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1. Introduction

Dopamine cells of the midbrain (substantia nigra and ventral tegmental area) heavily innervate the striatum. Loss of dopaminergic cells in the midbrain is a neuropathological hallmark of age-related Lewy body diseases (LBD) including Parkinson’s disease with or without dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies. Nigrostriatal dopamine loss can currently only be measured in vivo using positron emission tomography (PET). Striatal dopamine transporter (DAT) availability measured with PET is one measure of nigrostriatal integrity (see Brooks and Pavese, 2011, for review). DAT binding in putamen and caudate in patients with LBD is reduced by 55–75% compared to age-matched healthy controls (Hacker et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2012), suggesting that loss of striatal resting-state functional connectivity may be reflective of the nigrostriatal dopamine loss in these patients. In line with this hypothesis, Tomasi and Volkow (2014) showed increases in midbrain coupling with ventral striatum and pallidum in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), which may parallel the increased levels of markers of the dopamine system that are seen in ADHD (e.g. Spencer et al., 2013). In schizophrenic patients, midbrain connectivity with ventral striatum was decreased (Hadley et al., 2014), again possibly reflecting altered functions of the dopamine system in this patient group (Laruelle et al., 1996).

To date, however, reports have been restricted to group comparisons between patient groups with known pathology of the dopamine system, without an analysis of individual differences in midbrain–striatal connectivity in relation to in vivo assessments of dopamine PET markers. The present study was designed to fill this gap by assessing striatal connectivity with resting-state fMRI and DAT availability with PET in the same group of patients with nigrostriatal dopamine loss. We predicted that weaker functional connectivity MRI between the midbrain and the putamen would be related to lower presynaptic DAT availability in the striatum. If present, such a result would indicate
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that resting-state functional connectivity MRI may function as a useful biomarker of underlying neuropathology.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

Twenty-six patients (mean age = 68.1 years, SD = 6.7, range 57–81, 9 female) with parkinsonism completed a PET scan, a structural MRI and at least one resting-state fMRI run. Data from six of these patients were excluded from analysis because of head motion (Van Dijk et al., 2012).

Subjects were recruited from the MGH Movement Disorders and Memory Disorders Units. All subjects gave informed consent to participate in the study according to the protocol approved by the ethical review board. Patients were clinically diagnosed with parkinsonism and had, on average, experienced motor symptoms for 9.2 years (SD = 5.6, range 3–22 years). Fourteen patients met diagnostic criteria for idiopathic Parkinson’s disease (Hughes et al., 1992) and were non-demented. Six other patients were diagnosed with parkinsonism and cognitive impairment: three had dementia with Lewy bodies (McKeith et al., 2005) and three had Parkinson’s disease with dementia (Emre et al., 2007). Although it is worthwhile to study these patient groups separately when large sample sizes permit (e.g. Marquie et al., 2014), in the current study the primary objective was to study striatal functional connectivity in relation to dopamine loss as measured with PET. As all subjects shared the typical motor signs of parkinsonism, and as DAT density was depleted in all patients relative to a healthy reference sample, patients were treated as a single group.

Patients were tested on their prescribed dopamine replacement medication to minimize head motion and a levodopa equivalent dose was calculated (mean = 400.3 mg/day, SD = 376.8; Tomlinson et al., 2014), in the current study the primary objective was to study striatal connectivity analyses. Control subjects were clinically normal older adults (mean = 70.9 years, range 63–80, 11 females) recruited for the Harvard Aging Brain study. Patients and normal controls did not differ in terms of age (t(47) = 1.0, p = 0.34) or estimated VIQ (mean(±SD) patients = 122.5(11.4), mean(±SD) controls = 120.9(9.6); t(46) = 14.70, p = 0.01; Fig. 1B) and the DVR estimates correlated significantly with disease duration (r = −0.45, p = 0.02) and Hoehn–Yahr stage of motor impairment (r = −0.45, p = 0.03) in the present sample.

