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Abstract The balance between Th17 and T regulatory (Treg) cells critically modulates immune homeostasis, with an inadequate Treg response contributing to inflammatory disease. Using an unbiased chemical biology approach, we identified a novel role for the dual specificity tyrosine-phosphorylation-regulated kinase DYRK1A in regulating this balance. Inhibition of DYRK1A enhances Treg differentiation and impairs Th17 differentiation without affecting known pathways of Treg/Th17 differentiation. Thus, DYRK1A represents a novel mechanistic node at the branch point between commitment to either Treg or Th17 lineages. Importantly, both Treg cells generated using the DYRK1A inhibitor harmine and direct administration of harmine itself potently attenuate inflammation in multiple experimental models of systemic autoimmunity and mucosal inflammation. Our results identify DYRK1A as a physiologically relevant regulator of Treg cell differentiation and suggest a broader role for other DYRK family members in immune homeostasis. These results are discussed in the context of human diseases associated with dysregulated DYRK activity.
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Introduction The appropriate and balanced differentiation of naïve CD4+ T helper (Th) cells into either pro-inflammatory effector subsets, such as Th1 and Th17 cells, or anti-inflammatory subsets, largely...
represented by regulatory T (T\(_{\text{reg}}\)) cells, is an important determinant of immune homeostasis, dysregulation of which underlies the pathology of inflammatory diseases and cancer (Josefowicz et al., 2012; Cretney et al., 2013). Genome-wide association studies of inflammatory diseases such as type 1 diabetes (T1D) and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) support this notion, implicating genes important for the differentiation and function of T\(_{\text{reg}}\) cells (Khor et al., 2011). The active translational interest in manipulating this process is exemplified by recent attempts using low-dose IL-2 to enhance T\(_{\text{reg}}\) cells and attenuate the inflammation associated with graft-versus-host disease and HCV vasculitis (Koreth et al., 2011; Saadoun et al., 2011; von Boehmer and Daniel, 2012). While these results are encouraging, IL-2 has numerous effects and reflects the larger issue that more targeted therapies to specifically manipulate individual Th lineages remain lacking, due at least in part to an incomplete understanding of the pathways that contribute to Th differentiation.

Our interest has focused on discovering novel pathways that regulate the differentiation of T\(_{\text{reg}}\) cells, which represent the major anti-inflammatory Th component. The canonical pathways underlying T\(_{\text{reg}}\) cell differentiation have been well described. In this regard, commitment to the T\(_{\text{reg}}\) cell lineage is exemplified by expression of the hallmark transcription factor FOXP3 and occurs in either the thymus or the periphery (Josefowicz et al., 2012). The canonical cytokine that drives T\(_{\text{reg}}\) cell differentiation is TGF-\(\beta\)1 and the differentiation of peripheral T\(_{\text{reg}}\) (pT\(_{\text{reg}}\)) cells requires TGF-\(\beta\)1 signaling through SMAD2 and SMAD3 (Gu et al., 2012; Josefowicz et al., 2012). TGF-\(\beta\)1 also plays a role in thymic T\(_{\text{reg}}\) (tT\(_{\text{reg}}\)) cell differentiation, as exemplified by the significant but transient decrease in early T\(_{\text{reg}}\) cell generation upon T cell-specific deletion of the TGF-\(\beta\)1 RI subunit (Liu et al., 2008). However, TGF-\(\beta\)1 may be more important for maintaining the pool of T\(_{\text{reg}}\) cell precursors than instructing Foxp3 expression in this compartment (Josefowicz et al., 2012). Similarly to IL-2, TGF-\(\beta\)1 exerts multiple effects on different cell types and has not proven to be a clinically useful target to
manipulate T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation, again pointing to the need to better understand the breadth of pathways involved.

In this regard, studies in SMAD2/3 doubly deficient mice point to TGF-β1-dependent, SMAD2/3-independent signals in T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation and function, demonstrating the relevance of non-canonical pathways, even in the context of well-described cytokines (Gu et al., 2012). Elucidating such ancillary pathways in Th differentiation has been approached in several ways. Notable amongst these have been gene expression profiling experiments to identify differentially expressed genes. This approach has been more successful for Th17 cell differentiation, pointing to the transcription factors Batf, Ahr and Ilkzf3 and the sodium chloride sensor Sgk1 (Veldhoen et al., 2008; Schraml et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2013), than for T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation. Such findings have implications for diagnostic efforts and advancing our understanding of disease pathophysiology. For example, the finding that mutations in STAT3 (which transduces signals from IL-6, a canonical Th17 cytokine) can lead to hyper-IgE syndrome (HIES) led to the discovery that this subset of HIES patients fail to generate Th17 cells, potentially accounting for their susceptibility to fungal infection (Ma et al., 2008). There are also therapeutic implications; for instance, the discovery that SGK1 regulates Th17 cell differentiation led to the hypothesis that increased dietary salt intake may contribute to increased risk of autoimmune disease (Kleinewietfeld et al., 2013). Thus, discovering additional pathways that regulate T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation is an important effort that may benefit from other approaches.

Integrative computational analyses represent one promising adjunctive approach. Analyses of over 100 gene expression profiles of various CD4\textsuperscript{+} subsets led to the discovery of novel transcription factors, including Lef1 and Gata1, that regulate T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation and a model of how they cooperate to establish the T\textsubscript{reg} cell transcription profile (Fu et al., 2012). In another example, the compilation of 557 publicly available microarrays covering over 100 tissues and primary cells facilitated the discovery of Zbtb25 as a transcription factor predominantly expressed in T cells that represses NFAT signaling in response to T cell receptor engagement (Benita et al., 2010). Another emerging key approach uses chemical methods to decipher novel nodes that control signal transduction pathways within T cells; this provides an important and complementary view into disease architecture by highlighting druggable connections between disease pathways less easily uncovered genetically. In this regard, defects in autophagy have been associated with IBD. Efforts to find compounds that enhance autophagy led to the observation that some autophagy-enhancing compounds specifically inhibit Th17 cell differentiation while another subset specifically enhances T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation, suggesting that these compounds highlight targets which modulate distinct sets of disease-relevant pathways (Shaw et al., 2013). Finally, chemoinformatic methods can help generate high-yield mechanistic hypotheses based on relevant compounds identified by chemical biology approaches. For instance, the use of chemoinformatics to predict novel binding targets for clinically used drugs based on structural similarity to other compounds that bind said targets has helped predict mechanistic explanations for clinically observed side effects (Keiser et al., 2007; Lounkine et al., 2012). Of note, these approaches are not mutually exclusive, but rather are expected to be synergistic.

Supporting the value of a chemical biology approach, compounds previously identified to modulate T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation have provided important insights into relevant signaling modules. For example, mechanistic studies of all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) and rapamycin, two well-studied T\textsubscript{reg} cell enhancers, pointed to roles for RAR-α and mTOR signaling in T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation respectively (Coombes et al., 2007; Mucida et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2007; Haxhinasto et al., 2008; Hill et al., 2008; Sauer et al., 2008; Hall et al., 2011). More recently, the discovery of the microbial metabolites propionate and butyrate as enhancers of T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation, amongst other effects, have highlighted roles for the short-chain fatty acid receptor GPR43 and histone deacetylases in T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation (Arpaia et al., 2013; Furusawa et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2013). These studies highlight several SMAD-distinct signals in T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation and illustrate how the discovery of novel molecules can facilitate a deeper understanding of the underlying mechanisms and pathways affecting T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation.

Th differentiation is a complex cellular process for which there exists no good simplified substitute assay. Chemical biology approaches to study this process have typically either maintained the complexity of the system (i.e., used primary CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells) to study one or two selected compounds, for example ATRA, or used larger chemical libraries to interrogate a highly simplified system. Here, we
take the novel approach of applying unbiased chemical biology to primary CD4+ T cells in order to
discover novel regulators of T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation. We report 14 novel compounds that specifically 
emphasize the differentiation of T\textsubscript{reg} cells, but of neither Th1 nor Th17 cells. In particular, the \(\beta\)-carboline 
alkaloid harmine enhances the differentiation of T\textsubscript{reg} cells and potently inhibits Th17 cell 
differentiation, at least in part by inhibiting the activity of the kinase DYRK1A. Importantly, we 
demonstrate that harmine-enhanced T\textsubscript{reg} cells retain normal suppressive function in vitro and 
attenuate disease in experimental models of systemic autoimmunity and mucosal inflammation in two 
distinct compartments. Notably, direct administration of harmine attenuates airway inflammation in an 
experimental model of asthma. Our approach exemplifies how chemical biology can be applied to 
a physiologically relevant experimental system with a functional readout to identify DYRKs as a novel 
and druggable pathway that impacts T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation.

