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[1] Field measurements of a wide suite of trace gases and aerosols were carried out during April and May 2002, along with extensive chemical transport modeling, as part of the NOAA Intercontinental Transport and Chemical Transformation study. Here, we use a combination of in-situ ground-based measurements from Trinidad Head, CA, chemical transport modeling, and backward trajectory analysis to examine the impact of long-range transport from Asia on the composition of air masses arriving at the California coast at the surface. The impact of Asian emissions is explored in terms of both episodic enhancements and contribution to background concentrations. We find that variability in CO concentrations at the ground site was largely driven by North American emissions, and that individual Asian plumes did not cause any observable pollution enhancement episodes at Trinidad Head. Despite this, model simulations suggest that Asian emissions were responsible for 33% of the CO observed at Trinidad Head, providing a larger mean contribution than direct emissions from any other region of the globe. Surface ozone levels were found to depend primarily on local atmospheric mixing, with surface deposition leading to low concentrations under stagnant conditions. Model simulations suggested that on average 4 ± 1 ppb of ozone (10% of observed) at Trinidad Head was transported from Asia. INDEX TERMS: 0322 Atmospheric Composition and Structure: Constituent sources and sinks; 0345 Atmospheric Composition and Structure: Pollution—urban and regional (0305); 0365 Atmospheric Composition and Structure: Troposphere—composition and chemistry; 0368 Atmospheric Composition and Structure: Troposphere—constituent transport and chemistry; 1610 Global Change: Atmosphere (0315, 0325); KEYWORDS: Asian emission, carbon monoxide, ozone


1. Introduction

[2] Modeling and measurement studies have demonstrated that large-scale transport of continental emissions in the highly industrialized northern midlatitudes can significantly affect air quality and chemistry thousands of kilometers downwind [e.g., Penkett et al., 1998; Hoell et al., 1997; Parrish et al., 1993; Wild and Akimoto, 2001]. East Asia is a strong and growing source region for pollutants such as carbon monoxide, aerosols, and ozone precursor species including volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and oxides of nitrogen. The extent to which these emissions impact atmospheric composition in North America has important ramifications both in terms of enhancing high pollution episodes and by defining the "background" concentrations that set the lower limits on what can be achieved by U.S. and California air quality regulations.

[3] Ground, airborne, and satellite based measurements of suites of chemical compounds combined with backward trajectory analyses have been used over the past two decades to document approximately 20 plumes of air pollution from Asia reaching the west coast of North America [Andreae et al., 1988; Kritz et al., 1988; Parrish et al., 1992; Jaffe et al., 1999; Jaffe et al., 2003a; Husar et al., 2001; McKendry et al., 2001; Thulasiraman et al., 2002; VanCuren and Cahill, 2002; Jaegle et al., 2003, Forster et al., 2004; Cooper et al., 2004], providing clear experimental evidence that this pollution indeed reaches the western United States. These studies used a range of compounds including radon (Rn), particle composition, CO, O₃, and VOCs to provide evidence of the transport events. The observed events were highly episodic, with the
vast majority occurring in springtime. This is when trans-Pacific transport is thought to be most efficient due to prefrontal flow lofting pollutants from the surface followed by southeasterward moving cold fronts which transport the pollutants above the boundary layer [Liu et al., 2003; Forster et al., 2004]. The majority of these events were not observed at ground-based stations, but rather at higher elevations using aircraft measurements. O₃ levels were not typically enhanced during the Asian transport periods, but particles, CO, Rn and/or VOCs were.

Several recent modeling studies have focused on estimating Asian emission impacts on North American air quality. Jacob et al. [1999] coupled the Harvard-GISS global 3D tropospheric chemistry model with projected increases in Asian anthropogenic emissions. They forecasted that the expected tripling of Asian emissions from 1985–2010 would result in an increase in monthly mean surface ozone of 2–6 ppb in the western U.S. and 1–3 ppb in the eastern U.S. Their model results suggested that in the western U.S., this would negate the benefits of a hypothetical 25% reduction in NOₓ and VOC emissions. In another modeling study, Berntsen et al. [1999] calculated the present-day Asian contribution to background CO, peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN), and O₃ in air reaching the west coast of the U.S. Average background enhancements during spring due to Asian anthropogenic emissions were estimated to be 34 ppb, 26 ppt, and 4 ppb for CO, PAN and O₃. Maximum enhancements in these species during simulated episodic pollution incursions from Asia were 42 ppb, 75 ppt, and 7.5 ppb, respectively. While outflow of Asian emissions acted to elevate both background and maximum for CO and O₃ observations from Trinidad Head, and comparing observations with results from two independent model simulations and a backward trajectory model, with the goal of understanding what controls the composition of air masses entering North America in springtime at the ground. We separate the analysis to distinguish between contributions to plumes versus contributions to the persistent background concentrations in order to determine: (1) Were Asian plumes identifiable? (2) What were the total contributions of different sources to observed mixing ratios?

