The Pseudomonas aeruginosa antimetabolite L-2-amino-4-methoxy-trans-3-butenoic acid (AMB) is made from glutamate and two alanine residues via a thiotemplate-linked tripeptide precursor.
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**INTRODUCTION**

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is an opportunistic pathogen often affecting patients who suffer from compromised antimicrobial barriers, as for instance the genetic disease cystic fibrosis (Lyczak et al., 2002). One of the many toxins that this bacterium produces is L-2-amino-4-methoxy-trans-3-butenoic acid (AMB), a non-proteinogenic amino acid belonging to a small group of natural compounds known as oxyvinylglycines (Berkowitz et al., 2006). Other members of this group are aminoethoxyvinylglycine (AVG), dimethyliminooxyvinylglycine, and guanidinooxyvinylglycine from *Streptomyces* spp. (Pruess et al., 1974; Hirata et al., 1993), rhizobitoxin made by *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* and *P. andropogonis* (Owens et al., 1972; Mitchell et al., 1986), as well as the recently identified 4-formylaminooxyvinylglycine (FVG) isolated from *P. fluorescens* WH6 (McPhail et al., 2010). Oxyvinylglycines irreversibly inhibit pyridoxal phosphate (PLP)-dependent enzymes and thus have multiple targets in bacteria, animals, and plants (Berkowitz et al., 2006). A prominent plant target is the ethylene biosynthesis enzyme ACC synthase, which is inhibited by AVG. Commercially available under the name of Retain®, AVG is widely used for the regulation of fruit set in orchard crops.

Another example with potential for an agricultural application is FVG. This oxyvinylglycine is a natural herbicide which blocks the germination of a large variety of grassy weed species (Banowetz et al., 2008).

Pyridoxal phosphate-dependent enzymes are also targeted by AMB. Isolated originally as a growth inhibitor of *Bacillus subtilis* (Scannell et al., 1972) and *Escherichia coli* (Sahm et al., 1973), AMB was shown to inhibit apartate aminotransferase in pigs (Rando, 1974; Rando et al., 1976) and rat hepatocytes (Smith and Freeland, 1981; Cornell et al., 1984), tryptophane synthase in *E. coli* (Miles, 1975), δ-aminolevulinic acid synthetase in rats (Dashman and Kamm, 1979), and serine hydroxymethyl transferase in Walker carcinoma (Tisdale, 1981). Reversible inhibition of L-methionine tRNA aminocacylation was also reported, suggesting that AMB can function as a methionine antimetabolite (Matoo et al., 1979). We recently evaluated the importance of AMB as a *P. aeruginosa* virulence factor using an *Acanthamoeba castellanii* cell model (Lee et al., 2012). Although AMB was found to inhibit growth and to induce cyst formation, the effective concentrations were rather high, making a strong contribution of AMB to the virulence of *P. aeruginosa*
likely. AMB may be more important during interactions of *P. aeruginosa* with other microbes and it is interesting to note in this respect that AMB can inhibit the growth of important plant and animal pathogens such as *Erwinia amylovora* (Lee et al., 2013a) and *Staphylococcus aureus* (our unpublished observation).

Transposon mutagenesis and reverse genetics have previously led to the identification of the *P. aeruginosa ambABCDE* gene cluster which comprises two transcriptional units (Lee et al., 2010, 2013a; Figure 1). Transfer of this cluster to strains devoid of *amb* genes, such as *P. aeruginosa PA7*, or *P. fluorescens* C5A0, rendered them capable of synthesizing AMB (Lee et al., 2010, 2013a), demonstrating that these genes are both essential and sufficient for AMB production. Bioinformatics analyses indicate that the first transcriptional unit, *ambA*, encodes a putative LysE-type transporter and may thus account for AMB secretion. The second transcriptional unit specifies four proteins predicted to be involved in AMB biosynthesis. Two of these, AmbB and AmbE, present the typical modular structure of non-ribosomal peptide synthetases (NRPSs; Figure 1). The gene products of *ambC* and *ambD* are predicted to belong to the family of iron(II)/α-ketoglutarate-dependent oxygenases. Most members of this family catalyze hydroxylation of a substrate coupled to the oxidative decarboxylation of an α-ketoglutarate cofactor using iron (II) as the redox catalyst. However, other members also catalyze reactions such as oxidative desaturation or cyclization (Hausinger, 2004).

Based on the observation that the Amb assembly line contains three thiolation domains, (i.e., attachment points for amino acid precursors, see Figure 1), we postulate that AMB biosynthesis proceeds via a precursor tripeptide. Using *in vitro* methods and analysis of enzyme-attached substrates and pathway intermediates by mass spectrometry (MS), we identified the building blocks of AMB biosynthesis and we present a model of how the AMB precursor tripeptide may be assembled.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### BACTERIAL STRAINS, PLASMIDS, AND CULTURE CONDITIONS

Strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. Bacteria were routinely cultivated at 37°C on nutrient agar and in nutrient yeast broth (Stanisich and Holloway, 1972). To facilitate uptake of heterologous DNA during conjugation and transformation, *P. aeruginosa* was grown at 43°C. When necessary, antibiotics were added to the media of *E. coli* at the following concentrations: ampicillin at 100 μg ml⁻¹, chloramphenicol (Cm) at 30 μg ml⁻¹, kanamycin (Km), and tetracycline (Tc) at 25 μg ml⁻¹ each. For selection of Tc-resistant plasmids in *P. aeruginosa*, Tc was used at 125 μg ml⁻¹. Counterselection of *E. coli* donor cells during mutant construction occurred with Cm at 10 μg ml⁻¹; mutant enrichment was performed with Tc at 20 μg ml⁻¹ and carbenicillin (Cb) at 2 mg ml⁻¹. For protein purification, cultures were induced with isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) as detailed below.

