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Context: Low back pain (LBP) is among the most prevalent musculoskeletal conditions in the developed countries. It is a common problem causing disability and imposing a huge economic burden to individuals and state organizations. Imaging plays an important role in diagnosis of the etiology of LBP.

Evidence Acquisition: The electronic databases included: PubMed (1950 to present), Ovid SP Medline (1950 to present) and ISI (1982 to present) and Google Scholar. In every search engine another search was performed using various permutations of the following keywords: ultrasonography, ultrasound imaging, low back pain, back muscles, paraspinal muscles, multifidus, transverse abdominis, muscle size, spinal canal, sacroiliac joint and spondylolisthesis.

Results: Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is widely used in evaluation of patients with LBP; however, high costs, limited availability and contraindications for its use have restricted MRI utilization. In a quest for a less expensive and readily available tool to investigate LBP, clinicians and researchers found ultrasonography (US) as an alternative. In this review we discuss the US application in diagnosis of some common causes of non-specific chronic LBP. Discussed topics include evaluation of spinal canal diameter, paraspinal and transabdominal muscles, sacroiliac joint laxity, pregnancy related LBP, sacroiliitis, and spondylolisthesis using US in patients with LBP.

Conclusions: While the first researches on employing ultrasound in diagnosis of patients with LBP had been focused on spinal canal diameter, recent studies have been mostly performed to evaluate the role of transabdominal and paraspinal muscles on core stability and thereby LBP occurrence. On the other side, Doppler ultrasonography has recently played an important role in objective measurement of joint laxity as a common etiology for LBP. Doppler imaging also in pregnant patients with LBP has been recommended as a safe and sensitive method. As conclusion, according to recent and most prestigious studies, focusing more on transabdominal muscle thickness can be considered as future approach in investigations.
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1. Context

Low Back Pain (LBP) not only is one of the most common causes of disability (1-3) and absence from work (4, 5), but also is reported as one of the major reasons of physician visits (3, 6, 7). It occurs in various groups of population with different socioeconomic states (8-11). Nevertheless, increasing incidence of LBP has been reported in recent years (7, 11-14). Imaging plays an important role in diagnosis of causes of LBP (15, 16). Although computerized tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) have been widely used in diagnosis of the etiology of LBP (16), high costs, complications and complexity are main problems in generalization of employing these imaging techniques for all patients (17).

Ultrasoundography (US) has been reported as a safe, inexpensive and easy to use technique used in diagnosis of many diseases (18). Due to the high prevalence of LBP and also exclusive features of US, some investigators have focused on the diagnostic roles of US in patients with LBP from many years ago (19, 20). Recently, in light of achieved progression in US and also inferring some evolved US parameters for evaluation of LBP patients, researchers have discovered a number of obscure aspects of LBP (21-23). In the present paper, we are to review the diagnostic role of US in patients with LBP arising from a handful of common etiologies, which have been debated in the literature.

2. Evidence Acquisition

Literature searches were conducted in December of 2012 on all accessible library databases of published research reports available at Tehran University of Medical Sciences’ library. No date limit was applied to any of the databases searched, thus each database was searched...
since its inception. The electronic databases included: PubMed (1950 to present), Ovid SP Medline (1950 to present) and ISI (1982 to present) and Google Scholar. In every search engine another search was performed using various permutations of the following keywords: ultrasonography, ultrasound imaging, low back pain, back muscles, paraspinal muscles, multifidus, transverse abdominis, muscle size, spinal canal, sacroiliac joint and spondylolisthesis. Secondary searching (or PEARLing) was however undertaken, whereby the reference lists of the selected articles were reviewed for additional references not identified in the primary search. The full text of all potentially relevant articles were retrieved and screened by the authors, in order to determine the eligibility of the paper for inclusion in the review.

