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Global simulation of tropospheric O₃-NOₓ-hydrocarbon chemistry

2. Model evaluation and global ozone budget

Yuhang Wang, Jennifer A. Logan, and Daniel J. Jacob

Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences and Division of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts

Abstract. Results from a global three-dimensional model for tropospheric O₃-NOₓ-hydrocarbon chemistry are presented and evaluated with surface, ozonesonde, and aircraft measurements. Seasonal variations and regional distributions of ozone, NO, peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN), CO, ethane, acetone, and H₂O₂ are examined. The model reproduces observed NO and PAN concentrations to within a factor of 2 for a wide range of tropospheric regions including the upper troposphere but tends to overestimate HNO₃ concentrations in the remote troposphere (sometimes several fold). This discrepancy implies a missing sink for HNO₃ that does not lead to rapid recycling of NO₂; only in the upper troposphere over the tropical South Atlantic would a fast conversion of HNO₃ to NO₃ improve the model simulation for NOₓ. Observed concentrations of acetone are reproduced in the model by including a large biogenic source (15 Tg C yr⁻¹), which accounts for 40% of the estimated global source of acetone (37 Tg C yr⁻¹). Concentrations of H₂O₂ in various regions of the troposphere are simulated usually to within a factor of 2, providing a test for HOₓ chemistry in the model. The model reproduces well the observed concentrations and seasonal variations of ozone in the troposphere, with some exceptions including an underestimate of the vertical gradient across the tropical trade wind inversion. A global budget analysis in the model indicates that the supply and loss of tropospheric ozone are dominated by photochemistry within the troposphere and that NOₓ emitted in the southern hemisphere is twice as efficient at producing ozone as NOₓ emitted in the northern hemisphere.

1. Introduction

In a companion paper [Wang et al., this issue(a)], we described the formulation of a global three-dimensional model for the simulation of tropospheric O₃-NOₓ-hydrocarbon chemistry. We present here an evaluation of model results for ozone, reactive nitrogen oxides, CO, ethane, acetone, and H₂O₂ with observations in different regions of the troposphere. This extensive evaluation provides the basis for using the model to investigate global and regional budgets of tropospheric ozone in a second companion paper [Wang et al., this issue(b)]. It also provides important constraints on our understanding of tropospheric ozone chemistry.

The model is driven by meteorological fields from a general circulation model (GCM) developed at the Goddard Institute for Space Studies [Hansen et al., 1983]. It has a spatial resolution of 4° latitude by 5° longitude, with seven vertical layers extending from the surface to 150 mbar. Meteorological fields are updated every 4 hours. Spatially and temporally varying cross-tropopause fluxes of ozone, NOₓ, and HNO₃ are specified as upper boundary conditions at 150 mbar. The model transports 15 tracers including odd oxygen (O₃ + O + NO₂ + HNO₄ + 2 x NO₃ + 3 x N₂O₅), NO₂ (NO + NO₂ + NO₃ + HNO₃), N₂O₅, HNO₃, HNO₄, peroxyacetyl nitrate, alkyl nitrate, CO, ethane, (≥C₂) alkanes, (≥C₃) alkenes, isoprene, acetone, (≥C₄) ketones, and H₂O₂. Detailed representation of tropospheric O₃-NOₓ-hydrocarbon chemistry and process-based representations of emission and deposition are included [Wang et al., this issue(a)].

The GCM is intended to simulate a typical meteorological year, not any particular year of the observations; our evaluation of model results focuses therefore on observed seasonal statistics. Multiyear observations needed to produce robust seasonal statistics are available only for ozone [Logan, 1985; Oltmans and Levy, 1994; J. A. Logan, An analysis of ozonesonde data, 1, Its application in testing models of tropospheric chemistry, manuscript in preparation, 1998 (hereinafter referred to as Logan, manuscript in preparation, 1998)] and surface CO [Novelli et al., 1992, 1994]; even with these data, site coverage is mostly in the northern midlatitudes as shown in Figure 1 for ozone. Atmospheric observations of CH₃CCl₃ offer a valuable constraint for the evaluation of the global mean OH in the model [Prinn et al., 1995]. For other species simulated in the model including NO, peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN), HNO₃, C₂H₆, acetone, and H₂O₂, aircraft campaigns provide the main source of observations. We make use of data from the NASA Global Tropospheric Experiment and other aircraft expeditions [Bardeshaw et al., 1998, and references therein]. Compiled aircraft observations with a resolution of 1° latitude x 1° longitude x 1-km altitude [Bardeshaw et al., 1998] were obtained from Scott Smyth of the Georgia Institute of Technology. Since aircraft data are limited in their temporal and spatial coverage, we aggregate them over the regions as shown in Figure 2. We do not use aircraft observations of NO₂ and total reactive nitrogen oxides (NOₓ) for model evaluation, as these
measurements are of questionable quality [Crosley, 1996; Bradshaw et al., 1998].

We present our evaluation of model results with observations starting from the global mean OH (section 2), followed by reactive nitrogen oxides (section 3), CO (section 4), ethane (section 5), acetone (section 6), H$_2$O$_2$ (section 7), and ozone (section 8). An analysis of the global budget of tropospheric ozone is presented in section 9, and conclusions are in section 10.

