. Removal of SO\textsubscript{2} was found to be enhanced in fog, compared to nonfoggy conditions, but NO\textsubscript{x} was not scavenged in fog. Acidification of the atmosphere was associated with a brief increase in HNO\textsubscript{3}(g) followed by a drop in total N(V) concentrations; this was explained by the displacement of aerosol NO\textsubscript{2}− by H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, followed by rapid deposition of HNO\textsubscript{3}(g).

**TABLE 5. Parameters for Stirred-Tank Model Simulation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deposition velocities, cm s\textsuperscript{−1}</th>
<th>Conversion rates, % h\textsuperscript{−1}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>SO\textsubscript{2} \textrightarrow SO\textsubscript{4}^{2−}, no fog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x}</td>
<td>SO\textsubscript{2} \textrightarrow SO\textsubscript{4}^{2−}, fog</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonactivated aerosol</td>
<td>NO\textsubscript{x} \textrightarrow NO\textsubscript{3}−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fog droplets</td>
<td>10 \textsuperscript{exp} (−2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO\textsubscript{3}(g)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH\textsubscript{3}(g)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Emission rates, \textmu mol m\textsuperscript{−3} d\textsuperscript{−1}

SO\textsubscript{2}, 0.95
NO\textsubscript{x}, 1.3
NH\textsubscript{3}, 1.4

Residence time of air parcels: 5 days. Mixing height: 400 m AGL; Initial conditions: [H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}] = [HNO\textsubscript{3}] = [NH\textsubscript{3}] = 0 at t = 0; Fog from t = 2 to t = 3, t is stated in days throughout.

**APPENDIX: ERROR ANALYSIS**

**Fog Water Concentrations**

A recent intercomparison study of fog water collectors [Hering and Blumenthal, 1985; Waldman, 1986] has demonstrated that the California Institute of Technology rotating arm collector provides reproducible and representative samples under both light and heavy fog conditions. The uncertainty on the concentrations of major ions (determined from samples collected with two rotating arm collectors set side by side) was found to be about 15%. Errors due to chemical analysis in the laboratory were about 5% for all analyzed ions. No significant differences in ionic concentrations were found between samples collected concurrently with the rotating arm collector, a jet impactor [Katz, 1980], and a screen collector [Brewer et al., 1983], set side by side.

Ionic balances are an indicator of whether all ionic components in the sample have been accounted for in analysis. Ionic
balances in the fog water samples were 0.98 ± 0.18 at Bakersfield (n = 15), 1.02 ± 0.09 at McKittrick (n = 53), 1.11 ± 0.18 at Buttonwillow (n = 7), and 0.60 ± 0.17 at Visalia (n = 12). The ionic balances were calculated from the following molar ratio:

Ionic balance

\[
\frac{[Cl^{-}] + [NO_3^{-}] + 2[SO_4^{2-}] + [S IV]}{[OH^+] + [Na^+] + [NH_4^+] + 2[Ca^{2+}] + 2[Mg^{2+}]}
\]

where Si(IV) was assumed to be monovalent [Jacob and Hoffmann, 1983]. We have argued previously (equation (2)) that this is a good assumption even at high pH. The most reliable ionic balances were found at McKittrick, while at Visalia there was a considerable and consistent anion deficiency. Fog water at Visalia had a consistently high pH and as a consequence could contain important alkalinity; therefore additional weak acid anions must be considered in an ionic balance. In fog water with low pH, such as at McKittrick, weak acids are mostly present in undissociated form and thus (A1) represents a good balance of cations to anions.

The principal ionic contributors to alkalinity in the pH range 3–8 are expected to be HCO₃⁻ and carbonate ions. Fog water concentrations of four carboxylate ions were determined on a subset of the fog water data set (Table 3). For that subset we calculated the concentrations of the anionic forms of the weak acids from equilibrium at the fog water pH and with the acidity constants given in the text. Before inclusion of weak acids the ionic balances were 1.03 ± 0.09 at McKittrick (n = 25) and 0.49 ± 0.15 at Visalia (n = 6); after inclusion of weak acids the ionic balances were 1.06 ± 0.11 at McKittrick and 0.75 ± 0.15 at Visalia. The McKittrick samples had little alkalinity, and the ionic balance remained close to unity. At Visalia on the other hand, ionic balances were greatly improved. There was still an anion deficiency at Visalia, likely due to undetermined weak acids.

Aerosol, HNO₃(g), and NH₃(g)

Concentrations

The filter methods used in this study may lead to two major types of error: (1) N(V) and N(−III) artifacts, and (2) random errors from the sampling process. We will address each in order. An additional source of error could be the neutralization of acidic and alkaline aerosol by absorption of NH₃(g) and HNO₃(g), respectively, during filter storage; however, blank oxalic-acid-impregnated filters and nylon filters were found to remain blank even after extended storage. Therefore aerosol neutralization during storage did not seem to occur.

Stelson and Seinfeld [1982] have shown that an increase in temperature at constant dew point during sampling may volatilize ammonium nitrate collected on Teflon filters and result in artifact HNO₃(g) and NH₃(g). Further, absorption of gaseous nitric acid on the Teflon filter may result in artifact aerosol nitrate [Spicer and Schumacher, 1979; Appel et al., 1980]. In an intercomparison study of gaseous nitric acid measurement methods, Spicer et al. [1982] found good agreement between the dual-filter method (used here) and other methods. Further, they found that the dual-filter method was accurate in measuring total N(V).

