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THE CLINICAL CHALLENGE: MULTI-ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE IS NOT A SINGLE EVENT

Antibiotic resistance is recognized globally as an emerging threat for public health. The recent World Health Organization report identifies an array of multidrug resistant bacterial pathogens as emerging mortality threats (WHO, 2014). Antibiotic resistance is not the result of a single event but the unavoidable outcome of the vicious evolutionary race between the pathogens and the host. Humans have been developing and abusing generations of potent antibiotics while pathogens have been orienting their genetic arsenal toward developing an effective and adaptable resistance network. Bacterial resistance can be conventionally classified in three interactive layers: (1) the intrinsic, that includes alterations in the metabolic pathways and the “classic” antibiotic determinants (permeability barrier and efflux, inactivation and modification of genes, and pathways), (2) the acquired, which appears through mutations and horizontal gene transfer involving antibiotic determinants, and (3) the phenotypic, where metabolic pathway changes, often in response to environmental signals, lead to an altered physiological contributing to resistant phenotypes (biofilms, persister cells, quorum sensing).

Multidrug efflux systems are membrane transport proteins placed at the epicenter of intrinsic resistance and have been at the forefront of research for the last 20 years. They perform essential roles in cellular metabolism, and differ in membrane topology, energy coupling mechanisms and substrate specificities (Dean et al., 2001; Rees et al., 2009; Fletcher et al., 2010). Among their potential roles, efflux pumps are demonstrated to be important for detoxification processes of intracellular metabolites, bacterial virulence in both animal and plant hosts, cell homeostasis and intercellular signal trafficking (Martinez et al., 2009).

Based on their sequence similarity and structural homology, efflux systems are classified into six super-families (Dean et al., 2001). ATP-Binding Cassettes (ABC), Major Facilitators (MFS), Resistance-Nodulation cell Division (RND), Small Multidrug Resistance (SMR), Multi-Antimicrobial Extrusion protein family (MATE), and Multidrug Endosomal Transporters (MET). The first five families are found mainly in microorganisms, while the MET family appears restricted to higher eukaryotes. Recently, unbiased transcriptomic analyses of the Acinetobacter baumannii response to chlorhexidine identified a hypothetical protein as a new class of drug efflux system (Hassan et al., 2013). The clinical role of efflux systems is the subject of intensive research in emerging threats such as Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) (Lemaire et al., 2007), Gram-negative pan-drug resistant bacteria (Anyafiul et al., 2005; Browning et al., 2013; Merkx-Jacques et al., 2013) and Mycobacterium tuberculosis (Holzinger et al., 2012).

This opinion article emphasizes the contribution of efflux to multi-antibiotic resistance, highlights examples where efflux systems are shaping host-pathogen interactions in challenging clinical conditions, comments on the advances in the discovery path of microbial efflux inhibition, and underlines the need for highly informative and comprehensive translational antimicrobial therapeutic interventions.

THE GAP IN TRANSLATION

Most efflux target based discovery efforts have severely underestimated the dynamic nature and phenotypic complexity of microbial communities in infection sites. The microbial flora analysis in clinical human samples is informative for the importance of microbiome in health and disease and for the design of host oriented anti-infective approaches as well as faster and accurate outbreak diagnostic countermeasures (Peterson et al., 2009; Kraal et al., 2014). The cooperative interaction between microbial populations has a demonstrated amplification
effect in multi-antibiotic resistance development in pathogens (Zhang et al., 2011), which is consistent with the notion that pathogenic microbial subpopulations are not operating independently but as members of a poly-microbial biological network. Although the resistance mechanisms have been largely studied at concentrations above the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), there is evidence that when antibiotics (i.e., lantibiotics) interfere with quorum sensing lead to altered virulence expression of the pathogens (Andersson and Hughes, 2014). This network is directly affected by efflux with roles that have not been clearly determined.

The human gut is a classic example where the overall functionality, expression levels, and physiological role of efflux systems remain an unexplored puzzle. Metagenomic investigations of the human gut microbiome provide individual-specific strain patterns for drug uptake and hold promise for the development of cross-referenced metagenomic databases including efflux system (Schloissnig et al., 2013). There are few examples of microbiome reference species with fully described efflux systems; among the most prominent are species with fully described efflux systems which can cause unexpected toxicity due to the multitude of physiological roles transporters play in human cells. Antitumor activity has also been reported for AMPs (Reddy et al., 2004; Hoskin and Ramamoorthy, 2008). Both ABCs and RNDs have been associated with resistance mechanisms to AMPs. It is suggested that ABCs are importing Amps whereas RNDs are exporting them (Nikaido, 1996; Guilhemelli et al., 2013) but a clear pattern of this involvement has not been demonstrated.

