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**INTRODUCTION**

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is an opportunistic pathogen often affecting patients who suffer from compromised antimicrobial barriers, as for instance the genetic disease cystic fibrosis (Lyczak et al., 2002). One of the many toxins that this bacterium produces is L-2-amino-4-methoxy-trans-3-butenoic acid (AMB), a non-proteinogenic amino acid belonging to a small group of natural compounds known as oxyvinylglycines (Berkowitz et al., 2006). Other members of this group are aminoethoxyvinylglycine (AVG), dimethyliminooxyvinylglycine, and guanidinooxyvinylglycine from *Streptomyces* spp. (Pruess et al., 1974; Hirata et al., 1993), rhizobitoxin made by *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* and *P. andropogonis* (Owens et al., 1972; Mitchell et al., 1986), as well as the recently identified 4-formylaminooxyvinylglycine (FVG) isolated from *P. fluorescens* WH6 (McPhail et al., 2010). Oxyvinylglycines irreversibly inhibit pyridoxal phosphate (PLP)-dependent enzymes and thus have multiple targets in bacteria, animals, and plants (Berkowitz et al., 2006). A prominent plant target is the ethylene biosynthesis enzyme ACC synthase, which is inhibited by AVG. Commercially available under the name of Retain®, AVG is widely used for the regulation of fruit set in orchard crops.

Another example with potential for an agricultural application is FVG. This oxyvinylglycine is a natural herbicide which blocks the germination of a large variety of grassy weed species (Banowetz et al., 2008).

Pyridoxal phosphate-dependent enzymes are also targeted by AMB. Isolated originally as a growth inhibitor of *Bacillus subtilis* (Scannell et al., 1972) and *Escherichia coli* (Sahm et al., 1973), AMB was shown to inhibit apurate aminotransferase in pigs (Rando, 1974; Rando et al., 1976) and rat hepatocytes (Smith and Freeland, 1981; Cornell et al., 1984), tryptophane synthase in *E. coli* (Miles, 1975), δ-aminolevulinic acid synthetase in rats (Dashman and Kamm, 1979), and serine hydroxymethyl transferase in Walker carcinoma (Tisdale, 1981). Reversible inhibition of l-methionine tRNA aminocacylation was also reported, suggesting that AMB can function as a methionine antimetabolite (Matoo et al., 1979). We recently evaluated the importance of AMB as a *P. aeruginosa* virulence factor using an *Acanthamoeba castellanii* cell model (Lee et al., 2012). Although AMB was found to inhibit growth and to induce cyst formation, the effective concentrations were rather high, making a strong contribution of AMB to the virulence of *P. aeruginosa*
unlikely. AMB may be more important during interactions of
P. aeruginosa with other microbes and it is interesting to note
in this respect that AMB can inhibit the growth of impor-
tant plant and animal pathogens such as Erwinia amylovora
(Lee et al., 2013a) and Staphylococcus aureus (our unpublished
observation).

Transposon mutagenesis and reverse genetics have previously
led to the identification of the P. aeruginosa ambABCDE gene
cluster which comprises two transcriptional units (Lee et al., 2010,
2013a; Figure 1). Transfer of this cluster to strains devoid of amb
genes, such as P. aeruginosa PA7, or P. fluorescens CHA0, ren-
dered them capable of synthesizing AMB (Lee et al., 2010, 2013a),
demonstrating that these genes are both essential and sufficient
for AMB production. Bioinformatics analyses indicate that the
first transcriptional unit, ambA, encodes a putative LysE-type
transporter and may thus account for AMB secretion. The sec-
ond transcriptional unit specifies four proteins predicted to be
involved in AMB biosynthesis. Two of these, AmbB and AmbE,
present the typical modular structure of non-ribosomal peptide
synthetases (NRPSs; Figure 1). The gene products of ambC
and ambD are predicted to belong to the family of iron(II)/α-
ketoglutarate-dependent oxygenases. Most members of this family
catalyze hydroxylation of a substrate coupled to the oxidative
decarboxylation of an α-ketoglutarate cofactor using iron (II) as
the redox catalyst. However, other members also catalyze reac-
tions such as oxidative desaturation or cyclization (Hausinger,
2004).

Based on the observation that the Amb assembly line contains
three thiolation domains, (i.e., attachment points for amino acid
precursors, see Figure 1), we postulate that AMB biosynthesis
proceeds via a precursor tripeptide. Using in vitro methods and
analysis of enzyme-attached substrates and pathway intermedi-
ates by mass spectrometry (MS), we identified the building blocks
of AMB biosynthesis and we present a model of how the AMB
precursor tripeptide may be assembled.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

BACTERIAL STRAINS, PLASMIDS, AND CULTURE CONDITIONS

Strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. Bac-
teria were routinely cultivated at 37°C on nutrient agar and in
nutrient yeast broth (Stanisich and Holloway, 1972). To facilitate
uptake of heterologous DNA during conjugation and transforma-
tion, P. aeruginosa was grown at 43°C. When necessary, antibiotics
were added to the media of E. coli at the following concentrations:
ampicillin at 100 μg ml⁻¹, chloramphenicol (Cm) at 30 μg ml⁻¹,
kanamycin (Km), and tetracycline (Tc) at 25 μg ml⁻¹ each. For
selection of Tc-resistant plasmids in P. aeruginosa, Tc was used
at 125 μg ml⁻¹. Counterselection of E. coli donor cells during
mutant construction occurred with Cm at 10 μg ml⁻¹; mutant
enrichment was performed with Tc at 20 μg ml⁻¹ and carbeni-
cillin (Cb) at 2 mg ml⁻¹. For protein purification, cultures were
induced with isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) as
detailed below.

