Export of Asian pollution during two cold front episodes of the TRACE-P experiment
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[1] Two cold front episodes were sampled during the two flights out of Yokota, Japan, during the Transport and Chemical Evolution Over the Pacific (TRACE-P) experiment during March 2001. The data from these two flights are examined using a mesoscale three-dimensional model. We show how these cyclonic systems have impacted the export of pollution out of the Asian continent. We contrast the relative role of convection and ascent in the warm conveyor belts associated with the cyclone during these two episodes. Although the necessary meteorological conditions for an efficient export of pollution are met during flight 13 (i.e., the occurrences of the warm conveyor belt near the source regions), no significant pollution is simulated in the mid-Pacific in the lower and middle troposphere. The efficient ventilation of the WCB by convection near the coast, the advection by the anticyclonical flow above 700 hPa, and the downward motion associated with the Pacific high in the remote ocean significantly prevent any long-range transport of undiluted pollution in the WCB. During flight 15 the conveyor belts have already moved to the remote ocean. The polluted plume is split by the rising air in the warm conveyor belt which transports CO-poor air northward and by the oceanic convection which transports clean air masses upward. These mechanisms lead to the dilution of Asian pollution in WCB en route to North America and add to the episodic nature of the Asian outflow by fragmenting the pollution plume. INDEX TERMS: 0365 Atmospheric Composition and Structure: Troposphere—composition and chemistry; 0368 Atmospheric Composition and Structure: Troposphere—constituent transport and chemistry; 3314 Meteorology and Atmospheric Dynamics: Convective processes; 3329 Meteorology and Atmospheric Dynamics: Mesoscale meteorology; KEYWORDS: warm conveyor belt, TRACE-P, pollution


1. Introduction

[2] The Pacific Ocean has been regarded as the only major region in the northern hemisphere that was “relatively” free from direct anthropogenic influences. It is now recognized that the rapidly emerging industrialized Asian continent [Streets and Waldhoff, 2000] has a significant impact on the environment in the northwestern Pacific region through the long-range transport of pollutants [Gregory et al., 1997; Xiao et al., 1997; Jaffe et al., 1999; Jaffe, 2001; Wilkening et al., 2000; Newell and Evans, 2000; Wild and Akimoto, 2001; Stohl et al., 2002]. Past studies have shown numerous occurrences of the episodic transpacific transport of pollution originating from Asia. These events are especially favorable during spring. Indeed, during spring, the convection begins and there are still fairly strong westerlies in the upper troposphere. Jaffe et al. [1999, 2003] relate pollution episodes observed in northwestern Washington State to surface emissions lifted into the free troposphere over Asia during frontal passages and transported to North America in the lower troposphere. Kritz et al. [1990] connect observations of radon-rich air over the west coast of the United States to localized lifting of pollution in cumulus updrafts over Asia followed by fast eastward advection on the anticyclonic side of the upper tropospheric jet. If the meteorological conditions are favorable, the authors find that the transit time for pollution to reach North America ranges between 2 or 3 days. The combination of fast convective transport and strong westerly flow contributes to the well known spring maximum in dust found over the western Pacific [Duce et al., 1980; Prospero
and Savoie, 1989; Husar et al., 2001]. Convective lifting of Southeast Asian biomass burning is also primary responsible for CO plumes observed in the upper tropical troposphere [Folkins et al., 1997; Bey et al., 2001].

