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Comorbidity Between Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder Across the Lifespan: A Systematic and Critical Review
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Abstract: The concept of comorbidity between attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) has been discussed for two decades. No review, however, has examined this question in light of the stark contrast in disorder-specific phenomenology and neurobiology. We review reported prevalence rates and the methodological, phenomenological, and theoretical issues concerning concomitant ADHD-OCD. Reported co-occurrence rates are highly inconsistent in the literature. Studies aimed at examining the potential for comorbidity have suffered from various methodological problems, including the existence of very few community samples, highly variable exclusionary criteria, and possible clinical misinterpretation of symptoms. Despite numerous studies suggesting an ADHD-OCD comorbidity, thus far etiological (i.e., genetic) backing has been provided only for a pediatric comorbidity. Additionally, inflated rates of ADHD-OCD co-occurrence may be mediated by the presence of tic disorders, and evidence of impaired neuronal maturational processes in pediatric OCD may lead to possibly transient phenotypical expressions that resemble ADHD symptomatology. Thus, clinicians are encouraged to consider the possibility that ADHD-like symptoms resulting from OCD-specific symptomatology may be misdiagnosed as ADHD. This suggestion may account for the lower co-occurrence rates reported in adolescents and adults and for the lack of a theoretical account for comorbidity in these age groups. Existing literature is summarized and critically reviewed, and recommendations are made for future research.
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INTRODUCTION

Attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) are common developmental neuropsychiatric disorders associated with significant distress and dysfunction. ADHD is characterized by inattention and by hyperactivity and impulsiveness present since childhood, whereas OCD is characterized by intrusive obsessions and by compulsions that are typically performed in response to those obsessions. In the 1990s, studies examining the prevalence and clinical manifestation of juvenile OCD began to report elevated rates of co-occurring ADHD (i.e., the simultaneous presentation of two diagnoses). Within a few years, numerous studies reported ADHD-OCD comorbidity in pediatric OCD samples. Fewer studies examined ADHD-OCD comorbidity in adults.

As we elaborate below, the research on ADHD-OCD comorbidity faces empirical and conceptual difficulties that are likely interrelated. Empirically, reports of co-occurrence rates are highly inconsistent, ranging from 0% to 60%, with much lower co-occurrence rates reported in adults relative to youth samples. Conceptually, the notion of genuine comorbidity between the two disorders (i.e., simultaneous presentation of the two disorders; as opposed to false comorbidity, where symptoms of one disorder mimic those of the other) is not easily reconciled with the fundamental neurobiological, phenomenological, and behavioral differences between ADHD and OCD. For example, relative to controls, OCD patients exhibit frontostriatal hyperactivation, whereas ADHD patients exhibit frontostriatal hypoactivation. In addition, several potential confounding factors (e.g., the mediating effect of tic disorders, the presence of ADHD-like symptoms in OCD) may contribute to the variability of reported co-occurrence rates of the two disorders. In light of these difficulties, there is a
need for a systematic and critical review of the studies reporting co-occurrence between ADHD and OCD. In this article we aim to (1) conduct a systematic review of reported ADHD-OCD co-occurrence rates, (2) outline methodological caveats that may have contributed to the variability seen across studies, (3) evaluate the theoretical and etiological accounts for ADHD-OCD comorbidity in light of fundamental neurobiological and clinical differences between the two disorders, (4) examine potential confounding factors that may inflate reported co-occurrence rates, and (5) offer directions for future research.

We begin our review by describing the differences between OCD and ADHD in terms of phenomenology, neurobiology, neuropsychology, and pharmacotherapy. We suggest that these extensive differences pose a challenge for the concept of genuine comorbidity between the two disorders, especially in adults. We continue with a systematic review of reported co-occurrence rates of ADHD and OCD. We then address several methodological issues that may contribute to the variability in reported co-occurrence rates, followed by examination of the available etiological accounts for ADHD-OCD co-occurrence (which are presently limited to pediatric populations). Thereafter, we outline potential confounding factors that may contribute to inflation of co-occurrence rates. Specifically, we suggest that the presence of tic disorders as well as OCD-related executive function impairments may result in ADHD symptoms in OCD that may further contribute to inflated reported rates of concomitance. In addition, we propose a neurodevelopmental perspective according to which deficient neuromaturational processes in pediatric OCD may transiently produce ADHD symptoms in children with OCD. Finally, we outline implications and future directions for research.