2. Global Mean OH

Figure 3 shows the zonal mean OH concentrations simulated by the model for January and July. The latitudinal and seasonal variations reflect to a large extent the distributions of UV radiation and water vapor which provide the primary source of OH through the reaction O(D) + H$_2$O [Levy, 1971]. Summertime concentrations are higher in the northern hemisphere than in the southern hemisphere owing to the role of

---

**Figure 1.** Surface ozone and ozonesonde measurements used for model evaluation [Oltmans and Levy, 1994; J. A. Logan, An analysis of ozonesonde data, 1, Its application in testing models of tropospheric chemistry, manuscript in preparation, 1998; Liang et al., 1998].

**Figure 2.** Regional aggregation of aircraft observations used for model evaluation: 1, Alaska in July; 2, central Canada in July; 3, eastern Canada in July; 4, U.S. west coast in August; 5, western U.S. in August; 6, eastern U.S. in August; 7, Amazonia in August; 8, Amazonia in May; 9, eastern Brazil in September; 10, Brazil south coast in September; 11, tropical South Atlantic in September; 12, African west coast in September; 13, southern Africa in September; 14, Japan coast in October; 15, East China Sea in October; 16, tropical western Pacific in October; 17, Hawaii in October; 18, Norway in February; 19, northeastern Pacific in February; 20, Japan coast in February; 21, East China Sea in February; 22, western Pacific in February; 23, western equatorial Pacific in February; 24, New Guinea in February. The compiled observations were obtained with a resolution of 1° latitude x 1° longitude x 1-km altitude from Scott Smyth at Georgia Institute of Technology [Bradshaw et al., 1998, and references therein].
anthropogenic ozone and NOx in enhancing OH production; this enhancement more than compensates for the depletion of OH caused by anthropogenic CO and hydrocarbons [Thompson, 1992].

The global mean OH concentration simulated in the model is evaluated using measurements of CH3CCl3, a gas emitted by industry and removed principally by OH oxidation [Prinn et al., 1987]. Based on observed atmospheric concentrations of CH3CCl3 and industrial emission inventories, Prinn et al. [1995] derived a lifetime of 4.9 ± 0.3 years for CH3CCl3 below 200 mbar against oxidation by OH. In comparison, we obtain in our model a lifetime of 5.1 years for CH3CCl3 below 200 mbar against oxidation by OH. Our simulated global mean OH concentration in the troposphere below 200 mbar is 1.0 x 10^6 molecules cm^-3 weighted by atmospheric mass and 1.2 x 10^6 molecules cm^-3 weighted by the temperature-dependent loss rate of CH3CCl3. Prinn et al. [1995] reported a value of 0.97 ± 0.03 x 10^6 molecules cm^-3 for the latter quantity, assuming an effective temperature of 277 K for the computation of the CH3CCl3 loss rate. However, a more appropriate choice for the
3. Reactive Nitrogen Oxides

3.1. Nitric Oxide

Simulated NOx concentrations near the surface and at 500 mbar are shown in Figure 4 for January and July. Concentrations over the eastern United States in summer are in the range of 1-2 parts per billion by volume (ppbv), consistent with observations compiled by Horowitz et al. [1998]. The rapid decrease of NOx concentrations away from continental source regions reflects the oxidation of NOx to HNO3 on a timescale of a day in the lower troposphere. Globally, about half of this oxidation takes place by the reaction of NOx with OH and half by the hydrolysis of N2O5 in aerosols, similar to the previous model results of Dentener and Crutzen [1993]. NOx concentrations at 500 mbar in the northern hemisphere are lower in winter than in summer, reflecting decreased production by
lightning and decreased convective export from polluted continents. Thermal decomposition of PAN is an important source for NO\textsubscript{x} in the remote lower atmosphere in the model [Jacob et al., 1992; Fan et al., 1994; Moxim et al., 1996].

Emmons et al. [1997] presented a comparison of our simulated NO\textsubscript{x} concentrations at 0- to 6-km altitude with ground-based and aircraft observations. They found no significant anomalies. We choose to focus our comparison on observations for NO\textsubscript{2}, which are considered more reliable than observations of NO\textsubscript{x} [Crosley, 1996; Crawford et al., 1996]. Figure 5 shows observed and simulated vertical profiles of daytime NO for the 24 regions of Figure 2; model results are averages for the months of observations. The model reproduces closely the concentrations observed in the extratropical northern hemisphere, where fossil fuel combustion is the dominant source of NO\textsubscript{2}; the overestimate of NO off the coast of Japan (region 14) in October is due to a strong influence of Asian outflow to the region in the model, as will be shown more clearly in the comparison for PAN. The observed decrease of NO concentrations away from the continental source regions is well simulated. A trend toward higher NO concentrations is found in the upper troposphere in both model and observations, owing principally in the model to increases in the NO/NO\textsubscript{2} concentration ratio and in the lifetime of NO\textsubscript{x}.

The model simulates well the particularly low NO concentrations observed over the tropical Pacific (regions 16, 17, 23). Higher NO concentrations in the upper troposphere over New Guinea (region 24) are due to the source from lightning, which we scaled globally to 3 Tg N yr\textsuperscript{-1} to match the aircraft observations over the northern equatorial Atlantic [Drummond et al.,...
1988; Smyth et al., 1996], a region remote from fossil fuel and biomass burning influence. Over Amazonia (regions 7, 8), soil emissions are thought to be the main source for the observed \( \text{NO}_x \) concentrations in the boundary layer [Jacob and Wofsy, 1988, 1990]. These emissions are higher in the dry season (August) than in the wet season (May) [Bakwin et al., 1990], resulting in higher \( \text{NO} \) concentrations in the dry season in both the observations and the model. The overestimate at 500 mbar over Amazonia reflects in the model a large (and perhaps excessive) lightning source of \( \text{NO} \) in the region.