Results

**Identifying novel small molecule enhancers of T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation**

We hypothesized that identifying novel compounds that enhance T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation would 
enable the discovery of novel pathways that regulate this process. Accordingly, we designed an 
experimental workflow that feeds into an integrative computational analysis pipeline to identify small 
molecules that specifically enhance differentiation of T\textsubscript{reg} cells, but not pro-inflammatory lineages, 
highlight putative mechanistic classes and demonstrate functional relevance of prioritized small 
molecule(s) (Figure 1A). Primary murine CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells were reproducibly differentiated into T\textsubscript{reg}, Th1 
or Th17 lineages in a manner dependent upon the concentration of TGF-\(\beta\), IL-12 or IL-6 and/or IL-1\(\beta\) 
respectively (Figure 1—figure supplement 1). Lineage commitment was determined using the gold 
standard of flow cytometric detection of FOXP3, IFN\(\gamma\) and/or IL-17. The role of these canonical 
cytokines has been well described; the positive control for each lineage was high concentrations of 
lineage-driving cytokines consistent with published literature while negative controls included cells 
cultured under Th0 conditions without any lineage-promoting cytokines, as well as cells driven to 
other lineages (e.g., for T\textsubscript{reg} cells, negative controls were Th0, Th1 and Th17 conditions). Conditions 
driving the differentiation of sub- or near-maximal levels (typically about 30% and 95% of maximal 
levels, respectively) of T\textsubscript{reg}, Th1 and Th17 cells (hereafter T\textsubscript{reg}\textsuperscript{low}, Th1\textsuperscript{low}, Th17\textsuperscript{low}, T\textsubscript{reg}\textsuperscript{hi}, Th1\textsuperscript{hi} and Th17\textsuperscript{hi} 
conditions) were identified (Figure 1—figure supplement 1, blue and red arrowheads respectively). 
Addition of a compound to sub-maximal conditions allows quantitation of its ability to enhance 
lineage-specific differentiation, while addition to near-maximal conditions allows quantitation of its 
inhibitory effect. To facilitate comparisons between experiments, we used the fractional enhancement 
(Fr enhance) metric, where the compound-driven difference in Th differentiation is normalized against 
the difference between the positive and negative controls within the experiment (i.e., Th\textsuperscript{hi} / Th\textsuperscript{low}). 

To find small molecules that enhance T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation, T\textsubscript{reg}\textsuperscript{low} conditions were used to screen 
3281 compounds comprising FDA-approved drugs and tool compounds with known mechanisms 
(Shaw et al., 2013). Our studies revealed a previously unreported negative correlation between the 
number of live cells in culture and the percentage of FOXP3\textsuperscript{+} cells in T\textsubscript{reg}\textsuperscript{low} conditions, not observed 
in T\textsubscript{reg}\textsuperscript{hi}, Th1\textsuperscript{low/hi} or Th17\textsuperscript{low/hi} conditions (Figure 1—figure supplement 2). Because the compounds 
tested exhibit variable effects on cellularity, we accounted for corresponding effects on T\textsubscript{reg} cell 
differentiation using a linear regression model (Figure 1—figure supplement 3). Numerous 
compounds previously reported to enhance T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation were recovered, including the 
hypolipidemic statins (lovastatin and simvastatin), artemisinin and ATRA as well as related retinoic 
acids (9-cis retinoic acid and 13-cis retinoic acid), validating our experimental approach (Coombes 
et al., 2007; Mucida et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2007; Kagami et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 
2012). The fractional enhancement of the weakest of these known enhancers (artemisinin, 0.3) was 
used as a minimum threshold to find all compounds that enhance T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation at least as 
strongly. By this criterion, 70 compounds were selected for retesting.

**Finding compounds that specifically enhance T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation**

The compounds prioritized by our efforts described above were retested (using T\textsubscript{reg}\textsuperscript{low} conditions) at 8 
doses that typically covered over a 1000-fold difference in concentration, allowing us to capture more 
optimal concentrations at which a compound might work (Figure 1B). In order to filter for compounds 
that only enhance T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation, these compounds were also tested under Th1\textsuperscript{low} and
Figure 1. Chemical biology approach to identify novel T<sub>reg</sub> enhancers. All data representative of at least 2 independent experiments. (A) Overview of our approach, including key methods applied. (B) Dose-response curves showing fractional enhancement (Fr enhance) of compounds (LD<sub>50</sub>/EC<sub>50</sub> > 2) for T<sub>reg</sub> (blue), Th1 (orange) and Th17 (red) lineages. (C) Plot of LD<sub>50</sub>/EC<sub>50</sub> vs maximal fractional T<sub>reg</sub> cell enhancement showing all 21 T<sub>reg</sub>-specific enhancers.
Th17low conditions to quantitate their ability to enhance differentiation of pro-inflammatory lineages. Our results also consolidate and validate that the previously described T reg enhancers, including the statins, retinoic acids and artemisinin, specifically enhance T reg differentiation. Simultaneously testing multiple Th lineages and drug concentrations allows a more complete characterization of the effects of a compound on Th differentiation and furthers mechanistic conclusions. We identified 14 compounds hitherto unreported to specifically enhance differentiation of T reg, but neither Th1 nor Th17, cells (Figure 1B,C and Figure 1—figure supplement 4).

Novel Treg cell enhancers are mechanistically distinct from rapamycin

To demonstrate that these novel T reg cell enhancers work distinctly from known canonical pathways, we assessed their activity on mTOR activity. We selected this pathway for three primary reasons. Firstly, the role of mTOR inhibition on enhancing T reg cell differentiation has been well described. Secondly, rapamycin is a well-known mTOR inhibitor and enhancer of T reg cell differentiation, and thus provides a good positive control. Finally, mTOR activity is relatively easily assessed, for example by assessing the phosphorylation state of S6, which is phosphorylated in the course of mTOR activation. Thus, mTOR inhibition leads to fewer phospho-S6+ cells by flow cytometry. We characterized the effect of all 21 T reg cell enhancers on S6 phosphorylation in primary CD4+ T cells cultured under T reg low conditions (Figure 1D). Only rapamycin, the positive control, significantly inhibited S6 phosphorylation (1-way ANOVA with Dunnett correction, threshold p < 0.05). Thus, all 14 novel T reg cell enhancers appear to work independently of mTOR and potentially point to undiscovered mechanisms.
Prioritizing T\textsubscript{reg} cell enhancers for further investigation

We sought to identify compounds with minimal impact on cellularity, given its relationship with T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation. To this end, the LD\textsubscript{50} and EC\textsubscript{50} were determined as the doses at which 50\% cytotoxicity and 50\% T\textsubscript{reg} cell enhancement are observed, respectively (Figure 1—figure supplements 5, 6). Compounds were classified according to both the LD\textsubscript{50}/EC\textsubscript{50} ratio (analogous to the therapeutic index) and the maximal enhancement of T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation, with ideal compounds performing maximally for both parameters (Figure 1C, blue circles). Many compounds, including rapamycin, exhibited significant cytotoxicity with an LD\textsubscript{50}/EC\textsubscript{50} ratio near 1 (Figure 1C, gray triangles). All 21 T\textsubscript{reg} cell-specific enhancers were additionally tested under Th\textsuperscript{1\textsuperscript{a}} and Th17\textsuperscript{a} conditions to accurately quantitate their capacity to inhibit differentiation into these pro-inflammatory lineages (Figure 1B). Th17 cell differentiation was typically more inhibited (≥40\%) than Th1, likely related to T\textsubscript{reg} cells and Th17 cells arising from a common progenitor (Figure 1E) (Zhou et al., 2008).

Unsupervised analysis of this phenotypic data revealed high similarity between artemisinin, cisapride and sertaconazole, including moderate enhancement of T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation and potent inhibition of both Th1 and Th17 cell differentiation, which may reflect effects on common pathways and direct future studies (Figure 1C and Figure 1—figure supplement 7). Importantly, these results prioritized MBCQ, harmine, and arminone as novel enhancers of T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation with favorable phenotypic profiles (Figure 1—figure supplement 7).

In order to further explore potential mechanistic relationships between these T\textsubscript{reg} cell enhancers, we applied previously described chemoinformatic approaches. Similarity Ensemble Approach (SEA) is one such method that utilizes similarities in chemical structure between compounds to predict the likelihood that they could bind common protein targets that have been defined in previous efforts (Keiser et al., 2007). Applying SEA to our list of T\textsubscript{reg} cell enhancers generated several clusters of compounds predicted to bind the same protein (Figure 1F, black lines). Additionally, we recognized a need to account for relationships between these clusters, for example with compounds acting on separate proteins that act within the same pathway. These connections were identified using a manually curated list of KEGG pathways that excludes overly generic and largely populated pathways (e.g., Pathways in Cancer) that would report spurious relationships (Figure 1F, green lines) (Goel et al., 2014). These results suggested inter-relationships between most of our compounds with two outlier pairs, one comprising the statins and the other comprising harmine and MBCQ (Figure 1F).

The L1000 method had previously been used to generate gene expression data from three different cell lines treated with numerous compounds, including most of the T\textsubscript{reg} cell enhancers identified here (expression data in GSE5258) (Lamb et al., 2006). Principal component analysis was used to analyze changes in gene expression after treatment with T\textsubscript{reg} cell enhancers. These results indicated significant commonalities between most of the T\textsubscript{reg} cell enhancers identified here, with harmine and the retinoic acids generating the most distinct profiles (Figure 1—figure supplement 8). Together, our results prioritize harmine for its favorable phenotypic profile and likelihood of mechanistic novelty, given its distinct properties in our chemoinformatic analyses.