2. Experiment
2.1. Field Site

The ITCT ground-based field measurement station was installed at Trinidad Head, on the northern coast of California (41.054°N, 124.151°W, 107 m elevation), and was operative from 19 April–22 May 2002. Instrumentation was housed in two climate-controlled laboratory containers. Sampling inlets were mounted atop a 10 m scaffolding tower between the laboratory containers.

2.2. Measurements

Volatile organic compounds were measured using a fully automated, in-situ GC/MSD/FID system that is described in detail elsewhere [Millet et al., 2004]. For 36 minutes out of every hour, two subsample flows (15 sccm) were drawn from the main sample line (4 slm) and passed through a preconditioning trap for the removal of water (~25°C cold trap). Carbon dioxide and ozone were then removed from the FID channel subsample (Ascarite II), and ozone was removed from the MSD channel subsample (KI impregnated glass wool). Preconcentration was accomplished using a combination of thermoelectric cooling (~15°C) and adsorbent trapping. Samples were injected into the GC by rapidly heating the trap assemblies to 200°C. The instrument was calibrated several times daily by dynamic dilution of low ppm level standards (Scott Marrin Inc., and Apel-Riemer Environmental Inc.) into zero air to achieve near ambient concentrations. Zero air was analyzed daily to check for blank problems and contamination for all measured compounds. For methyl-t-butyl-e-ether, the measurement precision (defined as the relative standard deviation of the calibration fit residuals) and the limit of detection were 1.2% and 0.4 ppt, respectively. We estimate the absolute accuracy at better than ±10%.

CO was measured by gas filter correlation, nondispersive infrared absorption (TEI 48C). Ozone was measured using a UV photometric O₃ analyzer (Dasibi 1008-RS), and CO₂ by infrared absorption using a LI-6262 (Li-Cor Inc.). Incoming photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) was measured with LI-190SZ Quantum Sensor (Li-Cor Inc.). Wind speed and direction were monitored with a propeller wind monitor (R.M. Young Co.) mounted on a 3 m tower on
top of the laboratory container, and ambient air temperature was measured using an HMP45C Temperature and RH probe (Campbell Scientific Inc.).

[10] Additional gas phase measurements made at the site (not reported here) included NO/NO₂ by NO-Ö₂D chemiluminescence and ²²³Rn using a dual-flow loop, two-filter radon detector [Whittlestone and Zahorowski, 1998]. Time resolved aerosol measurements at the site (not reported here) included chemical composition using an Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS, Aerodyne Research Inc.) [Jimenez et al., 2003; Allan et al., 2003] and a particle-into-liquid sampler (PILS) [Weber et al., 2001; Orsini et al., 2003], number density (7 nm–2.5 μm) using a condensation particle counter (CPC, model 3022a, TSI Inc.), and elemental composition using an 8-stage drum impacter and synchrotron X-Ray fluorescence [Bench et al., 2002; Cahill and Wakabayashi, 1993; Perry et al., 1999].

[11] Balloon sondes launched daily from the site measured ozone concentrations and meteorological parameters with 1.2 second resolution from the surface to approximately 35 km elevation.

2.3. Chemical Transport and Trajectory Models

2.3.1. GEOS-CHEM

[12] The GEOS-CHEM global chemical transport model [Bey et al., 2001a] is driven by assimilated meteorological data from the NASA Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) Global Modeling Assimilation Office (GMAO). In this study, we used version 5.03 of GEOS-CHEM (http://www-as.harvard.edu/chemistry/trop/geos) with a horizontal resolution of 2° latitude by 2.5° longitude and 30 vertical levels. The CO emission inventory includes fossil fuel [Bey et al., 2001a], biofuel [Yevich and Logan, 2003] and climatological biomass burning [Duncan et al., 2003] emissions. Over Asia (10S–60N; 60–150E) our annual CO emissions are: 165 Tg/yr (fossil fuel), 90 Tg/yr (biofuel), and 120 Tg/yr (biomass burning).

[13] Individual source regions are tagged in order to resolve the origin of CO and ozone. For the tagged CO simulation, we use separate tracers to track Asian emissions from anthropogenic emissions (including both fossil fuel and biofuel sources), biomass burning emissions, as well as anthropogenic emissions from North America, and Europe. Here we define the respective regions as: Asia (12S–88N; 65–153E), N. America (24–88N; 142–48W), and Europe (36–88N; 18W–65E).

[14] We present results for a full O₃-NOₓ-hydrocarbon simulation as well as a CO-only simulation using monthly OH fields from the full chemistry simulation as described in previous studies [Bey et al., 2001b; Liu et al., 2003; Jaegle et al., 2003] and an O₃-only simulation using archived daily ozone production rates and loss frequencies [Li et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2002]. The tagged ozone simulation tracks the transport of ozone produced from precursor emissions in the lower troposphere over Asia, Europe and North America [Jaegle et al., 2003].