2.2. PET acquisition and analysis

PET data were partial volume corrected using an anatomical MRI-based correction method, implemented using PVElab Software and SPM5 (Quarantelli et al., 2004; Meltzer et al., 1990). Then, uptake images (averaged across the first 8 min) were normalized to the SPM8 standard PET template in MNI space using a two-step procedure of an initial 12-parameter affine transformation followed by non-linear warping (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm). Normalization parameters were applied to the remaining frames and a striatum region of interest (ROI) was defined as the 1000 voxels (≈8 cm³) with the highest signal intensity on the average emission image (8–60 min, Fig. 1A). The search was constrained to a large search area around the striatum. A central cerebellar region of 1000 voxels was defined in MNI atlas space as a reference region and Logan graphical analysis was used to derive distribution volume ratios (DVRs; Logan et al., 1990) for the striatum. Alternative methods for PET ROI delineation of striatum involve manual or automatic delineation in native PET or MNI space. However, anatomical ROI estimates can be inaccurate due to low spatial resolution or low contrast and also differ in size between individuals, which may introduce dependencies between volume measures and DVR. Our approach ensured that DVR estimation for the striatum is fully automated, of a fixed size, and not affected by moderate errors in the registration to standard space. Even though this approach does not distinguish between sub-regions of the striatum it accurately separates DAT densities in clinically normal aging (mean = 3.38, SD = 0.34) from pathological dopamine loss in the patients (mean = 2.02, SD = 0.29; t(47) = 14.70, p < 0.01; Fig. 1B) and the DVR estimates correlated significantly with disease duration (r = −0.45, p = 0.02) and Hoehn–Yahr stage of motor impairment (r = −0.45, p = 0.03) in the present sample.

2.3. MRI acquisition and analysis

All patients completed a T1-weighted MPRAGE scan (1.0 mm isotropic voxel size, TR = 2300 ms, TE = 2.98 ms, Flip angle 9 deg, 192 slices) and at least one resting state fMRI run of 6 min and 30 s using a T2*-weighted sequence sensitive to blood oxygenation level dependent contrast (2.0 mm isotropic voxel size; TR = 5000 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle 90 deg, 55 slices). For normal controls, a multiiecho MPRAGE (1.20 mm isotropic voxel size, TR = 2200 ms, TI = 1100 ms, Flip angle = 7 deg, 4× acceleration, 144 slices, TE = 1.54, 3.36, 5.18, 7.01 ms) and two resting state fMRI runs of 6 min and 20 s were available (3.0 mm isotropic voxel size, TR = 3000 ms, TE = 30 ms, Flip angle = 85 deg, 47 slices). All MRI scans were acquired on a Siemens 3 T Tim Trio scanner at Massachusetts General Hospital.

From the T1-weighted structural scan, ventricular size was estimated from a volumetric segmentation using Freesurfer (v 5.1.0, e.g. Fischl et al., 2002). Ventricle volume was normalized by intracranial volume following the methods described in Buckner et al. (2004), and used to control for the effects of subcortical atrophy in the association between functional connectivity and striatal DVR.

Pre-processing of the fMRI data followed the procedures of prior studies in this field (di Martino et al., 2008; Hacker et al., 2012) and included slice-timing correction, motion-correction, registration to standard MNI152 space, spatial smoothing, temporal filtering, and intensity normalization. Motion-correction was performed using rigid body translation and rotation of all volumes to the first volume (Jenkinson et al., 2002). To acquire a summary measure of motion, the root-mean-square of the 3 translation and 3 rotation parameters was calculated for each volume relative to the preceding volume and averaged over all volumes of the scan. Following this step, six patients were excluded from further analysis (N = 20 remaining) because they had an average mean displacement of more than 0.25 mm, where 0.25 mm corresponds approximately to 2 SDs above the average mean motion for the healthy older control group (mean = 0.10 mm, SD = 0.07), and/or at least 5 isolated movements of more than 0.5 mm from one brain volume as compared to the previous volume.
For the remaining subjects, the 6 estimated motion parameters and other sources of variance unlikely to represent signal of neuronal origin (global signal, cerebrospinal fluid signal, deep cerebral white matter signal and the first temporal derivatives of these waveforms; Van Dijk et al., 2010) were removed by regression, saving the residual timecourses for each voxel for further analysis.