3. Results

3.1. Ultrasonography and Spinal Canal Diameter

Employing US in measurement of spinal canal diameter was firstly reported by Porter et al. (24) who measured the diameter of spinal canal by placing the transducer of ultrasound in an oblique midsagittal plane 1 centimeter lateral to midline (24, 26-28). Porter et al. (24) reported that spinal diameter in trefoil shaped vertebrae such as L5 is less than other vertebrae and the incidence of LBP due to bony stenosis or laminar hypertrophy might be more in trefoil shaped vertebrae in comparison to other vertebrae. Porter et al. (26) also found that mean spinal canal diameter in patients with LBP was 1.44 cm, compared to a diameter of 1.61 in normal subjects and this difference was found to be statistically significant. It was suggested that a diameter of < 1.4 cm increases the risk of LBP and subjects with a wider spinal canal can escape from the root entrapment on account of the greater space in their spinal canal. In the latter study, however, the patients of two groups were not well-matched demographically (e.g. age which is a determinant in the size of spinal canal was higher in LBP group). In a large scale study (27), a significant correlation was found between the incidence of neurologic claudication, disc lesion and nerve root entrapment and the spinal canal diameter of the subjects. Subsequent studies showed that the extreme of small spinal canal diameter is correlated with number of days one is absent from work; more than 32% of days lost due to the LBP were related to men whose spinal canal size was less than the 10th percentile of the measurements achieved from the subjects (29). The odds ratio of a spinal canal size in the lower 10th percentile spinal canal size and absence from work was reported to be 10.7 (30). Regarding the measurement of spinal canal diameter employing ultrasonography, reliability studies have reported the ease of learning the technique and rapid development of operator’s skill in performing the procedure (25, 29, 31). It was also demonstrated that the technique has a high inter and intra observer reliability with an average error of < 0.2 mm between measurements (24, 32); this was, however, a non-uniform finding and a number of studies concluded that the technique is operator dependent (33), lacks acceptable reliability in elderly patients (34), and has a high interobserver (0.9 to 1.5 mm) and intraobserver error (0.6 mm to 0.9 mm) (35).

Transabdominal ultrasonography was also used in measurement of spinal canal size (36, 37); this technique was suggested to have an acceptable role in measurement of spinal canal size both in epidemiologic and pre-employment screening tests (36, 37). Misse et al. (36) reported a sensitivity of 84% and specificity of 60% for transabdominal ultrasound in diagnosis of LBP with a cut-off spinal diameter of 14 mm; nevertheless, the use of transabdominal ultrasound is limited due to anatomical restrictions like different abdominal tissues and oblique direction of the intervertebral discs as the major boundaries against ultrasonographic pulses at the level of L3-L4 and L5-S1 (37).

Finally, well-controlled, prospective studies demonstrated that although spinal canal size might be a risk factor for LBP, ultrasound measurement of spinal canal size has no practical role in prediction and/or estimation of the prognosis of LBP, neither in workers nor in general population (38, 39). It seems that small spinal canal diameter only plays as a facet of a multifactorial matrix in development of LBP. In addition, spinal canal size measurement using ultrasound has not gained wide attention since it requires quite a lot of expertise of the operator and the proficiency of the operator is the major determinant of the accuracy of measurements.

3.2. Ultrasonography and Paraspinal Muscles

Osseoligamentous lumbar spine is inherently unstable (40, 41) and is dependent on the integrated function of the muscles (especially paraspinal muscles) and neural subsystems for stability and movement (42, 43). Among the paraspinal muscles lumbar multifidus (LM) has a unique role in spinal stabilization and contributes to almost 2/3 of lumbar spine stability especially in the lower lumbar section (44) and is the predominantly affected paraspinal muscle in patients with LBP (45). In healthy subjects, the LM muscles are round or oval in shape, symmetrical between sides and increase in size cephalocaudally (46-49).

