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Abstract. Hydrogen peroxide, organic hydroperoxide species, and formaldehyde were found to be enhanced within biomass burning plumes during the Transport and Atmospheric Chemistry near the Equator - Atlantic (TRACE A) experiment. This enhancement could have resulted from direct emission by the fires or by secondary photochemical production. In this study, direct production of hydroperoxide and formaldehyde from biomass burning is proposed and examined through comparisons of hydroperoxide and formaldehyde measurements, obtained from three fire flights in TRACE A, with model estimates, with other measurement data, and with results from fire experiments at the University of Rhode Island (URI). For highest concentrations of hydroperoxide and formaldehyde, model predictions fall short of those observed, and an additional source is required. \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_3\text{OOH} \) were noted to increase with \( \text{CO} \) and were significantly correlated with other measured species known to be produced from biomass burning. The enhancements of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_3\text{OOH} \) relative to \( \text{CO} \) were different between flights in which the relative enhancements of \( \text{CO} \) to \( \text{CO}_2 \) were also different. The enhancement ratio of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_3\text{OOH} \) relative to \( \text{CO} \) was \( 1-5\times10^{-2} \) and \( 2-4\times10^{-3} \), respectively. \( \text{CH}_2\text{O} \) was correlated with \( \text{CO} \). The enhancement ratios of \( \text{CH}_2\text{O} \) were determined in relation to both \( \text{CO} \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \) for three flights and were \( 7-19\times10^{-3} \) and \( 3-5\times10^{-4} \), respectively. The correlations of \( \text{CH}_2\text{O} \) with other measured combustion species were more significant than those of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_3\text{OOH} \). To determine whether hydroperoxide and formaldehyde can be directly produced from biomass burning, simple biomass fire experiments were performed at URI. These species were observed to be clearly elevated in test biomass fires. These experiments present unequivocal evidence for the direct production of hydrogen peroxide and formaldehyde from biomass burning. The results from both TRACE A and our fire experiments also fit possible mechanisms of direct formation of hydroperoxide and formaldehyde in combustion processes. The atmospheric implication of the direct production of these species from biomass burning is their contribution to odd-hydrogen radical production, thereby affecting the oxidizing capacity of the atmosphere before \( \text{O}_3 \) would be photochemically developed. In TRACE A, odd-hydrogen radical production from the direct source of these species is estimated to be near 30% of the total radical production.

1. Introduction

Biomass burning is recognized as one of the most important biogeochemical processes with significant impacts on the atmosphere. During biomass burning, a wide range of trace gases including \( \text{CO}_2 \), \( \text{CO} \), \( \text{NMHC} \), \( \text{NO}_x \), and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) are released into the atmosphere [Crutzen and Andreae, 1990; Andreae, 1993]. \( \text{CO}_2 \), \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \), and \( \text{CH}_4 \) are known greenhouse gases contributing to climate change [Mitchell, 1989]. Halogen gases such as \( \text{CH}_3\text{Cl} \) and \( \text{CH}_2\text{Br} \) produced by biomass burning may have influence on stratospheric ozone chemistry [Man6 and Andreae, 1994; Cicerone, 1994]. Photochemical reactions between emitted hydrocarbons and \( \text{NO}_x \) lead to net ozone production. Ozone produces \( \text{OH} \) in humid air, and \( \text{CO} \) and \( \text{CH}_4 \) consume \( \text{OH} \) in the remote atmosphere; therefore biomass burning can affect \( \text{OH} \) concentrations, atmospheric oxidant concentrations, and the rate of oxidation of reduced compounds [Rodriguez et al., 1991]. Hence biomass burning is believed to play an important role in global change [Levine, 1991; International Council of Scientific Unions (ICSU), 1994].

