Differential Levels of Soluble Inflammatory Markers by Human Immunodeficiency Virus Controller Status and Demographics
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The use of antiretroviral therapy (ART) has led to a dramatic decline in the mortality rates of individuals infected with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). However, the life expectancy of patients with HIV may be shorter compared with the general population, even for individuals on ART with relatively preserved CD4⁺ T cell counts [1, 2]. A significant contributor to the excess mortality is likely from non-acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS)-defining events, which represent a growing cause of morbidity and mortality in patients infected with HIV [3, 4]. In particular, patients infected with HIV have been found to be at higher risk for cardiovascular disease and serious cardiac events [5, 6]. One proposed mechanism involves elevated levels of inflammation found in HIV patients on ART compared with those who are HIV-uninfected. Soluble markers of inflammation have been associated
with elevated arterial wall inflammation [7] and coronary artery disease [8, 9] in patients infected with HIV. In addition, soluble markers of inflammation have been found to better predict non-AIDS-defining events than cellular markers of T-cell activation [10].

Human immunodeficiency virus-1 elite controllers (ECs) represent an exceptional group of patients who are able to suppress HIV-1 viremia in the absence of ART. Human immunodeficiency virus-1 ECs represent an ideal population to study the effects of HIV low-level viremia on systemic inflammation in the absence of any ART-specific effects. Elite controllers have stronger HIV-specific CD8+ T-cell responses and higher levels of T-cell activation compared with either chronically HIV-infected patients on suppressive ART or HIV-uninfected individuals [11]. Furthermore, T-cell activation has been linked to lower CD4+ T-cell counts in this population [12]. However, relatively little is known about the effects of systemic inflammation and soluble markers of innate immune activation in HIV-1 ECs. There is evidence that ECs have elevated levels of C-reactive protein [13], innate immune system activation [14], and plasma lipopolysaccharide (LPS), which is related to the mucosal translocation of bacterial products and is associated in noncontrollers with elevated levels of soluble monocyte and macrophage markers of inflammation [8]. In addition, we have previously reported increased sCD14 in HIV-1 ECs compared with HIV-suppressed subjects and increased coronary atherosclerosis compared with HIV-uninfected controls [15]. However, the role that chronic low-level viral replication, which can be suppressed with combination ART, has on this phenomenon is unknown. In this follow-up study, we hypothesize that chronic low-level viral replication is associated with systemic inflammation in ECs and in turn with CD4+ T-cell loss. To test these hypotheses, we have (1) expanded both the number of ECs and the types of inflammatory markers tested, (2) evaluated the relationship between low-level viral load and soluble markers of inflammation in HIV-1 ECs, and (3) determined the relationship between soluble markers of inflammation with viral load and CD4+ T-cell decline in HIV-1 ECs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Subjects**

Human immunodeficiency virus-1 ECs able to suppress HIV-1 viral load in the absence of ART were identified from the International HIV Controllers Study [16]. Levels of inflammation in 42 HIV-1 ECs were compared with 2 comparison cohorts: 80 HIV-suppressed, chronically infected, HIV-1 non-ECs (“HIV suppressed”) and 43 HIV-uninfected individuals. Both comparison cohorts were previously described and included individuals ages 40–60 with no known cardiovascular or renal disease who underwent computed tomography angiography [15].

**Measurement of Human Immunodeficiency Virus-1 RNA and Soluble Markers of Inflammation**

Low-level HIV-1 viral load was measured using the single-copy assay as previously described [17]. The limit of detection was standardized to the highest limit for any individual (0.9 copies/mL). Four soluble markers of inflammation previously associated with coronary artery disease and HIV disease progression were measured in the plasma by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays: soluble CD14 (sCD14), monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP)-1, high-sensitivity interleukin (IL)-6 (R&D Systems), and sCD163 (Trillium Diagnostics). In addition, 16 additional soluble markers of inflammation were evaluated in the plasma by the Luminex xMAP assay: interferon (IFN)-γ, IFN-γ-inducible protein (IP)-10, IL-1β, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12p70, IL-13, tumor necrosis factor-α, macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-1α, MIP-1β, RANTES, sCD40L, and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF).