#### DNA MANIPULATIONS AND SEQUENCING

DNA manipulations were done according to standard procedures (Sambrook and Russell, 2001). Plasmid DNA was prepared using Jetstar (Genomed GmbH) and QIAprep Spin Miniprep (Qiagen, Inc.) kits; DNA fragments were gel-purified with the Invisorb® fragment cleanUp kit from Invitrogen. Bacterial transformations were done by electroporation (Farinha and Kropinski, 1990). Constructs involving PCR techniques (for oligonucleotides see Table 2) were verified by commercial sequence analysis carried out at GATC Biotech.

#### CONSTRUCTION OF OVEREXPRESSION PLASMIDS FOR PROTEIN PURIFICATION

For overexpression of *ambB*, the gene was PCR-amplified from chromosomal DNA of PAO1 using the primer pair XL009/XL100. The 3.8-kb PCR fragment was trimmed with NheI and EcoRI and cloned between the same sites in pET-28a. This generated...
Table 1 | Bacterial strains and plasmids.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Reference/Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Escherichia coli strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL21(DE3)</td>
<td>F−, ompT, gal, dcm, lon, hsdSd(rB− mB−), λ(DE3)</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL21(DE3)/pLys</td>
<td>F−, ompT, gal, dcm, lon, hsdSd(rB− mB−), λ(DE3)/pLys; Cm′</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH5α</td>
<td>recA1 endA1 hsdR17 deoR thi-1 supE44 gyrA96 relA1 Δ(lacZYA-argF) U169 (φ80d lacZΔM15)</td>
<td>Sambrook and Russell (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K12</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>Tatum and Lederberg (1947)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pseudomonas aeruginosa strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO1</td>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>ATCC 15692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO632</td>
<td>ambB T2302G (specifying AmbBS768A)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO634</td>
<td>ambE T3856G (specifying AmbES1286A)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAO637</td>
<td>ambE T5455G, G5457A (specifying AmbES1819A)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasmids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET-27b</td>
<td>P7 expression vector for C-terminal His6-tagged proteins in E. coli; Km′</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET-28a</td>
<td>P7 expression vector for N-terminal His6-tagged proteins in E. coli; Km′</td>
<td>Novagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pET-29Sfp</td>
<td>Expression plasmid for Sfp-His6; Km′</td>
<td>Yin et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME3087</td>
<td>Suicide vector, ColE1 replicon; Tc{</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME9713</td>
<td>pET-28a-based expression plasmid for His6-AmbB; Km′</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME9714</td>
<td>pET-27b-based expression plasmid for AmbE-His6; Km′</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME9717</td>
<td>pET-27b-based expression plasmid for AmbD-His6; Km′</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME9718</td>
<td>pET-27b-based expression plasmid for AmbC-His6; Km′</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME10317</td>
<td>pET-27b-based expression vector for AmbE S1819A-His6; Km′</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME10326</td>
<td>pME3087 derivative for generating a S768A mutation in the thiolation domain of AmbB (replacing codon GGT against GCC)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME10327</td>
<td>pET-28a-based expression plasmid for His6-AmbB S768A; Km′</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME10328</td>
<td>pET-27b-based expression plasmid for AmbE S1286A-His6; Km′</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME10330</td>
<td>pET-27b-based expression plasmid for AmbE D644A, K1230T, S1958A-His6; Km′</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME10337</td>
<td>pET-27b-based expression plasmid for AmbE S1819A-His6; Km′</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME10338</td>
<td>pME3087 derivative for generating a S1286A mutation in the first thiolation domain of AmbE (replacing codon GCC against GCA)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pME10341</td>
<td>pME3087 derivative for generating a S1819A mutation in the second thiolation domain of AmbE (replacing codon CCA against GCA)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

pME9713 in which the ambB gene is fused in-frame to six histidine codons at its 5′ end and expressed under the control of the T7 promoter. To construct pME10327 (for purification of AmbB S768A), a 2.6-kb BamHI–EcoRI fragment with the thiolation site mutation was generated by overlap extension PCR from chromosomal DNA of PAO1 using the primer pairs XL202/XL203 and XL204/XL010. This fragment was then used to replace the corresponding fragment in pME9713.

For construction of the ambC expression plasmid pME9718, a 1.1-kb fragment was PCR-amplified from chromosomal DNA of PAO1 with primers XL036 and XL044, trimmed with Ndel and EcoRI, and cloned into pET-27b between the same sites. The ambD expression plasmid pME9717 was made in the same way, using primers XL034 and XL042 instead.

Plasmids for ambE expression were constructed as follows. The ambE gene was PCR-amplified from chromosomal DNA of PAO1 using the primer pair XL007/XL033. The resulting fragment of 6.4 kb was then cloned into pET-27b between the Ndel and Nhel restriction sites. This produced pME9714 in which ambE is fused in-frame to six histidine codons at its 3′ end and expressed from the T7 promoter. Variants of pME9714 with mutations in the T1 domain (pME10337), the T2 domain (pME10317), and the TE domain (pME10328) were constructed by overlap extension PCR using the primer pairs XL032/XL206 + XL207/XL097 (for pME10337), XL032/XL094 + XL095/XL097 (for pME10317), and XL032/XL102 + XL101/XL097 (for pME10328), respectively. This generated 2.6-kb fragments which were trimmed with BglII and Nhel and used to replace the corresponding fragment in
pME9714. Plasmid pME10330, which carries mutations in the a and in the TE domain, was generated in several steps. First, the primer pairs 2302Amut-1/2302Amut-2 and 2302Amut3/2302Amut4 were used to generate the codon modification K1230T. The PCR fragment was then trimmed with NcoI-BglII and used to replace the corresponding fragment in pME9714. The resulting plasmid served as a template in a second overlap extension PCR with the primer pairs XL202/XL203 and XL36 to generate a fragment specifying the mutations K1230T and D644A. This fragment was then trimmed with PstI and BglII and used to replace the corresponding fragment in pME9714. Plasmid pME10330, which carries mutations in the amB (ambB) gene, was used to replace the corresponding fragment in pME9714. Plasmid pME10341 (for construction of ambE, ambC, and ambD) was cloned into pME3087 to obtain the suicide plasmid pME10338 (to generate ambE, ambC, and ambD). This fragment was trimmed with EcoRI and BamHI and cloned into pME3087. Similarly, a 1.8-kb PCR fragment, amplified from pME10317 with the primer pair XL097/XL208 and trimmed with EcoRI and BamHI, was cloned into pME3087 to obtain the suicide plasmid pME10341 (for construction of ambE, ambC, and ambD). Using pME497 as a helper, the suicide plasmids were mobilized from P. aeruginosa PAO1 into P. aeruginosa PAO1 and chromosomally integrated with selection for Tc resistance. Experience with subsequent transduction and sequence analysis. This generated the mutants PAO6932 (the suicide plasmid used was pME10326), PAO6934 (suicide plasmid pME10338), and PAO6935 (suicide plasmid pME10341).