Harmine-enhanced T\textsubscript{reg} cells suppress T cell proliferation in vitro

To further validate our interest in the physiologic relevance of harmine’s ability to enhance T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation, we tested the functionality of T\textsubscript{reg} cells generated under T\textsubscript{reg\textsuperscript{low}} + harmine (henceforth abbreviated as T\textsubscript{reg\textsuperscript{HAR}}) conditions extensively. First, we used an in vitro suppression assay, where T\textsubscript{reg} cells are co-cultured at increasing dilutions with CFSE-labeled responder CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells and their ability to suppress responder T cell proliferation upon anti-CD3/CD28 stimulation is assessed. Naive CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells from Foxp3\textsuperscript{RES-GFP} mice were cultured under either T\textsubscript{reg\textsuperscript{HAR}} or T\textsubscript{reg\textsuperscript{hi}} conditions and the resulting GFP\textsuperscript{+} T\textsubscript{reg} cells were sorted by FACS. Sorted T\textsubscript{reg} cells generated using either T\textsubscript{reg\textsuperscript{hi}} or T\textsubscript{reg\textsuperscript{HAR}} conditions equivalently suppressed the proliferation of co-cultured responder CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells in vitro at each dilution, indicating equal efficacy between both populations of T\textsubscript{reg} cells (Figure 2A, red and blue lines). Both populations worked better than sorted tT\textsubscript{reg} cells, likely because they are pre-activated (Figure 2A, orange line).

Harmine-enhanced T\textsubscript{reg} cells attenuate disease in three experimental models of inflammation

We also compared the ability of T\textsubscript{reg\textsuperscript{hi}} and T\textsubscript{reg\textsuperscript{HAR}} T\textsubscript{reg} cells to inhibit inflammation in vivo. For this purpose, we selected three experimental models in which inflammation is mediated by T cells and can
Figure 2. Harmine-enhanced T<sub>reg</sub> cells and harmine attenuate inflammation. (A–E) Experiments comparing the suppressive activity of T<sub>reg</sub> cells generated under either T<sub>reg</sub><sup>HAR</sup> (blue) or T<sub>reg</sub><sup>hi</sup> (red) conditions; conditions without T<sub>reg</sub> cells are shown in black. All data representative of at least 2 independent experiments, in vivo experiments used at least 3 mice per cohort. (A) In vitro suppression assay, with unstimulated tT<sub>reg</sub> cells in orange. (B) T<sub>reg</sub><sup>hi</sup>-T<sub>reg</sub> cells and T<sub>reg</sub><sup>HAR</sup>-T<sub>reg</sub> cells similarly delay onset of diabetes in the NOD-BDC2.5 model of type 1 diabetes. (C) Comparable inhibition of inflammation by T<sub>reg</sub><sup>hi</sup>-T<sub>reg</sub> cells and T<sub>reg</sub><sup>HAR</sup>-T<sub>reg</sub> cells in the CD45RB<sup>hi</sup> transfer model of colitis. Representative images are shown in (D). Bars represent 100 μm. (E) Similar inhibition of airway inflammation by T<sub>reg</sub>-T<sub>reg</sub> cells and T<sub>reg</sub><sup>HAR</sup>-T<sub>reg</sub> cells, as measured by number of eosinophils (Eos) in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid.
be suppressed by Treg cells. These models were also selected to represent different genetic backgrounds, inflammation in different sites and different Treg cell antigen specificities.

In a model of T1D induced by transfer of NOD-BDC2.5− CD4+ T cells into NOD-scid recipients, diabetes developed rapidly approximately 10 days later without any intervention (Figure 2B, black line). When antigen-specific Treg cells generated from NOD-BDC2.5−Foxp3IRES-GFP mice under either TregHAR or TregH− conditions were co-transferred, a consistent and indistinguishable delay of onset of diabetes was observed, with median time of diabetes onset being delayed by at least 7 days (Figure 2B, blue and red lines) (Herman et al., 2004; Tarbell et al., 2004).

Using a well-described T cell-dependent model of colitis, transfer of C57BL/6 CD4+CD45RBhi T cells into C57BL/6−Rag1−/− hosts led to intestinal inflammation 8 weeks later (Figure 2C,D, no Treg) (Powrie et al., 1993). This mucosal inflammation was significantly attenuated when antigen-naïve Treg cells generated from C57BL/6−Foxp3IRES-GFP mice under TregH− conditions were transferred, as determined by histological scoring of intestinal sections by blinded observers (Figure 2C,D) (Smith et al., 2013). Transfer of Treg cells generated under TregHAR conditions attenuated intestinal inflammation to a level indistinguishable from that achieved by transfer of TregH−Treg cells (Figure 2C,D).

Finally, the functionality of TregHAR-Treg cells was tested in a model of airway inflammation. Here, C57BL/6 mice are sensitized against ovalbumin and subsequent challenge with intratracheally administered ovalbumin leads to airway inflammation (Figure 2E) (Grainger et al., 2010). This inflammation was attenuated when antigen-naïve Treg cells generated from C57BL/6−Foxp3IRES-GFP mice under TregH− conditions were transferred prior to the intratracheal challenge (Figure 2E). Importantly, transfer of Treg cells generated under TregHAR conditions led to a comparable suppression of inflammation (Figure 2E).

Harmane promotes Treg cell differentiation in vivo and attenuates airway inflammation

The observation that harmane promotes the differentiation of Treg cells, at least in vitro, that appear fully functional raises the interesting hypothesis that treatment with harmane itself could attenuate inflammation in vivo. Rapid first pass metabolism (<2 hr) consistent with prior reports confounded the interpretation of systemic delivery experiments (Callaway et al., 1999). Reasoning that application of harmane to mucosal surfaces might allow for relatively prolonged local presence, we treated mice with harmane intranasally for 5 days and examined the effect on Treg cells in the draining lymph nodes. Compared to mice treated only with vehicle (water), mice treated with harmane exhibited a statistically significant increase (~20%) in the frequency of Treg cells in the draining thoracic lymph nodes; increases in absolute numbers of effector T cell subsets did not reach statistical significance (Figure 2F and Figure 2—figure supplement 1). Analyses of dendritic cell populations did not show any effect of treatment with harmane on expression of Treg-relevant costimulatory molecules, consistent with the notion that harmane predominantly acts directly on CD4+ T cells to affect Treg/Th17 differentiation in this model (Figure 2—figure supplement 2). To determine if this pro-Treg effect might impact inflammation, we adapted the model of airway inflammation described above, where sensitivity to ovalbumin is induced by immunization. Intranasal administration of ovalbumin 5–7 days prior to immunization attenuated the airway inflammation induced by subsequent intratracheal challenge (Figure 2G). This finding supports the notion that exogenous signals can modulate the inflammatory response mounted
at the time of immunization. Strikingly, intranasal administration of only harmine during this window inhibited airway inflammation at least as potently as tolerization with ovalbumin (Figure 2G).

**Harmine reciprocally regulates T\textsubscript{reg} and Th17 cell differentiation through a novel mechanism**

Our results demonstrate that harmine is a novel, potent, and specific enhancer of T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation with physiologically relevant effects (Figures 1B, 3A). In addition to its pro-T\textsubscript{reg} effect, harmine strongly inhibits Th17 cell differentiation (Figure 3A). Notably, even in pro-inflammatory Th17\textsubscript{low} or Th17\textsubscript{hi} conditions, harmine modestly promotes the paradoxical differentiation of T\textsubscript{reg} cells approximately twofold (Figure 3A). At the doses used, harmine does not significantly affect culture cellularity, unlike ATRA and rapamycin (Figure 3B). This observation is further substantiated by CFSE studies of cellular proliferation, which show that harmine causes a modest 24% reduction in proliferating cells at day 3 that falls to undetectable levels by day 4, less than half the reduction caused by rapamycin (Figure 3—figure supplement 1). Accordingly, harmine enhances absolute numbers of T\textsubscript{reg} cells to levels approaching T\textsubscript{reg} \textsubscript{hi} conditions and decreases absolute numbers of Th17 cells (Figure 3B and Figure 3—figure supplement 2). Importantly, similar effects are observed using human CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells, with addition of harmine potently enhancing both percentage and absolute numbers of T\textsubscript{reg} cells beyond even T\textsubscript{reg} \textsubscript{hi} conditions (Figure 3C). These findings underscore the physiologic relevance of harmine-related pathways to human Th differentiation.

In the context of neutral Th0 conditions, addition of harmine does not skew Th differentiation towards any lineage, demonstrating that harmine’s pro-T\textsubscript{reg} effect requires exogenous TGF-β1 (Figure 3D). Thus, harmine does not substitute for TGF-β1 but rather acts in conjunction with TGF-β1. In order to better characterize how harmine acts to enhance T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation, CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells were cultured in T\textsubscript{reg} \textsubscript{low} conditions and harmine was added 1, 2 or 3 days later. If the molecular targets of harmine were only expressed early in Th differentiation, then addition of harmine at later time points would have no effect on T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation. Our results show that adding harmine as late as day 3 (out of 4) of culture still significantly enhances T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation (Figure 3E, top panel). The converse experiments, where culture is initiated in T\textsubscript{reg} \textsubscript{HAR} conditions and harmine removed 4 hr, 1 day or 2 days later, showed complementary results. The earlier harmine was removed, the less T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation was enhanced, although enhancement could be detected with as little as 4 hr of exposure to harmine (Figure 3E, bottom panel). These results not only reinforce the conclusion that the targets of harmine that impact Th differentiation are present throughout the process of differentiation, but also demonstrate that harmine does not impart long-lasting epigenetic signals. If that were the case, maximal T\textsubscript{reg} cell enhancement would be observed even if harmine were removed after a short time. Corresponding reciprocal results were obtained when harmine was either added or removed at different times in the context of Th17 cell differentiation (Figure 3—figure supplement 3).