Bilateral caudate and putamen ROIs were defined based on the volumetric segmentation and co-registered to the fMRI data using boundary-based registration (Greve and Fischl, 2009) followed by registration to standard MNI152 space. In a first step, the putamen seed region was further separated into hemispheres and anterior and posterior putamen (cf Hacker et al., 2012 for details). However, connectivity from all four putamen subregions converged on a highly similar bilateral target in midbrain. Therefore, connectivity from the putamen to the midbrain target ROI was functionally defined based on average whole-brain correlation using the entire putamen. Peak coordinates of midbrain connectivity in the healthy controls were identified at (right/ left) $x = 10/−8$, $y = −8/−10$, $z = −12/−12$ and a 6 mm sphere was added to capture individual variation around the peak. This ROI converges spatially with the substantia nigra ROI identified by Tomasi and Volkow (2014) ($x = ±12$, $y = −12$, $z = −12$).

We also found the putamen to be negatively correlated with the sensori-motor cortex and included these targets in the analysis. Control targets for which we did not expect an association with DAT availability in LBD were functionally defined targets on average whole-brain correlation maps of the caudate seed (thalamus and anterior cingulate cortex; di Martino et al., 2008; Hacker et al., 2012). All a-priori defined ROIs from the normal controls (Fig. 2) were then applied to the patient

Fig. 1. A) An example image of 11-C Altropane binding is shown for a normal control and a patient with Parkinson’s disease. The striatal ROI was identified as the 1000 voxels with peak radioactivity uptake. B) Striatal DAT availability (11C-Altropane DVR) is significantly lower in patients with nigrostriatal dopamine loss (dementia with Lewy bodies and Parkinson’s disease) compared with age-matched normal control participants (NC).

Fig. 2. Putamen and caudate seeds were defined based on anatomy from the individual subject structural MRI. Example putamen and caudate ROIs for one subject are shown. Whole-brain functional connectivity MRI maps using the putamen and caudate as seed region were then calculated in 29 clinically normal older adults to define regions of interest for further analyses in the patients. ROIs were identified as peak connectivity estimates of known regions within the caudate and putamen networks (Di Martino et al., 2008; Hacker et al., 2012). For the putamen network we selected peaks in the right and left midbrain (MNI coordinates right $x = 10$, $y = −8$, $z = −12$, left $x = −8$, $y = −10$, $z = −12$) and right and left postcentral gyrus (MNI coordinates right $x = 36$, $y = −26$, $z = 68$, left $x = −34$, $y = −20$, $z = 68$). For the caudate network we included peaks in the anterior cingulate cortex (MNI coordinates $x = −2$, $y = 46$, $z = 6$) and right and left anterior thalamus (MNI coordinates right $x = 10$, $y = −2$, $z = 6$, left $x = −10$, $y = −4$, $z = 8$).
group and for each patient the correlation between timeseries from (1) putamen and midbrain, (2) putamen and sensori-motor cortex (postcentral gyrus), (3) caudate and anterior cingulate, and (4) caudate and anterior thalamus were calculated. Since our main hypothesis was a positive association between midbrain–putamen functional connectivity and striatal DAT availability within this patient group, the main analysis consisted of Pearson’s correlations and linear regression between fMRI functional connectivity and striatal PET DAT signal at a significance threshold of $p < 0.05$ (1-tailed). In an additional analysis we also explored the correlations between DAT availability and functional connectivity in the reference sample of healthy controls. These latter results should be interpreted cautiously, however, because these data are not independent from those used to define the seeds. Direct comparisons between patients and healthy controls were not computed because of differences in the fMRI acquisitions.

### 3. Results

Of chief interest, putamen–midbrain functional connectivity was associated with striatal DAT availability such that weaker connectivity was related to lower DAT signal ($r = 0.38$, $p = 0.05$, Fig. 3). Lower Altropane DVR was also associated with a less negative correlation between the putamen and the postcentral gyrus ($r = -0.37$, $p = 0.05$; Fig. 3). Striatal DAT availability was not related to functional connectivity between the caudate and the anterior cingulate cortex ($p = 0.22$, $p = 0.17$) or to functional connectivity between the caudate and the anterior thalamus ($r = -0.04$, $p = 0.86$). Controlling for mean head motion as a covariate in the analysis increased the correlation between DAT density and putamen–midbrain connectivity to $r = 0.44$ ($p = 0.03$).