Measurements during the Transport and Atmospheric Chemistry Near the Equator – Atlantic (TRACE-A) Expedition in September-October 1992 [Fishman et al., 1996] show a large enhancement of \( \text{NO} \) concentrations over the tropical South Atlantic and neighboring continents owing to emissions from biomass burning. The model captures well these enhancements below 400 mbar but is somewhat lower in the upper troposphere (regions 9-13). A possible reason for this discrepancy is proposed below in light of comparisons of model results with \( \text{HNO}_3 \) observations.

3.2. Nitric Acid

Simulated \( \text{HNO}_3 \) concentrations near the surface and at 500 mbar are shown in Figure 6 for January and July. Nitric acid is produced mainly by the reaction of \( \text{NO}_2 \) with \( \text{OH} \) and by hydrolysis of \( \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 \) in aerosols and is removed by wet and dry deposition. To some extent, the distribution of \( \text{HNO}_3 \) mimics that of \( \text{NO}_2 \) (Figure 4). The mean lifetime of \( \text{HNO}_3 \) against wet deposition in the model ranges from 5 days in the boundary layer to 2-3 weeks in the upper troposphere [Balkanski et al., 1993]. In the boundary layer the lifetime of \( \text{HNO}_3 \) against dry deposition is between a few hours and few days depending on surface type, mixed layer depth, and season.

Model results for \( \text{HNO}_3 \) in the eastern United States in summer are consistent with mean observed values of 1-1.5 ppbv at rural sites [Parrish et al., 1993]. In the remote troposphere, by contrast, model values are often several times larger than those observed (Figure 7). Fractionation of \( \text{HNO}_3 \) into the aerosol phase may provide a partial explanation. The model does not resolve gas phase \( \text{HNO}_3(g) \) from aerosol nitrate (\( \text{NO}_3^- \)), while the observations are for \( \text{HNO}_3(g) \) only. Observations available from aircraft in the remote troposphere indicate \( \text{NO}_2/\text{HNO}_3(g) \) molar ratios of 0.3 to 1, increasing from northern midlatitudes to the tropics [Singh et al., 1996]; the eastern United States data of Parrish et al. [1993] indicate lower ratios in the range of 0.1-0.4. Another possible reason for the excessive \( \text{HNO}_3 \) in the model may be that scavenging from convective precipitation is underestimated, because the wet convective transport scheme does not allow for entrainment [Koch et al., 1996]. Still, the combined effect of these factors does not appear enough to fully explain the discrepancy.
Previous authors have noted that observed HNO₃ concentrations in the remote troposphere are several times lower than would be expected from gas phase chemical steady state with NO and proposed rapid conversion of HNO₃ to NOₓ in aerosols to explain this discrepancy [Chatfield, 1994; Fan et al., 1994; Hauglustaine et al., 1996; Jacob et al., 1996]. However, our model simulates well observed NO concentrations in most regions (Figure 5), where HNO₃ is overestimated; introducing an additional mechanism for recycling HNO₃ to NOₓ to match observed HNO₃ would result in large overestimates of NO particularly in the lower and middle troposphere. One exception is the upper troposphere over the tropical South Atlantic (regions 11,12) where simulated NO concentrations are lower than observations from the TRACE-A aircraft campaign, while simulated HNO₃ concentrations are higher.

To investigate this issue further, we conducted a sensitivity simulation that includes an arbitrary conversion of HNO₃ to NOₓ above 400 mbar with a first-order rate constant $k = 0.3 \text{ day}^{-1}$ [Jacob et al., 1996]. Figure 8 shows the resulting distributions of upper tropospheric NO and HNO₃ over the tropical South Atlantic (TRACE-A) and over the western tropical and subtropical Pacific (Pacific Exploratory Mission (PEM) West-A) as a function of longitude and latitude, respectively. We find that addition of the HNO₃ recycling reaction improves the simulations of both NOₓ and HNO₃ in the TRACE-A region. Concentrations of NO are increased by a factor of 2, matching observations better; the longitudinal gradient across the Atlantic is suppressed, in agreement with observations. Increasing the primary sources of NOₓ from lightning or biomass burning to accommodate the TRACE-A observations would fail to
Figure 7. (continued)

Figure 8. Sensitivity of NO and HNO₃ concentrations to rapid recycling of HNO₃ to NOx in the upper troposphere. The left panels show the longitudinal distributions of observed and simulated NO and HNO₃ concentrations at 8- to 12-km altitude and 0°-20°S latitude over the tropical South Atlantic in September (TRACE-A expedition). The right panels show the latitudinal distributions of the same quantities at 8-10 km and 140°-165°E longitude over the western Pacific in October (PEM West-A Expedition). Solid lines are medians of observations, and solid vertical bars are standard deviations. Short-dashed lines are medians of monthly means in the standard model simulation. Long-dashed lines are for the simulation including rapid recycling from HNO₃ to NOx ($k = 0.3$ day⁻¹).
reduce the longitudinal gradient across the tropical Atlantic, since these sources are continental. For the PEM West-A region, by contrast, rapid recycling HNO$_3$ to NO$_x$ in the model leads to an overestimate of NO by about a factor of 2. Our model analysis thus provides support for rapid recycling of HNO$_3$ to NO$_x$ in limited regions of the upper troposphere (as in TRACE-A) but also suggests that this recycling is not ubiquitous.