To determine the effect of harmine on gene expression in T\textsubscript{reg} cells, RNA was isolated from FACSorted T\textsubscript{reg} cells, generated under either T\textsubscript{reg} \textsubscript{HAR} or T\textsubscript{reg} \textsubscript{hi} conditions, and analyzed by illumina microarray (data in GSE67961). These results revealed significant similarity between the expression profiles of T\textsubscript{reg} \textsubscript{hi} and T\textsubscript{reg} \textsubscript{HAR}-T\textsubscript{reg} cells (Pearson correlation coefficient = 0.95, Figure 3—figure supplement 4). As might be expected from this result, T\textsubscript{reg} \textsubscript{HAR}-T\textsubscript{reg} cells showed concordant regulation of previously described canonical T\textsubscript{reg} cell signature genes (Figure 3F) (Feuerer et al., 2010). We found no evidence of significant similarity to T\textsubscript{reg} cells specialized to suppress Th1, Th2 or Th17 cells (CXCR3\textsuperscript{+}, IRF4\textsuperscript{+} and GFP-FOXP3-fusion T\textsubscript{reg} cells respectively, Figure 3—figure supplement 5) (Joller et al., 2014). However, compared to T\textsubscript{reg} \textsubscript{hi}-T\textsubscript{reg} cells, T\textsubscript{reg} \textsubscript{HAR}-T\textsubscript{reg} cells showed a bias suggesting increased activation (Figure 3G) (Joller et al., 2014). In addition, flow cytometry studies showed that, after gating on FOXP3\textsuperscript{+} T\textsubscript{reg} cells, T\textsubscript{reg} \textsubscript{HAR}-T\textsubscript{reg} cells express FOXP3 at levels at least as high as, if not higher than, those of T\textsubscript{reg} \textsubscript{hi}-T\textsubscript{reg} cells (Figure 3H). Together, these results suggest that harmine promotes the differentiation of T\textsubscript{reg} cells that are of similar or superior function as compared to those driven by high levels of TGF-β1 alone.

**Harmine does not impact canonical pathways of T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation**

We next examined harmine’s effects on 3 canonical pathways of T\textsubscript{reg} cell differentiation, namely FOXP3 expression, mTOR activity and TGF-β1/SMAD signaling. To determine if harmine promotes earlier expression of FOXP3, we analyzed FOXP3 expression by flow cytometry at daily intervals
Figure 3. Harmine’s effects on canonical T<sub>reg</sub>/Th17 pathways. All data representative of at least 2 independent experiments. (A) Effect of harmine on murine T<sub>reg</sub>, Th1 and Th17 differentiation. (B) Effect of harmine on absolute numbers of total live and T<sub>reg</sub> cells. (C) Effect of harmine on human T<sub>reg</sub> differentiation. (D) Effect of harmine on Th differentiation under Th0 conditions as shown by percentage of cells with indicated markers. (E) Harmine’s pro-T<sub>reg</sub> effect when added or removed at different times after T<sub>reg</sub><sup>low</sup> stimulation as shown by percentage of maximal T<sub>reg</sub> enhancement (% max T<sub>reg</sub> enh). (F and G) Volcano plots comparing p value vs fold change in gene expression in naive CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells, T<sub>reg</sub><sup>hi</sup>-Treg cells and T<sub>reg</sub>HAR-Treg cells as indicated. Previously reported signature genes for T<sub>reg</sub> cells (F) and activated T cells (G) are highlighted in red (upregulated) and green (downregulated). Numbers on the right and left reflect genes that are up- and down-regulated in the indicated comparison respectively with χ<sup>2</sup> test p values in the middle. (H) Median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of FOXP3 in T<sub>reg</sub><sup>hi</sup> cells generated under indicated conditions. (I) Time-course analysis of FOXP3 expression in cells cultured under indicated conditions. (J and K) Western blot analyses showing effect of T<sub>reg</sub> enhancers on S6 kinase, SMAD2 and SMAD3 phosphorylation when added under T<sub>reg</sub><sup>low</sup> conditions. Numbers denote fractional phosphorylation relative to T<sub>reg</sub><sup>low</sup> conditions. (L) Effect of harmine (blue) on ROR<sub>γ</sub>T expression and STAT3 phosphorylation in Th17<sup>hi</sup> conditions (gray). (M) qPCR analyses showing effects of harmine on key Th17 genes at 4 days (left) and 2 hours (right) after stimulation. Gray and blue bars represent Th17<sup>hi</sup> and Th17<sup>hi</sup>+harmine conditions, respectively. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001, ns, not significant, Student’s t-test with Holm-Sidak correction (B, C, D, M). See also Figure 3—figure supplements 1–5.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.05920.015

The following figure supplements are available for figure 3:

**Figure supplement 1** Effect of harmine on cellular proliferation.
DOI: 10.7554/eLife.05920.016

**Figure supplement 2** Effect of harmine on absolute numbers of Th17 cells.
DOI: 10.7554/eLife.05920.017

**Figure supplement 3** Effect of addition or removal of harmine at different times on Th17 differentiation.
DOI: 10.7554/eLife.05920.018

**Figure supplement 4** Correlation between gene expression in T<sub>reg</sub><sup>hi</sup>-Treg cells and T<sub>reg</sub>HAR-Treg cells, relative to naive CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells.
DOI: 10.7554/eLife.05920.019

**Figure supplement 5** Qualitative analyses of genomewide expression in T<sub>reg</sub>HAR-Treg cells.
DOI: 10.7554/eLife.05920.020
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To complement our flow cytometric studies of mTOR activity, we measured phosphorylation of another protein, S6-kinase, by Western blot. Again, only rapamycin inhibited S6-kinase phosphorylation, confirming that harmine does not inhibit mTOR activity (Figure 3J).

Finally, we measured phosphorylation of SMAD2 and SMAD3 to determine if harmine enhances TGF-β signaling through these canonical molecules. Phosphorylation of both SMAD2 and SMAD3 was increased upon stimulation under Treg low conditions compared to naïve CD4+ T cells, consistent with engagement of TGF-β1 signals (Figure 3K). As previously reported, addition of ATRA leads to increased phosphorylation of SMAD3, but not SMAD2 (Figure 3K) (Xiao et al., 2008). Importantly, there was no further increase in SMAD2/3 phosphorylation upon further addition of harmine, rapamycin, or TGF-β1 (Figure 3K). Taken together, these data indicate that harmine does not enhance Treg cell differentiation by amplifying signaling through these canonical pathways. Notably, our finding that Treg+ cells do not enhance SMAD2/3 phosphorylation beyond Treg low conditions further demonstrates that TGF-β1 itself engages pertinent and quantitative SMAD2/3-independent signals outside of tTreg cells (Figure 3K).

**Harmine does not impact canonical pathways of Th17 cell differentiation**

Th17 cell differentiation centrally involves IL-6 signaling through STAT3, which in turn leads to expression of RORγT, the hallmark Th17 transcription factor. Kinetic analyses showed increased STAT3 phosphorylation and RORγT expression upon activation in Th17+ conditions (Figure 3L). No difference was observed when harmine was added, indicating that neither signaling event is affected by harmine (Figure 3L). We verified by qPCR that harmine inhibits expression not only of the effector molecules Il17a and Il22, but also of several key regulators of the Th17 pathway, including Batf, Pou2af1 and Mina (Figure 3M) (Schraml et al., 2009; Yosef et al., 2013). These results suggest that harmine works on novel target(s) to modulate Treg and Th17 cell differentiation and highlight a druggable point between STAT3/RORγT signaling and other Th17 transcription factors.