[1] The two main mechanisms responsible for lifting surface emissions from Asia into the middle and upper troposphere are localized deep convection and rising warm, humid air ahead of cold fronts (Warm Conveyor Belts, WCB) These two mechanisms, however, act at very different spatial and temporal scales: cloud scale and a few hours for deep convection, synoptic scale and a few days for fronts. The distinction between the rapid uplift in deep convective clouds and slow ascent in the conveyor belts associated with the cold fronts, however, remains a non-trivial exercise in particular because deep convection is often embedded in the frontal systems. At local scale, rapid convective injection yields air with a much different chemical signature than synoptic slow ascent [Pickering et al., 2001; Prather and Jacob, 1997; Collins et al., 1999; Gettelman et al., 2001]. At synoptic-scale, cold fronts associated with the eastward traveling cyclonic systems are shown to be a major transport mechanism into the western North Atlantic and Pacific with the consequence that transport events are highly episodic [Berkowitz et al., 1996; Yienger et al., 2000]. In particular, the role of the warm conveyor belts associated with cold fronts is pointed out in many studies. Warm conveyor belts are defined as rising streams of warm and humid air which flow ahead of the cold front [Browning and Roberts, 1994; Browning and Golding, 1995]. During the early stage of the cyclogenesis, if the upper-level flow is an open wave, then the warm conveyor belt rises to the upper troposphere and turns anticyclonically downstream of the cyclone. Later in the evolution of the cyclone (e.g., occlusion), if the upper-level flow is characterized by closed flow, some of the warm conveyor belt air may turn cyclonically around the low center [Schultz, 2001]. The warm conveyor belts are identified as major pathways for the export of pollution from the eastern seabords of North America [Bethan et al., 1998; Cooper et al., 2001] and Asia [Jaffee et al., 1999; Kameyasu et al., 2000; Miyazaki et al., 2003]. However, depending of their geographical origin (marine or continental), these warm conveyor belts range from very clean [Grant et al., 2000] to highly polluted [Stohl and Trickl, 1999]. Hannan et al. [2003] showed that, over the Pacific Ocean, the WCB can exhibit large variability in chemical signatures depending on the boundary layer histories. Western Europe frequently receives outflow from WCB originating over North America [Stohl, 2001]. For this region, Donnell et al. [2001] find that advective large-scale transport in the warm conveyor belts is the major mechanism to transport air from the boundary layer to the free troposphere with convection and turbulent mixing playing lesser, although nonnegligible, roles. Esler et al. [2003] refine this result by differentiating between intense and relatively weak frontal activity: in intense surface low systems, the mixing between air masses is efficient, whereas weaker surface low systems produce complex layered structure with significant transport in the stably stratified warm conveyor belt. Wild and Akimoto [2001] reach the same conclusion as Donnell et al. [2001] showing that between February and May over East Asia, the contributions of nonconvective vertical transport exceed convection. It is worth noting, however, that these two studies are based on large-scale CTM models and monthly average fluxes. Even if convection is not found predominant on a monthly mean basis, its impact on intercontinental transport during specific episodes can still be great. Unlike Europe, deep convective events are more frequent and vigorous over the Asian continent. Stohl et al. [2002] demonstrate that Asia tracer experience the strongest vertical transport of all the northern hemisphere continent. This lifting of pollution into the free troposphere is a strong condition for transpacific transport by westerly winds [Bey et al., 2001]. Indeed, the efficiency of the lifting to the free and upper troposphere condition the zonal and meridional transport across the ocean. This meteorological condition, however, is not always sufficient and the conditions favorable for the large-scale export are not so often encountered in East Asia. A study of winter storm tracks over the northern hemisphere showed that very few synoptic systems can be tracked across the width of the Pacific basin [Hoskins and Hodges, 2002]. Most of the systems generated over eastern Asia do not even reach the mid-Pacific. This condition, however, might not be necessary for the intercontinental transport of Asian pollution. Emissions from the Asian boundary layer can be transported over large distance by flows associated with the warm conveyor belt, which turns anticyclonically away from the parent low pressure [Hess and Vukicevic, 2003].

[2] The Transport and Chemical Evolution Over the Pacific (TRACE-P) aircraft mission was conducted over the western Pacific rim during March–April 2001 [Jacob et al., 2003]. The data from TRACE-P provide valuable constraints with which to test our understanding of the mechanisms controlling intercontinental transport of pollution into the Pacific. In this study, we focus on two cyclonic storms sampled during the second half of the TRACE-P mission. We show how these cyclonic systems have impacted the export of pollution out of the Asian continent. In particular, we focus on the relative role of rapid ventilation of pollutants by convection versus the slantwise slower ascent in the warm conveyor belts branch associated with the cyclones during these two episodes. To achieve this goal, we use a mesoscale three-dimensional model to examine the evolution of Asian outflow over the western Pacific through simulations of the TRACE-P local flights 13 and 15 out of Yokota.