2.3.2. MOZART-2

[15] MOZART-2 (Model of Ozone And Related chemical Tracers, version 2) is a global chemical transport model designed to simulate the distribution of tropospheric ozone and its precursors [Horowitz et al., 2003]. MOZART-2 simulates the concentrations of 63 chemical species from the surface up to the middle stratosphere. In this study, the model is driven with meteorological inputs from the NCEP Aviation (AVN) model analyses, which have a resolution of T170 (approximately 0.7° latitude x 0.7° longitude) with 42 vertical levels extending up to 2 hPa. The meteorological fields are averaged to a horizontal resolution of 1.9° latitude x 1.9° longitude, the resolution at which MOZART-2 is run. A time step of 15 minutes is used for all chemistry and transport processes.

[16] Meteorological parameters, including zonal and meridional winds, temperature, specific humidity, surface pressure, and surface fluxes of heat and momentum, are archived from the AVN analyses and 3-hour forecasts and are provided to MOZART every three hours. MOZART is built on the framework of the transport model MATCH (Model of Atmospheric Transport and Chemistry) [Rasch et al., 1997], which diagnoses convective transport [Hass, 1994; Zhang and McFarlane, 1995] and boundary layer mixing [Holtslag and Boville, 1993] based on the large-scale meteorological inputs. Advection of tracers is performed using the flux-form semi-Lagrangian advection scheme of Lin and Rood [1996] with a pressure fixer. Vertical velocities are re-diagnosed based on the continuity equation. Dry deposition velocities are calculated off-line using a resistance-in-series scheme [Wesely, 1989; Hess et al., 2000].

[17] The chemical mechanism includes oxidation schemes for the non-methane hydrocarbons: ethane, propane, ethene, propene, isoprene, α-pinene (as a surrogate for all terpenes), and n-butane (as a surrogate for all hydrocarbons with 4 or more carbons, excluding isoprene and terpenes). Kinetic reaction rates have been updated from those used in MOZART-1 [Brasseur et al., 1998], based on recent measurements, as compiled by Sander et al. [2000] and Tym dall et al. [2001]. The chemical system is solved numerically using a fully implicit Euler backward method with Newton-Raphson iteration.

[18] Surface emissions of chemical species in MOZART include those from fossil fuel burning and other industrial activity, biomass burning, biogenic emissions from vegetation and soils, and oceanic emissions, and are intended to be representative of those in the early 1990s. Emissions from fossil fuel combustion, fuelwood burning, and agricultural waste burning are based on the EDGAR v2.0 inventory [Olivier et al., 1996] compiled for a base year of 1990, with seasonality from the IMAGES model [Müller, 1992]. For CO, emissions from agricultural waste and fuelwood burning were modified from those in EDGAR v2.0 based on preliminary estimates from EDGAR v3.0 [Olivier and Berdowski, 2001], by scaling to give 16 and 23 Tg/yr, respectively. The spatial and temporal distribution of the amount of biomass burned is taken from Hao and Liu [1994] in the tropics, and from Müller [1992] in the extratropics. Emission ratios of chemical species from biomass burning are based on the recent review by Andreae and Merlet [2001]. Surface emissions of CO from fossil fuel and biomass burning sources are separately tagged based on their region of origin. We track emissions from 9 different regions: North America, South America, Europe, Africa, Australia, East Asia, South Asia, Southeast Asia, and the rest of Asia. The Asian region includes the Asian continent and Indonesia (10S–60N, 50–180E) plus the Middle East/Asia Minor. Annual CO emissions in this region are
99 Tg/y (fossil fuel), 147 Tg/y (biofuel) and 71 Tg/y (biomass burning). MOZART anthropogenic emissions are based on EDGAR for the early 1990s. The N. America region includes all of the United States and Canada, Central America, and the Caribbean. The European region includes all of continental Europe, Russia to 50E, and Iceland. The contribution of Asian emission sources (fossil fuel, biofuel, and biomass burning) to the MOZART O3 simulation was estimated by turning off these Asian sources and subtracting the simulated ozone from the standard simulation.

[19] In this study, we sample the simulated concentrations from MOZART-2 every 3 hours from the model grid box containing Trinidad Head, taking the values from the lowest model level, which has a thickness of approximately 50 m. Total Asian emissions were summed from those originating in the areas of India, East Asia, SE Asia, and the rest of Asia.