**Generating a genetic signature of harmine-enhanced Treg cells suggests relevance to IBD**

To gain insight into harmine-regulated genes and pathways, we compared the expression profiles of Treg HAR-Treg cells and Treg hi-Treg cells. Since harmine also inhibits Th17 cell differentiation, we focused on a 111-gene signature that was concordantly up/down-regulated in Treg HAR-Treg cells vs Treg hi-Treg cells, as well as in human Treg cells vs Th17 cells (Figure 4A) (Zhang et al., 2013). To assess if these effects might be relevant to human disease, we evaluated the overlap between these 111 genes (and the 16 transcription factors whose binding sites were overrepresented therein) with the 1437 genes that lie within IBD-associated loci as previously reported (Jostins et al., 2012; Okada et al., 2014). The overlap of 16 genes represented a significant (p < 0.01) enrichment of 1.76-fold, suggesting that harmine impacts Treg cell-relevant genes implicated in IBD (Figure 4B). Of these, we were particularly interested in BACH2, a transcription factor linked to Treg cell development and inflammation, as well as to pediatric IBD (Christodoulou et al., 2013; Roychoudhuri et al., 2013). BACH2-deficient mice exhibit a progressive wasting disease with autoantibody formation and inflammation in the lung and gut leading to decreased survival due, at least in part, to defective Treg cell development and function (Roychoudhuri et al., 2013). Independent qPCR experiments confirmed differential expression of 5 of the 6 Treg HAR signature genes with predicted BACH2 binding sites, supporting the notion that harmine enhances Treg cell differentiation at least in part by modulating BACH2 signaling (Figure 4C). During polarization of naïve CD4+ T cells under pro-Treg conditions, BACH2 stabilizes Treg differentiation by suppressing transcriptional programmes associated with other Th lineages; a corresponding BACH2-dependent signature has been identified (Roychoudhuri et al., 2013). Intriguingly, a significant number of these BACH2-regulated genes are inversely regulated by harmine, suggesting that harmine may help reverse BACH2-axis defects, for example in IBD (Figure 3—figure supplement 5).
To further dissect this link, we examined the effect of harmine on T<sub>reg</sub>/Th17 differentiation in BACH2-deficient T cells. Mixed bone marrow chimeras allowed us to simultaneously examine the effect of harmine on wildtype and BACH2-deficient T cells. Our studies reproduced the previously reported cell-extrinsic defect in T<sub>reg</sub> (and an even more impressive defect in Th17) differentiation which is due in part to the dysregulated production of cytokines like IFN<sub>γ</sub> by BACH2-deficient cells; this can be
attenuated by adding neutralizing antibodies against IFNγ and IL-4 (Figure 4D, dotted vs solid lines) (Roychoudhuri et al., 2013). Importantly, harmine enhances Treg differentiation and inhibits Th17 differentiation in both wildtype and BACH2-deficient cells, indicating that harmine engages BACH2-independent programs to regulate Treg/Th17 differentiation (Figure 4D). Interestingly, harmine does not regulate the differentiation of BACH2-deficient cells to the same level as wildtype cells, consistent with the notion that harmine also works, at least in part, through BACH2-dependent mechanisms (Figure 4D).

**Harmine enhances Treg cell differentiation by inhibiting DYRK activity**

Harmine inhibits the activity of several targets including monoamine oxidase A (MAOA), CDC-like kinases (CLKs) and dual-specificity tyrosine-phosphorylation regulated kinases (DYRKs) (Bain et al., 2007; Aranda et al., 2011). In order to identify those relevant to Treg cell differentiation, we tested compounds that differentially inhibit each of these targets. Again, each compound was tested at multiple doses to capture any effect. Inhibition of either MAOA or CLKs using moclobemide and KH-CH19, respectively, did not enhance Treg cell differentiation at any dose (Figure 4E) (Fedorov et al., 2011). However, two other DYRK inhibitors, GS6-626616 and pro-INDY, similarly enhanced Treg and inhibited Th17 cell differentiation (Figure 4E,F) (Ogawa et al., 2010; Wippich et al., 2013). The physiological relevance of this finding is supported by FACS and Western blot studies that reproducibly showed higher levels of DYRK1A in Th17 than Treg cells (Figure 4G). This difference is specific; non-Th17 cells generated in the context of pro-Th17 conditions do not exhibit elevated levels of DYRK1A (Figure 4G). Furthermore, knock-down of Dyrk1a in primary CD4+ T cells resulted in increased differentiation of Treg and decreased differentiation of Th17 cells (Figure 4H). Together, these data point to a central role at least for DYRK1A in regulating Treg and Th17 cell differentiation.

DYRKs phosphorylate several proteins (Aranda et al., 2011). Notable amongst these in the context of T cell biology are NFAT proteins, whose phosphorylation by DYRKs leads to their nuclear exclusion (Gwack et al., 2006). Thus, inhibition of DYRKs would be predicted to lead to increased levels of NFAT in the nucleus. To assess this hypothesis, we performed studies using Amnis technology, which combines flow cytometry and high-resolution microscopy to allow precise quantitation of intracellular localization of individual proteins. Naïve CD4+ T cells largely retain NFAT1 in the cytoplasm (Figure 4I, black line). Upon stimulation in Treg low conditions, nuclear translocation of NFAT1 is observed with an accompanying right shift of the nuclear/cytoplasmic ratio (Figure 4I, red line). This nuclear translocation of NFAT1 is reproducibly enhanced approximately 40% with the addition of harmine (Figure 4I, blue line). In support of these results, we independently fractionated nuclei from cells treated with each of these conditions and performed Western blot analyses. These also showed increased NFAT1 in the nuclear compartment upon stimulation in Treg low conditions, with a similar (40%) additional increase when harmine was added (Figure 4J). Thus, harmine enhances nuclear accumulation of NFAT1 at early time points up to 2 hr after stimulation (Figure 4-figure supplement 1).

To gain further insight into the pathways engaged by harmine and DYRK1A, we activated primary CD4+ T cells under Th0 conditions followed either by transduction with Dyrk1a-specific shRNA or control shRNA followed by harmine treatment. RNAseq studies found significant similarities in the expression profiles subsequent to either Dyrk1a knockdown or harmine treatment (Pearson correlation coefficient = 0.65) and genes that were up- or down-regulated as a result of Dyrk1a knockdown were predominantly concordantly regulated by harmine (Figure 4—figure supplement 2, data in GSE67961). Neither Dyrk1a knockdown nor harmine treatment significantly regulated genes associated with either the canonical Treg signature or our 111-gene Treg HAR-Treg signature (Figure 4—figure supplement 3). This is likely related to our observation that harmine does not promote Treg differentiation in the absence of TGFβ; the differences observed here may be upstream of more Treg-associated expression changes. A relatively small number of genes (150) were differentially regulated between Dyrk1a knockdown and harmine treatment, which may in part reflect ancillary mechanisms engaged by harmine to regulate Treg/Th17 differentiation. Overall, our data are consistent with the notion that DYRK1A inhibition represents a major mechanism by which harmine regulates Treg/Th17 differentiation.

**Discussion**

Treg cells are an important regulator of immune homeostasis and an attractive therapeutic target because of their role in human inflammatory diseases such as IBD and T1D. Nevertheless, there remains
a lack of drugs as well as druggable genes and pathways that specifically modulate Th differentiation. Although there is significant interest in manipulating T_{reg} cells to treat IBD and T1D, the most mature efforts are found in the setting of organ transplantation where there is still significant room for improvement, ideal T_{reg} cell subpopulation properties are still unclear and rapamycin is the most cutting-edge compound being used (Desreumaux et al., 2012; Long et al., 2012; Edozie et al., 2014). To address this issue, we report a systematic, high-throughput pipeline to investigate the effects of small molecules on Th cell differentiation. These efforts enabled us to build a comprehensive profile of how compounds affect T cell viability and differentiation into both pro- and anti-inflammatory Th subsets. Drug selection could be guided by such information; for example, an ideal anti-inflammatory drug would not enhance, and would preferably inhibit, Th differentiation into pro-inflammatory lineages. Indeed, the inclusion of many FDA-approved drugs in our studies illustrates the potential of this approach to be applied to drug repurposing efforts. In this regard, our results reinforce interest in clinically used hypolipidemic statins, including lovastatin and simvastatin, as pro-T_{reg} cell and anti-Th17 compounds and suggest that their targets, whose geranylgeranylation are inhibited, are of fundamental and clinical interest (Kagami et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2008). Clinical studies suggest that statins may be useful in rheumatoid arthritis, with somewhat more mixed results in systemic lupus erythematosus and multiple sclerosis (Ulivieri and Baldari, 2014).

Our cytotoxicity data identify significant toxicity with many known T_{reg} cell enhancers, including rapamycin, supporting the value of a continued search. Furthermore, our computational approaches suggest a framework to bin compounds into mechanistic classes, which holds particular relevance to future efforts to use polypharmacy to modulate the immune response by suggesting combinations that might target the maximal breadth of inflammatory pathways.

These studies demonstrate the novel and simultaneous application of three key principles, namely unbiased chemical biology, maximally physiologic experimental system and selection of a phenotypic readout. The advantages of the first two have already been alluded to—studying more compounds intuitively increases the likelihood of discovering novel biology, assuming an accompanying increase in complexity of chemical structures tested, and our use of primary CD4^+ T cells, as opposed to a cell line, maximizes the likelihood of our findings being physiologically relevant. Importantly, using a phenotypic primary endpoint significantly extends the scope of previous chemical biology efforts which had largely centered around finding compounds that bind known key regulators of Th differentiation, such as RORγT (Huh et al., 2011; Xiao et al., 2014). Such studies hold therapeutic promise and have highlighted the utility of using larger chemical libraries. However, the nature of the question fundamentally limits the potential mechanistic insight to targets of the pre-identified key regulator. In contrast, our use of a phenotypic endpoint is designed to capture any compound that affects T_{reg} cell differentiation regardless of mechanism. In proof of this concept, we now identify 14 compounds as novel and specific enhancers of T_{reg} cell differentiation, the largest single addition to the T_{reg} cell biologist’s chemical toolkit. We fully anticipate that subsequent studies will elucidate these compounds’ mechanisms of action, leading us to a fuller understanding of the pathways that regulate T_{reg} cell differentiation. Already, some interesting themes can be observed in this set of T_{reg} cell enhancers. Aside from the retinoic acids and statins, a significant number of them are antimicrobial agents, in particular antifungal agents (including sertaconazole, clotrimazole and pentamidine) and antimalarials (artemisinin, amodiaquine and proguanil). This observation suggests how such drugs might simultaneously act on both pathogen and host. It would be interesting to determine if the effect on T_{reg} cells correlates with clinical features of such drugs.