During the Transport and Atmospheric Chemistry near the Equator - Atlantic (TRACE A) experiment [e.g., Fishman et al., 1994], hydrogen peroxide (\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \)), organic hydroperoxides (i.e., \( \text{CH}_3\text{OOH} , \text{HOCH}_2\text{OOH} , \text{CH}_3\text{CH(OH)OOH} \), and \( \text{CH}_3\text{C(O)OOH} \)) and formaldehyde (\( \text{CH}_2\text{O} \)) concentrations were extremely high in and near biomass burning plumes [Lee et al., 1996]. In the Pacific Exploratory Mission - West, Phase A (PEM-West A) experiment, the highest concentrations of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_3\text{OOH} \) were observed over the Celbes Sea in air believed to be impacted...
by fire emissions [Heikes et al., 1996a]. Further, the highest
H$_2$O$_2$ and CH$_2$O concentrations measured in the Mauna Loa
Observatory Photochemistry Experiment (MLOPEX) were thought
to be associated with fire emissions [Heikes, 1992]. These results
led us to consider biomass burning as a direct source of H$_2$O$_2$,
organic hydroperoxide, and CH$_2$O.

Hydrogen peroxide and methyl hydroperoxide are normally
thought of as secondary photochemical products acting as
reservoirs of odd-hydrogen radicals (e.g., OH, HO$_2$, and CH$_3$OO).
Together with formaldehyde, which is a source of odd-hydrogen
radicals, they are also precursors of odd-oxygen (e.g., O$_3$, O, and
NO$_2$) [Logan et al., 1981; Kleinman, 1986, 1991]. Both odd-
hydrogen and odd-oxygen families are important oxidizing species
that determine the distribution and abundance of reactive trace
gases in the atmosphere and vice versa [National Academy of
Sciences (NAS), 1984]. If H$_2$O$_2$, organic hydroperoxide, and
CH$_2$O are directly produced from biomass burning, then their
photolysis would enhance radical production near the fire and
would affect the oxidizing capacity of the atmosphere [Thompson,
1992]. The TRACE A measurements indicate the potential for
their direct production from biomass burning and the need to
investigate the degree and extent to which biomass fires affect
H$_2$O$_2$, organic hydroperoxide, and formaldehyde and consequently
may affect atmospheric oxidants. In this paper we hypothesize
the direct production of hydroperoxide and formaldehyde from
biomass burning and test this hypothesis with data obtained from
three fire flights during TRACE A (flights 6 and 7 over Brazil
and flight 10 over Africa) and a limited number of test biomass
burns performed at the University of Rhode Island (URI) Bay
campus.

2. Observed Hydroperoxide and Formaldehyde
in TRACE A

We measured H$_2$O$_2$ and organic hydroperoxide species with
high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system mounted
in the NASA DC-8 aircraft during TRACE A. The details about
the measurement scheme are supplied by Lee et al. [1995].
Formaldehyde was measured during TRACE A by using the
method of Lazrus et al. [1988] as implemented by Heikes [1992]
and Heikes et al. [1996b]. TRACE A was conducted in September-
October 1992. Its primary objective was to investigate the
cause of a seasonal tropospheric ozone maximum over the South
Atlantic observed when biomass burning is the most prevalent in
South America and Africa [Fishman, 1994; Fishman et al., 1996].
A comprehensive set of chemical and meteorological data was
obtained during 17 flights over the South Atlantic, Brazil, and
southern Africa, including several flights within and downwind of
fire plumes [Fishman et al., 1996].

Three of 17 flights were made specifically to collect air
samples over the biomass burning source regions. H$_2$O$_2$,
CH$_3$OOH, and CH$_2$O concentrations were elevated in and near
burning plumes and observed up to 10 ppbv, 2 ppbv, and 5 ppbv,
respectively. These are extremely high values for H$_2$O$_2$ com-
pared with those from undisturbed background conditions and
from theoretical model values, although the CH$_2$O levels are
comparable to those observed in urban environments. CH$_3$OOH
was only slightly enhanced in the plumes, and other organic
hydroperoxides such as hydroxymethyl hydroperoxide
(HOCH$_2$OOH: HMHP) and 1-hydroxyethyl hydroperoxide
(CH$_3$CH(OH)OOH: 1-HEHP) were sporadically detected during
flights 6 and 10. Additional results related to H$_2$O$_2$, CH$_3$OOH,
and CH$_2$O from this experiment can be found elsewhere [e.g.,
Jacob et al., 1996; Heikes et al., 1996c; Lee et al., 1996].