**Statistical Analysis and Multivariate Analysis**

The soluble markers of inflammation were compared between the HIV-1 ECs and cohorts of HIV-suppressed and HIV-uninfected controls using k-sample rank-based tests or the Jonckheere-Terpstra test for trend. Changes in absolute CD4+ T-cell count over time were calculated using a linear regression model to determine the slope. Spearman correlations were evaluated between the soluble markers of inflammation in ECs with residual viremia or CD4+ T-cell slope. Multiple testing was controlled using a 5% false discovery rate based on the Benjamini-Hochberg approach. Human immunodeficiency virus-1 ECs were included in the CD4+ T-cell slope analysis if they had at least 3 recorded CD4+ T-cell measurements in the absence of antiretroviral treatment. A subset of these EC participants were described in a previous report of CD4+ cell decline and residual viremia [17].

Partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLSDA) [18] was used to determine multivariate biomarker profiles that best distinguished between EC, HIV-suppressed, and HIV-uninfected subjects. We also created a separate model to evaluate profile differences between HIV-suppressed men and women. In brief, PLSDA uses covariance to identify linear combinations of independent, or latent, variables that best differentiate between the HIV cohorts. Every patient sample is assigned a score, which can be visualized in the latent variable space (score plots). Latent variable loadings (loadings plots) can then be used to identify biomarker profiles associated with different cohort classes. High-sensitivity IL-6 measurements were excluded from PLSDA analysis due to missing measurements in several samples. Variable Importance Projection (VIP) scores can be calculated for each biomarker in the model and are a commonly used measure for feature selection. In general, variables that contribute considerably to classification in the model have a VIP score >1, and variables with a VIP score <1 are good candidates to remove. To reduce the risk of overfitting, we
included only biomarkers with a VIP score >1 in all models. Individuals where 3 or more biomarker measurements were missing were excluded; this included 1 HIV-negative individual and 1 HIV-suppressed individual. Before analysis, all data were normalized with mean centering and variance scaling. Both of these are commonly used methods for preprocessing data before multivariate analysis. Mean centering involves subtracting the mean from each column of data. Variance scaling involves dividing each column of data by the standard deviation of that column. Both of these methods ensure that the model is not biased towards variables with naturally higher raw values or variance than other variables. Cross-validation is commonly performed to evaluate how the models perform on unknown data. We performed cross-validation by iteratively excluding random subsets (~10%) of data during model calibration, then we used this excluded data to test model predictions.

**RESULTS**

Human Immunodeficiency Virus-1 Elite Controllers Have Elevated Soluble Markers of Inflammation

We compared levels of 20 soluble markers of inflammation amongst 42 HIV-1 ECs, a previously described cohort of 80 HIV-suppressed chronically infected non-ECs, and 43 HIV-uninfected participants, all of whom were between the ages of 40–60 [15]. There were no significant differences in age between the 3 groups (median 49 years for all 3 groups, \( P = .78 \); Table 1). The HIV-1 ECs had a higher proportion of male participants, but this was also not statistically significant (% male: 81% ECs vs 69% HIV-suppressed vs 67% HIV-uninfected, \( P = .31 \)). There were no significant difference in the duration of HIV infection between HIV-1 ECs and suppressed individuals (\( P = .64 \)), but HIV-1 ECs did have higher CD4\(^+\) cell counts (Table 1).

A comparison of the 20 soluble markers of inflammation showed significant differences between cohorts in 15 of the markers (Table 2). Of the soluble inflammatory markers that differed between groups, the HIV-1 ECs were found to have the highest levels for all of the markers, with the exception of RANTES. In particular, median levels of 7 inflammatory markers (sCD14, IP-10, IL-4, IL-10, sCD40L, IFN-\( \gamma \), and GM-CSF) were twice as high in the HIV-1 ECs compared with either of the HIV-suppressed or uninfected groups (Figure 1). For the soluble markers sCD14 and IL-4, the median values were more than 3 times as high in HIV-1 ECs compared with either of the other groups.

**Multivariate Soluble Inflammatory Markers Model Improve the Identification of Elite Controllers**

We sought to discover a multivariate biomarker profile that best distinguished between ECs, HIV-suppressed, and HIV-uninfected subjects. For this analysis, PLS-DA modeling was performed using 11 of the soluble markers of inflammation that contributed best to the classification of subjects in each model (VIP score >1). With this combination of markers, the model was able to categorize ECs with 86% classification accuracy and 85% cross-validation accuracy (Figure 2A and B). The use of this multivariate inflammatory profile was more accurate for differentiating ECs than using any of the most significant soluble markers individually (Figure 2C).