The most commonly used imaging studies for evaluation of paraspinal muscles are CT, MRI and rehabilitative ultrasound imaging (RUSI). Important aspects of muscles assessed using RUSI are muscle size, density and muscle contraction (50). The reliability of using RUSI to measure size of paraspinal musculature has been shown to be fair to excellent (ICC = 0.72-0.98), which is acceptable for clinical application (47, 51-53). It also has shown to have a reasonable inter-rater reliability among novice raters (54).Many authors reported muscle cross-sectional area (CSA) as the indicator of
The transducer was placed transversely over the spinous process and moved directly laterally. The transducer was located adjacent to demarcated spinous processes of the level to be examined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Subject (No.)</th>
<th>Measuring Elements (Muscle)</th>
<th>Probe Position</th>
<th>Subjects Position</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hides et al. (46)</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Healthy (48)</td>
<td>Linear dimensions and CSA of LM</td>
<td>Prone with the head in the midline position with a small roll placed under the forehead and two rolls under the shoulders. The lower lumbar spine was made flat by placing pillows under the hips.</td>
<td>US showed to have a good repeatability for measuring CSA of LM muscle. US is a feasible way to assess CSA, size and shape of LM muscle in young adults.</td>
<td>Table 1 provides details of the studies that evaluated paraspinal muscles employing US.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennelly et al. (68)</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Scoliosis (20)</td>
<td>Linear dimensions and CSA of LM</td>
<td>Prone with a rolled towel under their forehead and shoulder. A pillow was placed under the hips to eliminate the lumbar lordosis.</td>
<td>It was shown that for different curve types in lumbar scoliosis, a pattern of asymmetry in LM exists.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hides et al. (47)</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Healthy/LBP (51/26)</td>
<td>Linear dimensions and CSA of LM</td>
<td>Prone with the head in the midline position with a small roll placed under the forehead and two rolls under the shoulders. The lower lumbar spine was made flat by placing pillows under the hips.</td>
<td>Most of the patients showed greatest wasting at the level of L5. Asymmetry of CSA in patients was significantly different from between-side differences in control group. This asymmetry was greater in female patients.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hides et al. (49)</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Healthy (10)</td>
<td>Linear dimensions and CSA of LM</td>
<td>Subjects were positioned in a comfortable and relaxed prone position, with their hips flexed to 35°.</td>
<td>In terms of LM muscle CSA no significant differences were found between MRI and US in young female adults.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hides et al. (65)</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>LBP (41)</td>
<td>CSA of LM</td>
<td>Prone with the head in the midline position with a small roll placed under the forehead and two rolls under the shoulders. The lower lumbar spine was made flat by placing pillows under the hips.</td>
<td>In the group that only received medical treatment LM muscle recovery was not spontaneous on remission of painful symptoms in patients. After 10-week follow-up examination patients in this group still had decreased LM muscle size.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Details of Studies Using Ultrasound for Evaluation of Paraspinal Muscles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Methodology</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heidari P et al.</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Healthy/LBP</td>
<td>Transducer placed longitudinally over the skin marking for spinous process and then moved directly laterally.</td>
<td>Muscle thickness increase during onset accurately but it has a small systematic delay that should be corrected for determining activity onset.</td>
<td>Using US all patients with lumbar spinal history were detected. LM texture analysis can be a good and rapid investigation in patients with discogenic and structural disorders. Assessing CSA of LM muscle at the level of L5 can be made at both prone and side lying positions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coldron et al.</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>Transducer placed transversely over the spinous process of L2 to L5.</td>
<td>Muscle thickness increase during onset accurately but it has a small systematic delay that should be corrected for determining activity onset.</td>
<td>Assessing CSA of LM muscle at the level of L5 can be made at both prone and side lying positions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stokes et al.</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>Transducer was placed longitudinally over the skin marking for spinous process and then moved directly laterally.</td>
<td>Muscle thickness increase during onset accurately but it has a small systematic delay that should be corrected for determining activity onset.</td>
<td>Assessing CSA of LM muscle at the level of L5 can be made at both prone and side lying positions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al.</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Healthy/LBP</td>
<td>Probe was transversally oriented to the fiber direction and placed on a line running from the PSIS to the L1/2 interspinous space.</td>
<td>Muscle thickness increase during onset accurately but it has a small systematic delay that should be corrected for determining activity onset.</td>
<td>In different positions, CSA changes in LBP group had a reverse pattern in comparison to healthy subjects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressler et al.</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>Transducer was placed longitudinally over the skin marking for spinous process and then moved directly laterally.</td>
<td>Muscle thickness increase during onset accurately but it has a small systematic delay that should be corrected for determining activity onset.</td>
<td>US seems to be a reliable way for imaging LM at the level of S1 by newly trained assessors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasseljen et al.</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>Probe was transversally oriented to the fiber direction and placed on a line running from the PSIS to the L1/2 interspinous space.</td>
<td>Muscle thickness increase during onset accurately but it has a small systematic delay that should be corrected for determining activity onset.</td>
<td>US can detect muscle activity onset accurately but it has a small systematic delay that should be corrected for determining activity onset.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiesel et al.</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>Transducer was placed longitudinally over the skin marking for spinous process and then moved directly laterally.</td>
<td>Muscle thickness increase during onset accurately but it has a small systematic delay that should be corrected for determining activity onset.</td>
<td>In a narrow range of LM muscle contraction RMS showed to be a valid method of measurement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallwork et al.</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>Transducer was placed longitudinally over the skin marking for spinous process and then moved directly laterally.</td>
<td>Muscle thickness increase during onset accurately but it has a small systematic delay that should be corrected for determining activity onset.</td>
<td>Reliable evaluations of CSA of LM muscle at two vertebral levels were performed by both novice and experienced assessors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hides et al.</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Healthy/LBP</td>
<td>Probe was transversally oriented to the fiber direction and placed on a line running from the PSIS to the L1/2 interspinous space.</td>
<td>Muscle thickness increase during onset accurately but it has a small systematic delay that should be corrected for determining activity onset.</td>
<td>Assessing CSA of LM muscle at the level of L5 can be made at both prone and side lying positions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hides et al.</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Healthy/LBP</td>
<td>Probe was transversally oriented to the fiber direction and placed on a line running from the PSIS to the L1/2 interspinous space.</td>
<td>Muscle thickness increase during onset accurately but it has a small systematic delay that should be corrected for determining activity onset.</td>
<td>Assessing CSA of LM muscle at the level of L5 can be made at both prone and side lying positions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wallwork et al.</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Healthy/LBP</td>
<td>Probe was transversally oriented to the fiber direction and placed on a line running from the PSIS to the L1/2 interspinous space.</td>
<td>Muscle thickness increase during onset accurately but it has a small systematic delay that should be corrected for determining activity onset.</td>
<td>Assessing CSA of LM muscle at the level of L5 can be made at both prone and side lying positions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicks et al.</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>Probe was transversally oriented to the fiber direction and placed on a line running from the PSIS to the L1/2 interspinous space.</td>
<td>Muscle thickness increase during onset accurately but it has a small systematic delay that should be corrected for determining activity onset.</td>
<td>Muscle thickness increase during onset accurately but it has a small systematic delay that should be corrected for determining activity onset.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3. Ultrasonography and Sacroiliac Joint Dysfunction