3.3. Peroxyacetylnitrate

Peroxyacetylnitrate is formed by the reaction of NO$_2$ with peroxyacetyl radicals originated from the oxidation of non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs). Its main sink in the lower and middle troposphere is by thermolysis, the rate of which decreases rapidly with decreasing temperature [Atkinson et al., 1992]. Additional loss by photolysis takes place in the upper troposphere [Talukdar et al., 1995]. In the analysis that follows, we assume that PAN is the main component of the lumped peroxyacetyl nitrate tracer in the model. Figure 9 shows simulated PAN concentrations near the surface and at 500 mbar for January and July. Concentrations of PAN are generally higher in the northern hemisphere than in the southern hemisphere owing to NO$_x$ emissions from fossil fuel combustion. High concentrations of PAN are also simulated over the biomass burning regions of the tropical continents. Except over continental source regions, concentrations of PAN are generally higher at 500 mbar than in surface air because of lower temperatures and hence longer lifetimes for PAN.

Comparison of observed and simulated vertical profiles for PAN is shown in Figure 10. The concentrations of PAN are well simulated over Alaska in summer (region 1) but are overestimated over Canada (regions 2, 3). This discrepancy appears to be related to excessive transport of polluted air from the eastern United States to Canada in the model, a problem previously identified in a simulation of sulfate [Chin et al., 1996].

Model results for northern midlatitudes (the United States, the Pacific, and eastern Asia) (regions 4, 5, 14, 15, 19, 20) are generally consistent with observations, although the variability in the observations is large. PAN concentrations off the coast of Japan (region 14) are overestimated by at least a factor of 2 in October, suggesting that the export of PAN from the Asian continent to the region is too strong in the model, as was previously indicated by the comparison for NO (Figure 5).

Concentrations of PAN in the tropics are usually very low, both in the model and observations (regions 16, 17, 23, 24). The model overestimates concentrations over Amazonia...
Figure 10. Same as Figure 5 but for PAN regional profiles.
4. Carbon Monoxide

Figure 11 shows the simulated CO concentrations near the surface and at 500 mbar for January and July. Higher concentrations in the northern hemisphere than in the southern hemisphere and over continents than over oceans reflect the distribution of combustion sources. The model matches well the observed atmospheric concentrations and seasonal variations of CO at most sites where multiyear records are available [Novelli et al., 1992, 1994], except for an underestimate at high northern latitudes in winter (largest in Barrow, Alaska) and an overestimate (about 10 ppbv) at southern middle and high latitudes. Comparisons of model results with observations are shown in Figure 12 for eight representative sites. Detailed evaluation of a global simulation for CO in a similar model will be presented in a separate publication currently in preparation by J. A. Logan.

5. Ethane

Ethane is released by industrial and combustion sources and is removed by OH oxidation with a lifetime of a few months; concentrations are higher in the northern hemisphere than in the southern hemisphere and are higher in winter than in summer. Model results are compared in Figure 13 with observed vertical distributions, in Figure 14 with observed seasonal variations at four surface sites, and in Figure 15 with the total ethane column observed over Lauder, New Zealand [Rinsland et al., 1994]. The model generally underestimates observations. The global ethane source of 8.8 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) in our model [Wang et al., this issue(a)] is lower than the estimates of 12-13 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) derived by Kanakidou et al. [1991] and Rudolph [1995] to fit observed atmospheric ethane concentrations in their two-dimensional models. An independent emission inventory by Rudolph [1995] indicates a total source of 10.4 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) contributed mainly by natural gas leaks (4.8 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\)) and biomass burning (5.1 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\)). The industrial
source of ethane in our model (6.3 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\)) is higher than that of Rudolph [1995], whereas our biomass burning source (2.5 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\)) is lower. Biogenic sources of ethane appear to be small [Rudolph, 1995].

Over Alaska (region 1), our underestimate of ethane may be explained by emissions from local oil drilling and Siberian coal fields not included in the model [Blake et al., 1992]. At northern midlatitudes the model tends to overestimate ethane concentrations at continental surface sites while underpredicting aircraft measurements; the reasons are unclear. Simulated monthly mean C\(_2\)H\(_6\) columns for Kit Peak (30\(^{\circ}\)N, 122\(^{\circ}\)W) agree well with the long-term measurement by C. P. Rinsland (personal communication, 1998).

Model results for the southern hemisphere capture the observed springtime maximum in ethane concentrations, which is due in the model to biomass burning; however, simulated concentrations tend to be much lower than those observed in all seasons. Increasing the biomass burning source in the model from 2.5 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) to 6 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) would improve the model simulations (Figures 14 and 15). This increase would require doubling either the biomass burning source for CO or the ethane/CO emission ratio, neither of which seems likely on the basis of model evaluation for CO (Figure 11) and measured ethane/CO emission ratios from fires [Wang et al., this issue(a)]. There is little variability in measured ethane/CO emission ratios from different fires because both gases are emitted at the smoldering stage of a fire [Lobert et al., 1991]. The sensitivity simulation of a larger biomass burning source suggests an underestimate of ethane emissions for the southern hemisphere in the model; however, the available measurements in the southern hemisphere do not appear to provide further constraints on the origin of this underestimate.