2.3.3. Backward Trajectories

[20] Three-dimensional backward trajectories were calculated with the FABtraj trajectory model using the u, v and w wind fields from the NOAA NCEP Final Analyses (FNL) [Cooper et al., 2004]. The FNL data were downloaded from the National Center for Atmospheric Research data archive, available every 6-hours with a horizontal grid spacing of 1° × 1°, and 21 vertical levels between 1000 and 100 hPa. The wind field data were interpolated onto a terrain-following sigma coordinate system with horizontal grid spacing of 1° × 1°, and 22 vertical levels between the surface and 100 hPa. The three-dimensional trajectories were calculated using a linear interpolation scheme in space and time.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Meteorological Characteristics

[21] A regular diurnal meteorological pattern occurred during the field campaign at Trinidad Head with strong daytime winds out of the north-west (off the ocean), and weaker and more variable winds at night. As a result, air sampled during the day was typically of marine origin with little recent continental influence, whereas at night the effects of recent continental influence were commonly observed (Figure 1). The distribution of observations for wind speed and direction represented as a wind rose (Figure 2) emphasizes that winds out of the north-west were the dominant meteorological feature.

[22] The variability of all the trace gas concentrations observed at this site were closely coupled to the wind speed and direction patterns. For example, concentrations of methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE), CO, CO2, and O3 are imaged as a function of both wind speed and direction in Figure 3. MTBE, CO, and CO2 concentrations had similar dependencies on wind speed and direction, with enhancements for wind directions less than 140° (ENE - SE) at all wind speeds, strong enhancement around 230° (SW) at low wind speeds, and weak enhancement from 200–360° when wind speeds were low, indicating the influence of local sources. Ozone concentrations had an inverse pattern of concentration variations, suggesting that when local pollution sources were observed, ozone was depleted by surface deposition or chemical reactions. Under the dominant daytime meteorological pattern, strong winds out of the north-
The concentrations of CO, CO$_2$, and MTBE were not enhanced, nor was the concentration of O$_3$ depleted.

### 3.2. Carbon Monoxide at Trinidad Head

CO provides a useful tracer to evaluate the importance of intercontinental transport of pollution in springtime because it has an atmospheric lifetime (months) that is significantly longer than typical transport times across the Pacific (4–8 days), it has relatively well known source strengths, and it has been simulated with reasonable accuracy by several independent models which allow analysis of the relative importance of different sources to observed mixing ratios. Furthermore, the emission ratio relative to CO is a common metric used to estimate emissions of ozone precursors, fine particles, and other chemically and radiatively active compounds. Thus analysis of CO as a tracer for intercontinental transport of pollution provides a useful framework for considering the transport and chemistry of other species.

Compounds with residence times longer than a few days whose main loss mechanism is OH chemistry have seasonally declining background concentrations in springtime at northern midlatitudes [Goldstein et al., 1995; Millet et al., 2004], and background CO concentrations at Trinidad Head dropped from approximately 170 ppb to 120 ppb over the 33 days of this field campaign (Figure 4b). Using observations at this site to quantify the chemical composition of air entering North America from the Pacific Ocean requires an effective method of filtering out measurements that have been impacted by recent continental emissions from North America itself, while simultaneously accounting for the seasonally declining background concentrations. In this section we explore two approaches, independent of local wind measurements, for filtering out fresh pollution sources from our analysis of long-range pollution transport. We then compare filtered CO observations to backward trajectories from the FABtraj model, and simulations from the GEOS-CHEM and MOZART models, to determine whether pollution plumes from Asia occurred and to estimate the importance of different CO emission source regions and source types to the observed mixing ratios.

### 3.3. Differentiating Fresh Versus Aged Pollution: Photochemical Clock

Our first approach for differentiating between fresh regional emissions and more aged emissions was to compare observations of anthropogenically emitted hydrocarbons of varying lifetimes. For example, pentane and isopentane react faster with OH than butane, and the logarithms of the isopentane/butane and pentane/butane ratios hence decrease with time since emission, due to both photochemical oxidation and dilution with background air [McKeen and Liu, 1993] (Figure 4a). Fresh emissions have proportionally more of the shorter lifetime hydrocarbons, thus the upper right portion of the figure contains data that are indicative of “Fresh” emissions, and the lower left portion of the figure contains data that are indicative of “Aged” emissions. We assigned approximately one quarter of the observations as Fresh, and one quarter of the observations as Aged. Applying these Fresh and Aged photochemical criteria to the CO concentration timeline showed that nearly all of the high CO concentration observations (compared to the seasonally declining background) were associated with Fresh emissions, while much of the lower concentration CO was associated with photochemically Aged air (Figure 4b).