In order to develop improved diagnostic and therapeutic options, we will need a fuller understanding of the plethora of genes and pathways that regulate T_{reg} cell differentiation and function. The majority of genetic polymorphisms that affect T_{reg} cell function are unlikely to involve the few canonical genes that have been described. This issue will become increasingly pressing as genome sequencing technologies become more accessible and as our ability to manipulate immune modulation improves, requiring more precise selection of the right therapy for the right patient. Moreover, the identification of additional pathways will highlight new candidate therapeutic targets. It is important to note that these pathways, while ancillary to our current understanding, can be and likely are crucially important. This is underscored by our demonstration that T_{reg}^{high} conditions enhance T_{reg} cell differentiation without increasing SMAD2 or SMAD3 phosphorylation above levels induced by T_{reg}^{low} conditions. Thus, even the best understood T_{reg}^relevant cytokine, TGF-β1, engages signals
that remain to be fully understood. This notion is echoed by earlier discoveries that while ATRA enhances both SMAD3 signaling and T<sub>reg</sub> cell differentiation, the two are not linked as ATRA can enhance T<sub>reg</sub> cell differentiation in SMAD3-deficient mice (Nolting et al., 2009).

Although primary T cells have typically been less amenable to more traditional forward genetic approaches, we show here how chemical biology can rapidly advance our understanding of Th biology. Using a library enriched in tool compounds with known molecular activities enhanced our ability to rapidly make mechanistic insights. In this way, our discovery of harmine as a key compound of interest led us to uncover the novel activity of DYRK1A as a reciprocal regulator of T<sub>reg</sub> and Th17 cell differentiation.

The mechanistic details of how DYRK1A regulates Th differentiation remain to be clearly elucidated. Moreover, DYRK1A is a member of a family of five related proteins (Aranda et al., 2011). Whether other DYRK family members regulate T<sub>reg</sub> and Th17 cell differentiation will require additional experiments to elucidate. While the chemical inhibitors used are significantly more specific for DYRKs as compared to other families of kinases, their ability to distinguish between individual DYRKs is more limited (Bain et al., 2007; Ogawa et al., 2010; Wippich et al., 2013). The enhanced NFAT1 nuclear translocation we find associated with DYRK inhibition by harmine treatment in primary CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells is consistent with previous studies in cell lines showing that DYRKs inhibit NFAT signaling (Gwack et al., 2006). On one hand, studies showing progressively severe defects in pT<sub>reg</sub> cell generation corresponding with increasing loss of NFAT family members raise the possibility that harmine-enhanced NFAT signaling may act in the opposite manner to promote T<sub>reg</sub> cell differentiation (Vaeth et al., 2012). Increased NFAT nuclear translocation might enhance binding to described FOXP3 enhancer elements, thus promoting FOXP3 expression, or even to FOXP3 itself, boosting transcription of FOXP3 targets (Ruan et al., 2009; Wu et al., 2006). However, in counterpoint to this simple association of increased NFAT with increased T<sub>reg</sub> cell differentiation, decreased NFAT signaling has also been reported to impair Th1 and Th17 cell differentiation (Ghosh et al., 2010; Hermann-Kleiter and Baier, 2010). Extrapolating these latter results, one might expect the harmine-enhanced NFAT signaling to concomitantly promote Th1 and Th17 cell differentiation, which we clearly do not observe. One way by which these conflicting predictions might be resolved could involve harmine modulating NFAT activity in a more complex manner, for example involving dynamics of nuclear retention, with a T<sub>reg</sub> cell-specific net effect. Alternatively, these results in conjunction with our finding that harmine’s effect on nuclear localization of NFAT diminishes at later time points raise the possibility that some other target of DYRKs is more relevant in the context of T<sub>reg</sub> and Th17 cell differentiation.

Interestingly, human diseases secondary to perturbed DYRK function, on closer inspection, also exhibit immunological aspects, suggesting that the relationship between DYRKs and Th differentiation is physiologically germane. In this regard, the observation that DYRK inhibition promotes T<sub>reg</sub> cell differentiation leads to the converse prediction that increased DYRK activity would inhibit T<sub>reg</sub> cells. Down syndrome is characterized by trisomy of chromosome 21; specifically, the resulting increase in DYRK1A copy number and activity is thought to be a key driver of pathology (Lepagnol-Bestel et al., 2009). Notably, patients with Down syndrome have hypofunctional T<sub>reg</sub> cells and are at increased risk for autoimmune disease (Pellegrini et al., 2012). Similarly, gain-of-function mutations in DYRK1B were recently implicated in metabolic syndrome (Keramati et al., 2014). Decreased adipose tissue-associated T<sub>reg</sub> cells contribute to the inflammation that is a central player in obesity-induced metabolic syndrome (Odegaard and Chawla, 2013). It is tempting to speculate that our findings provide a unifying hypothesis that helps account for these disparate observations, with increased DYRK activity in these patients leading to decreased T<sub>reg</sub> cell differentiation via effects opposite to harmine’s.

In addition to extending our understanding of the biology of T<sub>reg</sub> cell differentiation, our demonstration that harmine-enhanced T<sub>reg</sub> cells exhibit full functionality in multiple animal models of inflammation differing in genetic background, target organ system and antigen specificity raise interest in the possibility of manipulating this axis therapeutically. This notion is reinforced by our finding that harmine itself, directly administered, can attenuate inflammation. Furthermore, harmine similarly enhances human T<sub>reg</sub> differentiation, supporting the likely physiologic relevance of the pathways it engages. Taken together, we propose that DYRKs represent a novel, druggable target of particular relevance to tolerance and inflammation. In summary, these results illustrate how unbiased chemical biology approaches can identify novel chemical modulators of T<sub>reg</sub> cell differentiation, point to interesting mechanistic hypotheses and spark new translational efforts.
Materials and methods

Mice, antibodies and reagents
Balb/c, C57Bl/6, Cd45.1 \textsuperscript{+}, Rag1 \textsuperscript{−}, Foxp3\textsuperscript{IRES-GFP}, Il17\textsuperscript{IRES-GFP}, NOD-scid and NOD-BDC2.5 mice were obtained from Jackson Labs. NOD-BDC2.5.Foxp3\textsuperscript{IRES-GFP} mice were obtained from the JDRF Transgenic Core (Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA). Bach2-knockout mice have been previously described (Muto et al., 2004). Mixed chimeras were generated by injecting CD90.1\textsuperscript{+}CD45.1\textsuperscript{−}Bach2\textsuperscript{−/−} and CD90.1\textsuperscript{−}CD45.1\textsuperscript{+}Bach2\textsuperscript{+/−} bone marrow into C57Bl/6 hosts. Antibodies and cytokines used are described in Supplementary file 1A. Chemical compounds were sourced as in Supplementary file 1B. Pro-INDY and GSK-626616 were synthesized as previously described (Corona et al., 2010; Ogawa et al., 2010).

Murine T cell isolation and culture
CD4\textsuperscript{+}CD62L\textsuperscript{+} naïve T cells were isolated using CD4 negative enrichment kits (Stemcell Technologies, Vancouver, Canada) and CD62L microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec, San Diego, CA) and confirmed to be >95% pure by flow cytometry. These were cultured on 96-well plates pre-coated with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 under conditions outlined in Supplementary file 2. In particular, the addition of harmine to T\textsubscript{reg} low conditions is abbreviated as T\textsubscript{reg} HAR. Compounds were pinned using a CyBIO CyBi Well Vario (96-well pintool) (Cybio, Jena, Germany). T\textsubscript{reg} and Th1 cultures were fed with equal volume of IL-2-supplemented media (10 ng/ml) and retreated with compound at day 2, split 1:2 into IL-2-supplemented media at day 3 and analyzed at day 4. Th17 and Th0 cultures were treated similarly except no IL-2 was supplemented. Cell proliferation was monitored using CFSE (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) per manufacturer’s instructions.

Human T cell isolation and culture
Human peripheral mononuclear cells were separated using Ficoll–Paque (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, United Kingdom) and CD4\textsuperscript{+}CD45RA\textsuperscript{+} naïve T cells isolated using negative enrichment kits (Stemcell Technologies, Vancouver, Canada) per manufacturer’s instructions and confirmed to be >90% pure by flow cytometry.

These were cultured on 96-well plates pre-coated with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 under conditions outlined in Supplementary file 2. Cultures were fed with equal volume of IL-2-supplemented media (10 ng/ml) at day 4, split 1:2 into IL-2-supplemented media at day 6 and analyzed at day 8.