Phenomenology of OCD and ADHD

ADHD is considered a congenital disorder with a strong heritable component. OCD is also thought to have a hereditary component. Estimated heritability in OCD (40%) is much less prominent than in ADHD, however, in which 70%–80% of the phenotypic variance can be explained by genetic factors. In addition, whereas ADHD presents in childhood, only 25%–50% of adults with OCD experience onset before age 18.

ADHD is an externalizing disorder characterized predominantly by inattention, behavioral impulsivity, and hyperactivity; it is further associated with risk-taking behavior, drug abuse, and novelty seeking. By contrast, OCD is an internalizing disorder characterized predominantly by obsessions and compulsions, and is associated with harm/risk avoidance and restrained behavior. In contrast to the prominent impulsiveness phenotype associated with ADHD, OCD is associated with generally inhibited temperament typified by behavioral restraint, withdrawal, and avoidance of novel stimuli. Moreover, studies indicate that individuals diagnosed with OCD are no more behaviorally impulsive than nonpsychiatric control participants, with several studies reporting lower levels of behavior impulsivity in OCD than in nonpsychiatric controls.

When addressing the concept of comorbidity between ADHD and OCD, it is useful to consider the notion of an impulsive-compulsive continuum, as suggested by Hollander. According to this hypothetical scheme, the impulsive end of this continuum—which is associated with OCD—is characterized by harm avoiding and risk-aversive behaviors. Conversely, the impulsive end of the continuum is characterized by behavioral impulsivity (i.e., behaviors lacking forethought) and risk taking. According to Hollander, the concept of polarity between the two constructs is further supported by neurobiological and neurochemical differences. For example, on the neurotransmitter level, impulsivity is mediated by dopamine, whereas compulsivity is mediated by serotonin. In sum, ADHD and OCD appear to be remarkably different in terms of their phenomenology.

Given that the symptoms of behavioral impulsivity differ so dramatically between the two disorders, it may be reasonable to assume that among OCD patients, there would be a higher probability of identifying primary inattentive type of ADHD (ADHD-I), rather than the predominantly hyperactive subtype (ADHD-H) or the combined type (ADHD-C). Estimations of the prevalence of ADHD subtypes vary as a function of the assessment methods. A recent meta-analysis reported, however, that best-estimation diagnosis yielded 57% prevalence rates for ADHD-C, 30% for ADHD-I, and 13% for ADHD-H. A limited number of studies reported the breakdown of ADHD subtypes in individuals with ADHD-OCD comorbidity. Some studies report percentages similar to those in Willcutt’s meta-analysis. For example, Geller and colleagues found 69% of ADHD-C and 24% of ADHD-I in a sample of youth with comorbid OCD and ADHD. Other reports, however, indicated a subtype breakdown favoring ADHD-I. For example, Anholt and colleagues found 48% ADHD-I and 36% ADHD-C in a sample of adults with comorbid ADHD-OCD. In sum, the data are currently too sparse to permit a persuasive inference regarding the speculative hypothesis of a higher probability of identifying ADHD-I in patients with comorbid ADHD-OCD.

Neurobiology of ADHD and OCD

As one might expect from the phenomenological dissimilarity, review of the ADHD and OCD neurobiological literature suggests that each disorder is associated with a distinct pattern of brain pathophysiology. A large body of research reveals an abnormal pattern of brain activity along the frontostriatal system and among selected regions of interest (see below) throughout this network in both ADHD and OCD. The frontostriatal functional abnormalities contrast sharply, however, between the two disorders. OCD is associated with abnormally increased activity (hipermetabolism) in frontal and striatal regions such as the orbitofrontal cortex, the basal ganglia, and thalamus. Furthermore, OCD patients exhibit hyperactivated frontostriatal functional connectivity. By contrast, decreased activity
brain activity in prefrontal and striatal regions has repeatedly been found in ADHD, along with correspondingly reduced frontostriatal connectivity. To our knowledge, the only study that directly compared neuronal network abnormalities in ADHD and OCD found increased activation in OCD and decreased functional connectivity in ADHD.