DNA MANIPULATIONS AND SEQUENCING

DNA manipulations were done according to standard procedures
(Sambrook and Russell, 2001). Plasmid DNA was prepared using
Jetstar (Genomed GmbH) and QIAprep Spin Miniprep (Qiagen,
Inc.) kits; DNA fragments were gel-purified with the Invisorb®,
fragment cleanUp kit from Invitrogen. Bacterial transformations
were done by electroporation (Farinha and Kropinski, 1990).
Constructs involving PCR techniques (for oligonucleotides see Table 2)
were verified by commercial sequence analysis carried out at GATC
Biotech.

CONSTRUCTION OF OVEREXPRESSION PLASMIDS FOR PROTEIN
PURIFICATION

For overexpression of ambB, the gene was PCR-amplified from
chromosomal DNA of PAO1 using the primer pair XL009/XL10.
The 3.8-kb PCR fragment was trimmed with NheI and EcoRI
and cloned between the same sites in pET-28a. This generated
Table 1 | Bacterial strains and plasmids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Reference/Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Escherichia coli strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL21(DE3)</td>
<td>F−, ompT, gal, dcm, lon, hisD9(g− m−), λ(DE3)</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL21(DE3)/pLys</td>
<td>F−, ompT, gal, dcm, lon, hisD9(g− m−), λ(DE3)/pLys; CmR</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH5a</td>
<td>recA1 endA1 hisD17 deoR thi-1 supE44 gyrA96 relA1 Δ(lacZYA-argF) U169 (φ80d lacZ ΔM15)</td>
<td>Sambrook and Russell (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K12</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>Tatum and Lederberg (1947)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>ATCC 15692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO6932</td>
<td>ambB&lt;sub&gt;7302G&lt;/sub&gt; (specifying AmbB&lt;sub&gt;S768A&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO6934</td>
<td>ambE&lt;sub&gt;T3856G&lt;/sub&gt; (specifying AmbE&lt;sub&gt;S1286A&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO6935</td>
<td>ambE&lt;sub&gt;T5455G,G5457A&lt;/sub&gt; (specifying AmbE&lt;sub&gt;S1819A&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasmids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET-27b</td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;T7&lt;/sub&gt; expression vector for C-terminal His&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;-tagged proteins in E. coli; Km&lt;sup&gt;R&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET-28a</td>
<td>P&lt;sub&gt;T7&lt;/sub&gt; expression vector for N-terminal His&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;-tagged proteins in E. coli; Km&lt;sup&gt;R&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET-209Sfp</td>
<td>Expression plasmid for Sfp-His&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;; Km&lt;sup&gt;R&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Yin et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME497</td>
<td>Mobilizing plasmid, Ap&lt;sup&gt;R&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Voisard et al. (1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME3087</td>
<td>Suicide vector, ColE1 replicon; Tc&lt;sup&gt;R&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Voisard et al. (1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME9713</td>
<td>pET-28a-based expression plasmid for His&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;-AmbB; Km&lt;sup&gt;R&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME9714</td>
<td>pET-27b-based expression plasmid for AmbE-His&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;; Km&lt;sup&gt;R&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME9717</td>
<td>pET-27b-based expression plasmid for AmbD-His&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;; Km&lt;sup&gt;R&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME9718</td>
<td>pET-27b-based expression plasmid for AmbC-His&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;; Km&lt;sup&gt;R&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME10317</td>
<td>pET-27b-based expression vector for AmbE&lt;sub&gt;S1819A&lt;/sub&gt;-His&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;; Km&lt;sup&gt;R&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME10326</td>
<td>pME3087 derivative for generating a S768A mutation in the thiolation domain of AmbB (replacing codon GGT against GCC)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME10327</td>
<td>pET-28a-based expression plasmid for His&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;-AmbB&lt;sub&gt;S768A&lt;/sub&gt;; Km&lt;sup&gt;R&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME10328</td>
<td>pET-27b-based expression plasmid for AmbE&lt;sub&gt;S1819A&lt;/sub&gt;-His&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;; Km&lt;sup&gt;R&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME10330</td>
<td>pET-27b-based expression plasmid for AmbE&lt;sub&gt;D644A,K1230T,S1958A&lt;/sub&gt;-His&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;; Km&lt;sup&gt;R&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME10337</td>
<td>pET-27b-based expression plasmid for AmbE&lt;sub&gt;S1286A&lt;/sub&gt;-His&lt;sub&gt;6&lt;/sub&gt;; Km&lt;sup&gt;R&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME10338</td>
<td>pME3087 derivative for generating a S1286A mutation in the first thiolation domain of AmbE (replacing codon TCC against GCC)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME10341</td>
<td>pME3087 derivative for generating a S1819A mutation in the second thiolation domain of AmbE (replacing codon TCG against GCA)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

pME9713 in which the ambB gene is fused in-frame to six histidine codons at its 5’ end and expressed under the control of the T7 promoter. To construct pME10327 (for purification of AmbB<sub>S768A</sub>), a 2.6-kb BamHI-EcoRI fragment with the thiolation site mutation was generated by overlap extension PCR from chromosomal DNA of PAO1 using the primer pairs XL202/XL203 and XL204/XL010. This fragment was then used to replace the corresponding fragment in pME9713.

For construction of the ambC expression plasmid pME9718, a 1.1-kb fragment was PCR-amplified from chromosomal DNA of PAO1 with primers XL036 and XL044, trimmed with Ndel and EcoRI, and cloned into pET-27b between the same sites. The ambB expression plasmid pME9717 was made in the same way, using primers XL034 and XL042 instead.