2. Aircraft Instrumentation and Methodology

[3] Aircraft measurements are obtained by the NASA/DC-8 during the TRACE-P campaign in March 2001. CO is measured using a diode laser in situ method. The instrument achieved a precision of 1 ppbv for an integration time of 1 s [Sachse et al., 1987]. The study of the rising air defining the WCBs is made assuming that the wet bulb potential temperature ($\theta_w$) is a conserved property of the flow [Harrold, 1973]. $\theta_w$ is a relatively conservative property within any one air mass during both dry and moist adiabatic processes. $\theta_w$ is attained when a parcel is brought down to 1000 mb from its lifting condensation level along a pseudo-adiabat. Previous studies have found that $\theta_w$ is a more effective signature of the origin of boundary layer air than equivalent potential temperature, virtual potential tempera-
ture or relative humidity [Sturman and McGowan, 1995; Bethan et al., 1998]. In this work, $\theta_w$ is derived from the temperature and the water vapor mixing ratio by applying formulae from Bolton [1980] for both model and observations. The warm conveyor belt (warm and humid air) is defined by high-$\theta_w$ air that comes off from the base of the main warm sector, travels in the boundary layer back towards the cyclone center and then ascends above the cold conveyor belt.

[6] Carbon monoxide is a suitable tracer for large-scale transport because of its moderately long lifetime (1–3 months) in the atmosphere at midlatitude. CO is a good proxy of pollution from urban, industrialized areas and biomass burning. In this study, a CO tracer is introduced into the model to mimic carbon monoxide. The tracer has the same emission sources than CO but does not undergo chemical reactions, has no secondary source from the oxidation of methane or nonmethane hydrocarbons and no deposition loss.

3. Model Description

[7] The model used in this study is a nonhydrostatic mesoscale meteorological model, Meso-NH. A detailed description of the dynamics and chemistry of the model can be found in the literature [Lafond et al., 1994; Mari et al., 1999; Suhre et al., 2000; Tulet et al., 2003] (http://www.aero.obs-mip.fr). Model horizontal resolution is 75 km by 75 km. The vertical resolution is 50 m in the boundary layer and 400 in the free troposphere up to 20 km, with a total of 72 levels. The dynamical timestep is 60 s. The large-scale forcing of dynamical parameters is provided by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts analysis every 6 hours. For flight 13 the simulation starts on 19 March 2001 at 0000 UTC and ends on 21 March 2001 at 1200 UTC. For flight 15 the simulation starts on 25 March 2001 at 0000 UTC and ends on 27 March 2001 at 1200 UTC.

[8] The CO tracer is coupled on-line with the transport schemes in the model (advection, convection, turbulent mixing). Initialization and boundary conditions of carbon monoxide are provided by the GEOS-CHEM chemical transport model [Bey et al., 2001] for the TRACE-P meteorological period. Carbon monoxide from both fossil, biofuel and biomass burning are taken from the EDGAR v2.0 inventory based on year 1995.

[9] A method of tracking coherent Lagrangian air masses has been implemented in the mesoscale model by Gheusi [2002]. It is based on three Eulerian passive tracers initialized with the coordinates of each grid cell. This “initial coordinates” method allows the later unambiguous identification of each Lagrangian air parcel by referring to its initial position. Further, the method allows the physical history of each parcel to be retrieved. In particular, rising flow associated with the WCBs are easily recognized as will be shown in the following sections.

4. DC-8 Flight 13: Yokota Local 1

4.1. Synoptic Situation

[10] The satellite image in Figure 1 shows the position of the cloud band associated with the cold front during flight 13. Flight 13 started on 20 March at 2250 UTC, from Yokota returning on 21 March at 0825 UTC. The aircraft flew from Yokota southwest to cross the cold front (segment A-D), then flew northwest to (30°N, 125°E) recrossing the front (segment D-G). The aircraft then sampled polluted air in the low troposphere into the Yellow Sea. On the return leg to Yokota the aircraft climbed up to 200 hPa to sample a stratospheric intrusion associated with the subtropical jet stream (segment H-I).