Flow cytometry
5 hr prior to analysis, Th1 and Th17 cultures were restimulated with PMA and ionomycin (50 and 500 ng/ml respectively, Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) in the presence of Golgistop (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Cells were typically stained with LIVE/DEAD and anti-CD4-FITC before being fixed and permeabilized using either Foxp3 fixation/permeabilization buffers (eBioscience, San Diego, CA) or Phosflow Fix/Perm buffers (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) as indicated. Intracellular staining was performed per manufacturer’s instructions. Counting beads (10 μm, Spherotech, Lake Forest, IL) were added at 5000 per well. Acquisition was performed on a FACSVinge (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA) and analyzed using FlowJo software (Treestar, Ashland, OR). Fractional enhancement was determined by increase in percentage lineage-committed cells, relative to maximal cytokine-driven enhancement. Fractional inhibition was calculated relative to percentage lineage-committed cells treated with DMSO. STAT3 phosphorylation was quantitated as previously described (Chaudhry et al., 2011).

Cell sorting was performed on a FACSVantage (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA).

Screening data analysis and hit-calling
Each experimental 96-well plate included at least eight wells each of positive and negative controls. Each experimental batch included an additional plate of 48 positive and 48 negative controls and was processed separately. For quality control purposes, data from each experimental plate were first median-centered using data from all wells except positive controls. Median-centered data from all plates were pooled with batch-level negative controls to estimate batch-wide standard deviation. This step was repeated with the positive controls. Each plate was individually assessed if its internal controls met specific standards. Plates where ≤75% of controls scored within the expected range or...
exhibited suboptimal dynamic range were excluded and retested subsequently. The remaining plates were subjected to a similar strategy of pooling median-centered data to estimate robust standard deviation. This measure was first used to select a negative control reference from the pool of in-plate negative controls and compound-treated wells. Next, data from each screening plate were transformed into Z-scores using the mean of select negative control wells and robust standard deviation. Z-normalized data from all screening plates were pooled per experimental batch. Generalized linear regression models were fitted to positive and negative controls using glmfit function in Matlab (Mathworks, Natick, MA). Compounds that performed at ≥30% of the observed levels for positive control (based on artemisinin’s enhancement) were selected for secondary screening.

**Dose response curve fitting**

Dose response curves for fractional enhancement of T_{reg} cell differentiation and culture cellularity were analyzed in Matlab to identify EC_{50} and LD_{50} doses, at which 50% T_{reg} enhancement and cytotoxicity are observed, respectively. Each compound was profiled across eight doses selected to sufficiently cover response dynamics. Dose response curves were fitted with either a single sigmoid or a double sigmoid function, depending on whether the response was asymptotic or impulse-like. An impulse function has the form:

\[
f = \frac{1}{n_1} s(d; d_1, r_f, r_p, \alpha_1) \times s(d; d_2, r_f, r_p, \alpha_2),
\]

where

\[
s(d; d_m, r_i, r_f, \alpha) = r_i + (r_f - r_i) \frac{1}{1 + e^{-4\alpha(d-d_m)}},
\]

is a sigmoid function with a response that ranges from r_i to r_f with mid-point at dose d_m and a slope of \(\alpha\) at dose d_m. The parameters of this model describe the dose of response onset (d_1), dose of response offset (d_2), initial response at lowest dose (r_i), peak response (r_p), final response at highest dose (r_f), and two slope parameters to model the rate of response onset (\(\alpha_1\)) and offset (\(\alpha_2\)). A single-sigmoid function uses only four parameters (d, r_1, r_2, \alpha). All models were fitted to data using fmincon function in Matlab. Fitted models were reverse-queried to estimate the dose at which 50% of the peak response parameter was observed.

**Unsupervised clustering of phenotypic data**

Phenotypic data (LD_{50}/EC_{50} ratio, maximal T_{reg} enhancement and Th1 and Th17 inhibition) for all 21 T_{reg} enhancers were combined to form a feature matrix. The data were standardized and pairwise similarity between compounds was computed using Pearson correlation with complete linkage in GENE-E (http://www.broadinstitute.org/cancer/software/GENE-E/).

**Effects of chemical perturbation on gene expression**

Transcriptomic profiles examining effects of compounds in three cell lines (MCF7, PC3 and HL60), available for 19 of 21 T_{reg} enhancers, were downloaded from the Connectivity Map (CMAP) database and analyzed in Matlab (Lamb et al., 2006). Expression data from replicate experiments were averaged for each cell line; data from separate doses were not merged. A gene expression amplitude table of 22,280 genes and 62 CMAP instances (reduced from 151) was subject to principal components analysis for dimensionality reduction. 43 principal components explained up to 90% variance in expression data, using genes as features. Normalized PC scores for the first 43 components and 62 compound instances were analyzed for pairwise similarity using Euclidean distance with complete linkage in GENE-E.

**RNA isolation and qRT-PCR**

RNA was isolated using RNeasy kits (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and cDNA prepared using iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) per manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time PCR was performed using iTaq SYBR Green (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) on a C1000 thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) equipped with a CFX384 Real Time System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Cycling conditions were 95°C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 15 s, 59°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 6 s. Primers used were Il17a: TTAAACTCCCTTGCGCAAAAA and CTTTCCCTCGCATGGACAC; Il22: CATGCAAGAGTGGTGATCTTT.
and CAGACGCAAACGCTTCTCAG; Batf: GACACAGAAACCCGACACC and AGCACAGGGCTGCTG; Pou2af1: CACCAAGGCAATAGCAGGA and GAAGCAGAACCCTATGTCA; Mina: TTTGCTCTTA GTAGCTCG and CGGATGGCTCAACTGATT; Slc14a1: GGCTCTGGTTTCAAA and GCCATGCTCGATACAT; Trib1: CAGATTGTTCGCGTCTCG and ACCCTTAATGATGTGAGTATCTTCC; Traf: CCGCTGTGGGAGACTCTT and CCGGAAATATAGCTGGAAGAG; Mybl2: CAAGATGGCCTGGA GAGTAC and GCTTCTCTCTCTGTCTCAG; Mcm2: GCCCATATTCCGCTTTGGA and CCGCTTAC TCTCTTGTGTTACTG; Dab2ip: CATCCTACAGTGCTCGAAGACT and GAGTTCGGAGAGTCTGCTG; Cag: ATGCCACACTTCTGGTCC and GACATCGGGAACATTCGAG; Akt3: GGCACACAGATACCTG and GAGTTCGGAGAGTCTGCTG; Slc9a8: CTGGGAGAGAGTCTGCTG and GCTCATTGTTGGCAAAGGC; Snx6: GTTACAGGCTGAACTCCC and TAAAACCGCAAGGCTTCTG; Eocx2: GACACGCTCAC TGAAGAGG and GAGTTCGGAGAGTCTGCTG; Lmna: GGCACACCAGAGCTGTCTC and GCCATCTGGTGAGGTGTGCCATAC; Th17 cells (Student’s t-test, nominal p ≤ 0.05) differentially regulated between sorted T reg and Treg HAR cells with 

Gene expression profiling

RNA concentration and purity were measured using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA). For microarray studies, RNA was amplified and labeled using the Illumina TotalPrep RNA Amplification kit (Ambion, Grand Island, NY) per manufacturer’s instructions. Labeled cRNA was then hybridized to the Illumina Mouse WG-6 v2 chip. The Illumina microarray was performed by Partners HealthCare Center for Personalized Genetic Medicine (PCPGM) Translational Genomics Core (Boston, MA). BeadChips were scanned per protocol (Illumina Whole Genome Gene Expression for BeadStation Manual v3.2, Revision A) using scanning software BeadScan 3.5.31. The GenomeStudio Data Analysis Software (Illumina, San Diego, CA) was used for data collection. The final report comprising the full dataset was initially processed using the Bioconductor package Lumi by employing a background correction estimate. Subsequently, signal intensities were VST-transformed (variance-stabilizing transformation) and RSN-normalized (robust spline normalization) using the Lumi package in R. Post-processing and statistical analysis of microarray data was carried out in Matlab. Normalized log2 data was first checked for correlation between replicates (>0.98 on average) and probes without gene assignments removed.

For RNAseq studies, polyA mRNA was isolated using the Dynabeads mRNA DIRECT kit (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) and cDNA generated using poly-dT priming and Maxima reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) per manufacturer’s instructions. After RNase A (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) treatment and SPRI bead (Beckman Coulter, Pasadena, CA) cleanup, second strand cDNA was synthesized using NEBNex mRNA Second Strand Synthesis Module (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) followed by SPRI cleanup per manufacturer’s instructions. Samples were tagmented using Nextera DNA sample preparation kits (Illumina, San Diego, CA) and read on a MiSeq (Illumina, San Diego, CA) per manufacturer’s instructions. RNAseq reads were aligned using TopHat (mm10) and RSEM-based quantification using known transcripts (mm10) performed, followed by further processing using the Bioconductor package DESeq in R. The data was normalized by library size followed by variance estimation and evaluation of pairwise differential expression based on a negative binomial distribution model. Post-processing and statistical analysis was carried out in Matlab. The data discussed in this publication have been deposited in NCBI’s Gene Expression Omnibus and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE67961 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE67961) (Edgar et al., 2002).