SIJ has been implicated as the primary source of pain in 10% to 25% of the patients with LBP (77, 78). Abnormal biomechanics of the SIJ is considered a potential source of LBP (79-81); however, evaluation of SIJ dysfunction is still a challenge to clinicians. Intra-articular injection of steroids and analgesics to the SIJ is the gold standard in the diagnosis of SIJ dysfunction (82, 83). Nevertheless, the technique is invasive and requires specialized equipment and expertise. Neither the SIJ clinical presentation nor diagnostic procedures like X-rays and MRI are accurate enough for evaluation of SIJ dysfunction (82, 84, 85). Thus, a non-invasive objective method, which can be routinely applied in the clinic, was needed. Buyruk et al. (86) developed a technique called Doppler Imaging of Vibrations (DIV), to objectively measure the laxity of the SIJ. The laxity of the SIJ is quantified as threshold units (TU) which is assumed to be representative of the degree of vibration intensity attenuation through the SIJ, measured by color Doppler ultrasound (CDUS). TU is directly related to the density of the tissue that the vibrations pass through; for instance, a large difference in TU between sacrum and ilium indicates a lax joint and a small difference or an absence of it is an indicator of a stiff joint (87-92). The laxity measurements of the SI joint with DIV, after specific training of the operator, seems to be reliable and accurate (92). Moreover, DIV has proven to be of clinically relevant in evaluation of the patients with pregnancy related pelvic pain (88-91).

3.3.1. Pregnancy

According to different studies, twenty to eighty percent of women complain of some sort of back pain during pregnancy (93-99). This pain may persist, or arise, after delivery (100), and will, in some patients, lead to severe disability (97, 101-105). Two major patterns of back pain during pregnancy have been identified: pregnancy-related lumbar pain (PRLP) and pregnancy-related posterior pelvic pain (PRPPP) (106).