[11] In Figure 2, associated with the cold front identified in Figure 1, a low-pressure area is centered at 50°N–145°E, over the extreme northern Japan. A developing low-pressure area is located over northeastern Asia (45°N, 115°E). The simulated wet-bulb potential temperature at 700 hPa marks the cold front extending from the low pressure system over Japan toward the southeast along 155°E and then southwest toward Taiwan. In cold fronts originating from Asia, the position of the WCB depends strongly on the location of the Japan jet and the flow is accelerated in the straight narrow band between the Aleutian Low and the Pacific High [Fuelberg et al., 2003]. The subtropical jet stream is oriented south of Japan. North of 40°N, the cold front is associated with a dry intrusion characterized by elevated values of potential vorticity at 310 K.

4.2. Aircraft Observations and Model Analysis

[12] Figure 3 shows the comparison between model results and aircraft observations along the flight track.
Meteorological parameters such as wind speed, water vapor mixing ratios and wet bulb potential temperature are remarkably well represented by the model. The model captures respectively 95%, 86% and 96% of the observed variances in wind speed, water vapor and wet bulb potential temperature with median ratio of simulated-to-observed values of 1.07, 0.57 and 1.00, respectively. The model captures 46% of the observed CO mixing ratio variance and the median ratio of simulated-to-observed CO mixing ratio is 1.44. Below 800 hPa (respectively above 300 hPa) the model captures 28% (respectively 86%) of the observed CO mixing ratio variance.

Figure 2. Simulated meteorology on 21 March at 00h00 UTC: (a) mean sea level pressure in hPa, (b) subtropical jet stream at 330 K, in m/s, (c) wet bulb potential temperature at 700 hPa, in K, (d) potential vorticity at 310 K, in PVU, and (e) initial altitude of air parcels present at 2000 m (about 800 hPa), after a 12-hour model run. Parcels with initial altitude below 1200 m, which experienced ascending motion, are shaded in black color. Dotted regions indicate parcels having initial altitude above 2800 m, and which have experienced descending motion.
Southwest of Yokota, upper tropospheric CO mixing ratios (segment A-B) ranged between 100 and 150 ppbv for the observations, 200 ppbv for the model. High wind speed (between 50 and 70 m/s) indicates air masses advected in the jet stream (Figure 3a). In Figure 4c the model shows a pollution plume, originating from Southeast Asia, moving northeast toward Japan, then turning clockwise toward subtropical latitudes. It is this upper tropospheric plume that is sampled during the first leg A-B.

South of the cold front, in the marine boundary layer, relatively high CO mixing ratios (up to 300 ppbv) are both observed and simulated 1500 km away from the Chinese coast (segment B-C). High $q_w$ ($290 < q_w < 294$ K) indicates that the aircraft is sampling air in the warm side of the cold front.

Figure 3. Simulated (solid line) and observed (crosses) values over the entire duration of flight 13 of (a) wind speed in m/s, (b) water vapor mixing ratio in ppmv, (c) wet bulb potential temperature in K, and (d) CO in ppbv. CO observations where C$_2$H$_2$/CO $> 3$ (C$_2$H$_2$/CO $< 1$) are represented by squares (triangles). The dotted line represents the altitude of the flight in hPa.

Figure 4. Simulated CO tracer, in ppbv, on 21 March 2001 at 0000 UTC (a) at 900 hPa, (b) on the 291 K wet-bulb potential temperature surface, and (c) at 300 hPa.
front. In Figure 3d, observations are labeled according to the ratio between ethyne and CO. The ratio C$_2$H$_2$/CO indicates the "chemical" age of the sampled air mass [Gregory et al., 1997]. C$_2$H$_2$/CO < 1 is representative of emissions at least 5 days old, C$_2$H$_2$/CO > 3 can be interpreted as freshly emitted air masses (less than 1 day). During the boundary layer leg, the C$_2$H$_2$/CO ratio is close to 3 indicating a relatively young air mass. In Figure 4a the model shows this polluted plume at 900 hPa, extending zonally toward the ocean and guided in the 20–30°N latitudinal band. The origin of this air mass is discussed in more details in section 6.

[15] The aircraft sampled during the upper tropospheric southernmost leg (segment C-D) is relatively clean (CO < 150 ppbv for both model and observations). Low C$_2$H$_2$/CO indicates aged air mass. Figure 4c indicates that the aircraft is located south of the polluted plume from Southeast Asia at 300 hPa which explains why the CO mixing ratios are lower during this leg compared to leg A-B.