Plasmids for ambE expression were constructed as follows. The ambE gene was PCR-amplified from chromosomal DNA of PAO1 using the primer pair XL007/XL033. The resulting fragment of 6.4 kb was then cloned into pET-27b between the Ndel and Nhel restriction sites. This produced pME9714 in which ambE is fused in-frame to six histidine codons at its 3’ end and expressed from the T7 promoter. Variants of pME9714 with mutations in the T1 domain (pME10337), the T2 domain (pME10317), and the TE domain (pME10328) were constructed by overlap extension PCR using the primer pairs XL032/XL206 and XL207/XL097 (for pME10337), XL032/XL094 and XL095/XL097 (for pME10317), and XL032/XL012 and XL101/XL097 (for pME10328), respectively. This generated 2.6-kb fragments which were trimmed with BglII and Nhel and used to replace the corresponding fragment in pME9713.
| Table 2 | Oligonucleotides (5′→3′)* |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| 2302Amut-1  | AAGCCGCGAAGCTGCCACC |
| 2302Amut-2  | ACGCGCGACAGCTGCCCGAGCG |
| 2302Amut-3  | GCTGCCGCGAAGCTGCCCGAGCG |
| 2302Amut-4  | CGGACTGCCGCGAAGCG |
| XL007      | TCAGTCACATATATGGCGAGCAGACGGCGAAGACCTG |
| XL009      | GTCACTGACCGCGAGCGAGCGGCA |
| XL010      | ACTGGAATTCCGGGCGAAGCGAGCG |
| XL032      | ACTGATCATATATGGCGAGCAGACGGCGAAGACCTG |
| XL033      | GTCACTGACCGCGAGCG |
| XL034      | ACTGATCATATATGGCGAGCAGACGGCGAAGACCTG |
| XL036      | ACTGATCATATATGGCGAGCAGACGGCGAAGACCTG |
| XL042      | GTCAAGATTTCAGTTGAGGTGAGGCTGAGGAGGACAT |
| XL044      | GTCAAGATTTCAGTTGAGGTGAGGAGGACAT |
| XL046      | TCAGTCACATATATGGCGAGCAGACGGCGAAGACCTG |
| XL094      | CAATGGCGCAGCTCC |...
and proteins were eluted with 10 ml of elution buffer 1 (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 200 mM NaCl, 100 mM imidazole, and 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol) followed by 10 ml of elution buffer 2 (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 200 mM NaCl, 200 mM imidazole, and 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol). Fractions of 1 ml were collected and analyzed for the presence of the hexahistidine-tagged protein by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). For AmbC and AmbD, EDTA was added to each eluant fraction to a final concentration of 1 mM to chelate metal ions. Fractions with pure (>90%) hexahistidine-tagged protein were pooled and dialyzed using a regenerated cellulose membrane (3500 molecular weight cutoff, Spectra/Por, Spectrum Laboratories) against 2 l of dialysis buffer [25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 200 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.5 mM β-mercaptoethanol (for AmbB, AmbE, and Sfp) and 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 200 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol (for AmbC and AmbD)]. Proteins were subsequently concentrated with Amicon Ultra centrifugal filters with molecular weight cutoffs of 10'000 (for AmbC, AmbD, and Sfp) or 50'000 (for AmbB and AmbE). Concentrated proteins (~20 mg/ml, as determined by Nanodrop) were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored in aliquots at ~80°C.

**ATP-[32P]Pyrophosphate Exchange Assays**
ATP-[32P]Pyrophosphate exchange assays were used to demonstrate A-domain substrate specificity. Reactions were carried out in 100 μl volumes containing 75 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP), 5 mM ATP, 1 mM tetrasodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM of amino acid and 20 μl of tetrasodium [32P]pyrophosphate (5 mM, 2 Ci/mmol, NEN-Perkin Elmer). Reactions were initiated by the addition of enzyme at 1 μM and incubated at 24°C for 2 h. At appropriate time points, reactions were quenched by the addition of 0.5 ml of charcoal suspension (0.1 M tetrasodium pyrophosphate, 0.35 M perchloric acid, 1.6% (w/v) activated charcoal). Free [32P]pyrophosphate was removed by centrifugation and the charcoal pellet was washed twice with wash solution (0.1 M tetrasodium pyrophosphate, 0.35 M perchloric acid). Charcoal-bound radioactivity was measured in a Beckman LS 6500 scintillation counter.

**Phosphopantetheinylation and Aminoacylation Assays**
T-domain loading was assayed using an aminoacylation assay. Apoenzymes (at 2.5 μM) were first incubated in 75 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM TCEP, 40 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM CoA with 1.5 μM of purified promiscuous phosphopantetheinyl transferase Sfp at 28°C for 60 min for conversion of the apo- to the holo-form. Subsequently, 14C-labeled substrate amino acids were added to a final concentration of 10 μM (L-Ala) or 50 μM (L-Glu) and aminoacylation reactions started with the addition of ATP to a final concentration of 10 mM. At defined time points, samples were drawn and quenched into 800 μl of 10% (v/v) trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and 100 μl of 1 mg/ml BSA. Precipitated proteins were pelleted by centrifugation at 13'000 RPM for 10 min. Protein pellets were washed once with 800 μl 10% TCA and finally dissolved in 250 μl formic acid. 14C-bound radioactivity was measured by liquid scintillation counting using a Beckman LS 6500 scintillation counter.

**Generation of Enzyme-Attached Amb Substrates and Precursor Peptides for MS Analysis**
Apoenzymes (at 2.5 μM) were incubated with Sfp as described above to generate their holoforms. After 60 min, the reaction mixture was supplemented with 10 mM ATP and 1 mM of the substrate amino acid(s). For modification of the growing peptide chain, additional enzymes, and potential cofactors were added at the following concentrations: 2.5 μM each of AmbC and AmbD, 1 mM each of S-adenosylmethionine (SAM), and α-ketoglutarate (α-KG), and 0.5 mM of ferrous iron (supplied as [NH₄]₂Fe(SO₄)₂]. Samples were incubated at 28°C for another 2 h, supplemented with 10% glycerol, and stored at ~80°C until analysis by MS.