6. Acetone

Sources of acetone in the model include 1 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) from industry, 9 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) from biomass burning, 15 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) from the terrestrial biosphere, and 12 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) from the oxidation of propane and higher alkanes [Wang et al., this issue(a)]. The source of acetone from the terrestrial biosphere may include both direct emission and secondary production from biogenic hydrocarbons [Singh et al., 1994]; we assume this source to have the same spatial distribution as isoprene and adjust its magnitude to match the few observed vertical profiles of acetone concentrations in the tropics [Wang et al., this issue(a)]. The production of acetone from propane oxidation is treated as a primary source scaled to the propane emission inventory and assuming an 80% yield of acetone; this procedure was adopted to avoid having to include propane as a tracer in the model. The production from oxidation of higher alkanes by contrast is computed within the model as a secondary source. Loss of acetone is by photolysis [McKeen et al., 1997] and oxidation
by OH. Calculated lifetimes for acetone in the tropics are 1-2 months in the lower troposphere and 2-3 weeks in the upper troposphere. The global mean lifetime of acetone in the model is 26 days.

Simulated acetone concentrations near the surface and 500 mbar are shown in Figure 16 for January and July. Concentrations are usually higher in winter than in summer owing to a longer lifetime, and are higher in the northern hemisphere than in the southern hemisphere owing to a large source from oxidation of industrial propane and higher alkanes.

Figure 17 shows the comparison of observed and simulated vertical profiles for acetone. Simulated concentrations over eastern Canada (region 3) are lower than observations by a factor of 2. Analysis of observations in this region indicates major sources from vegetation and biomass burning, with only about a 20% contribution from industrial activities [Singh et al., 1994; Wofsy et al., 1994]. The vegetation source at high northern latitudes may be underestimated in the model as a result of scaling to isoprene emissions, which are relatively low in that region. Observed acetone concentrations over the remote tropical Pacific are well simulated (regions 22, 23), reflecting the adjustment of the biogenic source in the model. The higher concentrations observed in the TRACE-A region (regions 10-13), also well simulated by the model, reflect the additional contribution from biomass burning emissions.

The model overestimates acetone concentrations in the Asian outflow over the subtropical western Pacific in February (regions 20, 21). This discrepancy appears to be caused by treating acetone production from propane oxidation as a primary source in the model. Since the lifetime of propane is over a week in winter, the model would overpredict acetone concentrations near source regions. The error is small in summer or in the tropics and is of little consequence for regions influenced primarily by biomass burning such as TRACE-A, where the primary source of acetone from biomass burning is much larger than the production from alkane oxidation [Wang et al., 1995(b)].

Singh et al. [1995] previously presented a global three-dimensional simulation of acetone using the MOGUNTIA model [Kanakidou and Crutzen, 1993]. Although our global source of acetone is only 20% higher than theirs, the two models have large differences in simulated concentrations. The
Figure 13. Same as Figure 5 but for ethane regional profiles.
Figure 13. (continued)

Figure 14. Simulated and observed seasonal variations of monthly mean ethane concentrations at four surface sites: Egbert, Canada, for 1991 [Bottenheim and Shepherd, 1995]; Harvard Forest, Massachusetts, for 1992-1994 [Goldstein et al., 1995]; Rorvik, Sweden, for 1989-1990 [Lindskog and Moldanova, 1994]; and “Georg von Neumayer,” Antarctica, for 1982-1985 [Rudolph et al., 1989]. Symbols are the same as in Figure 12. Vertical bars are standard deviations in the measurements; crosses and long-dashed lines are results from a sensitivity simulation with 6 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) ethane emitted by biomass burning, as compared with 2.5 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) in the standard simulation (open triangles and short-dashed lines).
Figure 15. Observed total ethane column over Lauder, New Zealand, for 1993-1994 [Rinsland et al., 1994] and simulated columns up to 150 mbar from the standard simulation and the simulation with 6 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) ethane emitted from biomass burning. Symbols are the same as in Figure 14.

The zonal mean concentrations simulated by MOGUNTIA for the southern hemisphere (about 50 pptv) and for the upper troposphere (50-200 pptv at 200 mbar) are considerably lower than observations (Figure 17). Our model results in these regions (Figure 18) are about a factor of 3 higher than in MOGUNTIA and are in better agreement with observations. The cause of the discrepancy between the two models is unclear.

7. Hydrogen Peroxide

Simulated H\(_2\)O\(_2\) concentrations near the surface and at 500 mbar are shown in Figure 19 for January and July. Hydrogen peroxide is produced by the self-reaction of HO\(_2\) and is thus a sensitive proxy for HO\(_x\) radicals (HO\(_x\) = OH + HO\(_2\)). It is removed by reaction with OH, photolysis, and wet and dry deposition. Concentrations of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) are higher in summer than in winter and are higher in the tropics than at high latitudes, reflecting the dependence of HO\(_x\) production on solar radiation and water vapor.