DETECTION OF AMB PRODUCTION IN P. aeruginosa STRAINS

AMB production of wild-type and mutant strains was assessed using a previously described bioassay, which is based on the growth inhibition of E. coli K-12 (Lee et al., 2010).

PROTEIN PURIFICATION

Hexahistidine-tagged proteins were purified from 800 ml cultures of E. coli BL21 (DE3)/pLys (for AmbB and AmbE) or E. coli BL21 (DE3) for AmbC, AmbD, and Sfp) carrying the relevant overexpression plasmid (see Table 1). Cultures were set up in four Erlenmeyer flasks containing 200 ml of NYB plus Km. These were inoculated with 3 ml of precultures grown overnight in NYB containing Km for overexpression in E. coli BL21 [DE3]) or Km (for overexpression in E. coli BL21 [DE3]/pLys). Growth occurred at 30°C and 180 RPM to an OD600 of 0.4–0.5. For purification of AmbB and AmbE, IPTG was then added to a final concentration of 0.5 mM and incubation was continued for another 4 h at 24°C. For purification of AmbC, AmbD, and Sfp, induction was done with 1 mM IPTG and incubation was continued overnight at 20°C.

Cells were harvested by centrifugation (8000 RPM at 4°C for 15 min), washed once with 200 ml of resuspension buffer A (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 200 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, and 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol), resuspended in 30 ml of the same buffer, and lysed by two passages through a French pressure cell press (SLM-Amicon). To avoid protein modification and interference with subsequent trypsin digest for MS analyses (see below), no protease inhibitor was used. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation (12'000 RPM at 4°C for 40 min) and proteins were purified from cell lysates by nickel chromatography using Ni-NTA Superflow resin (Qiagen). 3 ml of Ni-NTA slurry was added to the crude cell extract and the sample was rotated at 4°C for 1 h before loading onto a polypropylene column. Column washing occurred with 20 ml of washing buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 200 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole, and 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol).
and proteins were eluted with 10 ml of elution buffer 1 (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 200 mM NaCl, 100 mM imidazole, and 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol) followed by 10 ml of elution buffer 2 (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 200 mM NaCl, 200 mM imidazole, and 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol). Fractions of 1 ml were collected and analyzed for the presence of the hexahistidine-tagged protein by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). For AmbC and AmbD, EDTA was added to each eluant fraction to a final concentration of 1 mM to chelate metal ions. Fractions with pure (≥90%) hexahistidine-tagged protein were pooled and dialyzed using a regenerated cellulose membrane (3500 molecular weight cutoff, Spectra/Por, Spectrum Laboratories) against 2 l of dialysis buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 200 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 0.5 mM β-mercaptoethanol (for AmbB, AmbE, and Sfp) and 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.9, 200 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol (for AmbC and AmbD)). Proteins were subsequently concentrated with Amicon Ultra centrifugal filters with molecular weight cutoffs of 10'000 (for AmbB, AmbD, and Sfp) or 50'000 (for AmbB and AmbE). Concentrated proteins (∼20 mg/ml, as determined by Nanodrop) were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored in aliquots at −80°C.

**ATP-[^32P]pyrophosphate exchange assays**

ATP-[^32P]pyrophosphate exchange assays were used to demonstrate A-domain substrate specificity. Reactions were carried out in 100 μl volumes containing 75 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP), 5 mM ATP, 1 mM sodium tetrasodium pyrophosphate, 1 mM of amino acid and 20 μl of tetrasodium[^32P]pyrophosphate (5 mM, 2 μg/g/μmol, NEN-Perkin Elmer). Reactions were initiated by the addition of enzyme at 1 μM and incubated at 24°C for 2 h. At appropriate time points, reactions were quenched by the addition of 0.5 ml of charcoal suspension (0.1 M tetrasodium pyrophosphate, 0.35 M perchloric acid, 1.6% (w/v) activated charcoal). Free[^32P]pyrophosphate was removed by centrifugation and the charcoal pellet was washed twice with wash solution (0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM TCEP, 40 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM CoA with 1.5 μM of purified promiscuous phosphopantetheinyl transferase Sfp at 28°C for 60 min for conversion of the apo- to the holo-form. Subsequently, 14C-labeled substrate amino acids were added to a final concentration of 10 μM (L-Ala) or 50 μM (L-Glu) and aminoacylation reactions started with the addition of ATP to a final concentration of 10 mM. At defined time points, samples were drawn and quenched into 800 μl of 10% (v/v) trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and 100 μl of 1 mg/ml BSA. Precipitated proteins were pelleted by centrifugation at 13'000 RPM for 10 min. Protein pellets were washed once with 800 μl 10% TCA and finally dissolved in 250 μl formic acid. 14C-bound radioactivity was measured by liquid scintillation counting using a Beckman LS 6500 scintillation counter.