Gene signatures overlaid were previously reported and χ² analyses were performed as previously reported (Hill et al., 2007; Zheng et al., 2009; Darce et al., 2012; Burzyn et al., 2013; Roychoudhuri et al., 2013; Joller et al., 2014). To identify the Treg HAR signature, we compared the 2269 genes (Student’s t-test, nominal p ≤ 0.05) differentially regulated between sorted Treg and Treg HAR cells with the 3492 differentially expressed genes (Student’s t-test, nominal p ≤ 0.05) between human Treg and Th17 cells (Zhang et al., 2013). Gene expression from each experiment was independently scaled on a per-gene basis using min–max normalization, analyzed in GENE-E and marker selection used to identify the 111-gene signature with signal-to-noise ratio > 1. Transcription factor binding site prediction and enrichment was performed using the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) (Subramanian et al., 2005).
To assess enrichment in disease-associated genes, we downloaded the 1437 genes previously reported to lie within IBD-associated loci (Jostins et al., 2012). We used permutation testing as previously reported to assess fold-enrichment and significance of overlap between the $T_{reg}^{HAR}$ signature set and the IBD-associated gene set (Okada et al., 2014). Briefly, for each of 10,000 iterations, we randomly selected a set of 1437 genes from the entire genome, assessing for overlap with the $T_{reg}^{HAR}$ signature gene set. This distribution was used as the background to assess fold enrichment. Significance of the enrichment was evaluated using one-sided permutation tests.

**Lentiviral knock-down**

Lentiviral shRNA constructs were designed based on established TRC guidelines and cloned at the Genetic Perturbation Platform (Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA). Sequences used to knock-down $Dyrk1a$ expression were TATGAAATCGACTCCTTAATA, TTTGAAATGCTGTCCTATAAT, GAGGTCGATCAGATGAATAAA, GAACCCGTAAACTTCATAATA and ACTCGGATTCAACCTTATTAT. Non-targeting sequences used were AGCAGCTGTTCGAGGATAATA, TTTGCACAAGAACAGAATAAT and ACAGATGCCAATGGGAATATT. Naive CD4$^+$ T cells were isolated and stimulated as described above in Th0 conditions. Cells were infected with 15 μl concentrated lentiviral supernatant at day 1, fed with media + polarizing cytokines at day 2 (T reg low or Th17hi conditions as described above), split 1:2 at day 4 and analyzed at day 5. For RNAseq studies, cells were fed with media ± harmine at day 2 without polarizing cytokines and harvested at day 4.

**Quantitative imaging flow cytometry**

Sorted CD4$^+$ cells were stimulated as indicated, fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde (Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX), permeabilized in PBS + 2% fetal calf serum + 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and stained with anti-CD4-FITC, rabbit anti-NFAT1, APC-donkey anti-rabbit and DAPI. Acquisition was performed on an Amnis ImageStream MkII imaging flow cytometer (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA), combining high-resolution microscopy and flow cytometry to quantitate nuclear/cytoplasmic signal distribution. 10,000 event data files were acquired per sample using a 60x objective running a 6-μm core diameter at 44 mm/s. Brightfield, side scatter and fluorescent images were collected using three excitation lasers (405 nm, 1 mW Ch07; 642 nm, 100 mW Ch11; 785 nm, 1.09 mW for SSC Ch06). Single color controls were acquired and spectral compensation performed post-acquisition. From single, nucleated cells in focus, the DAPI$^+$CD4$^+$NFAT$^+$ population was gated upon. To determine NFAT1 signal distribution, a nuclear morphology mask, which includes all pixels within the outermost image contour, was created from the DAPI$^+$ image (Channel 07). Nuclear/whole cell NFAT1 ratios were determined by dividing the NFAT1 intensity within the defined nuclear morphology mask by the NFAT1 intensity over the entire cell (default MC Ch11 mask). Nuclear/cytoplasmic histogram values were compared using a similarity score (a log-transformed Pearson’s correlation coefficient that measures the degree to which two images are linearly correlated in the nuclear masked region).

**Western blotting and nuclear isolation**

Cell extracts for Western analyses were prepared using TNN lysis buffer, pH 8 (100 mM TRIS-HCl, 100 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1 mM DTT, 10 mM NaF) supplemented with protease inhibitor (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) and phosphatase inhibitors (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA), separated by SDS-PAGE (Bio-Rad, 456-9035) and transferred onto PVDF membrane (Immobilon-P, Millipore, IPVH20200, Billerica, MA). Approximately 10$^6$ cells were processed per lane. Antibodies used are described in Supplementary file 1A. Bands were visualized using Western Lightning Plus-ECL (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA) and/or SuperSignal West Femto substrate (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA) per manufacturer’s instructions. Nuclear isolation was performed using a Nuclei Isolation Kit (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) per manufacturer’s protocol after cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 50 mM TRIS-HCl pH7.8) supplemented with DTT, protease and phosphatase inhibitors. Band intensity was quantitated using ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012).

**In vitro $T_{reg}$ suppression assay**

This was performed as previously described (Collison and Vignali, 2011). Briefly, sorted CD45.1$^+$CD4$^+$CD62L$^+$ T$_{responder}$ cells were labeled with CFSE (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) per manufacturer’s protocol, plated at 5 x 10$^4$ cells per well in 96-well U bottom plates and co-cultured...
with sorted CD45.2+Foxp3\textsuperscript{RES-GFP} T_{reg} cells at ratios indicated in the presence of anti-CD3/28 beads (Dynabead, Grand Island, NY) and analyzed by flow cytometry 3 days later.

**T_{reg} suppression—T1D model**

As previously described, $5 \times 10^4$ CD4+CD62L+ T cells isolated from NOD-BDC2.5 mice were administered intravenously to NOD-scid mice with or without $1 \times 10^5$ sorted T_{reg} cells generated from NOD-BDC2.5.Foxp3\textsuperscript{RES-GFP} mice ([Herman et al., 2004; Tarbell et al., 2004]). Blood glucose levels were measured with a handheld Contour glucometer (Bayer, Leverkusen, Germany) at days 3, 6, 8 and every day thereafter. Diabetes was diagnosed when blood sugar was over 250 mg/dl for 2 consecutive days.

**T_{reg} suppression—CD45RB\textsuperscript{hi} colitis model**

As previously described, $5 \times 10^5$ CD4+CD62L+ T cells were injected into the intraperitoneal cavity of Rag1\textsuperscript{−/−} mice. 10 days later, mice were injected with either PBS or $1.5 \times 10^5$ sorted T_{reg} cells generated from Foxp3\textsuperscript{RES-GFP} mice ([Smith et al., 2013]). Mice were monitored weekly for weight loss and morbidity for 6–8 weeks per protocol. At 8 weeks, mice were euthanized and proximal, middle and distal colon analyzed histologically by blinded observers as previously described ([De Jong et al., 2000]).

**T_{reg} suppression—airway inflammation model**

Allergic airway inflammation was induced in mice as previously described ([Grainger et al., 2010]). In brief, C57Bl/6 mice were injected intraperitoneally with 10 \(\mu\)g of ovalbumin (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and 1 mg of aluminum hydroxide (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) suspended in 0.5 ml of PBS on days 0 and 10. Sorted T_{reg} cells generated from Foxp3\textsuperscript{RES-GFP} mice using T_{reg} hi/T_{reg} HAR conditions were transferred by retroorbital injection on days 16 and 19. Mice were challenged intratracheally with 10 \(\mu\)g OVA in PBS on days 17 and 20 and sacrificed 20–24 hr after the last challenge. The trachea was exposed and cannulated with polyethylene tubing followed by bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) with PBS + 0.6 mM EDTA. Lavage fluid was centrifuged, and pelleted cells counted and analyzed. The differential cell count was performed as previously described; cells were stained with Diff-Quick (Dade Behring, Newark, DE) and macrophages, neutrophils, eosinophils, and lymphocytes on cytocentrifuge preparations enumerated ([Grainger et al., 2010]). At least 200 cells were counted on each slide.

**Protection against airway inflammation**

C57Bl/6 mice were injected intraperitoneally with 100 \(\mu\)g ovalbumin and 1 mg aluminum hydroxide on day 0, challenged with 10 \(\mu\)g ovalbumin intratracheally on days 14, 17 and 21, and tissues were harvested for analysis 24 hr after the last challenge as previously described ([Curotto de Lafaille et al., 2008]). Tolerance was induced by intranasal sensitization with 100 \(\mu\)g ovalbumin prior to immunization on days −7, −6, and −5 ([Curotto de Lafaille et al., 2008]). Mice were treated daily with 1 mg harmine HCl (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX) dissolved in water intranasally from days −8 through −3 as indicated.

**Histology**

Tissues were preserved in 10% formalin. Paraffin embedding, sectioning and staining with either hematoxylin and eosin or Periodic acid-Schiff/Alcian Blue were performed by the Histopathology Research Core (Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA)

**Effects of harmine in vivo**

Mice were treated with 1 mg harmine HCl intranasally for 5 days, and thoracic lymph nodes harvested on day 6 (for T cell studies) or day 2 (for dendritic cell studies). To liberate dendritic cells, lymph nodes were mechanically disrupted and incubated in HBSS (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, United Kingdom) containing 2.5 mg/ml collagenase D (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) at 37˚C for 30 min. Digestion was neutralized by adding EDTA to 20 mM.

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical measures, including mean values, standard deviations, Student’s t-tests, Mantel–Cox tests, Mann–Whitney tests and one-way ANOVA tests, were performed using Graphpad Prism software and Matlab. Where appropriate, unless otherwise stated, graphs display mean ± standard deviation.
Study approval
All experiments were performed with the approval of the IACUC of Massachusetts General Hospital (Boston, MA).
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