[16] When the aircraft moved northward (segment E-F in Figure 3), it recrossed the cold front in the lower troposphere (750 hPa). Both the model and the observations show a strong gradient in CO mixing ratios (increasing from 150 to 250 ppbv) and $\theta_w$ (decreasing from 292 down to 286 K). At the same time, the ratio C$_2$H$_2$/CO increases from 1.5 in the warm side of the cold front to 3.5 in the cold side of the cold front, indicating fresh emissions.

[17] The aircraft remained in the cold side of the cold front until the end of the flight. As the aircraft flew over the Yellow Sea (segment F-H in Figures 1 and 4), very high pollution from Shanghai is sampled [Simpson et al., 2003; Talbot et al., 2003; Vay et al., 2003]. The model simulates the increase in CO mixing ratios but underestimate by a factor of 2 to 3 the observed mixing ratios in the plume. Low values of $\theta_w$ (278 < $\theta_w$ < 286 K), high observed C$_2$H$_2$/CO ratios and CO mixing ratios (up to 1000 ppbv for the observations, up to 500 ppbv for the model) all identify freshly emitted pollution trapped in the cold side of the cold front above the Yellow Sea (segment F-G-H). The low simulated CO concentrations in the Shanghai plume compared to the observations may be due to an underestimation of the Asian sources as demonstrated by Palmer et al. [2003].

[18] On the return leg in the upper troposphere (200 hPa), the major meteorological feature is the Japan jet stream. Both model and observations show minima in CO mixing ratios (50 ppbv) These low CO mixing ratios are correlated with high ozone mixing ratios (200 ppbv, not show here) indicating a stratospheric origin of the sampled air mass.

5. DC-8 Flight 15: Yokota Local 3

5.1. Synoptic Situation

[19] Flight 15 took place 6 days after flight 13 in the same region. The flight started on 26 March at 2330 UTC, from Yokota returning on 27 March at 0900 UTC. The aircraft conducted measurements along the Asian coast, one at 133°E–139°E (segment A-B) and one at 125°E (segment E-F) (Figure 5). The return leg to Yokota took place in the middle troposphere (segment G-K). As for flight 13, in Figure 6, a low-pressure area is centered at 45°N, 140°E, over the extreme northern Japan. A surface cold front, characterized by a strong gradient of wet-bulb potential temperature, extended southeast to near 30°N–150°E and then west to near Taiwan. In the upper troposphere, the jet stream has strengthened considerably just south of Japan compare to flight 13. North of the jet, high positive values of potential vorticity signal a dry intrusion.

5.2. Aircraft Observations and Model Analysis

[20] Figure 7 shows the time series of observed and simulated meteorological parameters and CO along the flight track during flight 15. Wind speed, water vapor mixing ratio and $\theta_w$ are well simulated: the model captures respectively 95%, 91% and 97% of wind speed, water vapor mixing ratio and $\theta_w$ observed variance. The median ratio of simulated-to-observed wind speed, water vapor mixing ratio and $\theta_w$ are respectively 1.02, 0.69 and 1.00. The model captures 28% of the observed CO mixing ratio variance and the median ratio of simulated-to-observed CO mixing ratio is 1.22. Below 800 hPa (respectively above 300 hPa) the model captures 27% (respectively 17%) of the observed CO mixing ratio variance. In Figure 7d the model tends to overestimate the upper tropospheric CO mixing ratios sometimes by a factor of 2 but reproduces reasonably well the observed CO in the lower and mid-troposphere. One exception is the high level of pollution observed at takeoff and landing at the Yokota airport (around 600 ppbv of CO for the observations, 300 ppbv for the model). The under-estimation of very polluted air masses close to the point sources reflects the dilution of the emission strength due to
the horizontal resolution of the model and the possible underestimation of the emissions themselves [Palmer et al., 2003].