In light of the different clinical picture and the opposing patterns of frontostriatal pathophysiology, it is important to compare the associations between symptom severity and frontostriatal activation in ADHD and OCD. A review of the relevant literature shows that symptom severity and frontostriatal activation are negatively associated in ADHD but are positively associated in OCD. The first line of evidence supporting this distinct pattern arises from research examining the correlation between brain activity and disorder-specific symptom severity. In ADHD, symptom severity (predominantly impulsiveness) appears to be inversely correlated with brain activity in prefrontal and striatal regions. These findings are supported by recent research demonstrating an inverse correlation between frontostriatal functional connectivity and symptom severity in ADHD. By contrast, a positive association between brain activity and symptom severity has been repeatedly demonstrated in OCD. This interaction was demonstrated in a recent study that compared OCD and ADHD patients, reporting that the correlation of symptoms severity with frontostriatal brain activity was positive in the OCD sample but negative in the ADHD sample.

Neuropsychology of ADHD and OCD

The opposing clinical and neurobiological features of ADHD and OCD support Hollander’s impulsive-compulsive continuum model and, as such, appear to challenge the notion of full-blown comorbidity between the two disorders. Interestingly, despite the opposing clinical and neurobiological profile of the two disorders, individuals with ADHD and OCD often present similar neuropsychological profiles. Both disorders tend to underperform relative to nonpsychiatric controls on tasks of executive functions, including working memory, planning, and response inhibition. Our review of the neuropsychological literature suggests, however, that the similarity in neuropsychological performance between the two disorders may be only apparent. Whereas neuropsychological findings in ADHD are highly consistent, neuropsychological findings in OCD are remarkably variable. For example, whereas one recent meta-analysis suggested significant heterogeneity across neuropsychological studies in adult OCD, a similar meta-analysis in adult ADHD reported homogeneity across studies. In addition, meta-analyses examining neuropsychological function in ADHD suggested larger effect sizes compared to those found in OCD. In fact, it has recently been suggested that the moderate effect sizes representing neuropsychological functioning in OCD may not be clinically significant.

The contrasting frontostriatal abnormalities and clinical pictures of the two disorders suggest that the deficient performance of people with ADHD and those with OCD on neuropsychological tests may stem from different mechanisms. A number of studies have revealed a negative association between neuropsychological test performance and frontostriatal brain activity in OCD. By contrast, frontostriatal brain activity was positively correlated with neuropsychological test performance (predominantly executive functions) in ADHD. In sum, converging evidence from imaging and neuropsychological studies suggests that neuropsychological deficits and clinical manifestations are associated with differing brain pathophysiology in ADHD and OCD.

Pharmacological Treatment for ADHD and OCD

As noted above, impulsivity/disinhibition—the core symptoms of ADHD—are associated with hypoperfusion of prefrontal regions, whereas obsessiveness and compulsivity—the core symptoms of OCD—are associated with increased activation. For several decades, evidence-based expert guidelines have recommended stimulant medication such as methylphenidate as the first-line treatment for ADHD. Modulating dopamine reuptake, stimulant medication increases prefrontal activation and significantly improves both clinical symptomology and neurocognitive functioning in ADHD. First-line pharmacotherapy for OCD, by contrast, consists of serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SRIs), which target serotonergic pathways. These agents are thought to modulate hyperactivity along the frontostriatal network in OCD. Indeed, clinical improvement following pharmacological treatment or cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) is associated with a significant decrease in frontostriatal brain activity in OCD. Moreover, compulsive or repetitive behavior in rats may be induced by administering the dopamine agonist quinpirole and subsequently reduced by administering clomipramine, an effective SRI medication for OCD. Along the same lines, while stimulant medications alleviate symptoms in ADHD, they are thought to exacerbate OCD symptoms and may even induce obsessive-compulsive symptoms. Finally, whereas dopamine agonists have proven efficacious in the treatment of ADHD, dopamine blockers (i.e., neuroleptic/antipsychotic agents) have been found to be useful for SRI augmentation among OCD patients who only partially respond to SRIs.

In sum, ADHD and OCD are two psychiatric syndromes that appear to lie on opposite ends of an impulsive-compulsive continuum (see Table 1). ADHD is characterized by reduced frontostriatal activity (associated with symptom severity), impulsive behavior, and positive response to stimulant therapy, whereas OCD is characterized by increased frontostriatal activity (associated with symptom severity), inhibited behavior, and harm- and risk-avoidance that responds to SRIs and dopamine blockers and not to stimulants. These profound differences...
seem to challenge the possibility of a genuine comorbidity between the two conditions, at least on the theoretical level.