For substrate identification, holoenzymes, and ATP were incubated not with a single, defined amino acid but with a pool of all 20 proteinogenic amino acids (each at 0.5 mM final concentration) or with a P. aeruginosa metabolome prepared as described previously for E. coli (Dorrestein et al., 2006).

**MS Analysis**
MS analyses were performed by the Protein Analysis Facility (University of Lausanne). Frozen protein samples were thawed and digested by trypsin using a protocol for short digestion time (Hollenhorst et al., 2010). Briefly, 5 μl of proteins were digested at 30°C for 60 min under agitation with 281.3 ng of modified sequencing-grade porcine trypsin (Promega) at a protein:trypsin ratio of about 10:1 (w/w) in 50 μl of 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate. Digestion was stopped by adding 2 μl of 10% formic acid, and samples were dried by speed-vacuum. Samples were then resuspended in 50 μl of H₂O:MeCN 97:3 (v/v) + 0.1% formic acid.

The tryptic peptide samples were analyzed by high resolution liquid chromatography coupled with MS (LC-MS/MS) on an Ultimate 3000 RSLC nano HPLC system coupled to a hybrid linear trap LTQ-Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). Solvents used were (A) H₂O:MeCN 97:3 (v/v) + 0.1% formic acid and (B) H₂O:MeCN 20:80 (v/v) + 0.1% formic acid. A volume of 5 μl of sample was loaded onto a trapping microcolumn Acclaim PepMap 100 C18 (2 cm × 100 μm, Dionex) in H₂O:MeCN 97:3 (v/v) + 0.1% formic acid at a flow rate of 3.5 μl/min. Peptides were then eluted and separated on a reversed-phase Acclaim PepMap RSLC C18 nanocolumn (75 μm ID × 15 cm, 2 μm, Dionex) or a Nikkyc C18 nanocolumn (75 μm ID × 15 cm, 3 μm, Nikkyc Technos) with a 95 min gradient, at a flow rate of 300 nl/min. In data-dependent acquisition controlled by Xcalibur 2.0 software (Thermo Scientific), the eight most intense precursor ions detected in the full MS survey performed in the Orbitrap (range 350–1700 mass/charge (m/z) ratio, resolution 60 000 at m/z 400) were selected for fragmentation, and fragment ions were analyzed in the ion trap. MS² was triggered by a minimum signal threshold of 10’000 counts and carried out at relative collision energy of 35% (CID), with an isolation width of 4.0 amu. Only precursors with a charge higher than one were selected for fragmentation and the m/z of fragmented precursors was then dynamically excluded from any selection during 60 s. MS³ analyses were further carried out for specific detection of phosphopantetheinylated (PPant) peptides as described by Meier et al. (2011). Briefly, following MS² of the most intense precursor ion from an inclusion list, the two
most intense fragment ions were selected for MS² fragmentation in the ion trap, if their mass was present in an inclusion list of pantetheine fragments and above a signal threshold of 100 counts in the MS/MS spectrum.

MS/MS spectra were analyzed using Mascot 2.4 (Matrix Science, London, UK). Mascot driven search was set up against a custom-built database containing the P. aeruginosa sequences of wild-type and mutated AmbE and AmbB, and the sequences of usual contaminants (enzymes, keratins, etc). Trypsin (semispecific cleavage at K, R, not before P) was used as the enzyme digestion definition allowing up to two missed cleavages. Mascot was searched with a parent ion tolerance of 10 ppm and a fragment ion mass tolerance of 0.5 Da. Acetylation at protein N-terminal, deamidation of asparagine, and glutamine, oxidation of methionine, and phosphopantetheine addition to serine were specified as variable modifications.

**BIOINFORMATICS ANALYSIS**
DNA and protein sequences were obtained from the P. genome database (Winsor et al., 2011) and the protein and genome databases available on the NCBI website¹. Protein sequences were analyzed on the polyketide synthase/NRPS analysis website (Bachmann and Ravel, 2009) and searched against the Conserved Domain Database using the CD-search algorithm on the NCBI website (Marchler-Bauer and Bryant, 2004). C-domain phylogenetic analysis was performed using the ClustalW algorithm (Thompson et al., 1994) available on the server of the Kyoto University Bioinformatics Center². Results were displayed as an unrooted N-J phylogenetic tree (Saitou and Nei, 1987).

**RESULTS**

**DOMAIN ARCHITECTURE OF AmbB AND AmbE**

Sequence inspection of AmbB and AmbE suggested that these enzymes are NPRSs that generate an AMB precursor peptide by a thiotemplate mechanism (Stein et al., 1996). NRPSs can be dissected into modules, each module being responsible for the incorporation of one amino acid or carboxy acid into the growing peptide chain. In turn, each module consists of domains, which are enzymatic units that catalyze the stepwise addition and modification of the amino/carboxy acid. In some cases, the biosynthesis of a non-ribosomal peptide (NRP) can be predicted from the analysis of these domains provided that biosynthesis follows the colinearity rule (Marahiel et al., 1997). Bioinformatics analysis showed that the AmbB protein is composed of three domains, which are responsible for adenylation (A domain) of a substrate amino acid, for loading of this amino acid onto a phosphopantetheine arm attached at a conserved serine (T domain), and for condensation (C domain) of this amino acid with a second amino acid bound at the T domain of another module (Figure 1). The modular structure of AmbE is more complex and consists of an A domain, two T domains (T1 and T2), a C domain (indicated as C² in Figure 1), a domain for methylation (MT domain) and a domain for thioester cleavage (TE domain). Moreover, it cannot be ruled out that an additional domain of unknown function may be located at the N-term of AmbE (Figure 1) given that this consists of a stretch of 461 amino acids. However, no similar domain architectures have been identified in this region using the Conserved Domains algorithm. Phylogenetic analyses of the C² domain suggest a new or additional function of this C domain, as it does not group with previously known L2L, D2L, epimerization, heterocyclization, dual condensation/epimerization, or starter C domains (Figure S1). The C² domain rather groups with another atypical C domain found in the McyA protein of Microcystis aeruginosa (Tillet et al., 2000). However, the biochemical function of McyA has not been studied experimentally. We conclude from these analyses that the two peptide synthetases AmbB and AmbE likely generate a tripeptide. This peptide is suspected to undergo a series of modification steps carried out by tailoring domains of AmbE (MT domain, N-term domain, C² domain), and by the hydroxylases AmbC and AmbD. Finally, the tripeptide would be released from the thiotemplate by thioester cleavage (TE domain).