[21] During the two legs parallel to the Asian coast (segments A-B and E-F in Figures 5 and 7), the aircraft sampled upper tropospheric air in the jet stream (wind speed around 80 m/s). Observed CO mixing ratios are between 100 and 200 ppbv (between 150 and 250 ppbv for the model). During the upper tropospheric leg at 125°E, CO mixing ratios dropped to 50 ppbv and ozone increased to 200 ppbv, indicating a stratospheric origin of the sampled air mass. The wet-bulb potential temperature decreased from 293 K down to 290 K in both the model and the observations as the aircraft moved northward. Upper tropo-

Figure 6. Simulated meteorology on 27 March at 00h00 UTC. (a) mean sea level pressure in hPa, (b) subtropical jet stream at 330 K, in m/s, (c) wet bulb potential temperature at 700 hPa, in K, (d) potential vorticity at 310 K, in PVU, and (e) initial altitude of air parcels present at 2000 m (800 hPa), after a 12-hour model run. Ascending regions are shaded in black color; descending regions are dotted.
Spheric air masses during both segments have a \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2/\text{CO} \) ratio less than 1, characterizing aged air masses. The southernmost upper tropospheric leg (segment C-D) is located south of the jet stream (wind speed around 40 m/s). The aircraft sampled air masses in the warm side of the cold front as derived from high wet-bulb potential temperature values (see also Figure 6c) and with \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2/\text{CO} \) values less than 1 representative of aged air masses.

[22] During the two sections in the boundary layer south of 30\(^\circ\)/N (segments B-C and D-E), the aircraft is in the warm side of the cold front (\( \theta_w > 290 \) K) with CO mixing ratios around 200 ppbv in the model and the observations. The air sampled in the boundary layer as the aircraft moved northward at latitudes higher than 33\(^\circ\)/N had complete different characteristics: the air is 2 to 3 times drier (Figure 7b), with lower \( \theta_w \) values (between 275 and 285 K) and higher CO mixing ratios (from 200 to 600 ppbv). All observed and simulated parameters indicate air sampled in the cold and polluted boundary layer behind the cold front. The observed ratio \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2/\text{CO} \) is higher than 3 for most of the measurements indicating fresh pollution. It is interesting to note that the observed and modeled CO mixing ratios are similar (around 200 ppbv) during the three legs in the boundary layer north of 30\(^\circ\)/N (segments F-G, G-H, I-J). During these segments, however, values of \( \theta_w \) are different and reveal that the origin of these air masses is different. At latitude higher than 33\(^\circ\)/N (segments F-G and I-J), \( \theta_w < 280 \) K (Figure 7c) and the air is subsiding (Figure 6e), characterizing the rear of the cold front. At latitude lower than 33\(^\circ\)/N (segment G-H), the nearest leg from the china coast is characterized by higher \( \theta_w (>280 \) K) and ascending air related to convection as will be discussed in the following section.

6. Export of Asian Pollution During the Two Cold Front Episodes

[23] The analysis described above shows that the model captures the features observed in both the meteorological (wind speed, water vapor, \( \theta_w \)) and chemical (CO) parameters. The model seems to capture especially well the various airstreams associated with these cyclonic systems. We can therefore use the model to give insight into the meteorological processes driving the export of pollutants from the continental regions into the remote ocean region by these cyclonic systems. The model can be used to interpret the aircraft measurements, provide a three-dimensional picture of the two meteorological episodes and give some insights on what had happened in the remote ocean during these two episodes and for which no measurements are available. In the following, the focus is on the processes in the lower, mid and upper troposphere and how similar or different they are between the two flights.

[24] In the upper troposphere (Figures 4c, 8a, 9a, and 10c), convective lifting of Southeast Asian biomass burning [Folkins et al., 1997; Bey et al., 2001] is responsible for the large plumes of pollution during both episodes. At this high latitude the westward offshore flow is predominant, turning anticyclonically over the ocean. The upper tropospheric plume takes a well marked anticyclonic curve. It is worth
noting that convection during flight 15 is more active over Southeast Asia and south China than during flight 13. In the upper troposphere, the pollution plume is broader and the CO column concentration is twice as large as during flight 13 in the plume.

[25] During flight 13, two plumes of pollution come out in the boundary layer (Figure 4a). The pollution from Japan and north of China is confined to the cold side of the cold front and has a cyclonic signature. Both the model and the observations show high values of CO in the cold boundary layer (Figures 3 and 4a). This result is consistent with the postfrontal boundary layer outflow described by Bey et al. [2001] and Liu et al. [2003]. A second boundary layer plume emerges below 30°N from the emissions of China and Southeast Asia. This plume undergoes an offshore zonal flow in the warm boundary layer in the 20–30°N latitude band (Figures 4a and 4b). This is the plume that has been observed by the aircraft while descending southward after crossing the cold front (see section 4). Unlike the CO plume behind the cold front, the southernmost plume on the warm side of the cold front has a large vertical extension up to 500 hPa. The plume is collocated with the ascending airstream between 120 and 140°E in Figure 2e.