**Generation of enzyme-attached AMB substrates and precursor peptides for MS analysis**

Apoenzymes (at 2.5 μM) were incubated with Sfp as described above to generate their holoforms. After 60 min, the reaction mixture was supplemented with 10 mM ATP and 1 mM of the substrate amino acid(s). For modification of the growing peptide chain, additional enzymes, and potential cofactors were added at the following concentrations: 2.5 μM each of AmbC and AmbD, 1 mM each of α-l-lysine (Lys), and α-ketoglutarate (a-KG), and 0.5 mM of ferrous iron (supplied as [NH₄]₂Fe[SO₄]₂). Samples were incubated at 28°C for another 2 h, supplemented with 10% glycerol, and stored at −80°C until analysis by MS.

For substrate identification, holoenzymes, and ATP were incubated not with a single, defined amino acid but with a pool of all 20 proteinogenic amino acids (each at 0.5 mM final concentration) or with a P. aeruginosa metabolome prepared as described previously for E. coli (Dorrestein et al., 2006).

**MS analyses**

MS analyses were performed by the Protein Analysis Facility (University of Lausanne). Frozen protein samples were thawed and digested by trypsin using a protocol for short digestion time (Hollemhorst et al., 2010). Briefly, 5 μl of proteins were digested at 30°C for 60 min under agitation with 281.3 ng of modified sequencing-grade porcine trypsin (Promega) at a protein/trypsin ratio of about 10:1 (w/w) in 50 μl of 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate. Digestion was stopped by adding 2 μl of 10% formic acid, and samples were dried by speed-vacuum. Samples were then resuspended in 50 μl of H₂O:MeCN 97:3 (v/v) + 0.1% formic acid.

The tryptic peptide samples were analyzed by high resolution liquid chromatography coupled with MS (LC-MS/MS) on an Ultimate 3000 RSLCnano HPLC system coupled to a hybrid linear trap LTQ-Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). Solvents used were (A) H₂O:MeCN 97:3 (v/v) + 0.1% formic acid and (B) H₂O:MeCN 20:80 (v/v) + 0.1% formic acid. A volume of 5 μl of sample was loaded onto a trapping microcolumn Acclaim PepMap 100 C18 (2 cm × 100 μm, Dionex) in H₂O:MeCN 97:3 (v/v) + 0.1% formic acid at a flow rate of 3.5 μl/min. Peptides were then eluted and separated on a reversed-phase Acclaim PepMap RSLC C18 nanocolumn (75 μm ID × 15 cm, 2 μm, Dionex) or a NIKKYO C18 nanocolumn (75 μm ID × 15 cm, 3 μm, NIKKYO Technos) with a 95 min gradient, at a flow rate of 300 nl/min. In data-dependent acquisition controlled by Xcalibur 2.0 software (Thermo Scientific), the eight most intense precursor ions detected in the full MS survey performed in the Orbitrap (range m/z 350–1700 mass/charge (m/z) ratio, resolution 60 000 at m/z 400) were selected for fragmentation, and fragment ions were analyzed in the ion trap. MS² was triggered by a minimum signal threshold of 10’000 counts and carried out at relative collision energy of 35% (CID), with an isolation width of 4.0 amu. Only precursors with a charge higher than one were selected for fragmentation and the m/z of fragmented precursors was then dynamically excluded from any selection during 60 s. MS² analyses were further carried out for specific detection of phosphopantetheinylated (PPant) peptides as described by Meier et al. (2011). Briefly, following MS² of the most intense precursor ion from an inclusion list, the two
most intense fragment ions were selected for MS\textsuperscript{2} fragmentation in the ion trap, if their mass was present in an inclusion list of pantetheine fragments and above a signal threshold of 100 counts in the MS/MS spectrum.

MS/MS spectra were analyzed using Mascot 2.4 (Matrix Science, London, UK). Mascot driven search was set up against a custom-built database containing the \textit{P. aeruginosa} sequences of wild-type and mutated AmbE and AmbB, and the sequences of usual contaminants (enzymes, keratins, etc). Trypsin (semi-specific cleavage at K, R, not before P) was used as the enzyme digestion definition allowing up to two missed cleavages. Mascot was searched with a parent ion tolerance of 10 ppm and a fragment ion mass tolerance of 0.5 Da. Acetylation at protein N-terminal, deamidation of asparagine, and glutamine, oxidation of methionine, and phosphopantetheine addition to serine were specified as variable modifications.

**BIOINFORMATICS ANALYSIS**

DNA and protein sequences were obtained from the \textit{P. aeruginosa} genome database (Winsor et al., 2011) and the protein and genome databases available on the NCBI website\textsuperscript{1}. Protein sequences were analyzed on the polyketide synthase/NRPS analysis website (Bachmann and Ravel, 2009) and searched against the Conserved Domain Database using the ClustalW algorithm on the NCBI website (Marchler-Bauer and Bryant, 2004). C-domain phylogenetic analysis was performed using the ClustalW algorithm (Thompson et al., 1994) available on the server of the Kyoto University Bioinformatics Center\textsuperscript{2}. Results were displayed as an unrooted N-J phylogenetic tree (Saitou and Nei, 1987).