### 3.4. Confirmation of Backward Trajectories Using the Photochemical Clock

In order to check whether backward trajectory estimates were consistent with our Fresh versus Aged
understanding of the history of the observed air masses, we calculated 186 hour backward trajectories initialized at Trinidad Head (950 hPa, 12:00 UTC) using the FABtraj model. Examples of these backward trajectories are shown in Figure 5 for an Aged event on day 125 (indicated by solid vertical line in Figure 4b), and a Fresh event on day 132 (indicated by dashed vertical line in Figure 4b). The backward trajectory for the Aged event on day 125 estimates that for the previous 186 hours the air mass had been in the marine boundary layer below approximately 1 km within 15° longitude of Trinidad Head before moving south-east to the measurement site. This trajectory is consistent with designation of the air mass as Fresh, with high levels of reactive hydrocarbons and enhanced CO concentrations. Comparison of these backward trajectory model results with the photochemical clock provides confirmation that the backward trajectories usefully estimate the history of air arriving at Trinidad Head and can provide a reasonable means to differentiate between Aged and Fresh pollution events for this measurement campaign.

3.5. Filtering Out Regional Influences: MTBE

Our second approach for filtering out regional pollution uses observations of MTBE, a short-lived species (atmospheric lifetime ~4 days at 1 × 10^6 molec/cc OH) associated primarily with automotive emissions [Schade et al., 2002]. MTBE levels were highest at night and in the early morning, and lowest around midday (Figure 1), and their variation with respect to wind speed and direction clearly indicated regional terrestrial anthropogenic sources (Figure 3a). Other short-lived anthropogenic compounds, such as toluene, showed similar variability with respect to diurnal patterns and wind characteristics. 2-methyl-3-buten-2-ol (MBO) is emitted by several conifer species in this region in a light and temperature dependent manner [Schade and Goldstein, 2001], and has no significant known anthropogenic source. MBO concentrations exhibited a pattern similar to that of the short-lived anthropogenic species such as MTBE (data not shown). The observed behavior was therefore clearly driven by the dominant wind patterns,
whereby recent continental influence, whether anthropogenic or biogenic, was observed with offshore flow or under stagnant conditions.

Following Millet et al. [2004] we assigned 3 ppt MTBE as a threshold to separate between minimal local influence (60% of observations) and recently polluted air (40% of observations) to filter out significant influences from North American continental emissions. Although 40% of the data were excluded by this analysis, the resulting mean CO concentration decreased by only 5 ppb, or 3.4% (147 ± 16 ppb unfiltered, 142 ± 14 ppb filtered, mean ± standard deviation). Periods with MTBE < 3 ppt were dominantly associated with flow from the northwest, however, the MTBE filter also excluded some data associated with onshore winds, and included some data with offshore winds. Chemical tracers such as MTBE are more effective for filtering out local influences than local wind measurements or backward trajectory analysis. Instantaneous wind-measurements do not necessarily provide an accurate indicator of air mass history, and backward trajectory analysis is typically less certain near the surface than aloft and also cannot provide as high time resolution as is possible using measured VOC tracers. The removal of regional influences on CO observations utilizing the 3 ppt MTBE filter is illustrated in Figure 6a.

3.6. Observed CO Compared to Model Simulations

Observed CO concentrations, filtered to indicate regional emissions (MTBE > 3 ppt), are compared to GEOS-CHEM and MOZART model simulations for total CO in Figure 6a. The GEOS-CHEM model simulated both the temporal variability and the absolute magnitude of total CO concentrations extremely accurately. The MOZART model simulated nearly identical temporal variability, but the absolute magnitude of CO was lower than the filtered observations by 19 ppb on average.

Both models can tag CO from different emission regions and source types. These models therefore provide an opportunity to estimate the contributions to CO from all the major source regions and source types, and to explore why the simulations differ. Comparisons of model simulations for contributions from Asian and North American emissions are shown in Figures 6b and 6c, respectively. The GEOS-CHEM and MOZART models simulated significantly different mean contributions from Asia of 48 ± 7
and 22 ± 2 ppb (mean ± standard deviation) respectively. It is clear that the difference in Asian fossil fuel emissions is the main difference between the total CO simulations (Table 1).

Table 1. Contributions to Mean Observed CO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Simulations</th>
<th>GEOS-CHEM</th>
<th>MOZART</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asian Fossil Fuel + Biofuel*</td>
<td>35 ppb (25%)</td>
<td>12 ppb (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian Biomass Burning + Biofuel</td>
<td>13 ppb (9%)</td>
<td>10 ppb (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Amer. Fossil Fuel + Biomass</td>
<td>26 ppb (19%)</td>
<td>21 ppb (15%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Fossil Fuel + Biomass</td>
<td>19 ppb (13%)</td>
<td>13 ppb (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photochemical + natural + other anthrop.</td>
<td>46 ppb (32%)</td>
<td>67 ppb (47%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>139 ppb (98%)</td>
<td>123 ppb (87%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*MTBE > 3 ppt indicates contributions from local CO sources that the models do not simulate.

[31] Much of the recent published research addressing impacts of Asian emissions on air quality in North America has focused on events (typically in springtime) when pollution plumes originating in Asia have been observed over the western Pacific Ocean or the west coast of North America [e.g., Jaffe et al., 2003a]. On the basis of our comparison of the GEOS-CHEM and MOZART models with observations during spring 2002 at Trinidad Head, we conclude that Asian pollution events may be hard to distinguish at North American ground sites, but that Asian emissions nonetheless provide the dominant direct emission contribution to observed CO, accounting for approximately one third of the total mixing ratios.