[26] This figure shows the initial altitude of air parcels that reached 2000 m (800 hPa) after a 12-hour model run. The warm conveyor belt is apparent as a narrow airstream of rising air parcels (i.e., parcels that are initially below 2000 m) with a northward cyclonic curve. In the warm conveyor belt, parcels have raised from 600 m to 2000 m in 12 hours, which corresponds approximately to 100 m/h. Surprisingly, the southern CO plume on the 291 K wet bulb potential temperature surface does not follow the same cyclonic pathway (Figure 4b). Instead, the polluted plume follows a curved clockwise path and leaves the northern part of the warm conveyor belt relatively clean. The longitudinal cross section at 27°N in Figure 8 helps to understand why the pollution plume that is initially following the nascent warm conveyor belt near the continent changes to an anticyclonic route. As the pollution moves out of the Chinese coast, it is efficiently mixed and lifted by intensive convection up to 9 km. The convective source for CO exceeds 7 ppbv/h in the 5–9 km altitude range. Above 700 hPa (3 km), pronounced anticyclonic circulation has developed in the 20–30°N latitudinal band [Fue1berg et al., 2003] during the second half of the experiment. As a main consequence, the pollution uplifted at this altitude by the convection takes a different path compared to the cyclonic track. Because of the efficient ventilation of the warm conveyor belt by convection, less pollution is available to undergo the slower slantwise vertical motion associated with the warm conveyor belt branch. The model shows that the WCB stayed relatively clean although no observations are available in the remote mid-Pacific to confirm this finding.

[27] The latitudinal cross section in Figure 9 illustrates the interleaving of the air masses of different origin. The cold front acts as a boundary between pollution from Russia in...
the cold boundary layer and pollution from east China in the warm boundary layer. In the upper troposphere the subtropical jet separates the tropospheric air south of the jet from stratospheric air north of the jet.

On 27 March at 0000 UTC the warm conveyor belt associated with the cyclonic system north of Japan has already moved to the remote ocean at 150–160°E (Figure 6e). The flight took place in a region of strong latitudinal gradient of $q_w$ (Figure 6c) which is well documented by both the model and the observations (see section 5). Both the model and the observations indicate that the export in the boundary layer is not as efficient as during flight 13 during which the warm conveyor belt and subsequent ascending flow are closer to the continent (Figures 7d and 10a). In particular, the observations during flight 13 show a clear spatial gradient of CO concentrations in the boundary layer (Figure 3d) due to the advected polluted plume whereas during flight 15 the CO mixing ratios in the boundary layer are always around 200 ppbv (Figure 7d). Ascending motions are simulated over the northern Chinese coast in the 30–40°N and 110–130°E region in Figure 6e which are not connected to the warm conveyor belt branch. Figure 11 indicates that these bubbles of ascending air are due to convection over the continent. As during flight 13, this convection rapidly brings the CO tracer above 700 hPa where it can experience offshore advection (Figure 10b).

Figure 10. Simulated CO tracer, in ppbv, on 27 March 2001 at 0000 UTC (a) at 900 hPa, (b) on the 290 K wet-bulb potential temperature surface, and (c) at 300 hPa. The arrow indicates the position of the warm conveyor belt.

On 27 March at 0000 UTC the warm conveyor belt associated with the cyclonic system north of Japan has already moved to the remote ocean at 150–160°E (Figure 6e). The flight took place in a region of strong latitudinal gradient of $q_w$ (Figure 6c) which is well documented by both the model and the observations (see section 5). Both the model and the observations indicate that the export in the boundary layer is not as efficient as during flight 13 during which the warm conveyor belt and subsequent ascending flow are closer to the continent (Figures 7d and 10a). In particular, the observations during flight 13 show a clear spatial gradient of CO concentrations in the boundary layer (Figure 3d) due to the advected polluted plume whereas during flight 15 the CO mixing ratios in the boundary layer are always around 200 ppbv (Figure 7d). Ascending motions are simulated over the northern Chinese coast in the 30–40°N and 110–130°E region in Figure 6e which are not connected to the warm conveyor belt branch. Figure 11 indicates that these bubbles of ascending air are due to convection over the continent. As during flight 13, this convection rapidly brings the CO tracer above 700 hPa where it can experience offshore advection (Figure 10b).