Seven patients were excluded based on this additional quality control step. Although the identification of these subtle motion artifacts beyond the objective thresholds we set for movement parameters, is somewhat subjective, we find it important to demonstrate that for this sub-sample (N = 13), the correlations of striatal DAT density with putamen–midbrain connectivity ($r = 0.52$, $p = 0.04$) and putamen-postcentral gyrus ($r = -0.50$, $p = 0.04$) were still present. In a further set of control analyses, age, disease duration, subcortical atrophy, Hoehn and Yahr stage, and levodopa equivalent dose during the fMRI scan were explored in this subsample as possible confounding variables of the association between Altropane DVR and functional connectivity, beyond head motion. Notably, the association between functional connectivity MRI and DAT availability was reduced to trend-level when we accounted for medication (Table 1) as levodopa equivalent dose shared an association with both DAT availability ($r = -0.55$, $p = 0.03$) and putamen functional connectivity estimates (midbrain: $r = -0.54$, $p = 0.03$; postcentral gyrus: $r = 0.45$, $p = 0.06$). Importantly, however, no single covariate could fully explain the association between Altropane DVR and functional connectivity, indicating a direct association between measures of striatal dopamine functions and functional connectivity estimates beyond their shared association with disease severity.

Incidentally, the associations between DAT density and putamen connectivity estimates were not present in the healthy controls (midbrain: $r = 0.16$, $p = 0.21$; post-central gyrus: $r = -0.01$, $p = 0.50$, co-varied for mean motion). Rather, in the healthy controls striatal DAT availability was significantly associated with connectivity between caudate and anterior thalamus ($r = 0.35$, $p = 0.04$, co-varied for mean motion) and, at trend-level, with the connectivity between caudate and anterior cingulate (r = 0.24, $p = 0.11$, co-varied for mean motion).

Patients with and without cognitive impairment did not differ significantly in any of the functional connectivity estimates (all p values $> 0.05$) and because of the limited sample size we were unable to assess additional or interacting effects of cognitive impairment in the current study. The patients with cognitive impairment are highlighted in green in the scatterplots.

### 4. Discussion

Previous research has shown reductions in functional connectivity between the midbrain and the putamen in group comparisons between healthy control subjects and patients with Parkinson’s disease. Although functional connectivity MRI is a popular and reliable method for assessing the organization of functional networks in the brain, it remains challenging to relate inter-individual differences in connectivity strength between two regions to the integrity of the underlying neural pathways.

In the current study, we combined resting state fMRI and PET to show that individual differences in midbrain–putamen functional connectivity in patients with nigrostriatal dopamine loss are proportional

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome (fMRI) Predictors</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Putamen–midbrain Altropane DVR</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altropane DVR(H &amp; Y)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altropane DVR(Levodopa dose)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altropane DVR(Age)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altropane DVR(Subcortical atrophy)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen–postcentral gyrus Altropane DVR</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altropane DVR(H &amp; Y)</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altropane DVR(Levodopa dose)</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altropane DVR(Age)</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altropane DVR(Subcortical atrophy)</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**H & Y** — Hoehn and Yahr clinical stage.