**OVEREXPRESSION AND PURIFICATION OF THE AMB BIOSYNTHESIS PROTEINS AmbB, AmbC, AmbD, AND AmbE**

To study AMB biosynthesis with purified enzymes in vitro, the ambBCDE genes (and their mutated derivatives, see below) were cloned under the control of the T7 promoter in pET-28a or pET-27b (Table 1). Proteins were purified from E. coli BL21(DE3) for AmbC and AmbD) and BL21(DE3)/pLys (for AmbB and AmbE) with a hexahistidine tag at their N-terminus (AmbB) or C-terminus (AmbC, AmbD, and AmbE). According to analysis on SDS-PAGE gels all proteins were ≥90% pure (Figure 2).

**IDENTIFICATION OF L-ALA AS THE AMINO ACID SUBSTRATE OF AmbB**

Based on the presence of key residues found in the A-domains of peptide synthetases, it is often possible to predict their amino acid specificity (Stachelhaus et al., 1998). Predictions of A domain specificity in AmbB favors the activation of L-Ala but certain residues of the binding pocket have also been found in D-alanine (D-Ala) activating A domains of other peptide synthetases. We therefore tested which of the two isomers would be activated by AmbB using an ATP-[¹³C]pyrophosphate exchange reaction. In addition, we also investigated whether other small amino acids such as glycine (Gly) or L-serine (l-Ser) would be activated. As shown in Figure 3A, AmbB activated preferentially L-Ala, giving an exchange activity of 653 in 5 min already. However, l-Ser, Gly, and D-Ala were activated as well, albeit to a lower extent. Exchange activities measured at 5 min were 270, 128, and 114 for l-Ser, Gly, and D-Ala, respectively (Figure 3A). To further specify the amino acid substrate, we next investigated T domain loading of AmbB. For this, proteins were incubated first with CoA and the promiscuous phosphopantetheinyltransferase Sfp of Bacillus subtilis for phosphopantetheinylation of the T domain. Loading was then monitored by measuring the incorporation of [¹⁴C]labeled amino acids into AmbB (and AmbB5768A, see below) by TCA precipitation and liquid scintillation counting. As shown in Figure 3B, loading of AmbB with [¹⁴C]-L-Ala occurred very quickly, reaching a maximum of 35–40% after 1 min only. By contrast, no loading was observed with AmbB5768A. In this protein, the active site Ser in the conserved thiolation motif LGG(H/D)(L;I; Stein et al., 1996) was altered, thus preventing cofactor attachment and hence loading of

²http://www.genome.jp/tools/clustalw/
The importance of Ser 768 of AmbB was also tested in an aminoacylation assay of AmbE. This hypothesis was tested using the AmbBS768A mutant. As shown in Figure 3C, the substrate loaded onto AmbE was L-Ala and that this amino acid is loaded onto the phosphopantetheinylated arm at Ser 768.

**Activation and Loading of L-Glu by AmbE**

Unlike with AmbB, an exact prediction of the amino acid substrate for AmbE was not evident from the Stachelhaus motif. As this motif predicted a polar residue, we first considered L-Threo, D-Threo, L-Glu, propargylglycine, DL-vinylglycine, and L-2-amino-4-pentenoic acid. As shown in Figure 4A, strong activation of L-Glu was observed. We therefore tested whether these amino acids would be loaded as well. Indeed, loading was observed for both of them but to a lesser extent than with L-Ala (Figure 4C). Taken together, we conclude that the preferred substrate of AmbB is L-Ala and that this amino acid is loaded onto the phosphopantetheinylated arm attached at Ser 768.

AmbB-dependent loading of L-Ala onto the T2 domain of AmbE

The identity of the substrate loaded onto the T2 domain of AmbE was investigated using MS-based activity screening (Dorrestein et al., 2006; Meier et al., 2011). This method allows substrate identification based on the mass changes that take place during the acylation of the T domain-attached phosphopantetheinyl arm (Figure 5A). AmbE, together with AmbB (which was included in the same experiment as an internal control), was presented either with a mixture of all 20 natural amino acids or with a P. aeruginosa metabolome before being digested with trypsin and analyzed by LC-MS/MS (see Section “Materials and Methods” for experimental details). Similar results were obtained in both cases. The phosphopantetheinylated AmbB peptide AGQGFYAGGDSLR presented a mass shift of +71.04 indicative of Ala loading onto the T site of AmbB (Figure 5B; Table 3). In agreement with the protein’s previously observed substrate promiscuity, the same peptide also showed mass shifts characteristic for loading of Gly (57.02; Figure S3A; Table 3) and Ser (87.03; Figure S3B; Table 3). To identify the substrates loaded onto AmbE, mass shifts of the phosphopantetheinylated peptides RPAGIVSDNFQFVQGDSIR (T1 domain) and VLGRPLAADQFSAGGHSLIGVQAIAELR (T2 domain) were analyzed. As expected, we found a Glu-specific mass shift of +129.04 for the T1-specific peptide (Figure S4A; Table 3). For the T2-specific peptide we detected a mass shift of +71.04 (Figure S4B; Table 3), indicating that the substrate amino acid loaded at the second T domain of AmbE could be Ala.