Figure 20 shows the comparison of observed and simulated vertical profiles for H\(_2\)O\(_2\). The model matches observations in the Asian outflow and over the tropical western Pacific but underestimates H\(_2\)O\(_2\) below 500 mbar over the TRACE-A biomass burning regions (regions 9, 10, 12). Over eastern Brazil (region 9), boundary layer H\(_2\)O\(_2\) concentrations at night are diluted in the model by inflow of low-H\(_2\)O\(_2\) air from the Atlantic carried by easterly winds exceeding 10 m s\(^{-1}\). Primary emission of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) by fires [Lee et al., 1997], not included in the model, may also contribute to the underestimate. It is not clear why observed concentrations of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) over the southern coast of Brazil (region 10) are so high, considering that these specific observations were for relatively dry remote air which originated from southern midlatitudes [Browell et al., 1996].
8. Ozone

8.1. Seasonal Variation

Simulated ozone concentrations near the surface and at 500 mbar are shown in Figure 21 for January and July. Elevated ozone concentrations are simulated over industrial regions of the northern hemisphere in summer and over the biomass burning regions of tropical continents, as observed [Logan, 1985; Andreae et al., 1992; Helas et al., 1995; Fishman et al., 1996]. We compare in Figures 22a-22d the observed and simulated seasonal variations of ozone near the surface and at 800, 500, and 300 mbar, respectively. The observations at 800-300 mbar are from multiyear ozonesonde measurements (Logan, manuscript in preparation, 1998). Surface ozone observations are 24-hour averages, except for the sites over the continental United States, where the observations are for 1300-1600 local time. Jacob et al. [1993] pointed out that evaluation of model results with observations in continental surface air should focus on the afternoon hours, when observations are most likely to be representative of a deep mixed layer that can be resolved by the model. This constraint does not apply to marine sites where diel variability is small [Oltmans and Levy, 1994].

Observed ozone concentrations in the lower troposphere of the extratropical northern hemisphere are generally simulated to within 10 ppbv by the model. A major feature of the observations in this region is the summertime maximum at polluted sites and the springtime maximum at remote sites [Logan,
Figure 18. Simulated zonal mean concentrations of acetone (pptv) as a function of latitude and pressure. Values are averages for different seasons.

Figure 19. Simulated monthly mean H₂O₂ concentrations (pptv) near the surface and at 500 mbar for January and July.
Figure 20. Same as Figure 5 but for H$_2$O$_2$ regional profiles.
1985]. This feature is well simulated by the model; the origin of the springtime maximum is discussed by Wang et al. [this issue(b)]. The observed springtime minimum in surface air at Barrow, Alaska, is thought to be caused by bromine-catalyzed ozone depletion taking place in a shallow mixed layer [Barrie et al., 1988; Oltmans and Levy, 1994], which is not accounted for in the model. The observed summertime minima of about 20 ppbv at Bermuda and at the Japanese island sites reflect the influence of long-range transport of tropical air with low ozone; this tropical influence is not represented properly in the GCM.

The seasonality of ozone in the tropical lower troposphere is well simulated by the model. However, the model overestimates ozone concentrations by up to 20 ppbv at some sites, owing to excessive subsidence from the free troposphere as discussed further in the next section. The summer minimum at Barbados reflects rapid photochemical loss [Oltmans and Levy, 1994]. The austral spring maxima at Natal and Cuiaba are due to biomass burning. Observed ozone concentrations at Brazzaville in austral spring are 40 ppbv higher at 800 mbar than in surface air, reflecting advection of relatively clean marine air below the trade wind inversion by a monsoon flow [Cros, 1987], which is not captured by the model.

Observed lower tropospheric ozone concentrations at middle and high latitudes of the southern hemisphere are reproduced by the model to within 10 ppbv. The observations show a winter maximum in surface air, reflecting the seasonality of photochemical loss, and a spring maximum at 800 mbar reflecting the long-range transport of biomass burning effluents [Fishman et al., 1991]. The model shows a spring maximum at 800 mbar but also at the surface, which we explain as owing to excessive exchange between the boundary layer and the free troposphere at high southern latitudes.

Ozonesonde observations in the northern extratropics show a broad spring-summer maximum extending up to the tropopause [Logan, 1985; Logan, manuscript in preparation, 1998]. This seasonal pattern is captured by the model (Figures 22c and 22d). The model also reproduces well observed ozone concentrations in the northern extratropics at 500 mbar (Figure 22c). However, simulated ozone concentrations are too low at 300 mbar (Figure 22d), which is frequently in the stratosphere in the observations [Holton et al., 1995; Logan, manuscript in preparation, 1998] but not in the model [Koch et al., 1996]. We removed stratospheric data from the ozonesonde observations north of 35°N using the temperature measurements available for each sonde (Logan, manuscript in preparation, 1998). Figure 22d shows that model results are in close agreement with the filtered tropospheric data at 300 mbar.

Model results at 500 and 300 mbar are generally in good agreement with observations in the northern tropics but too

---

**Figure 21.** Simulated monthly mean ozone concentrations (ppbv) near the surface and at 500 mbar for January and July.
Figure 22a. Comparison of observed and simulated monthly mean ozone concentrations for the surface sites in Figure 1. Solid lines and open circles are observations; dashed lines and open triangles are model results. Observations are from Oltmans and Levy [1994], Logan (manuscript in preparation, 1998), and Munger et al. [1998]. The observations identified as Oregon, Indiana, Virginia, and Arizona are 1980-1994 averages over a 4°x5° model grid square of data from a number of sites reported by the Aeronometric Information Retrieval Service of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [Liang et al., 1998]. Observations at these U.S. sites and at Harvard Forest are for 1300-1600 local time; observations at other sites are 24-hour averages.
Figure 22b. Comparison of observed and simulated ozone concentrations at 800 mbar for the ozonesonde sites in Figure 1. Symbols are the same as Figure 22a. Vertical bars are standard deviations in the observations. Data are from Logan (manuscript in preparation, 1998).
Figure 22c. Same as Figure 22b but at 500 mbar.
Figure 22d. Same as Figure 22b but at 300 mbar. For stations north of 35°N, stratospheric data were filtered out from each individual sonde profile using concurrent measurements of temperature (Logan, manuscript in preparation, 1998). For these sites the filtered tropospheric data are shown as solid circles and solid lines, and the unfiltered data are shown as long-dashed lines.
low in the southern tropics. The 25-ppbv underestimate of ozone concentrations at Natal, Ascension, and Pretoria in austral spring is related in part to the underestimate of NOx concentrations in the upper troposphere over the tropical South Atlantic, as was discussed in section 3.1, and in part to excessive vertical mixing in the model across the trade wind inversion, as will be discussed below. Including rapid HNO₃ recycling to NOx in the upper troposphere as described in section 3.2 would increase ozone concentrations by 5-15 ppbv in the upper troposphere over the tropical South Atlantic, improving the agreement with observations.