The EC classification accuracy of the PLSDA model was better for men than for women (85% men vs 75% women). Closer inspection revealed that this was at least partially due to elevation of inflammatory markers in HIV-suppressed women, which was not observed in men. When levels of inflammatory markers were compared between HIV-suppressed men and women, sCD163 and sCD14 levels were significantly higher in women after correcting for multiple comparisons: sCD163 and sCD14 (men vs women, sCD163: 1077 vs 1596 ng/mL, \( P = .001 \); sCD14: 314 vs 1854 ng/mL, \( P < .001 \)). Although sCD14 levels were 1.7 times higher in HIV-suppressed versus uninfected

---

**Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the HIV ECs, HIV-Suppressed, and HIV-Uninfected Patient Cohorts**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>ECs (N = 42)</th>
<th>Suppressed (N = 80)</th>
<th>Uninfected (N = 43)</th>
<th>( P ) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (%male)</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White (%)</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black (%)</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (%)</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown (%)</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>&lt;.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD4(^+) count (cells/( \mu )L)</td>
<td>807 [690–1043]</td>
<td>534 [257–762]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of HIV infection (years)</td>
<td>16 [10–20]</td>
<td>15 [10–20]</td>
<td></td>
<td>.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of ART (years)</td>
<td>9 [5–12]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bolded \( P \) value represents statistical significance.

Abbreviations: ART, antiretroviral therapy; ECs, elite controllers; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus.

* Significance testing by Kruskal-Wallis, Wilcoxon rank-sum, or \( \chi^2 \) tests. Reported values are median (Q1–Q3) or percentages.
men, the levels of sCD14 was 6.2 times higher in HIV-suppressed versus uninfected women (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). Baseline demographics showed no significant differences between HIV-suppressed and uninfected participants within each gender (Supplementary Table 3), but the proportion of black participants was higher in HIV-suppressed women than each gender (Supplementary Table 4).

To explore differences in multivariate inflammatory profiles between HIV-suppressed men and women, we generated a separate PLSDA model explicitly focused on this. We found that HIV-suppressed women had distinct multivariate inflammatory profiles and clustered separately from HIV-suppressed men, HIV-negative men, and HIV-negative women (Figure 3A). The multivariate profile for HIV-suppressed women showed higher levels of CD163, sCD14, and IL-6 and lower levels of GM-CSF, IL-10, IL-13, and IL-1β (Figure 3B). This profile was more accurate for classifying these groups than any one of these factors alone.

**Relationship Between Soluble Markers of Inflammation, Low Level Viremia, and CD4⁺ T-cell Kinetics**

CD4⁺ cell counts were collected over a median 6.7 years in the ECs (Q1–Q3: 3.6–10.0 years). The CD4⁺ T-cell slope was significantly associated with plasma residual viremia (Spearman $r = -0.37$, $P = .02$). In addition, the viral loads were stratified into the following 3 categories: <1 copy/mL, 1–10 copies/mL, and >10 copies/mL. The median (interquartile range [IQR]) viral loads for the 3 categories were as follows: <1 copy: 0.9 (IQR, 0.9–0.9) copies/mL, 1–10 copies/mL: 4.5 (IQR, 1–5) copies/mL, and >10 copies/mL: 41.5 (IQR, 17–86) copies/mL. A significant trend was detected in this stratified analysis of higher viral loads associated with greater rates of CD4⁺ T-cell decline in HIV-1 ECs (Figure 4).