[34] We further examined the CO observations to determine whether any specific Asian plume events occurred. The three days with highest model-predicted contribution from Asia were 116, 118, and 136. Day 116 was also toward the end of a period when the model predicted the highest contribution from North America, thus it was impossible to infer from the modeled versus measured concentrations where this high CO originated. We identified the remaining two days as the most likely times when influence from Asian emissions may have been discernable as plumes, as the model-predicted variability in Asian CO was larger than the variability in North American CO and North American CO contributions were predicted to be small. Chosen times are indicated by vertical lines at day 118.5 and 136.5 in Figure 6, and 186 hour backward-trajectories for these times initialized at Trinidad Head (950 hPa) using the FABtraj model are shown in Figure 5. The backward trajectory for day 118 shows that air rose over Asia, was transported quickly across the Pacific, then descended north of Trinidad Head and traveled slightly inland before reaching Trinidad Head. The CO concentration at this time was not obviously elevated above the “background” concentration (Figure 6a) and the MTBE filter indicated local emissions had impacted the air mass, even though the GEOS-CHEM and MOZART models predicted that contributions from North America would be minimal at this time. The contribution from Asian emissions to total observed CO at this time may have been large, but it did not cause a clear enhancement over “background” CO concentrations. The 186 hour backward trajectory for day 136 originated over the North Pacific, moved southwest, then west, and descended to the surface north of Trinidad Head before arriving at the measurement site. The MTBE filter again indicated that local emissions were added to this air mass before it reached Trinidad Head, and the CO concentrations were again not clearly elevated over the “background” concentrations (Figure 6a). We conclude that no clearly discernable CO plumes from Asia arrived at Trinidad Head during this field campaign.

[35] It is likely that pollution plumes from Asia were transported at higher altitude but did not reach the ground at Trinidad Head during this field campaign. Evidence for these plumes has been repeatedly observed. For example, Jaffe et al. [2003a] summarize observations in the free troposphere over the U.S. west coast with evidence of coherent plumes of Asian pollution, most prominently CO. Yienger et al. [2000], using a global chemistry transport model, estimated that major Asian pollution events are very common in the mid- and upper troposphere above the U.S., but perhaps only 3–5 of these events directly impact the.

[33] Much of the recent published research addressing impacts of Asian emissions on air quality in North America has focused on events (typically in springtime) when pollution plumes originating in Asia have been observed over the western Pacific Ocean or the west coast of North America [e.g., Jaffe et al., 2003a]. On the basis of our comparison of the GEOS-CHEM and MOZART models with observations during spring 2002 at Trinidad Head, we conclude that Asian pollution events may be hard to distinguish at North American ground sites, but that Asian emissions nonetheless provide the dominant direct emission contribution to observed CO, accounting for approximately one third of the total mixing ratios.

[34] We further examined the CO observations to determine whether any specific Asian plume events occurred. The three days with highest model-predicted contribution from Asia were 116, 118, and 136. Day 116 was also toward the end of a period when the model predicted the highest contribution from North America, thus it was impossible to infer from the modeled versus measured concentrations where this high CO originated. We identified the remaining two days as the most likely times when influence from Asian emissions may have been discernable as plumes, as the model-predicted variability in Asian CO was larger than the variability in North American CO and North American CO contributions were predicted to be small. Chosen times are indicated by vertical lines at day 118.5 and 136.5 in Figure 6, and 186 hour backward-trajectories for these times initialized at Trinidad Head (950 hPa) using the FABtraj model are shown in Figure 5. The backward trajectory for day 118 shows that air rose over Asia, was transported quickly across the Pacific, then descended north of Trinidad Head and traveled slightly inland before reaching Trinidad Head. The CO concentration at this time was not obviously elevated above the “background” concentration (Figure 6a) and the MTBE filter indicated local emissions had impacted the air mass, even though the GEOS-CHEM and MOZART models predicted that contributions from North America would be minimal at this time. The contribution from Asian emissions to total observed CO at this time may have been large, but it did not cause a clear enhancement over “background” CO concentrations. The 186 hour backward trajectory for day 136 originated over the North Pacific, moved southwest, then west, and descended to the surface north of Trinidad Head before arriving at the measurement site. The MTBE filter again indicated that local emissions were added to this air mass before it reached Trinidad Head, and the CO concentrations were again not clearly elevated over the “background” concentrations (Figure 6a). We conclude that no clearly discernable CO plumes from Asia arrived at Trinidad Head during this field campaign.