The potential identity of the T2-attached substrate with Ala suggested that this amino acid may have been loaded in trans from AmbB. This hypothesis was tested using the AmbBS768A mutant protein which is able to activate L-Ala but cannot load it onto its own T domain (see Figure 3B). As shown in Figure 6, the presence of AmbBS768A in an aminoacylation assay of AmbE allowed 14C-L-Ala to be loaded, reaching a maximum of over 60% labeled protein after 30 min. By contrast, no loading was observed in the absence of AmbBS768A or when AmbE was replaced by the T2 domain mutant AmbES1819A. We thus conclude that AmbB loads L-Ala in cis onto its own T domain and in trans onto the T2 domain of AmbE.
FIGURE 3 | Identification of L-Ala as the preferred amino acid substrate activated by AmbB. (A) AmbB activation of L-Ala (diamonds), D-Ala (triangles), Gly (rectangles), and L-Ser (circles). AmbB was incubated sequentially with each amino acid in an ATP-[32P] pyrophosphate exchange assay. At different time points, generated ATP (formed by the reverse reaction of the AmbB A domain in the presence of [32P] pyrophosphate) was bound and counted. ATP-PPi exchange activity was determined for the full course of the assay and is expressed as the amount of conversion (one being 100% conversion) multiplied by the molar ratio of PPi to AmbB. (B) Loading of [14C]-L-Ala onto AmbB (filled diamonds) and AmbBS768A (empty diamonds). Proteins were incubated with radiolabeled L-Ala in an aminoacylation assay. At several time points, proteins (with bound amino acids) were precipitated and radioactivity was counted. Percentage of loading was determined using the molar ratio of bound radioactivity to the amount of protein in the assay. (C) Loading of L-Ala, Gly, and L-Ser onto AmbB. AmbB was sequentially incubated with [14C]-L-Ala (diamonds), [14C]-Gly (rectangles), and [14C]-L-Ser (circles) in an aminoacylation assay. At several time points, AmbB (with bound amino acids) was precipitated and radioactivity was counted. Percentage of loading was determined using the molar ratio of bound radioactivity to the amount of protein in the assay.

FIGURE 4 | Identification of L-Glu as the amino acid substrate activated by AmbE and loaded onto its T1 domain. (A) End-point ATP-[32P] pyrophosphate exchange assay to screen potential AmbE substrates. At 120 min, generated ATP (formed by the reverse reaction of the A domain of AmbE in the presence of [32P] pyrophosphate) was bound and counted. ATP-PPi exchange activity is expressed as the amount of conversion (one being 100% conversion) multiplied by the molar ratio of PPi to AmbE. (B) Loading of [14C]-L-Glu onto AmbE (filled diamonds), AmbES1286A (empty rectangles), and AmbES1819A (empty circles). Proteins were incubated with the radiolabeled amino acid in an aminoacylation assay. At several time points, proteins (with bound amino acids) were precipitated and radioactivity was counted. Percentage of loading was determined using the molar ratio of bound radioactivity to the amount of protein in the assay. (C) Mutations in the A domain of AmbE abolish protein loading with L-Glu. AmbES1958A (filled diamonds), and AmbED644A,K1230T,S1958A (empty diamonds) were incubated with [14C]-L-Glu in an aminoacylation assay. At several time points, proteins (with bound amino acids) were precipitated and radioactivity was counted. Percentage of loading was determined using the molar ratio of bound radioactivity to the amount of protein in the assay. Note that the mutation of the active site Ser (S1958) of the TE domain does not interfere with loading of L-Glu. By contrast, amino acid alterations in the A domain (D644A and K1230T) abolished loading entirely.
Identification of AmbB/AmbE-bound substrates and pathway intermediates by phosphopantetheinyl elimination reactions.

(A) Structure of PPant ejection ions after MS² fragmentation and pantetheine-specific MS³ pattern (adapted from Meluzzi et al., 2008; Meier et al., 2011). Diagnostic m/z values obtained in B and C are underlined.

(B) Identification of Ala on AmbB by characteristic MS² and MS³ spectra. AmbB and AmbE (at 2.5 μM; converted to their holo-forms by Sfp) were incubated with 0.5 mM of all 20 proteinogenic amino acids before trypsin digestion and analysis by MS.