These mechanisms of back pain during pregnancy have been a matter of debate (107-112) and the precise mechanism of pregnancy back pain development is not fully understood (113). Studies have suggested that both PRLP and PRPPP might be related to sacroiliac joint (SIJ) dysfunction (89, 114). Recent introduction of DIV has made the evaluation of SIJ laxity feasible (115). DIV was first used in a cross-sectional study to compare SIJ stiffness in patients with peripartum pelvic pain including both PRPPP and PRLP patients and healthy subjects. No significant difference in the stiffness of SIJ was found between pelvic pain group and healthy subjects. Nevertheless, asymmetry in stiffness of the SIJ was significantly higher in patients with pelvic pain (88). These findings were further confirmed in succeeding studies (113, 116) where the authors showed the asymmetry in laxity rather than stiffness in SIJ is related to moderate to severe PRPPP (116). It was also demonstrated that asymmetric laxity during pregnancy measured using DIV, can predict persistence of pelvic pain to the postpartum period in patients with moderate to severe PRPPP; patients with asymmetrical laxity of SIJ during pregnancy had a three-fold increase in moderate to severe pain persisting into the postpartum period compared with subjects with symmetrical laxity. The sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value of SIJ asymmetric laxity during pregnancy for PRPPP persisting postpartum were 65%, 83%, and 77%, respectively (113).

3.4. US in Sacroiliac Joint Inflammation

Sacroiliitis is a frequent and early manifestation of the spondylarthropathies (SpA) (117). Inflammatory back pain (IBP) due to sacroiliitis is the key symptom of axial involvement in SpA and is present in the majority of patients with SpA (118-120). Diagnosis of sacroiliitis is mainly based on clinical findings and X-ray studies, which lack specificity and are poorly reproducible (121). MRI can demonstrate early pre-destructive alterations of SIJ, and thus provide an early diagnosis of sacroiliitis (122-126). The availability of MRI, however, is limited, and the technique is time consuming and costly (123, 125, 127).

Color Doppler ultrasonography (CDUS), a technology that is widely used for detection of blood flow, has been used for diagnosis of sacroiliitis. Early studies found a high sensitivity (100%) for CDUS to detect vascularity around and/or inside SIJ in patients with active sacroiliitis (128); but this finding lacks specificity as vascularity was also present in some of the patients with osteoarthritis and in the control subjects. However, in sacroiliitis the resistive index (RI) of the vascularity was significantly lower than those in osteoarthritis (P < 0.001) and volunteers (P < 0.001) (128). Nevertheless, not all the studies using CDUS showed such a high sensitivity for detection of sacroiliitis. Klauser et al. (129) could only detect sacroiliitis in 18% of the patients who had MRI confirmed inflammation of SIJ.

**Table 3.1:**

| Worsley et al. (76) | 2012 | LBP (81) | Thickness, linear dimensions and CSA of Lt LM | Transducer was first placed longitudinally over the mid-line at L3 level. The transducer was then rotated 90° to produce a transverse image of the bilateral multifidus muscles. | Prone, with a pillow under the pelvis to reduce the lumbar lordosis. | In terms of thickness and CSA there were small differences between curvilinear and linear transducers. For width, linear transducer gave larger measures. There was a significant correlation between both transducers for linear dimensions and CSA measurements. | 5 |

**Abbreviations:** AP, anteroposterior; CLBP, chronic low back pain; CSA, cross-sectional area; Lat, lateral; LBP, low back pain; LM, lumbar multifidus muscle; PSIS, posterior superior iliac spine; RUSI, rehabilitative ultrasound imaging; US, ultrasound.
which is likely to be explained by the flow signal criteria that was used to detect SIJ involvement. Microbubble contrast agents have been shown to improve the detection of increased vascularity in inflamed synovium by CDUS (130-132). In sacroiliitis contrast enhanced CDUS had dramatically improved sensitivity (18% before contrast vs. 94% after contrast administration) and negative predictive value (72% before contrast vs. 97% after contrast administration) to a level that is comparable with MRI (129). Clustered receiver operating curve (ROC) analysis also demonstrated that enhanced CDUS was significantly better than unenhanced CDUS for the diagnosis of active sacroiliitis (Az = 0.89 vs. Az = 0.61, P < 0.0001) (129). The high sensitivity and negative predictive value of contrast-enhanced CDUS may obviate the need for MRI in screening of the IBP patients for SIJ involvement (129). An advantage of CDUS is that it can be used to quantitate response to therapy; the increase of the RI value and the decrease of symptoms are indicative of response to medical treatment (34, 129). This method, however, has its own limitations; false negative results may arise when inflammation is at the anterosuperior portion only or when there is prominent spur formation dorsally, because of limited ultrasound beam penetration (129).