**RESULTS**

**DOMAIN ARCHITECTURE OF AmbB AND AmbE**

Sequence inspection of AmbB and AmbE suggested that these enzymes are NPRSs that generate a AMB precursor peptide by a thiotemplate mechanism (Stein et al., 1996). NPRSs can be dissected into modules, each module being responsible for the incorporation of one amino acid or carboxy acid into the growing peptide chain. In turn, each module consists of domains, which are enzymatic units that catalyze the stepwise addition and modification of the amino/carboxy acid. In some cases, the biosynthesis of a non-ribosomal peptide (NRP) can be predicted from the analysis of these domains provided that biosynthesis follows the colinearity rule (Marahiel et al., 1997). Bioinformatics analysis showed that the AmbB protein is composed of three domains, which are responsible for adenylation (A domain) of a substrate amino acid, for loading of this amino acid onto a phosphopantetheine arm attached at a conserved serine (T domain), and for condensation (C domain) of this amino acid with a second amino acid bound at the T domain of another module (Figure 1). The modular structure of AmbE is more complex and consists of an A domain, two T domains (T1 and T2), a C domain (indicated as C\textsuperscript{A} in Figure 1), a domain for methylation (MT domain) and a domain for thioester cleavage (TE domain). Moreover, it cannot be ruled out that an additional domain of unknown function may be located at the N-term of AmbE (Figure 1) given that this consists of a stretch of 461 amino acids. However, no similar domain architectures have been identified in this region using the Conserved Domains algorithm. Phylogenetic analyses of the C\textsuperscript{A} domain suggest a new or additional function of this C domain, as it does not group with previously known L2L, D2L, epimerization, heterocyclization, dual condensation/epimerization, or starter C domains (Figure S1). The C\textsuperscript{A} domain rather groups with another atypical C domain found in the McyA protein of \textit{Microcystis aeruginosa} (Tillet et al., 2000). However, the biochemical function of McyA has not been studied experimentally. We conclude from these analyses that the two peptide synthetases AmbB and AmbE likely generate a tripeptide. This peptide is suspected to undergo a series of modification steps carried out by tailoring domains of AmbE (MT domain, N-term domain, C\textsuperscript{B} domain), and by the hydroxylases AmbC and AmbD. Finally, the tripeptide would be released from the thiotemplate by thioester cleavage (TE domain).

**OVER EXPRESSION AND PURIFICATION OF THE AMB BIOSYNTHESIS PROTEINS AmbB, AmbC, AmbD, AND AmbE**

To study AMB biosynthesis with purified enzymes \textit{in vitro}, the \textit{ambBCDE} genes (and their mutated derivatives, see below) were cloned under the control of the T7 promoter in pET-28a or pET-27b (Table 1). Proteins were purified from \textit{E. coli} BL21(DE3); for AmbC and AmbD) and BL21(DE3)/pLys (for AmbB and AmbE) with a hexahistidine tag at their N-terminus (AmbB) or C-terminus (AmbC, AmbD, and AmbE). According to analysis on SDS-PAGE gels all proteins were \textgeq 90\% pure (Figure 2).

**IDENTIFICATION OF L-ALA AS THE AMINO ACID SUBSTRATE OF AmbB**

Based on the presence of key residues found in the A-domains of peptide synthetases, it is often possible to predict their amino acid specificity (Stach pauh et al., 1998). Predictions of A domain specificity in AmbB favors the activation of L-Ala but certain residues of the binding pocket have also been found in D-alanine (D-Ala) activating A domains of other peptide synthetases. We therefore tested which of the two isomers would be activated by AmbB using an ATP-[\textsuperscript{32P}]pyrophosphate exchange reaction. In addition, we also investigated whether other small amino acids such as glycine (Gly) or L-serine (L-Ser) would be activated. As shown in Figure 3A, AmbB activated preferentially L-Ala, giving an exchange activity of 653 at 5 min already. However, L-Ser, Gly, and D-Ala were activated as well, albeit to a lower extent. Exchange activities measured at 5 min were 270, 128, and 114 for L-Ser, Gly, and D-Ala, respectively (Figure 3A). To further specify the amino acid substrate, we next investigated T domain loading of AmbB. For this, proteins were incubated first with CoA and the promiscuous phosphopantetheinyltransferase SpF of \textit{Bacillus subtilis} for phosphopantetheinylation of the T domain. Loading was then monitored by measuring the incorporation of \textsuperscript{14}C-labeled amino acids into AmbB (and AmbB\textsubscript{S768A}, see below) by TCA precipitation and liquid scintillation counting. As shown in Figure 3B, loading of AmbB with \textsuperscript{14}C-L-Ala occurred very quickly, reaching a maximum of 35–40% after 1 min only. By contrast, no loading was observed with AmbB\textsubscript{S768A}. In this protein, the active site Ser in the conserved thiolation motif LGG(H/D)S(L/I; Stein et al., 1996) was altered, thus preventing cofactor attachment and hence loading of

---

\textsuperscript{1}www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov

\textsuperscript{2}http://www.genome.jp/tools/clustalw/
The importance of Ser 768 of AmbB was also tested in vivo. As expected, a P. aeruginosa strain (PAO6932) with a chromosomally mutated ambB gene (specifying AmbB<sub>S768A</sub>) lost the ability to make AMB (Figure S2).

As ATP-[<sup>32</sup>P]pyrophosphate exchange reactions had revealed some activation of Gly and L-Ser by AmbB (Figure 3A), we tested whether these amino acids would be loaded as well. Indeed, loading was observed for both of them but to a lesser extent than with L-Ala (Figure 3C). Taken together, we conclude that the preferred substrate of AmbB is L-Ala and that this amino acid is loaded onto the phosphopantetheine arm attached at Ser 768.