3. Test of Hypothesis

3.1. Comparison of Observed Data With Photochemical
Model Result

Figure 1 shows the observed concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$,
CH$_3$OOH, and CH$_2$O versus their model values for the three
flights near fires: flights 6, 7, and 10. A merged data set indexed

![Figure 1. Observed concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$, CH$_3$OOH, and
CH$_2$O compared with those predicted in the model for three
flights (flights 6 and 7 over Brazil and flight 10 over Africa) in
TRACE A. The x axis is the observed concentrations, and the y
axis is those predicted from photochemical model: (a) H$_2$O$_2$,
(b) CH$_3$OOH, and (c) CH$_2$O. Solid lines indicate a one-to-one ratio
between observed concentrations and model values.]
to hydroperoxide data [Jacob et al., 1996] was used in this analysis. The lines indicate a one-to-one ratio between observed and predicted values. Below 5000 pptv of H$_2$O$_2$ and 1000 pptv of CH$_3$OOH these species appear to be near photochemical equilibrium. Above these observed concentrations, however, model values remain nearly constant, leading to greater differences between the two results at higher concentrations. This finding implies that photochemical production is insufficient to support the observed high concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$ and an additional source is needed. Although the case for CH$_3$OOH is visually weaker, Figure 1b suggests CH$_3$OOH may also require an additional source above 1000 pptv. The higher concentrations of CH$_3$O above 2000 pptv are not displayed in Figure 1c because of the lack of overlap between model and observed data due to the absence of other key chemical parameters needed by the model. However, there is a tendency for theoretical values to fall short of those observed, and an additional source would be necessary.

The observed hydroperoxide and formaldehyde data can be compared with those calculated from a photochemical point model. In general, agreement between observed and predicted results lies within the uncertainties of the measurement and the model calculation [Davis et al., 1996; Jacob et al., 1996]. However, a divergence between model and measurements was noted when high concentrations were observed immediately over biomass burning regions with observed values substantially higher than those predicted. Low model values suggest either missing sources or an overestimate of the sink for these species in fire plumes. An overestimate does not seem plausible, since the deposition of both hydroperoxide and formaldehyde, which is an important removal process for these species, was not included in the model. Further, reduction of OH and photolysis, the primary photochemical sinks for these species, also reduces their production with the resulting concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$, CH$_3$OOH, and CH$_3$O being little changed. Consequently, additional sources are needed to resolve the deviations between model and observed data over biomass fire source regions.

Direct production in biomass fires could cause the increased concentrations of these species in and near plumes. This possibility was not considered in the model. However, there may be short-lived hydrocarbons produced from biomass fires which were not measured during the experiment and which would have been oxidized prior to sampling of the plume by the aircraft. Peroxides might have been enhanced by photochemical reactions involving these hydrocarbons. This theory was also not taken into account in the model. Thus we could not exclusively rule out secondary photochemical production as an additional source for these species.

3.2. Correlation of Hydroperoxide and Formaldehyde With Other Measured Species

CO and CO$_2$ are often used to characterize biomass fires and to estimate the emissions of other species [Levine et al., 1991; Lobert et al., 1991]. If H$_2$O$_2$, CH$_3$OOH, and CH$_3$O are directly produced, then they should be significantly correlated with them. The emission of a species X can be related to the emission of CO$_2$ through an emission ratio, ER, defined by

$$ ER(X) = \frac{\Delta X}{\Delta CO_2} $$

where $\Delta X$ is the excess concentration of species X produced by biomass burning over background and $\Delta CO_2$ is the excess concentration of CO$_2$ produced by biomass burning over background. Since the emissions of gases are dependent on the stage of burning (flaming or smoldering), CO is also used as a reference gas in calculating emission ratios, $ER' = \Delta X/\Delta CO$ [Lobert et al., 1991]. There are no data for the direct emission of hydroperoxides from biomass burning. For CH$_3$O, Griffith et al. [1991] made measurements in several prescribed fires and calculated the emission ratio of CH$_3$O relative to CO$_2$. From ambient measurements of hydroperoxide, formaldehyde, CO, and CO$_2$ in TRACE A, emission ratio could not be determined, because measurements were not confined to fire plumes. In this study the enhancement ratio derived from the slope of the linear regression between the target species and either CO or CO$_2$ was
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CO can be confounded by H2O, because much of H2O variance with increasing CO concentration. Interpretation of H2O2 versus be seen that the concentrations of H2O2 and CH3OOH increase from the three flights are plotted versus CO in Figure 3. It can of CO 2 is weaker in relation to its background level.