The relationship of residual viremia and CD4⁺ T-cell slopes were also evaluated with each of the 20 soluble markers of inflammation. The only notable association was between levels of residual viremia and IP-10 levels (Table 3), although statistical significance did not hold after adjustment for multiple comparisons.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, we report one of the largest analysis to date of soluble markers of inflammation in HIV-1 ECs and its relationship with low-level plasma viremia and CD4⁺ T cell decline.
Figure 1. Levels of soluble CD14 (sCD14) (A), interferon-γ-inducible protein (IP)-10 (B), interleukin (IL)-4 (C), IL-10 (D), sCD40L (E), interferon (IFN)-γ (F), and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) (G) among human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-1 elite controllers, HIV-suppressed, and HIV-uninfected controls. Abbreviation: ECs, elite controllers.
Figure 2. Partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLSDA) reveals elite controller (EC) plasma profiles of inflammatory markers are distinct from human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-negative and HIV-suppressed profiles. (A) Partial least squares discriminant analysis identified a multivariate profile of 11 inflammatory markers that was able to classify ECs with 86% classification accuracy and 85% cross-validation accuracy (green dots, elite controllers; blue dots, HIV negative; red dots, HIV suppressed). (B) Latent variable 1 (LV1) represents the multivariate profile that differentiates EC from HIV-uninfected and HIV-suppressed, indicating that the EC profile was associated with elevation of soluble CD14 (sCD14), interferon-γ-inducible protein (IP)-10, interferon (IFN)-γ, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-1β, sCD40L, interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-8, IL-10, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), and reduced RANTES. (C) Classification error rates of the 3 most highly predictive markers and all markers in combination. Abbreviation: VIP, variable importance projection.

Figure 3. Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-suppressed men and HIV-suppressed women have distinct inflammatory profiles. (A) Partial least squares discriminant analysis revealed distinct inflammatory profiles in HIV-suppressed women (red circles) compared with HIV-uninfected women (blue circles). Human immunodeficiency virus-suppressed men (red squares) and HIV-uninfected men (blue squares) clustered more closely in the multivariate space. Model performed with 89% classification accuracy and 88% cross-validation accuracy for classifying HIV-suppressed women. (B) Human immunodeficiency virus-suppressed women were associated with increased soluble CD163 (sCD163), sCD14, and interleukin (IL)-6, and decreased IL-1β, IL-10, IL-13, and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF).
Our results show that ECs have increased inflammatory markers and unique inflammatory signatures in multivariable models compared with HIV-suppressed and HIV-uninfected individuals. Furthermore, we demonstrate that this heightened inflammation could not easily be explained by HIV-1 plasma viral load and was not significantly associated with CD4+ T cell decline in this population.

There is increasing evidence that even in the absence of clinically sustained high-level viremia, ECs have higher levels of T-cell and monocyte/macrophage activation, can exhibit progressive CD4+ T cell decline [14, 17, 19], and have higher subclinical atherosclerosis than HIV-suppressed patients [13, 15]. The results of this study demonstrate that these 2 clinically relevant processes, viral replication and systemic inflammation, may be driven by distinct pathways. Human immunodeficiency virus-1 ECs were found to have significantly higher levels of several inflammatory markers, most prominently sCD14 and IL-4, but also IP-10, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, MCP-1, monocyte chemoattractant protein, MIP, macrophage inflammatory protein; sCD163, soluble CD163; TNF, tumor necrosis factor. A number of the other elevated inflammatory markers in ECs (eg, IP-10, IL-10, sCD40L, IFN-γ) have previously been associated with markers of HIV disease progression in ECs and noncontrollers [31] and can be lowered in the setting of ART [35–37]. The heightened inflammatory state found in ECs may suggest a benefit for ART treatment, and, in fact, a small pilot study demonstrated trends in the lowering of inflammatory markers, including sCD14, in HIV controllers after initiation of ART [38]. These findings should be further explored in larger prospective studies.

Table 3. Correlations Between HIV-1 Viral Load and Soluble Markers of Inflammation in HIV-1 ECs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soluble Marker</th>
<th>Spearman r</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sCD163</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCD14</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP-1</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hsIL-6</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IP-10</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-4</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6</td>
<td>−0.11</td>
<td>.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-12p70</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-13</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1α</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP-1β</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANTES</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sCD40L</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM-CSF</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ECs, elite controllers; GM-CSF, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; hs, high-sensitivity; IFN, interferon; IL, interleukin; IP-10, IFN-γ-inducible protein 10; MCP, monocyte chemoattractant protein; MIP, macrophage inflammatory protein; sCD163, soluble CD163; TNF, tumor necrosis factor.

a Multiple testing was controlled using the Benjamini-Hochberg approach. None of the correlations were found to have a <5% false discovery rate.