**TARGET-BASED MICROBIAL EFFLUX INHIBITION**

The discovery of small molecule Efflux Pump Inhibitors (EPIs) has been a rapidly expanding discipline. Conventional wisdom, the available discovery tools and the clinical necessity for alternative therapeutic strategies have pinpointed specific transporter families as targets for efflux inhibition. The most classic examples include the RNDs in Gram-negative bacteria and the prominent ABCs in pathogenic fungi and cancer cells. There is substantial progress in the identification of lead chemotypes with EPI properties, but the inherited transporter promiscuity requires an informative translational strategy to define the principles of the interaction with the host. The pivotal role of efflux systems has been shown by advances in cell physiology and host-based transporter oriented studies. Recent identification of transporters with designated novel roles directly implicated in pathogenicity and cancer is reshaping conventional views and approaches for efflux inhibition. Several promising narrow- and broad-spectrum microbial EPIs have been characterized, but they did not result in a clinically useful countermeasure (Lomovskaya and Bostian, 2006; Kourtesi et al., 2013). In few occasions, molecules that enhance antibiotic activity and reduce in vitro resistance have been identified in successful preclinical development studies (Hirakata et al., 2009), hence there are currently three generations of inhibitors in mammalian systems that have failed in different stages of the clinical development pipeline (Palmeira et al., 2012).

The EPI development path may be hindered by the manipulation of efflux systems which can cause unexpected toxicity due to the multitude of physiological roles transporters play in human cells. Target bacteria seem to respond to clinical
challenge with EPIs through decreasing their efficacy by developing resistance mutations (Ahmed et al., 1993; Klyachko et al., 1997). The threat of cross-resistance to different antibiotics elevates the complexity of EPI discovery ventures.

The well-studied non-vertebrate hosts (the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans, the great wax moth Galleria mellonella, the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster, and the zebrafish Danio rerio) have been used to profile efflux based microbial virulence as well as to develop tractable, whole-animal antimicrobial screens (Apidianakis et al., 2007, 2011; Fuchs et al., 2010). C. elegans was used to assess the fitness of in vitro selected Pseudomonas aeruginosa MexAB-OprM (nalB) and MexCD-OprJ (nfxB) multidrug resistant mutants (Sánchez et al., 2002) and to confirm that overproduction of MexEF-OprN does not impair P. aeruginosa fitness in competition tests, but resulted in specific changes in bacterial regulatory networks (Olivares et al., 2012). Burkholderia pseudomallei can cause “disease-like” symptoms and kill the nematode but this killing mechanism is not related to efflux systems that pump out either aminoglycosides or macrolides (O’Quinn et al., 2012). A direct correlation between efflux mediated multidrug resistance and virulence was observed in Klebsiella pneumoniae when an array of antimicrobials was profiled in a C. elegans infection model (Bialek et al., 2010). Finally, the design of host-pathogen studies exploring the ability of efflux to interfere with virulence determinants appears promising but not informative, as observational results vary.

**TOWARD REALISTIC EFFLUX DISCOVERY TOOLS**

The need to protect a cell from amphiphatic cations has evolved in different families of efflux systems across different organisms despite a lack of overall molecular homology or similarity in their mechanism of action. Thus, the RND super-family has a broad substrate spectrum, also found in ABC-transporters, including apart from antibiotics, amphiphatic cations, biocides, dyes, and steroid hormones (Elkins and Nakaido, 2002; Lage, 2003; Elkins and Mullis, 2006).

Plants have been identified as sources of natural efflux substrates and inhibitors (Tegos, 2006). Disabling RNDs in plant and human bacterial pathogens led to a striking increase in antimicrobial activity (Tegos et al., 2002). As RNDs have a fundamental role in allowing bacteria to survive in their ecological niche, many host-derived compounds have been identified as potential substrates in humans, animals and plants (Piddock, 2006). In this context, it is important to highlight studies employing metabolomics to identify host-derived ABC efflux substrates in human fluids (Krumpochova et al., 2012; van de Wetering and Sapthu, 2012).

The major limitation in defining the microbial effluxome (the microbial efflux system substrate profile in context with the host physiology and pathology, Figure 1) is the elusive character of the “fingerprint” of the natural, host-derived microbial efflux substrates. This gap prevents any comprehensive discovery EPI effort and underlines the need for the design, validation and translation of highly informative efflux systems and substrate analyses.

Which factors will determine the effectiveness of efflux based anti-infective strategies? Are there any competitive benefits in the development of host-based instead of pathogen-based discovery applications? The systems-based computational bio-informatics and chemo-informatics tools appear as the appropriate stepping stone in the discovery process. Mapping of genomes and proteomes have been advancing at full speed, but without advanced mining and current laborious development it will not provide sufficient clarity for the importance of efflux systems in microbial networks behaviors, and identification of transporter roles within the context of the microbiome and infection.

Two currently available advanced efflux tools are (1) The TransportDB, a comprehensive database of cytoplasmic membrane transporters and outer membrane channels in organisms with complete genome sequences (Ren et al., 2007). The database is annotated with functional transporter classification, web interfaces for easy access, query, and data download. Additionally, TransportDB allows comparative phylogenetic and substrate specificity analysis.

(2) The Transporter-ligand interactome (TLI) is a knowledge mining tool built on the top of a chemo-informatics database that is used to collect, select, curate, organize, analyze, and build models as well as to distribute screening results and published bioactivity data related to fungal and mammalian ABC transporters. The TLI system provides the ability to interactively query and organize the collection of substrates, inhibitors, their associated assays and chemical structural features (Tegos et al., 2014).
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