Most recently, ultrasound had been implemented for detecting sacroiliac joint effusion in spondyloarthropathies (SpA). US showed joint effusion in 38.9% of SIJs of patients with SpA and only in 1.7% SIJ of the controls (P < 0.0001). SIJ effusion assessed by US had a positive likelihood ratio of 2.67 for the presence of IBP (133). This implies that high resolution US might be useful in the assessment of SIJ involvement in SpA especially when IBP is present. Ultrasonographic detection of SIJ effusion is easy to perform, requires only standard and not sophisticated US equipment, and is an inexpensive tool suggesting that this diagnostic method could represent a relevant tool in clinical practice. Nevertheless, the value of US as a routine diagnostic tool for IBP due to sacroiliitis has to be further confirmed by future studies.

3.5. Ultrasonography in Spondylolisthesis

Spondylolisthesis refers to slipping of a vertebra relative to an adjacent vertebra. Early detection of progressive disease by repeated radiologic evaluation is a key factor in the successful management of this entity (134, 135). However, the relatively high cumulative radiation dose of long-term follow-up is of concern especially in pediatric patients (134). Ultrasound has the advantage of being devoid of harmful effects of radiation and could be easily used by the physician for repetitive follow-ups of spondylolisthesis. It was shown that ultrasonic measurement of vertebral dislocation has a mean error of only 1.3 mm (range 0-3 mm) and a high correlation (r = 0.976, P < 0.001) with X-ray in the measurement of degree of slip (134). Therefore, it appears that the accuracy of ultrasonography should be sufficient for clinical use. However, at the moment there is only limited evidence to support the use of ultrasound in serial follow-up of spondylolisthesis. Future studies will elucidate the role of ultrasound in the management of spondylolisthesis.

3.6. Ultrasonography and Transabdominal Muscles

The role of transverse abdominal muscle (TrA) in LBP has been thoroughly investigated over the past decade. The first clues to biomechanical involvement of TrA in patients with LBP were reports that demonstrated TrA muscle contracts prior to limb movement in asymptomatic subjects (66, 136, 137), while such response was delayed in patients with LBP (138). Alteration in motor control of the abdominal muscles, particularly TrA, in patients with LBP was reported to cause the delay (139). It was therefore concluded that TrA may play an essential role in the stability of spinal column, and thereby in LBP (140). These findings were further confirmed by finding that TrA strengthening exercises reduce the pain intensity in patients with LBP (141, 142). Moreover, an asymmetric pattern for TrA muscle thickness (143) and an asymmetric increase in TrA thickness in patients with LBP (144) provided additional evidence of TrA derangements in development of LBP. Studies that investigated transabdominal muscles using US are presented in Table 2.

The reliability of US in measuring TrA thickness in LBP has been extensively tested (145); according to Hodges et al. (146) US has an acceptable reliability in the measurement of TrA thickness if the transducer is positioned in the right place using a belt. This method of transducer fixation has also shown to have reasonable intra-class correlation coefficient for measurement of abdominal muscle thickness during active tasks (147). Furthermore, it was found that US is also a reliable way in measuring the controlled contraction of TrA thickness (51, 148-150). It was demonstrated that there is a linear relation between level of contraction (measured by EMG) and thickness of TrA in up to 30-40% of MVIC of TrA (151, 152).

In a nutshell, it appears that the TrA dysfunction plays a major role in development and increase of severity of LBP. Ultrasound proved to be a reliable tool in the measurement of TrA thickness and contraction. However, well-controlled and better designed prospective studies are required to understand the role of ultrasound measurement of TrA thickness and activity in prediction of LBP in predisposed patients.