Highly enhanced relative to CO in flight 7 compared to the other flight. The H2O2 to CO enhancement ratio was 1-5x10^-2, and that for CH3OOH was 2-4x10^-3. Both H2O2 and CH3OOH are correlated within the subset of fn'e data for each flight (Figure 4). These two hydroperoxides are also significantly correlated with CH3C1, aerosol, C2H2, HCOOH, CH3COOH, and HNO3. These species are all indicators of biomass burning [Crutzen and Andreae, 1990; Radke et ed., 1991; Helas et ed., 1992; Andreae, 1993].

The different enhancement ratios of CO to CO 2 could be a clue for different enhancements of hydroperoxides between flights. This ratio is a proxy for fire temperature with a low ratio indicating high temperature [Lobert et ed., 1991]. This ratio is lowest in flight 7, so the fire plumes in flight 7 should be hottest. Because the enhancement of CO is greatest in flight 10 and because the concentrations of aerosol and CH3COOH, which are known to be produced from smoldering fires [Andreae, 1993], also increased with those of CO (not shown), flight 10 has the most consistent smoldering fire signature of the three flights. It is likely that the production of H2O2 is more favorable than that of organic hydroperoxide at high temperature, as discussed below.

H2O2 and CH3OOH are correlated with CH3Cl, aerosol, C2H2, HCOOH, CH3COOH, and HNO3. These species are all indicators of biomass burning [Crutzen and Andreae, 1990; Radke et ed., 1991; Helas et ed., 1992; Andreae, 1993].

3.2.1. Hydroperoxide. H2O2 and CH3OOH concentrations from the three flights are plotted versus CO in Figure 3. It can be seen that the concentrations of H2O2 and CH3OOH increase with increasing CO concentration. Interpretation of H2O2 versus CO can be confounded by H2O, because much of H2O variance has been explained in terms of H2O [Luria et ed., 1989; Tremmel et ed., 1993]. These two hydroperoxides are also significantly correlated within the subset of fire data for each flight (Figure 4). Table 1 lists the H2O2 and CH3OOH enhancement ratio for each flight. The H2O2 to CO enhancement ratio was 1.5x10^-2, and that for CH3OOH was 2.4x10^-3. Both H2O2 and CH3OOH are highly enhanced relative to CO in flight 7 compared to the other two flights, and the relative enhancement of H2O2 to CH3OOH (ΔH2O2/ΔCH3OOH) is also the highest in flight 7, about twice that of flight 6 or 10 (Table 1). Also, it should be noted that organic hydroperoxides such as HMHP and 1-HEHP were detected in plumes from flights 6 and 10 but not in flight 7. From these observations the production of hydroperoxide appears to be subject to fire conditions.

The different enhancement ratios of CO to CO 2 could be a clue for different enhancements of hydroperoxides between flights. This ratio is a proxy for fire temperature with a low ratio indicating high temperature [Lobert et ed., 1991]. This ratio is lowest in flight 7, so the fire plumes in flight 7 should be hottest. Because the enhancement of CO is greatest in flight 10 and because the concentrations of aerosol and CH3COOH, which are known to be produced from smoldering fires [Andreae, 1993], also increased with those of CO (not shown), flight 10 has the most consistent smoldering fire signature of the three flights. It is likely that the production of H2O2 is more favorable than that of organic hydroperoxide at high temperature, as discussed below.