(C) Identification of Ala-AMB-Ala on AmbE by characteristic MS² and MS³ spectra. The assay contained AmbB, AmbC, AmbD, and AmbES1958A (at 2.5 μM; the two NRPSs were converted to their holo-forms by Sfp), L-Ala and L-Glu (at 1 mM), as well as the cofactors SAM, α-KG (at 1 mM) and ferrous iron (at 0.5 mM). After incubation, the sample was treated with trypsin and analyzed by MS.
**Table 3 | AmbB and AmbE peptides with AMB substrates and pathway intermediates loaded via phosphopantetheine.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>Substrate or pathway intermediate X loaded (theoretical mass)</th>
<th>Theoretical m/z (z) (monoisotopic mass)</th>
<th>Observed m/z (Am ppm)</th>
<th>m/z pant-X</th>
<th>Amb enzymes required for detection of attached compound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGQGFGVAGGDSLR</td>
<td>Gly (57.021)</td>
<td>883.883 (2)</td>
<td>883.881 (−2.3)</td>
<td>318.1</td>
<td>AmbB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(AmbB, T domain)</td>
<td>Ala (71.037)</td>
<td>890.891 (2)</td>
<td>890.890 (−1.1)</td>
<td>332.2</td>
<td>AmbB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ser (87.032)</td>
<td>898.889 (2)</td>
<td>898.886 (−3.3)</td>
<td>348.2</td>
<td>AmbB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPAIGSVDNEFLVGDGDSIR</td>
<td>Glu (129.043)</td>
<td>835.392 (3)</td>
<td>835.393 (1.2)</td>
<td>390.2</td>
<td>AmbE/AmbE&lt;sub&gt;ES1819A&lt;/sub&gt; b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(AmbE, T1 domain)</td>
<td>Glu-Ala (200.080)</td>
<td>859.071 (3)</td>
<td>859.072 (1.2)</td>
<td>461.2</td>
<td>AmbB+AmbE&lt;sub&gt;ES1819A&lt;/sub&gt; b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLGRLADQGFASAG</td>
<td>Ala (71.037)</td>
<td>847.192 (4)</td>
<td>847.189 (−3.5)</td>
<td>332.2</td>
<td>AmbB+AmbE/AmbE&lt;sub&gt;ES1819A&lt;/sub&gt; b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHSLLGQVQAELR</td>
<td>Ala-AMB-Ala (255.121)</td>
<td>893.212 (4)</td>
<td>893.210 (−2.2)</td>
<td>516.2</td>
<td>AmbB+AmbC+AmbD+AmbE&lt;sub&gt;ES1819A&lt;/sub&gt; b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(AmbE, T2 domain)</td>
<td>Ala-Glu-Ala (271.117)</td>
<td>897.211 (4)</td>
<td>897.213 (−2.2)</td>
<td>532.2</td>
<td>AmbB+AmbE&lt;sub&gt;ES1819A&lt;/sub&gt; b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Peptides were generated by trypsin digestion of reaction mixtures containing the indicated Amb enzymes. b For increased detection of enzyme-attached compounds, the thioesterase-negative mutant protein AmbE<sub>ES1819A</sub> was used in certain experiments. The Ser residues carrying phosphopantetheine-linked substrates and pathway intermediates are underlined.

**FIGURE 6 | AmbB-dependent loading of L-Ala onto the T2 domain of AmbE.**

**ASSEMBLY OF A TRIPEPTIDE AMB PRECURSOR ON AmbE**

The identification of the substrate amino acids activated by and loaded onto AmbB and AmbE suggested that these proteins generate an L-Ala-L-Glu-L-Ala tripeptide. We speculate that the central L-Glu would be the amino acid to be converted to AMB by AmbC, AmbD, and tailoring domains of AmbE, while the two flanking L-Ala residues may function as protective groups during these modifications. Indeed, LC-MS/MS analysis of *in vitro* reactions carried out with different enzyme combinations, revealed several characteristic mass shifts of the phosphopantetheinylated T1 and T2 peptides of AmbE (Table 3). A reaction mixture containing AmbB, AmbE<sub>ES1819A</sub> (a thioesterase-negative AmbE variant used to stabilize enzyme-attached AMB precursor peptides), L-Ala and L-Glu, generated not only the previously observed mass shifts of the phosphopantetheinylated amino acid substrates (+129.04 for Glu and +71.04 for Ala; data not shown), but also mass shifts of +200.08 (at T1) and +271.12 (at T2; Figures S5A,B). These masses are identical with those expected for a T1-attached Glu-Ala dipeptide and for a T2-attached Ala-Glu-Ala tripeptide, respectively. When the two oxygenases (AmbC and AmbD), their cofactors (α-ketoglutarate and ferrous iron), and SAM (cofactor for the MT domain of AmbE) were included in the assay, the mass shifts of these pathway intermediates were no longer detected. Instead, a new mass shift of +255.12 was identified for the T2-specific peptide (Figure 5C). This mass corresponds to that expected for an Ala-AMB-Ala tripeptide.

**DISCUSSION**

In this work we have demonstrated that L-Ala and L-Glu are the amino acid substrates for AMB production. The identity of these building blocks was demonstrated with classical aminoacylation assays using radiolabeled amino acid substrates and also with a MS-based approach which detected these amino acids bound via phosphopantetheinyl arms to the T domains of AmbB and AmbE (see Figure 7). Specifically, we detected L-Ala bound to the T domain of AmbB (Figures 3 and 5B) and to the T2 domain of AmbE (Figures 6 and S4B) while L-Glu was bound to the thiolation domain T1 of AmbE (Figures 4B and S4A). However, AmbE specifies a single adenylation domain, which was predicted to activate a polar amino acid such as L-Glu. Indeed, L-Glu was no longer loaded onto T1 when this domain was mutated (Figure 4C), most likely because the mutations had interfered with L-Glu activation. How is L-Ala bound at the AmbE’s T2 site activated? As L-Ala was identified as the substrate activated by and loaded onto AmbB we hypothesized that AmbB might also be responsible for loading the T2 site of AmbE. Using an AmbB mutant unable to load its own T site we demonstrated that this was indeed the case (Figure 6).