4. Conclusions

Ultrasonography has been used widely in diagnosis and even rehabilitation of patients with LBP; however, lack of conclusive evidence to generalize the use of ultrasonography in clinical setting cannot be dismissed. While the first researches on employing ultrasound in diagnosis of patients with LBP had been focused on spinal canal diameter, recent studies have been mostly performed to evaluate the role of transabdominal and paraspinous muscles on core stability and thereby LBP occurrence.
### Table 2. Details of Studies Using Ultrasound for Evaluation of Abdominal Wall Muscles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Subject (No.)</th>
<th>Measuring Elements (Muscle)</th>
<th>Probe Position</th>
<th>Subjects position</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bunce et al. (145)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Healthy (22) TrA</td>
<td>The transducer was located between the 12th rib and the iliac crest over the anterolateral abdominal wall.</td>
<td>1: Supine 2: Standing 3: Walking on treadmill</td>
<td>US was found as a reliable way for measurement of TrA thickness.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critchley (142)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Healthy (28) EO, IO, TrA</td>
<td>Transducer was located at a point 2.5 cm anterior to the midpoint between the ribs and ilium on the mid-axillary line.</td>
<td>Low abdominal hollowing in four-point kneeling with and without pelvic floor contraction.</td>
<td>Co-contraction of pelvic floor with abdominal hollowing in maneuver may lead to greater increase of TrA thickness compared to abdominal hollowing in maneuver alone.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidd et al. (148)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Healthy (18) TrA</td>
<td>The transducer was placed on</td>
<td>Not Stated</td>
<td>Lying and standing; no more details are described.</td>
<td>US imaging provides a reliable measure of controlled contraction of TrA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodges (152)</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>LBP (3) EO, IO, TrA</td>
<td>The transducer was placed transversely across the abdominal wall along a line midway between the inferior angle of the rib cage and the iliac crest.</td>
<td>The subjects had to sit in a reclining chair when their hips were flexed to approximately 30°.</td>
<td>In terms of muscle activity US seems to detect low levels of muscle activity. Moderate and high muscle activity cannot be distinguished employing US.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferreira et al. (144)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Healthy/LBP (10/10) EO, IO, TrA</td>
<td>The transducer was placed in a transverse plane just superior to the left iliac crest along the axillary line.</td>
<td>Supine with arms crossed over the chest, the hips flexed to 50°, and knees flexed to 90°.</td>
<td>A positive correlation between EMG and US findings in those with and without LBP was found. Also changes in TrA control in patients with LBP comparing to other group was concluded.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McMeeken et al. (152)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Healthy (11) TrA</td>
<td>25 mm antero-medial to the midpoint between the ribs and ilium on the mid axillary line and parallel to transverse abdominal.</td>
<td>With a pillow under the head and the knees bent to approximately 20° over two pillows.</td>
<td>Reliability of US measurements as well as a positive correlation between US and EMG findings were reported in this study.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teyhen et al. (149)</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>LBP (30) EO, IO, TrA</td>
<td>The transducer was placed in a transverse plane just superior to the left iliac crest along the axillary line.</td>
<td>1: Quadruped; 2: Seated; 3: Supine; 4: Hook-lying.</td>
<td>A high inter-reliability for transabdominal muscle measurement of those with and without LBP was achieved. Short-term abdominal drawing in maneuver did not influence the thickness of TrA.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ainscough et al. (153)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Healthy (10) IO, TrA</td>
<td>The transducer was placed on the skin halfway between the anterior or superior or iliac spine and the lower rib cage in the anterior axillary line.</td>
<td>1: Supine lying; 2: Relaxed sitting on a chair; 3: Relaxed sitting on a gym ball with both feet on the ground; 4: sitting on a gym ball lifting the left foot.</td>
<td>There was no difference in muscle thickness between relaxed sitting on chair and sitting on a gym ball. At the end of aspiration the muscles were thicker.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hides et al. (150)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Healthy (11) IO, TrA</td>
<td>A transverse image of the anteriorlateral abdominal wall was obtained just inferior to the level of the umbilicus for left and right sides.</td>
<td>Supine</td>
<td>There was a positive correlation between MRI and US findings in measurement of IO and TrA. Anterior abdominal fascia of TrA moved laterally during weight bearing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rankin et al. (154)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Healthy (123) EO, IO, TrA RA</td>
<td>Immediately below the ribcage in direct vertical alignment with the ASIS. 2: Halway along a line joining the ASIS to just below the ribcage in the mid-axillary line.</td>
<td>Subjects lay supine with two pillows under their knees.</td>
<td>In terms of relative thickness of the muscles the pattern was as follows: RA &gt; IO &gt; EO &gt; TrA. There was no asymmetry for all muscles relative thickness.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Springer et al. (143)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Healthy (32) EO, IO, TrA</td>
<td>The center of the transducer was placed in a transverse plane just superior to the iliac crest, in line with the mid-axillary line.</td>
<td>Bilaterally while the subjects were at rest, and while they performed the abdominal drawing in maneuver.</td>
<td>Bilateral symmetry in the lateral abdominal muscles in those without LBP.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hides et al. (155)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Healthy (19) IO, TrA</td>
<td>The transducer was along a line midway between the inferior angle of the rib cage and the iliac crest.</td>
<td>Supine with their right heel against a footplate linked to a force transducer. Each subject performed a static simulated weight-bearing task of the right lower extremity.</td>
<td>A greater TrA than IO thickness was found. There was no significant differences between right and left abdominal muscles.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hides et al. (156)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Healthy (19) IO, TrA</td>
<td>The transducer was along a line midway between the inferior angle of the rib cage and the iliac crest.</td>
<td>Supine hook-lying position, with their hips in 45° of flexion.</td>
<td>RUSI showed a high reliability in three measurements and also a fair to high reliability was stated across two days.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use of ultrasonography in the measurement of transabdominal muscles particularly TrA has led to findings which can be employed in rehabilitation of patients as a curative procedure. The rehabilitation would be established for the patients via biofeedback. It seems more controlled imaging studies should be carried out to recommend strengthening of transabdominal muscles in LBP treatment.