H2O2 and CH3OOH are correlated with CH3Cl, aerosol, C2H2, HCOOH, CH3COOH, and HNO3. These species are all indicators of biomass burning [Crutzen and Andreae, 1990; Radke et ed., 1991; Helas et ed., 1992; Andreae, 1993].

3.2.2. CH3O. Figure 3 and Table 1 show CH3O to be correlated with CO. CH3O concentrations linearly increase with CO concentrations, and the correlation coefficients approach the significance level of those found between CO and CO 2. The CH3O to CO enhancement ratio ranged from 7x10^-3 to 15x10^-3 and is the greatest for flight 7.

Griffith et ed. [1991] determined the emission ratio of CH3O relative to CO2 in four biomass fires. Their values were 1.9-2.3x10^-4 and remained constant during the course of the fires.

Table 1. Summary of Linear Regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>( r^2 )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( N )</th>
<th>Slope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flight 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CO versus CO2</td>
<td>0.9056</td>
<td>3x10^-14</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3.1x10^-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>H2O2 versus CO</td>
<td>0.5004</td>
<td>4x10^-5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.4x10^-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CH3OOH versus CO</td>
<td>0.3200</td>
<td>2x10^-3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.1x10^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CH3O versus CO</td>
<td>0.8808</td>
<td>6x10^-5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.8x10^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CH3O versus CO2</td>
<td>0.9031</td>
<td>3x10^-3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.1x10^-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>H2O2 versus CH3OOH</td>
<td>0.4683</td>
<td>8x10^-5</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CO versus CO2</td>
<td>0.8487</td>
<td>2x10^-13</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.4x10^-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>H2O2 versus CO</td>
<td>0.2765</td>
<td>2x10^-3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4.6x10^-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CH3OOH versus CO</td>
<td>0.1665</td>
<td>2x10^-2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4.0x10^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CH3O versus CO</td>
<td>0.8834</td>
<td>7x10^-9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.9x10^-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CH3O versus CO2</td>
<td>0.5940</td>
<td>2x10^-4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4.1x10^-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>H2O2 versus CH3OOH</td>
<td>0.7499</td>
<td>2x10^-10</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CO versus CO2</td>
<td>0.9314</td>
<td>4x10^-13</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6.7x10^-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>H2O2 versus CO</td>
<td>0.8094</td>
<td>2x10^-9</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.1x10^-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CH3OOH versus CO</td>
<td>0.6884</td>
<td>5x10^-7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.2x10^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CH3O versus CO</td>
<td>0.8541</td>
<td>2x10^-5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.0x10^-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CH3O versus CO2</td>
<td>0.7038</td>
<td>3x10^-4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.7x10^-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>H2O2 versus CH3OOH</td>
<td>0.9581</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Corresponding figure.
* Correlation coefficient of linear regression.
* Linear correlation is significant at any confidence level greater than this p value.
* Number of samples included.
* Enhancement ratio of species relative to CO or CO2.
For comparison, $\Delta \text{CH}_2\text{O}/\Delta \text{CO}_2$ was also calculated for each flight. These values were 3.1-4.7x10^{-4} (Table 1 and Figure 5), lower than those of Griffith et al. [1991]. Our CO enhancement ratios to CO$_2$ (1.4-6.7x10^{-2}) were also lower than those of Griffith et al. [1991] (0.14-0.2). The correlation of CH$_2$O with other species such as CH$_3$Cl and aerosol were more significant than those for H$_2$O$_2$ and CH$_3$OOH.

This analysis provided convincing evidence for the direct production of CH$_2$O from fires. For hydroperoxide, however, secondary photochemical production through short-lived hydrocarbons seems to remain as a possible alternative to direct production.

### 3.3. Fire Experiment

To determine whether hydrogen peroxide, organic hydroperoxide species, and formaldehyde are directly produced from biomass burning, three sets of simple burning experiments were performed at the URI Bay campus in the summer of 1995. The emissions from 13 fires using charcoal, straw, or wood as fuel were sampled for H$_2$O$_2$, organic hydroperoxide, and CH$_2$O. The methods employed were the same as those used in TRACE A. The results are shown in Table 2. Direct CH$_2$O production by fire was always observed. H$_2$O$_2$ production observed during the fires was more variable than CH$_2$O production. CH$_3$OOH
to prevent condensation in the sample lines from the production of water by fire.