[35] It is likely that pollution plumes from Asia were transported at higher altitude but did not reach the ground at Trinidad Head during this field campaign. Evidence for these plumes has been repeatedly observed. For example, Jaffe et al. [2003a] summarize observations in the free troposphere over the U.S. west coast with evidence of coherent plumes of Asian pollution, most prominently CO. Yienger et al. [2000], using a global chemistry transport model, estimated that major Asian pollution events are very common in the mid- and upper troposphere above the U.S., but perhaps only 3–5 of these events directly impact the.
atmospheric boundary layer along the U.S. west coast during a typical February–May period. This transport is discussed in detail for the ITCT campaign by Forster et al. [2004] and Cooper et al. [2004].

[36] Although the variability of CO concentrations observed at Trinidad Head was dominated by North American sources and regional emissions not captured by the models, and the major impact of Asian emissions could not be observed in pollution events at the surface, the match between the simulated and observed CO concentrations still suggests that we can use the models to differentiate the mean contributions to total observed CO. Simultaneous observations made at Cheeka Peak on the coast of Washington state also showed good agreement with GEOS-CHEM simulations, bolstering this argument. In addition, at Cheeka Peak the model not only predicted the seasonal variability of background CO, it also captured observed enhancements during long-range transport events from Asia [Jaegle et al., 2003].

[37] The impact of Asian emissions should be evaluated in terms of their impact on total observed concentrations. The mean observed CO at Trinidad Head was 147 ppb, with only 5 ppb (3%) coming from local emissions as determined using the MTBE filter. The MOZART and GEOS-CHEM models simulated that the dominant northern hemisphere direct emission contributions to the observed CO were from Asia (15 and 33% respectively, with 33% being more likely given the better agreement between GEOS-CHEM simulated and observed total CO), North America (15 and 19%), and Europe (9 and 13%), with the remainder coming from photochemical production, natural sources, and anthropogenic emissions from other regions (47 and 32% simulated by both models) (Table 1).

3.7. Ozone at Trinidad Head

[38] A major reason for concern over rising emissions due to industrialization in Asia is the potential impact on ozone and ozone precursor concentrations in air entering western North America from the Pacific. Jacob et al. [1999] predicted that the expected tripling of Asian emissions from 1985–2010 would result in an increase in monthly mean surface ozone of 2–6 ppb in the western U.S. However, Jaffe et al. [2003b] showed evidence suggesting that ozone levels in air entering western North America had increased approximately 10 ppb between 1984 and 2002, substantially more than the total O3 due to Asian emissions predicted by Jacob et al. [1999]. Therefore it is important to understand the processes controlling ozone concentrations observed at sites on the west coast of North America in order to discern potential influences from long-range transport of ozone and ozone precursors.

[39] The variability in O3 concentrations observed at Trinidad Head was negatively correlated with many directly emitted biogenic and anthropogenic trace gases (e.g., Figure 3). The highest O3 concentrations were coincident with the lowest concentrations of CO2 (Figures 3 and 7). This behavior is consistent with CO2 from local or regional respiration sources building up near the ground when the boundary layer was shallow and mixing was very limited (mainly at night with weak offshore flow) and ozone concentrations simultaneously decreasing due to surface deposition or possibly gas phase chemical losses. A similar negative correlation was observed for O3 versus radon (data not shown), suggesting that the ozone decreases in the shallow mixed layer were due to processes involving the local terrestrial ecosystems rather than the ocean surface. During periods of enhanced vertical mixing and/or onshore flow, the impact of loss processes within the boundary layer on surface O3 concentrations decreased, which in conjunction with photochemical production typically increased O3 levels to a late afternoon maximum.

[40] The timeline of ozone concentrations is shown in Figure 8 with solid vertical lines indicating one high ozone period (Day 117) and one low ozone period (Day 113), for which vertical profiles from ozone sondes are shown in Figure 9. Periods with low ozone levels corresponded to stable situations with a shallow mixed layer, which led to ozone loss near the ground. It is clear that mixing processes controlled the observed variability of surface O3 concentrations at Trinidad Head, and that ground-based measurements were only indicative of concentrations at higher altitudes during well mixed time periods. This decoupling between the marine boundary layer and the transport and chemistry of air at higher altitudes is probably typical of the west coast of North America. This idea is supported by consistent and frequent observations of aerosols from Asia in ground-based samples collected at montane sites at altitudes which are typically above the marine boundary layer in western North America, and lack of similar Asian aerosols in samples collected at sites in coastal areas below this altitude [VanCuren and Cahill, 2002; VanCuren, 2003].

[41] The strong anti-correlation between CO2 and O3 provided a useful method to filter out local influences on observed O3 concentrations. We filtered our observations to remove local depletions in O3 (CO2 > 383 ppm) in order to compare observations with GEOS-CHEM and MOZART model predictions only during well mixed time periods when the model resolution would be more appropriate for predicting surface O3 concentrations (Figure 8). The mean and the statistical variability of total O3 simulated by the GEOS-CHEM model (39 ± 5 ppb, mean ± standard deviation) and the MOZART model (37 ± 9 ppb) were in...
reasonable agreement with both the filtered O3 observations (41 ± 5 ppb) and the unfiltered O3 observations (38 ± 7 ppb) (Figure 8). However, neither model did a very good job of matching the observed temporal variability in O3.