RUSI assessment of LM as the predominantly affected muscle in LBP is of clinical importance in diagnosis of LBP. Impaired morphology of LM has been investigated both in acute and chronic LBP. Atrophy and infiltration of fatty tissue into the muscle are seen in LM of individuals suffering LBP. The validity and reliability of US for this purpose, however, remains to be specified in future studies. US can also be used to evaluate functional impairments in muscle contraction in addition to morphological abnormalities. The use of USI for observation of contraction of paraspinal muscles which is reduced during chronic LBP has been recently validated.

On the other side, Doppler ultrasonography has recently played an important role in objective measurement of joint laxity as a common etiology for LBP. It was proposed that large difference in TU, obtained by DIV, demonstrated the thickness of TA at rest decreased in 5 patients. This decrease was also noted in IO muscle in 4 of the patients. In 6 of 9 patients increased ability to improve TA thickness during drawing-in maneuver was demonstrated. The thickness of TA at rest decreased in 5 patients. This decrease was also noted in IO muscle in 4 of the patients. In 6 of 9 patients increased ability to improve TA thickness during drawing-in maneuver was demonstrated. The thickness of TA at rest decreased in 5 patients. This decrease was also noted in IO muscle in 4 of the patients.
indicator of a stiff joint. However conclusions based on measurements with DIV should be made with great care until future studies further validate the technique. There are also studies implying usefulness of US in assessment of Sjögren syndrome especially when IBD is present. CDUS with microbubble contrast agent has been recently shown to be beneficial in diagnosis of sarcroliitis in light of detection of increased vascularity in inflamed joints. Nevertheless, in case of using Doppler ultrasonography easiness and inexpensiveness of usage cannot be well mentioned.

Doppler imaging also in pregnant patients with LBP has been recommended as a safe and sensitive method. However, it should be noted that there is only limited evidence regarding the use of US in spondylolisthesis and this method has not yet been widely used in clinical practice. As conclusion, according to recent and most prestigious studies, focusing more on transabdominal muscle thickness can be considered as future approach in investigations.
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