In combustion processes, hydroperoxides can be produced by the following reactions [Barnard and Bradley, 1984; Gardiner, 1984; Hucknall, 1985; Lewis and von Elbe, 1987]:

\begin{align*}
(R1) & \quad RH + O_2 \rightarrow R + HO_2 \\
(R2) & \quad RH \rightarrow R + H \\
(R3) & \quad R + O_2 \rightarrow RO_2 \\
(R4) & \quad R + O_2 \rightarrow \text{Alkene} + HO_2
\end{align*}

showed the least and most various production by fires. High concentrations of organic hydroperoxides such as hydroxymethyl hydroperoxide, ethyl hydroperoxide, peroxyacetic acid, and 1-hydroxyethyl hydroperoxide were sporadically observed during burning. The maximum concentrations of CH$_2$O, H$_2$O$_2$, and organic hydroperoxide species were not observed simultaneously in time during the course of a fire. Qualitatively, the CH$_2$O maximum was $>10$ times that of H$_2$O$_2$ and $>50$ times that of CH$_3$OOH. H$_2$O$_2$ maximum was $>5$ times that of CH$_3$OOH. The results of the charcoal, straw, and wood fires clearly demonstrate the direct production of H$_2$O$_2$, organic hydroperoxides, and CH$_2$O from biomass burning. A CO instrument problem precluded our calculation of emission ratios, and these must be left for future experiments. Extreme care must be exercised in such experiments.

Figure 3. (continued)

Figure 4. Linear regression between H$_2$O$_2$ and CH$_3$OOH for each flight.
Flight 6
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Flight 7

![Graph](image)

Flight 10

![Graph](image)

Figure 5. Plot of CH$_2$O versus CO$_2$ for each flight. The slope of the solid line is the enhancement ratio of CH$_2$O relative to CO$_2$.

(R5)  \[ \text{HO}_2 + \text{RH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{R} \]
(R6)  \[ \text{HO}_2 + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 \]
(R7)  \[ \text{HO}_2 + \text{CH}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{CHO} \]
(R8)  \[ \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{OH} \]
(R9)  \[ \text{RO}_2 + \text{R}^*\text{H} \rightarrow \text{ROOH} + \text{R}^* \]
(R10) \[ \text{RO}_2 + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{ROOH} + \text{O}_2 \]
(R11) \[ \text{ROOH} \rightarrow \text{RO} + \text{OH} \]
(R12) \[ \text{RCH}=\text{R'}\text{CH} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{RCOH} + \text{R'}\text{COH} \]
(R13) \[ \text{RCH}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{RCOH} + \text{HO}_2 \]

where RH is alkane, R is alkyl radical, RO is alkoxy radical, and RO$_2$ is alkyl peroxy radical. These are examples which only include simple alkane chemistry. At high temperatures over 200°C, the backward reaction of (R3) is more favorable than the forward reaction. The organic hydroperoxide formed through (R9) and (R10) is also more prone to thermal decomposition through (R11) at high temperature. Therefore organic hydroperoxides can be an important intermediate only at lower temperature ($T < 200^\circ$C). As temperature increases, (R4) is more important than (R3), producing HO$_2$ radicals and leading to the formation of H$_2$O$_2$ by (R5) and (R6). The decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ by (R8) occurs at temperatures above 400°C. At temperatures higher than 500°C, CH$_2$O can react with HO$_2$ and produce H$_2$O$_2$. Therefore H$_2$O$_2$ has a greater probability for formation and a lower probability for decomposition than organic hydroperoxides at higher temperatures. Consequently, more H$_2$O$_2$ than CH$_3$OOH would be expected in high-temperature fires. The observed trend of hydroperoxide enhancement in TRACE A and our fire experiments fits this expectation.