b The sCD163, sCD14, MCP-1, soluble TNF-receptor I, and hsIL-6 levels were measured using ELISA, and the remaining soluble markers were measured by a Luminex-based methodology.
The multivariable PLSDA modeling revealed that the use of multivariate biomarker profiling with combinations of inflammatory markers improved our ability to categorize participants within the cohorts. The strength of partial least squares modeling for the analysis of inflammatory biomarkers lies in its ability to create predictive models in situations when traditional multiple linear regression is inappropriate, such as in the setting of a large number of factors and/or factors that are highly collinear. Given the increasing focus on soluble markers of inflammation and the growing diversity of candidate biomarkers, such an approach may represent another step in improving their clinical predictive value. In addition, the PLSDA modeling revealed a gender difference because the inflammatory profile for female HIV-suppressed participants tended to be different than female HIV-uninfected participants, whereas male HIV-suppressed participants had similar biomarker profiles compared with male HIV-uninfected participants. The most prominent inflammatory marker differences in HIV-suppressed women were the significantly higher levels of sCD14 and sCD163, although this analysis was confounded by the fact that the proportion of black participants was much higher for HIV-suppressed women compared with men. These intriguing findings (1) potentially support reports of gender- and race-specific differences in inflammation [39–41] and coronary artery disease in HIV-infected individuals [42, 43] and (2) highlight the need for additional studies on this issue.

Although HIV controllers maintain persistent low levels of HIV viral load, they are at risk of progressive CD4+ cell decline [44]. Whereas an actively engaged adaptive immune system contributes to virologic control, it does not completely suppress viral replication. CD4+ cell decline in ECs was significantly associated with levels of residual viremia [17], which is consistent with prior reports that viral load “blips” may contribute to CD4+ cell loss in HIV controllers [45]. In this population, the etiology of CD4+ T cell decline may be multifactorial because T-cell activation has also been found to be associated with lower CD4+ cell counts [12]. It is interesting to note that although initiation of ART in HIV controllers has resulted in diminished HIV reservoir size [46], lower levels of residual viremia, and T-cell activation [38], it is only associated with a modest or no increase in CD4+ cell counts [38, 47]. The etiology behind this blunted CD4+ cell response to ART remains unclear. We did not find significant associations between the soluble markers of inflammation and either levels of residual viremia or CD4+ cell decline. The lack of an association between certain inflammatory markers and HIV viral load in ECs have been described previously [31] and suggests that persistent viral replication may not be the primary driver behind the inflammation seen in ECs.

There are a few notable limitations to this study. Due to sample limitations, evaluations of low-level viral load were not performed in the HIV-suppressed cohort, and the relationship between plasma viral and the soluble markers of inflammation were only evaluated in the ECs. Although we found no significant correlation between soluble markers of inflammation and viral load, this was a largely cross-sectional retrospective study, and the potential contribution of long-standing low-level replication cannot be ruled out. Larger longitudinal interventional studies are needed in ECs, given a previous report suggesting possible declines in soluble inflammatory markers after ART initiation [38]. It is possible that ART treatment can at least partially restore immune reconstitution of gut-associated lymphoid tissue and lymphatic tissue architecture [48], leading to eventual decreases in soluble inflammatory markers, especially from monocyte/macrophage activation. Although we did not detect significant associations between soluble markers of inflammation and CD4+ T-cell slope, CD4+ cell slopes have large coefficients of variation [49], and a larger sample size may be needed to fully explore these relationships.

CONCLUSIONS

Historically, HIV-1 ECs were thought to be a privileged population at relatively little risk of HIV progression and clinical disease. However, it is now clear that ECs have higher levels of chronic inflammation than non-ECs on ART and are at increased risk for HIV disease progression and non-AIDS events. Our results show that ECs had a number of elevated levels of markers inflammation that were not associated with plasma viral load. In addition, the clinical predictive value of individual soluble markers of inflammation may improve with multivariate biomarker profile modeling. In ECs, viral load was associated with CD4+ T-cell decline, but not inflammatory marker levels. Thus, a multifaceted interventional approach may be needed to optimally reduce the heightened inflammatory profile of these individuals. A prospective treatment study sponsored by the AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG A5308) to evaluate the impact of ART in ECs is currently underway.
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