[42] Model simulated O3 due to emissions of O3 precursors from Asia (Figure 8b) was relatively constant for both GEOS-CHEM (4.5 ± 1.1 ppb, mean ± standard deviation) and MOZART (4.2 ± 1.3 ppb) compared to the observed variability in O3. The mean simulated contribution from Asia for both models was 10–11% of the observed O3 concentrations. This simulated contribution was significantly smaller than the approximately 10 ppb increase reported by Jaffe et al. [2003b] during springtime between 1984 and 2002, suggesting disagreement between observed trends in O3 that have been attributed to rising Asian emissions and model-predicted current O3 contributions from Asia calculated for the ITCT time period. This discrepancy between observations of O3 and attribution of those observations to specific causes, and ozone source partitioning by current models, is worthy of further investigation.

[43] We further examined the O3 observations to determine whether any specific Asian plume events could be identified using backward-trajectories. The vertical lines at day 118.5 and 136.5 in Figure 8 indicate the times defined above as the most likely periods of discernable ground level pollution enhancement due to trans-Pacific transport of a...
coherent Asian plume. The O₃ concentrations observed at these times showed no elevations above the “background” concentration. At no time during the measurement campaign was there a combination of elevated ozone concentrations and a backward-trajectory indicative of transport from Asia.

4. Conclusions

[44] Measurements for a wide suite of trace gases and aerosols were made at Trinidad Head, California, from 19 April through 22 May 2002 as part of the NOAA ITCT research program. We used these data to elucidate the influence of Asian emissions on air masses entering North America in springtime. CO has been identified as one of the most useful tracers to look for Asian emission plumes because of its relatively long atmospheric lifetime and its emission from all combustion sources. O₃ is a secondary air pollutant whose concentration is regulated, and thus from Asia. The campaign was there a combination of elevated ozone concentration. At no time during the measurement campaign was there a combination of elevated ozone concentrations, depending on the constraints applied, decreased the mean CO mixing ratio by 5%, and increased the mean O₃ mixing ratio by 8%.

[45] After filtering the data to remove local influences, the variability and the absolute concentration in the remaining data was examined for Asian influence by comparison with GEOS-CHEM and MOZART model simulations run as part of the ITCT campaign. The observed variability in the filtered CO data was well simulated using the GEOS-CHEM model in terms of both temporal variability and absolute concentration. The CO simulation using MOZART also captured the temporal variability in the filtered observations, but the absolute concentration was approximately 19 ppb too low. The main difference in model simulated CO was in the fossil fuel contribution from Asia, with MOZART simulating 23 ppb lower CO than GEOS-CHEM (12 versus 35 ppb). We infer that the emission inventory used for this term in the MOZART model was too low, and correcting it would largely account for the discrepancy from the observed CO. The two models agreed that North American fossil fuel emissions explained the vast majority of the variability in CO concentrations observed at Trinidad Head. Distinct Asian pollution plumes did not impact these ground-based observations, because the magnitude of CO variability due to Asian emissions was small relative to the total observed variability.

[46] Although the variability of CO concentrations observed at Trinidad Head was dominated by North American sources, and the Asian emissions did not cause observable plumes, the match between the GEOS-CHEM and MOZART simulations and observed CO concentrations provide confidence that it is reasonable to use the models to differentiate the mean contributions to total observed CO. The MOZART and GEOS-CHEM models agreed that the dominant northern hemisphere direct emission contributions to the observed CO were from Asia (15 and 33% respectively, with 33% being more likely given the better agreement between GEOS-CHEM simulated and observed total CO), North America (15 and 19%), and Europe (9 and 13%), with the remainder coming from photochemical production, natural sources, and anthropogenic emissions from other regions (47 and 32%). We conclude that Asia provided the dominant source of direct emissions contributing to the observed CO at Trinidad Head during springtime, accounting for approximately one third of the total.

[47] Ozone concentrations varied with local atmospheric stability as indicated by tracers such as CO₂ and vertical profiles measured by ozone sondes, and were not impacted by Asian plumes. On the basis of both the GEOS-CHEM and MOZART model simulation we conclude that on average 10% (4 ± 1 ppb) of O₃ observed at Trinidad Head was produced from ozone precursors emitted in Asia.

[48] Because Asian plumes have only been identified for approximately 20 episodes over more than a decade, and similar plumes were not observed during our field campaign at Trinidad Head, we conclude that the contributions of Asian emissions to the persistent background is a much more important issue than the frequency of plumes, with more significant implications for air quality control in North America.
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