**ACTIVATION AND LOADING OF L-GLU BY AmbE**

Unlike with AmbB, an exact prediction of the amino acid substrate for AmbE was not evident from the Stachelhaus motif. As this motif predicted a polar residue, we first considered L-α-aminobutyrate, L-homoserine, L-aspartate, and L-threonine as AmbE precursor amino acids. However, none of these amino acids was activated in an ATP-[<sup>32</sup>P]pyrophosphate exchange assay (data not shown). We next tested D-α-aminobutyrate, L-α-t-threonine, D-threonine, L-Glu, O-phospho-L-threonine, DL-propargylglycine, DL-vinylglycine, and L-2-amino-4-pentenoic acid. As shown in Figure 4A, strong activation of L-Glu was observed. We therefore tested whether L-Glu would be loaded onto AmbE and found that this was indeed the case (Figure 4B). By contrast, no loading of L-Glu was observed with the mutant AmbE<sub>S644A,K1230T</sub> affected in the adenylation domain (Figure 4C). To investigate on which of the two T domains L-Glu would be loaded, we carried out loading assays with AmbE<sub>S644A</sub> and AmbE<sub>S1819A</sub>, two mutant proteins affected in the active site Ser residues of the T1 and T2 domains, respectively. As shown in Figure 4B, loading was observed with AmbE<sub>S1819A</sub> but not with AmbE<sub>S1286A</sub>. To verify that both Ser residues are important for cofactor attachment, we mutated the respective codons in the chromosome of <i>P. aeruginosa</i>, giving PAO6934 and PAO6935, respectively. As expected, both strains had lost the ability to make AMB (Figure S2). We thus conclude that the A domain of AmbE activates L-Glu, which is then loaded onto T1 but not onto T2.

**AmbB-DEPENDENT LOADING OF L-ALA ONTO THE T2 DOMAIN OF AmbE**

The identity of the substrate loaded onto the T2 domain of AmbE was investigated using MS-based activity screening (Dorrestein et al., 2006; Meier et al., 2011). This method allows substrate identification based on the mass changes that take place during the acylation of the T domain-attached phosphopantetheine arm (Figure 5A). AmbE, together with AmbB (which was included in the same experiment as an internal control), was presented either with a mixture of all 20 natural amino acids or with a <i>P. aeruginosa</i> metabolome before being digested with trypsin and analyzed by LC-MS/MS (see Section “Materials and Methods” for experimental details). Similar results were obtained in both cases. The phosphopantetheinylated AmbB peptide AGQFYAAGGDSLRL presented a mass shift of +71.04 indicative of Ala loading onto the T site of AmbB (Figure 5B; Table 3).

In agreement with the protein’s previously observed substrate promiscuity, the same peptide also showed mass shifts characteristic for loading of Gly (57.02; Figure S3A; Table 3) and Ser (87.03; Figure S3B; Table 3). To identify the substrates loaded onto AmbE, mass shifts of the phosphopantetheinylated peptides RPAIGVSVNNFFVQGDSIR (T1 domain) and VLGRPLAQGFSASAGGHSLLGVQAIAELR (T2 domain) were analyzed. As expected, we found a Glu-specific mass shift of +129.04 for the T1-specific peptide (Figure S4A; Table 3). For the T2-specific peptide we detected a mass shift of +71.04 (Figure S4B; Table 3), indicating that the substrate amino acid loaded at the second T domain of AmbE could be Ala.

The potential identity of the T2-attached substrate with Ala suggested that this amino acid may have been loaded in trans from AmbB. This hypothesis was tested using the AmbB<sub>S768A</sub> mutant protein which is able to activate L-Ala but cannot load it onto its own T domain (see Figure 3B). As shown in Figure 6, the presence of AmbB<sub>S768A</sub> in an aminoacylation assay of AmbE allowed <sup>14</sup>C-L-Ala to be loaded, reaching a maximum of over 60% labeled protein after 30 min. By contrast, no loading was observed in the absence of AmbB<sub>S768A</sub> or when AmbE was replaced by the T2 domain mutant AmbE<sub>S1819A</sub>. We thus conclude that AmbB loads L-Ala in cis onto its own T domain and in trans onto the T2 domain of AmbE.
FIGURE 3 | Identification of l-Ala as the preferred amino acid substrate activated by and loaded onto AmbB. (A) AmbB activation of l-Ala (diamonds), d-Ala (triangles), Gly (rectangles), and l-Ser (circles). AmbB was incubated sequentially with each amino acid in an ATP-[32P] pyrophosphate exchange assay. At different time points, generated ATP (formed by the reverse reaction of the AmbB A domain in the presence of [32P] pyrophosphate) was bound and counted. ATP-PPi exchange activity was determined for the full course of the assay and is expressed as the amount of conversion (one being 100% conversion) multiplied by the molar ratio of PPi to AmbB. (B) Loading of 14C-l-Ala onto AmbB (filled diamonds) and AmbBS768A (empty diamonds). Proteins were incubated with radiolabeled L-Ala in an aminoacylation assay. At several time points, proteins (with bound amino acids) were precipitated and radioactivity was counted. Percentage of loading was determined using the molar ratio of bound radioactivity to the amount of protein in the assay. (C) Loading of L-Ala, Gly, and L-Ser onto AmbB. AmbB was sequentially incubated with 14C-L-Ala (diamonds), 14C-Gly (rectangles), and 14C-L-Ser (circles) in an aminoacylation assay. At several time points, AmbB (with bound amino acids) was precipitated and radioactivity was counted. Percentage of loading was determined using the molar ratio of bound radioactivity to the amount of protein in the assay.