---

**Fig. 3** Greater striatal DAT availability (Altropane DVR) is related to stronger connectivity of the putamen to midbrain and to increasingly negative correlation of the putamen to postcentral gyrus. * = $p < 0.05$. Patients with cognitive impairment are highlighted in green. For additional quality control, whole-brain functional connectivity maps were generated for the patients and inspected for remaining movement artifacts in the form of a ring activation pattern at the edges of the brain (a commonly mentioned residual effect of motion on fMRI data; cf Beckmann et al., 2000; Satterthwaite et al., 2013; White et al., 2014).
to measures of integrity of the dopamine system. DAT availability in these patients was unrelated to connectivity within the caudate–thalamic–prefrontal loop. Histopathology studies have shown specific losses of dopaminergic nigro-striatal projections in Parkinson’s disease and dementia with Lewy bodies that are dissociable from normal aging (Fearnley and Lees, 1991) and our findings suggest that putamen functional connectivity estimates are sensitive to impairment of this projection. Pertaining to this point, we found no evidence for an association between putamen–midbrain connectivity and DAT availability in the healthy controls. This interpretation does not preclude the possibility that caudate networks may also play a role in disease progression and relate to the cognitive impairment that develops in a subset of patients. Here, we were unable to disentangle differential patterns of striatal connectivity in patients with and without dementia because of the small number of cognitively impaired subjects.

Studies applying a levodopa challenge in young adults (Kelly et al., 2009; Cole et al., 2013) and patients with Parkinson’s disease (Jech et al., 2013) have shown acute increases in midbrain functional connectivity following drug administration. Taken together, our results and the results from pharmacological fMRI studies show that midbrain functional connectivity can be sensitive to the overall trait of the dopamine system (i.e. striatal DAT availability) as well as short-term changes in dopamine state (i.e. following pharmacological intervention). In line with this, while levodopa dose accounted for a part of the association between DAT availability and putamen connectivity, levodopa dose did not fully explain the resting-state functional connectivity estimates attributable to DAT availability. That said, further research is needed to validate the usefulness of functional connectivity as a correlate of PET-based imaging of the dopamine system also in patients off medication and in very early stages of the disease. In the current study, patients were in a moderate disease stage and tested on medication to avoid movement in the scanner and to reduce patient burden. With respect to accurately disentangling the effects of levodopa dose and DAT availability on functional connectivity, the fact that all patients were tested on medication is a potential limitation of the current study.

In addition to midbrain–putamen connectivity, we found that DAT availability was related to negative correlations between putamen signal and the postcentral gyrus. Negative correlations are often seen in resting-state studies of the striatum (e.g. di Martino et al., 2008), and appear to be less negative in Parkinson’s disease (Göttlich et al., 2013; Hacker et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2009; Yu et al., 2013), suggesting that putamen correlations of either sign tend towards zero as striatal dopamine terminals are lost and the disease progresses (Olde Dubbelink et al., 2014). Our results confirm that weaker estimates of either sign are related to the disease process. However, it should be noted that negative correlations are difficult to interpret in functional connectivity analyses (Weissenbacher et al., 2009), and it is unclear whether negative correlations between putamen and postcentral gyrus reflect the integrity of anatomical projections. As Lewy bodies tend to spare the sensori-motor cortices until late in the disease (Braak et al., 2004), negative correlations between the putamen and sensori-motor areas may relate to the neurodegenerative disease process through downstream effects of nigrostriatal dopaminergic depletion. Of note, a whole-brain explorative correlational analysis by Balk et al. (2014) suggested that associations between DAT availability and striatal connectivity may also exist for regions outside of putamen–thalamic–cortical networks, which we did not assess here.

One limitation of the current study may be that our measure of striatal DAT availability does not distinguish between caudate and putamen or between brain hemispheres. We chose this approach because it ensures that DVR estimation was fully automated and would not be affected by differences in striatal volume between participants or by errors in registration, which can be substantial in patient groups (e.g. Reig et al., 2007). Our striatal estimate of DAT availability accurately distinguished between patients and normal controls, and measures of DAT availability correlated with measures of symptom severity as expected.

A further limitation of this study is the small sample size, which was due to a priori screening of patients for head motion during fMRI scanning. Motion is a common problem in fMRI studies of patients with movement disorders (Hacker et al., 2012), and we opted for analytic rigor over larger numbers of subjects. We hope that with public databases becoming increasingly available, it will in the future be possible to replicate our results in a larger independent sample.

In conclusion, this study shows that functional connectivity between the midbrain and the striatum is linked to the integrity of the dopaminergic system in patients with Lewy body diseases. These findings suggest that midbrain–striatum functional connectivity may provide a useful indicator of underlying pathology in diseases characterized by nigrostriatal dopaminergic loss.
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