8.2. Vertical Profiles

Simulated vertical profiles of ozone concentrations in different seasons are compared with ozonesonde data in Figure 23. The model captures well the observed vertical gradients in the extratropics but not in the tropics. Ozonesonde data show a steep decrease of ozone concentrations in the tropical lower troposphere because of the semipermanent trade wind inversion [Heikes et al., 1996; Logan, manuscript in preparation, 1998; Hastenrath, 1991]; this decrease is almost nonexistent in the model. The chemical lifetime of ozone in the tropical marine boundary layer is about 1 week in the model [Wang et al., this issue(b)], consistent with inferences from observations [Johnson et al., 1990; Heikes et al., 1996]. We conclude that the model has excessive vertical mixing across the trade wind inversion. This excessive mixing leads in turn to an underestimate of ozone concentrations in the tropical free troposphere because of the shorter lifetime of ozone against chemical loss in the boundary layer than at higher altitudes.

9. Global Ozone Budget

The generally good simulations of ozone, NO, and H₂O₂ and the lifetime of tropospheric CH₃CCl₃ offer support for the representation of HO₂ and NO₂ chemistry in the model and lend confidence in applying the model to investigate tropospheric ozone budgets. The global budget in the model is...
shown in Table 1. The budget is given for the odd oxygen family \((\text{O}_x = \text{O}_3 + \text{O} + \text{NO}_2 + \text{HNO}_4 + 2 \times \text{NO}_3 + 3 \times \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{PANs} + \text{HNO}_3)\), to account for the interconversion between ozone and other \(\text{O}_x\) species. Since ozone normally accounts for over 95% of \(\text{O}_x\), we can view the budgets of ozone and \(\text{O}_x\) as effectively equivalent. The main source of \(\text{O}_x\) in the troposphere is the reaction of peroxy radicals with \(\text{NO}\); the main sinks are the reactions \(\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{O}_3 + \text{HO}_2, \text{and O}_3 + \text{HO}_2\). We also calculated the ozone loss by applying the \(\text{O}_x\) loss frequencies in the model to a climatology of observed tropospheric ozone distributions (Logan, manuscript in preparation, 1998). The resulting global ozone loss was 6% lower than the estimate of Table 1.

We see in Table 1 that sources and sinks of tropospheric ozone are dominated by photochemistry within the troposphere. Although transport from the stratosphere contributes less than 10% of the global source of ozone in the troposphere, its actual contribution to the tropospheric ozone inventory is somewhat larger because of the relatively long lifetime of ozone in the extratropical troposphere, where cross-tropopause transport takes place. This issue and other features of the tropospheric ozone budget are discussed by Wang et al. [this issue(b)].

We find that the tropospheric ozone inventory is 40% larger in the northern hemisphere than in the southern hemisphere, although \(\text{NO}_x\) emissions are 3 times larger in the northern hemisphere. Liu et al. [1987] showed that the number of \(\text{O}_x\) molecules produced per \(\text{NO}_x\) molecule emitted (ozone production efficiency) decreases with increasing \(\text{NO}_x\) concentrations. Our model yields annual mean values for the ozone production efficiency of 28 mol/mol globally, 23 mol/mol in the northern hemisphere, and 46 mol/mol in the southern hemisphere. Thus \(\text{NO}_x\) emitted in the southern hemisphere is twice as efficient at producing ozone as \(\text{NO}_x\) emitted in the northern hemisphere.

Our global ozone budget can be compared with previous three-dimensional model results from Müller and Brasseur [1995] (MB95), Roelofs and Lelieveld [1995] (RL95), and Crutzen [1994] (C94). Our chemical production and loss rates averaged globally and annually are 10% lower than MB95, 5% (production) and 15% (loss) higher than C94, and 30% higher than RL95. The lower production rates of C94 and RL95 could be due in part to their omission of NMHCs; without NMHCs
the production rate in our model would be 10% lower [Wang et al., this issue(b)]. The budget domain used by MB95 extends up to 50 mbar, as compared with 150 mbar in our model, accounting for the significantly longer residence time in their model (120 days) than in our model (25 days). The ozone loss by dry deposition in our model is 10% higher than RL95 but 25% lower than MB95 and 40% lower than C94. Ozone deposition velocities in C94 are fixed at 0.4 cm s\(^{-1}\) over land and 0.1 cm s\(^{-1}\) over oceans [Crutzen and Zimmermann, 1991], which appear to be too high [Wang et al., this issue(a)].