FIGURE 4 | Identification of l-Glu as the amino acid substrate activated by AmbE and loaded onto its T1 domain. (A) End-point ATP-[32P] pyrophosphate exchange assay to screen potential AmbE substrates. At 120 min, generated ATP (formed by the reverse reaction of the A domain of AmbE in the presence of [32P] pyrophosphate) was bound and counted. ATP-PPi exchange activity is expressed as the amount of conversion (one being 100% conversion) multiplied by the molar ratio of PPi to AmbE. (B) Loading of 14C-l-Glu onto AmbE (filled diamonds), AmbES1286A (empty rectangles), and AmbES1819A (empty circles). Proteins were incubated with the radiolabeled amino acid in an aminoacylation assay. At several time points, proteins (with bound amino acids) were precipitated and radioactivity was counted. Percentage of loading was determined using the molar ratio of bound radioactivity to the amount of protein in the assay. (C) Mutations in the A domain of AmbE abolish protein loading with l-Glu. AmbES1958A (filled diamonds), and AmbED644A,K1230T,S1958A (empty diamonds) were incubated with 14C-l-Glu in an aminoacylation assay. At several time points, proteins (with bound amino acids) were precipitated and radioactivity was counted. Percentage of loading was determined using the molar ratio of bound radioactivity to the amount of protein in the assay. Note that the mutation of the active site Ser (S1958) of the TE domain does not interfere with loading of l-Glu. By contrast, amino acid alterations in the A domain (D644A and K1230T) abolished loading entirely.
FIGURE 5 | Identification of AmbB/AmbE-bound substrates and pathway intermediates by phosphopantetheinyl elimination reactions. (A) Structure of PPant ejection ions after MS² fragmentation and pantetheine-specific MS³ pattern (adapted from Meluzzi et al., 2008; Meier et al., 2011). Diagnostic m/z values obtained in B and C are underlined. (B) Identification of Ala on AmbB by characteristic MS² and MS³ spectra. AmbB and AmbE (at 2.5 μM; converted to their holo-forms by Sfp) were incubated with 0.5 mM of all 20 proteinogenic amino acids before trypsin digestion and analysis by MS. (C) Identification of Ala-AMB-Ala on AmbE by characteristic MS² and MS³ spectra. The assay contained AmbB, AmbC, AmbD, and AmbES1958A (at 2.5 μM; the two NRPSs were converted to their holo-forms by Sfp), l-Ala and l-Glu (at 1 mM), as well as the cofactors SAM, α-KG (at 1 mM) and ferrous iron (at 0.5 mM). After incubation, the sample was treated with trypsin and analyzed by MS.
Table 3 | AmbB and AmbE peptides with AMB substrates and pathway intermediates loaded via phosphopantetheine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide*</th>
<th>Substrate or pathway intermediate X loaded (theoretical mass)</th>
<th>Observed m/z (Am ppm)</th>
<th>m/z pant-X</th>
<th>Amb enzymes required for detection of attached compound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGQFYAAGGDSLR</td>
<td>Gly (57.021)</td>
<td>883.883 (2)</td>
<td>883.881 (-2.3)</td>
<td>318.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(AmbB, T domain)</td>
<td>Ala (71.037)</td>
<td>890.891 (2)</td>
<td>890.890 (-1.1)</td>
<td>332.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ser (87.032)</td>
<td>898.889 (2)</td>
<td>898.886 (-3.3)</td>
<td>348.2</td>
<td>AmbB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPAIGSDFVQGDDSR</td>
<td>Glu (129.043)</td>
<td>835.392 (3)</td>
<td>835.393 (1.2)</td>
<td>390.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(AmbE, T1 domain)</td>
<td>Glu-Ala (200.080)</td>
<td>859.071 (3)</td>
<td>859.072 (1.2)</td>
<td>461.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLGRPLAOQFASAG</td>
<td>Ala (71.037)</td>
<td>847.192 (4)</td>
<td>847.189 (-3.5)</td>
<td>332.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHSLGVQAIAELR</td>
<td>Ala-AMB-Ala (255.121)</td>
<td>893.212 (4)</td>
<td>893.210 (-2.2)</td>
<td>516.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(AmbE, T2 domain)</td>
<td>Ala-Glu-Ala (271.117)</td>
<td>897.211 (4)</td>
<td>897.213 (-2.2)</td>
<td>532.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Peptides were generated by trypsin digestion of reaction mixtures containing the indicated Amb enzymes. b For increased detection of enzyme-attached compounds, the thioesterase-negative mutant protein AmbES1819A was used in certain experiments. The Ser residues carrying phosphopantetheine-linked substrates and pathway intermediates are underlined.

**ASSEMBLY OF A TRIPEPTIDE AMB PRECURIOR ON Amb**

The identification of the substrate amino acids activated by and loaded onto AmbB and AmbE suggested that these proteins generate an L-Ala-1-Glu-L-Ala tripeptide. We speculated that the central 1-Glu would be the amino acid to be converted to AMB by AmbC, AmbD, and tailoring domains of AmbE, while the two flanking L-Ala residues may function as protective groups during these modifications. Indeed, LC-MS/MS analysis of in vitro reactions carried out with different enzyme combinations, revealed several characteristic mass shifts of the phosphopantetheinylated T1 and T2 peptides of AmbE (Table 3). A reaction mixture containing AmbB, AmbES1819A (a thioesterase-negative AmbE variant used to stabilize enzyme-attached AMB precursor peptides), L-Ala and L-Glu, generated not only the previously observed mass shifts of the phosphopantetheinylated amino acid substrates (+129.04 for Glu and +71.04 for Ala; data not shown), but also mass shifts of +200.08 (at T1) and +271.12 (at T2; Figures S5A,B). These masses are identical with those expected for a T1-attached Glu-Ala dipeptide and for a T2-attached Ala-Glu-Ala tripeptide, respectively.

When the two oxygenases (AmbC and AmbD), their cofactors (α-ketoglutarate and ferrous iron), and SAM (cofactor for the MT domain of AmbE) were included in the assay, the mass shifts of these pathway intermediates were no longer detected. Instead, a new mass shift of +255.12 was identified for the T2-specific peptide (Figure 5C). This mass corresponds to that expected for an Ala-AMB-Ala tripeptide.