The identification of three amino acids as the building blocks required for AMB generation is remarkable as AMB has not been found as a tripeptide but as a single non-proteinogenic amino acid with a molecular weight of 131.06 Da (Figure 7). To further investigate this novel biosynthesis we searched for...
FIGURE 7 | Proposed model for AMB biosynthesis with indication of mass shifts (in Da) of tethered molecules. The A domain of AmbB activates L-Ala (residue shown in red) and loads it onto its own T domain (step 1a) and onto the T2 domain of AmbE (step 1b). The A domain of AmbE activates L-Glu (residue shown in blue) and loads it onto its T1 domain (step 2). Then, the C domain of AmbB or the C* domain of AmbE would condense L-Ala with L-Glu onto the T1 domain of AmbE forming the L-Glu-L-Ala dipeptide (step 3). This would subsequently be condensed with L-Ala on the T2 domain of AmbE to give the tripeptide L-Ala-L-Glu-L-Ala (step 4). Modification of the central L-Glu by action of AmbC, AmbD, the MT domain of AmbE, and eventually by the C* domain and/or by the N-term of AmbE, would give rise to the tripeptide L-Ala-AMB-L-Ala (step 5). This is believed to be the final peptide released from AmbE by action of the TE domain (step 6). Finally, the flanking L-Ala residues are removed by a processing step to yield AMB (step 6).

pathway intermediates attached at the thiolation sites of AmbE and found a Glu-Ala dipeptide and an Ala-Glu-Ala tripeptide at T1 and T2, respectively (Figure S5). These compounds were no longer detected when the assay contained, in addition, the oxygenases AmbC and AmbD together with all cofactors. Instead, large amounts of an Ala-AMB-Ala tripeptide attached at T2 were detected (Figure 5C). Based on these results we propose a biosynthetic pathway for AMB production which proceeds via the generation of a tripeptide precursor. According to this model (Figure 7), the A domain of AmbB activates L-Ala which is loaded onto the protein’s own T domain and onto the T2 domain of AmbE. The A domain of AmbE activates L-Glu, which is loaded onto the T1 domain. The C domain of AmbB then condenses L-Ala and L-Glu to form the L-Glu-L-Ala dipeptide at T1 of AmbE. This dipeptide is subsequently condensed, probably by the C* domain, with the L-Ala residue attached at T2 to give the L-Ala-L-Glu-L-Ala tripeptide at T2. The central amino acid, L-Glu, would then undergo a series of modifications to be converted into AMB while the two flanking L-Ala residues remain in place. The modifications of L-Glu would be carried out by AmbC, AmbD, and tailoring domains of AmbE (Figure 1). The order and the timing of these modifications is currently unknown and we cannot exclude at this point that some modifications of L-Glu may occur even before condensation with the two L-Ala residues, as the unmodified di- and tripeptides (L-Ala-L-Glu and L-Ala-L-Glu-L-Ala) were observed only in the absence of AmbC and AmbD. Finally, the L-Ala-AMB-L-Ala tripeptide is released by thioester cleavage (TE domain of AmbE) and processed to give AMB and two molecules of L-Ala.

What is the role of the two flanking L-Ala residues during biosynthesis of AMB? As previously mentioned, AMB inhibits PLP-dependent enzymes (Berkowitz et al., 2006) and as such could act as a growth inhibitor in the producer as well. We thus speculate that the two flanking L-Ala residues could not only act as protective groups during the conversion of L-Glu to AMB, but also have the additional function of preventing AMB toxicity during biosynthesis. It is not yet clear how processing of the Ala-AMB-Ala tripeptide occurs. We believe that the processing peptidase is encoded by \textit{P. aeruginosa} itself as free AMB is readily detected in \textit{P. aeruginosa} culture supernatants (Scannell et al., 1972; Lee et al., 2010). However, we cannot exclude that the Ala-AMB-Ala peptide is also excreted by \textit{P. aeruginosa}, taken up by susceptible neighbors and subjected to peptidase/amidase action to liberate the toxin. Such a “Trojan Horse” mechanism has been described previously for other bacterial toxins such as the herbicide phosphinothricin (PT), which is produced non-ribosomally by \textit{Streptomyces viridochromogens} as an PT-Ala-Ala tripeptide (Metcalf and van der Donk, 2009).

Secretion of AMB (and/or its precursor tripeptide) is expected to be carried out by AmbA, a member of the LysE transporter.
AMB remain open. It will be fascinating to dissect the function of AMB (Lee et al., 2010, 2013a) and rhizobitoxine (Mitchell and Coddington, 1991; Yasuta et al., 2001), the starting amino acid appears to be homoserine. Moreover, there is no evidence that these compounds are made by a thiotemplate mechanism, or processed from a precursor peptide, suggesting that there is significant diversity in the biosynthesis of oxyvinylglycines.

In summary, we have elucidated here the first steps of the AMB biosynthetic pathway. Clearly, these data confirm our previous results, which showed that the amb gene cluster is responsible for the biosynthesis of AMB (Lee et al., 2010, 2013a) and does not specify the quorum-sensing molecule IQS [2-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-thiazole-4-carboxdehyde], as reported by the group of Lian-Hui Zhang (Lee et al., 2013b). The chemical structure of IQS indicates that this compound may be assembled from salicylate and cysteine. However, neither of the two peptide synthetases encoded by the amb gene cluster present adenylation domains with a specificity for these substrates and the biochemical assays performed in this work show that AmbB is loaded with alanine while AmbE is loaded with glutamate and alanine. It is thus highly implausible that IQS is specified by the amb gene cluster. Unfortunately, the authors of the IQS publication failed to verify which molecule was produced in an E. coli strain overexpressing ambBCDE. Moreover, their biotest used to measure AMB production was not performed as expected should be (Lee et al., 2010) which caused a misinterpretation of the results. As proposed recently by Ye et al. (2014), IQS – which is actually aeruginaldehyde – seems instead to be a byproduct produced from salicylate coupled to the first moiety of cysteine during biosynthesis of the enantiomeric siderophores pyochelin and entantiopyochelin in P. aeruginosa and P. fluorescens, respectively (reviewed by Youard et al., 2011).

Many questions concerning the biosynthesis and transport of AMB remain open. It will be fascinating to dissect the function of the tailoring enzymes, to determine the order in which the modifications of L-Glu occur, to identify the processing enzyme, and to investigate the role of AmbA in AMB export and immunity.
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