Figure 11. Simulated longitudinal cross sections at 27°N from 122 to 170°E, on 27 March 2001 at 0000 UTC for (a) CO tracer in ppbv, (b) wet-bulb potential temperature, in K, and (c) convective source term for CO in ppbv/h. The arrow indicates the position of the warm conveyor belt.

From the above discussion, it is clear that the meteorological conditions during flight 13 and 15 are not totally favorable for the intercontinental transport of undiluted pollution over the Pacific Ocean in the low and middle troposphere. During both flights, intense cyclones are located north of Japan with their associated warm conveyor belts and the continental emissions experience strong lifting by convection near the Chinese coast. Because of this strong ventilation, the pollution is transported at high altitude more efficiently than in the warm conveyor belts. During flight 13, once above 700 hPa (3 km), the pollution flows anticyclonically toward the persistent Pacific high, leaving the warm conveyor belt relatively free of pollution. During
flight 15, lifting of the continental pollution to the free troposphere is followed by a zonal advection in the 20–30°N latitude band. When the flight starts, the warm conveyor belt is already in the remote ocean lifting clean air from the ocean. As a consequence, around 150°E, the clean rising air associated with the WCB and oceanic convection splits the polluted plume in the free troposphere, favoring the dilution of the plume advected toward the Pacific Ocean.

7. Conclusions

[31] Two cold front episodes are sampled during two flights out of Yokota, Japan, during the TRACE-P mission. The aircraft remains south of the cyclonic centers and crosses the cold fronts at several occurrences, giving the opportunity to characterize very distinct air masses.

[32] In the upper troposphere and during both flights, a large plume of CO from Southeast Asia is both observed and modeled south of the subtropical jetstream. The polluted plume clearly shows an anticyclonical curved motion induced by the Pacific high. North of the jet, air is relatively clean of CO pollution and propitious to stratospheric intrusions.

[33] Very polluted and freshly emitted pollution is observed and simulated in the cold boundary layer in the rear of the cold front during both flights. This pollution is trapped in the boundary layer by the synoptic subsidence behind the cold front. During flight 13, the warm conveyor belt associated with the cyclonic system originates from the eastern seaboard of China near the pollution sources. The strong convection simulated near the chinese coast efficiently mix and lift the emissions above 500 hPa. At this altitude the mean flow has a well marked anticyclonic signature. As a result, the pollution plume ends with a different route than the cyclonic northward ascending motion. Consequently, the northeastern part of the warm conveyor belt is found relatively free of pollution although no aircraft observations are available to confirm this point. Thus although the necessary meteorological conditions for an efficient export of pollution are met during flight 13 (i.e., the occurrences of the warm conveyor belt and deep convection near the source regions), no significant pollution is sampled in the mid-Pacific in the lower and middle troposphere. The efficient ventilation of the WCB by convection near the coast, the advection by the anticyclonal flow above 700 hPa and the downward motion associated with the Pacific high in the remote ocean significantly prevent any long-range transport of undiluted pollution in the WCB.

[34] During flight 15, the conveyor belts have already moved to the remote ocean. Convective upward transport is simulated over the source regions between 20 and 40°N. This lifting is followed by a zonal advection offshore in the middle troposphere. The polluted plume stretches up to 150°E. At this longitude, the plume is split by the rising air in the warm conveyor belt which transports CO-poor air northward and by the oceanic convection which transports clean air masses upward. These mechanisms lead to the dilution of Asian pollution in WCB en route to North America and add to the episodic nature of the Asian outflow by fragmenting the pollution plume. Future works are needed to understand the impact of these clean warm conveyor belts and oceanic convection on the secondary pollutant generation occurring en route and the behavior of insoluble and soluble chemical species other than carbon monoxide.
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