**DISCUSSION**

In this work we have demonstrated that L-Ala and L-Glu are the amino acid substrates for AMB production. The identity of these building blocks was demonstrated with classical aminoacylation assays using radiolabeled amino acid substrates and also with a MS-based approach which detected these amino acids bound via phosphopantetheinyl arms to the T domains of AmbB and AmbE (see Figure 7). Specifically, we detected L-Ala bound to the T domain of AmbB (Figures 3 and S3B) and to the T2 domain of AmbE (Figures 6 and S4B) while L-Glu was bound to the thiolation domain T1 of AmbE (Figures 4B and S4A). However, AmbE specifies a single adenylation domain, which was predicted to activate a polar amino acid such as L-Glu. Indeed, L-Glu was no longer loaded onto T1 when this domain was mutated (Figure 4C), most likely because the mutations had interfered with L-Glu activation. How is L-Ala bound at the AmbE’s T2 site activated? As L-Ala was identified as the substrate activated by and loaded onto AmbB we hypothesized that AmbB might also be responsible for loading the T2 site of AmbE. Using an AmbB mutant unable to load its own T site we demonstrated that this was indeed the case (Figure 6).

The identification of three amino acids as the building blocks required for AMB generation is remarkable as AMB has not been found as a tripeptide but as a single non-proteinogenic amino acid with a molecular weight of 131.06 Da (Figure 7). To further investigate this novel biosynthesis we searched for
FIGURE 7 | Proposed model for AMB biosynthesis with indication of mass shifts (in Da) of tethered molecules. The A domain of AmbB activates L-Ala (residue shown in red) and loads it onto its own T domain (step 1a) and onto the T2 domain of AmbE (step 1b). The A domain of AmbE activates L-Glu (residue shown in blue) and loads it onto its T1 domain (step 2). Then, the C domain of AmbB or the C* domain of AmbE would condense L-Ala with L-Glu onto the T1 domain of AmbE forming the L-Glu-L-Ala dipeptide (step 3). This would subsequently be condensed with L-Ala on the T2 domain of AmbE to give the tripeptide L-Ala-L-Glu-L-Ala (step 4). Modification of the central L-Glu by action of AmbC, AmbD, the MT domain of AmbE, and eventually by the C* domain and/or by the N-term of AmbE, would give rise to the tripeptide L-Ala-AMB-L-Ala (step 5). This is believed to be the final peptide released from AmbE by action of the TE domain (step 6). Finally, the flanking L-Ala residues are removed by a processing step to yield AMB (step 6).

pathway intermediates attached at the thiolation sites of AmbE and found a Glu-Ala dipeptide and an Ala-Glu-Ala tripeptide at T1 and T2, respectively (Figure S5). These compounds were no longer detected when the assay contained, in addition, the oxygenases AmbC and AmbD together with all cofactors. Instead, large amounts of an Ala-AMB-Ala tripeptide attached at T2 were detected (Figure 5C). Based on these results we propose a biosynthetic pathway for AMB production which proceeds via the generation of a tripeptide precursor. According to this model (Figure 7), the A domain of AmbB activates L-Ala which is loaded onto the protein’s own T domain and onto the T2 domain of AmbE. The A domain of AmbE activates L-Glu, which is loaded onto the T1 domain. The C domain of AmbB then condenses L-Ala and L-Glu to form the L-Glu-L-Ala dipeptide at T1 of AmbE. This dipeptide is subsequently condensed, probably by the C* domain, with the L-Ala residue attached at T2 to give the L-Ala-L-Glu-L-Ala tripeptide at T2. The central amino acid, L-Glu, would then undergo a series of modifications to be converted into AMB while the two flanking L-Ala residues remain in place. The modifications of L-Glu would be carried out by AmbC, AmbD, and tailoring domains of AmbE (Figure 1). The order and the timing of these modifications is currently unknown and we cannot exclude at this point that some modifications of L-Glu may occur even before condensation with the two L-Ala residues, as the unmodified di- and tripeptides (L-Ala-L-Glu and L-Ala-L-Glu-L-Ala) were observed only in the absence of AmbC and AmbD. Finally, the L-Ala-AMB-L-Ala tripeptide is released by thioester cleavage (TE domain of AmbE) and processed to give AMB and two molecules of L-Ala.

What is the role of the two flanking L-Ala residues during biosynthesis of AMB? As previously mentioned, AMB inhibits PLP-dependent enzymes (Berkowitz et al., 2006) and as such could act as a growth inhibitor in the producer as well. We thus speculate that the two flanking L-Ala residues could not only act as protective groups during the conversion of L-Glu to AMB, but also have the additional function of preventing AMB toxicity during biosynthesis. It is not yet clear how processing of the Ala-AMB-Ala tripeptide occurs. We believe that the processing peptidase is encoded by \textit{P. aeruginosa} itself as free AMB is readily detected in \textit{P. aeruginosa} culture supernatants (Scannell et al., 1972; Lee et al., 2010). However, we cannot exclude that the Ala-AMB-Ala peptide is also excreted by \textit{P. aeruginosa}, taken up by susceptible neighbors and subjected to peptidase/amidase action to liberate the toxin. Such a “Trojan Horse” mechanism has been described previously for other bacterial toxins such as the herbicide phosphinotricin (PT), which is produced non-ribosomally by \textit{Streptomyces viridochromogens} as an PT-Ala-Ala tripeptide (Metcalf and van der Donk, 2009).

Secretion of AMB (and/or its precursor tripeptide) is expected to be carried out by AmbA, a member of the LysE transporter.
AMB remain open. It will be fascinating to dissect the function and to investigate the role of AmbA in AMB export and immunity.

2013), and rhizobitoxine (Mitchell and Coddington, 1991; Yasuta et al., 2001), the starting amino acid appears to be homoserine. Moreover, there is no evidence that these compounds are made by a thiotemplate mechanism, or processed from a precursor peptide, suggesting that there is significant diversity in the biosynthesis of oxyvinylglycines. In summary, we have elucidated here the first steps of the AMB biosynthetic pathway. Clearly, these data confirm our previous modifications of L-Glu occur, to identify the processing enzyme, to determine the order in which the tailoring enzymes, to identify the enzymes, and to investigate the role of the AmbA in AMB export and immunity.
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