The study of Spicer et al. [1982] was conducted under hot, dry conditions. Potential biases are different under the cool, humid conditions found in the San Joaquin Valley. Nighttime samples (0000–0400 PST) were probably unaffected by volatili-

ization because temperatures during the sampling period either remained constant or decreased. During the day, temperature changes were usually small because of the overcast conditions; temperatures recorded hourly at Bakersfield between 1200 and 1600 PST increased on only five of the 15 sampling days and never increased by more than 1°C, except on January 14 (when a 3°C increase was observed). Still, an increase of 1°C in temperature at constant dew point may increase the dissociation constant K = P_{NO_3} × P_{NH_3}/P_{H_2O} by a factor of 2 under high-humidity conditions [Stelson and Seinfeld, 1982]. The formation of aerosol ammonium nitrate is strongly favored thermodynamically, and aerosol concentrations could not be significantly affected by volatilization; on the other hand, high relative errors may occur in the determination of the gas present at the lowest concentration. Since that concentration was often near or below the detection limit, the error was of little consequence.

Teflon filters run in dense fogs accumulated drops of liquid water at the surface. In those particular cases the filters were dried in the open before being sealed. Nitric acid scavenged in acidic fog volatilizes during drying, leading to an underestimate of total aerosol NO₃⁻. No significant NO₃⁻ loss should occur in nonacidic fog because in that case NO₃⁻ remains in the aerosol phase as the fog dissipates. Similarly, NH₄⁺ aerosol should not volatilize in acidic fog. Volatilization of NH₄⁺ from filters collected in alkaline fog depends on the stability of the ammonium salts of weak acids, which appear to be volatile; some volatilization of NH₄⁺ was found to occur in a sample collected during fog at Visalia [Jacob, 1985].

"Random errors" are of three types: (1) uncertainty in the flow rate through the filter, (2) uncertainty in the efficiency of recovery by extraction, and (3) analytical error. Because the first two sources of error affect the sample as a whole, we expect a correlation to exist between the errors on the different species. To test for these errors, concentrations of SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, and NH₄⁺ were determined in duplicate for n = 45 pairs of filter samples "1" and "2," collected side by side. Concentrations of Cl⁻ were also determined, but the errors on those concentrations were generally lower than the Cl⁻ filter blank. The relative differences in the determinations of X for the 45 pairs of duplicate samples were statistically analyzed as follows:

\[
D_X = \frac{2(X_2 - X_1)}{X_1 + X_2}
\]

\[
\sigma = \left[\frac{\sum (D_X)^2}{n}\right]^{1/2}
\]

Standard deviations σ on the determination of SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, and NH₄⁺ were 18.6, 18.8, and 19.1%, respectively. These results are comparable to those reported by Russell and Cass [1984] for similar measurements. Student "t" tests for paired data at the 5% level of significance did not show significant differences between sampling positions 1 and 2 for SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, or NH₄⁺ at any site, therefore no significant effects from the differences in backup filters were apparent.

We found D_{SO_4}, D_{NO_3}, and D_{NH_4} to be strongly correlated (r² = 0.71 between D_{SO_4} and D_{NH_4}, r² = 0.69 between D_{NO_3} and D_{NH_4}), These correlations must be taken into account in the error analyses of quantities calculated by differences of concentrations, such as (ALK) or gas phase concentrations predicted by thermodynamic models [Jacob et al., this issue]. We resolved σ into its component σₚ, associated with pump operation and filter extraction, and its component σₕ, associated with chemical analysis. The error char-
acterized by $\sigma_p$ may be assumed to be the same for $SO_4^{2-}$, $NO_3^-$, and $NH_4^+$, while the errors due to analysis should be uncorrelated. Because $\sigma$ is small, we can write as an approximation:

$$\sigma^2 = \sigma_p^2 + \sigma_A^2$$

(A4)

By statistical analysis of the differences between $NH_4^+$, $NO_3^-$, and $SO_4^{2-}$ concentrations we obtained $\sigma_p = 18.1\%$, $\sigma_{A,SO_4^2-} = 4.4\%$, $\sigma_{A,NO_3^-} = 5.1\%$, and $\sigma_{A,NAH_4^+} = 6.3\%$. These analytical errors are consistent with our laboratory precision.

No duplicate analyses were made for $Na^+$, $K^+$, $Ca^{2+}$, and $Mg^{2+}$. Replicate analyses of standards indicate a $\sigma_{A,4}$ of about 5% for these four ions. Duplicates for $NH_4(g)$ and $HNO_3(g)$ determinations were not collected, but the $\sigma_{A,4}$ values should be the same as for $NH_4^+$ and $NO_3^-$, respectively. In addition to the analytical error, concentrations of all constituents were assumed to be subject to the same error $\sigma$. This assumption implies that the variability of the flow rate through the filter is the major contributor to $\sigma_p$, which seems justified, since filter extraction efficiencies are better than 95% [Russell and Cass, 1984].

The analytical detection limits for a 4-hour sample correspond to 4 neq m$^{-3}$ for $NO_3^-$, $SO_4^{2-}$, $HNO_3(g)$, and cations other than $NH_4^+$, and 8 neq m$^{-3}$ for $NH_4^+$. Filter blanks for all constituents except $Cl^-$ and $NH_4(g)$ were below these detection limits. Because of substantial filter blanks, effective detection limits for $NH_4(g)$ and $Cl^-$ for a 4-hour sample were 17 neq m$^{-3}$ and 20 neq m$^{-3}$, respectively.
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