### Table 1. Global Budget for Tropospheric Ozone

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Global</th>
<th>Northern Hemisphere</th>
<th>Southern Hemisphere</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In situ chemical production(^a)</td>
<td>4100</td>
<td>2620</td>
<td>1480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport from stratosphere</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>2860</td>
<td>1640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinks, Tg O(_3) yr(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In situ chemical loss(^b)</td>
<td>3680</td>
<td>2290</td>
<td>1390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry deposition</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>2820</td>
<td>1680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interhemispheric transport, Tg O(_3) yr(^{-1})</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burden, Tg O(_3)</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence time, days</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The budget is for the air column extending up to 150 mbar. Annual mean budget terms are given for the odd oxygen family (O\(_3\) = O\(_3\) + O + NO\(_2\) + HNO\(_4\) + 2 x NO\(_3\) + 3 x N\(_2\)O\(_5\) + PANs + HNO\(_3\)) to account for chemical interconversion between ozone and other components of O\(_x\). Since ozone accounts for over 95% of O\(_x\), the budgets of ozone and O\(_x\) can be regarded as equivalent.

\(^a\)Mainly from the reactions of peroxy radicals with NO.

\(^b\)Mainly from the reactions O\\(_2\\)D + H\(_2\)O, O\(_3\) + HO\(_2\), and O\(_3\) + OH.

10. Conclusions

We have presented a detailed evaluation of a global three-dimensional model of tropospheric chemistry using surface, ozonesonde, and aircraft measurements of ozone, NO, PAN, HNO\(_3\), CO, ethane, acetone, and H\(_2\)O\(_2\). The model generally captures the observed seasonal variations and regional distributions of these species, although there are a number of discrepancies. The global mean OH concentration computed in the model compares well with the estimate using CH\(_3\)CCl\(_3\) as a proxy [Prinn et al., 1995]. We summarize here some particularly interesting results of the model evaluation.

The model reproduces observed NO and PAN concentrations to within a factor of 2 in most tropospheric regions but tends to overestimate HNO\(_3\) concentrations in the remote troposphere, often several fold. Previous authors have hypothesized rapid conversion of HNO\(_3\) to NO\(_x\) by an unknown mechanism in order to reconcile photochemical equilibrium model calculations with observed HNO\(_3\)/NO\(_x\) ratios in the remote troposphere [Chatfield, 1994; Fan et al., 1994; Hauglustaine et al., 1996; Jacob et al., 1996]. We find, however, in our model that recycling of HNO\(_3\) to NO\(_x\) at the rate needed to match HNO\(_3\) concentrations would lead to large overestimates of NO concentrations everywhere except in the upper troposphere over the tropical South Atlantic (an area influenced strongly by biomass burning). Aside from this exception, it appears necessary to invoke HNO\(_3\) sinks that do not lead to rapid recycling of NO\(_x\). There are also concerns regarding the quality of the HNO\(_3\) measurements [Crosley, 1996].

Acetone appears to provide a major source of HNO\(_3\) in the upper troposphere [Singh et al., 1995; Jaegle et al., 1997; McKeen et al., 1997], but its sources are not understood. We can reproduce available observations for atmospheric acetone in our model by including a biogenic source of 15 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\), which then accounts for 40% of our global acetone source of 37 Tg C yr\(^{-1}\) [Wang et al., this issue(a)]. This biogenic source can explain the relatively high acetone concentrations observed in tropical regions remote from biomass burning influence [Singh et al., 1995]. The persistence of high acetone concentrations in the upper troposphere reflects the long lifetime of acetone against photolysis at low altitudes (1-2 months in surface air versus 2-3 weeks at 300 mbar in the tropics).

A prominent feature of ozone observations in the lower troposphere of northern midlatitudes is the summer maximum at polluted sites and the spring maximum at remote sites [Logan, 1985; Levy et al., 1985]. The model reproduces this seasonality. The summer maximum at polluted sites reflects rapid photochemical production when UV radiation is strongest, while the spring maximum at remote sites reflects a combination of photochemical and stratospheric influence as discussed by Wang et al. [this issue(b)]. Seasonal variations of ozone in other regions of the troposphere are also well simulated by the model. An exception is the winter maximum in surface air at southern high latitudes, which is shifted to spring in the model as a result of excessive subsidence of biomass burning pollution transported from the tropics. The model tends to overestimate ozone concentrations in the tropical marine boundary layer; this problem appears to be caused by excessive vertical mixing across the trade wind inversion.

Overall, the ability of the model to reproduce observations for ozone, NO, and H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (proxy for HO\(_x\) radicals) and the lifetime of CH\(_3\)CCl\(_3\) (proxy for the global mean OH) lends confidence in using the model to understand the factors controlling tropospheric ozone. A global budget presented in this paper shows that the source of tropospheric ozone is dominated by chemical production within the troposphere (4100 Tg O\(_3\) yr\(^{-1}\), compared with 400 Tg yr\(^{-1}\) for transport from the stratosphere) and the sink is dominated by chemical loss (3700 Tg yr\(^{-1}\), compared with 800 Tg yr\(^{-1}\) for deposition). The interhemispheric difference in the ozone inventory is much less than the disparity in NO\(_x\) emissions, reflecting a higher ozone production efficiency for NO\(_x\) emitted in the southern hemisphere than in the northern hemisphere (46 mol/mol versus 23 mol/mol). Further discussion of factors controlling tropospheric ozone concentrations is presented by Wang et al. [this issue(b)].
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