There are multiple paths leading to CH$_2$O formation during combustion processes (e.g., (R12) and (R13)), and CH$_2$O formation occurs over a wide range of fire temperature [Barnard and Bradley, 1984; Gardiner, 1984; Hucknell, 1985; Lewis and von Elbe, 1987]. Hence CH$_3$O is apt to be formed with less dependence on fire quality than hydroperoxides. This finding is consistent with observed data from both TRACE A and our fire experiments.

5. Atmospheric Implication

Hydroperoxides are reservoirs of odd-hydrogen radicals by their formation and subsequent photolysis. Their removal by wet and dry deposition and reaction with OH is a significant radical sink in the troposphere [Logan et al., 1981; Kleinman, 1986]. If these species are produced directly through processes other than photochemical reactions, however, they can contribute as a direct source of radicals. This study presents evidence for a direct source of hydroperoxide and formaldehyde from biomass burning. The production of odd-hydrogen radicals from the direct source of these species can be estimated from the enhancement ratios relative to CO, CO enhancements, and photolysis rates of H$_2$O$_2$, CH$_3$OOH, and CH$_2$O. For three fire flights, approximately 30% of the total odd-hydrogen radical production in the lower troposphere near fires may be due to their direct emission.

Since odd-hydrogen radicals are indispensable to make new odd-oxygen radicals, the direct production of these species can affect ozone and the odd-oxygen cycle. The effect of enhanced hydroperoxide and formaldehyde due to biomass burning in TRACE A is to increase odd-hydrogen and odd-oxygen radicals and thus increase the oxidation capacity of the atmosphere [Lee et al., 1996]. The extent and mechanism of their direct production need to be critically determined through additional laboratory experiments before the global consequence of biomass burning can be evaluated.

6. Conclusion

In TRACE A, hydroperoxide and formaldehyde were highly elevated in and near biomass fires. These high concentrations were not explained by photochemical production alone and suggested that an additional source was required. This tendency is clear for H$_2$O$_2$ and relatively less clear for both CH$_3$OOH and CH$_2$O. The correlations of CH$_2$O with CO are excellent, providing strong evidence for the direct production of CH$_2$O from biomass burning. H$_2$O$_2$ and CH$_3$OOH are moderately correlated with CO. The enhancement ratio relative to CO was 1-5x10$^{-2}$, 2-4x10$^{-3}$, and 7-17x10$^{-3}$ for H$_2$O$_2$, CH$_3$OOH, and CH$_2$O, respectively. From simple fire experiments using charcoal, straw, and wood as fuel, these species were observed to be highly enhanced in comparison with ambient levels. These experiments support
the hypothesis that hydroperoxide and formaldehyde are directly produced from biomass fires. The data obtained from TRACE A and fire experiments also comply with possible mechanisms for direct production of hydroperoxide and formaldehyde during combustion processes. In TRACE A, the photolysis of these species produced from fires accounted for about 30% of the total radical production, which is to be a direct source of odd-hydrogen radicals in the troposphere. Thus further study needs to be carried out to determine the mechanism of production and emission factors of these species.

Acknowledgments. The TRACE A experiment was supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration through the Global Tropospheric Experiment program. The authors thank all participants in TRACE A for their support and help. M.L. and B.G.H. specially thank Kara Nakata for her work in fire experiments performed in the URI Bay campus through the Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship in Oceanography sponsored by the National Science Foundation.

References


Table 2. Fire Experiments at the University of Rhode Island Bay Campus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Number of Fires</th>
<th>Maximum Concentration (ppbv)</th>
<th>Number of Fires</th>
<th>Maximum Concentration (ppbv)</th>
<th>Number of Fires</th>
<th>Maximum Concentration (ppbv)</th>
<th>Observed Species During Fires</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH₂O</td>
<td>Charcoal: 7</td>
<td>600</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>HMHP, EHP, U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Straw: 2</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>PAA, 1-HEHP, U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wood: 4</td>
<td>650</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>PAA, EHP, 1-HEHP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experiments were performed at the University of Rhode Island Bay Campus in July/August 1995.

Table 3. Summary of Fire Experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Number of Fires</th>
<th>Maximum Concentration (ppbv)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH₂O</td>
<td>Charcoal: 7</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Straw: 2</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wood: 4</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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