**METHODS**

We conducted a systematic literature search using PUBMED, ISI Web of Knowledge, and PsycInfo electronic databases, covering the period of 1980–2013. We also manually searched the work of relevant authors and the reference lists of identified articles. Search terms included obsessive-compulsive disorder, OCD, attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder, ADHD, comorbid, comorbidity, concomitant, and prevalence. A total of 206 abstracts was identified. We then excluded articles that were not in English, where diagnosis was not established using semistructured DSM-based measures, and where co-occurrence data were not presented and were unobtainable. Following the secondary screening process, 48 studies met inclusion criteria and were included in the present review, with publication dates ranging between 1990 and 2013. From these studies we examined 43 youth samples (<18 years of age) and 14 adult samples (≥18 years of age).

**RESULTS**

**Summary of Findings**

Our review of the literature reveals that the reported rates of ADHD-OCD co-occurrence are highly inconsistent, ranging from 0% to 60%. A detailed account of the samples reviewed for this manuscript is presented in Tables 2 and 3.

The vast majority of reports examined pediatric samples with a mean age ranging from 9 to 15 years. As shown in Tables 2 and 3, pediatric studies demonstrate higher variability in co-occurrence rates compared to adult and adolescent samples. For example, Heyman and colleagues analyzed data from the 1999 British Child Mental Health Survey, which encompassed 10,488 children ages 5 to 15. The authors reported that 40% of the 25 children diagnosed with OCD had an additional comorbid psychiatric condition, but none was diagnosed with ADHD. Similarly, Toro and colleagues reported that out of 72 children with OCD recruited from hospital and private clinics, only 5.5% had a concomitant diagnosis of ADHD. By contrast, Geller and colleagues examined 81 children from a specialty pediatric OCD clinic and 106 children from a general pediatric psychopharmacology clinic and reported that 59% of the children diagnosed with OCD in the general psychopharmacology clinic and 44% of the children in the OCD specialized clinic had a concomitant diagnosis of ADHD. As we discuss below, the extreme range of reported ADHD-OCD co-occurrence rates between samples recruited from specialized clinics and the general population (see Tables 2 and 3) may be partially due to referral biases.

Whereas the above studies reported the occurrence of ADHD in children diagnosed with OCD, only a few studies documented the occurrence of OCD in children and adolescents diagnosed with ADHD.

**Table 1**

Comparative Summary of Neurobiological, Neuropsychological, and Phenomenological Characteristics of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder</th>
<th>Obsessive-compulsive disorder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Functional neurobiology</strong></td>
<td><strong>Hypoactivation</strong></td>
<td><strong>Hyperactivation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>anterior cingulated cortex, basal ganglia, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, frontostrial connectivity, orbitofrontal cortex, thalamus</td>
<td>anterior cingulated cortex, basal ganglia, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, frontostrial connectivity, orbitofrontal cortex, thalamus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neuropsychology</strong></td>
<td><strong>Underperformance</strong></td>
<td><strong>Underperformance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Executive functions: planning, response inhibition, working memory, set shifting, attention, information-processing speed, visuospatial working memory</td>
<td>Executive functions: planning, response inhibition, working memory, set shifting, information-processing speed, visuospatial working memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phenomenology</strong></td>
<td><strong>Impulsivity</strong></td>
<td><strong>Inhibited behavior</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difficulty reflecting on consequences of their actions</td>
<td>Excessively concerned with the consequences of their actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Risk taking</td>
<td>Harm and risk avoidance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lowered sensitivity to social cues</td>
<td>Heightened alertness in social situations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pharmacotherapy</strong></td>
<td><strong>Dopamine agonists</strong></td>
<td><strong>Serotonin agonists &amp; dopamine blockers</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stimulants</td>
<td>Selective serotonin inhibitors, with or without neuroleptics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Adapted from Abramovitch et al. (2012).*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean age</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Percentage male</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
<th>Percentage ADHD within OCD</th>
<th>Percentage OCD within ADHD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Riddle et al. (1990)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>&lt;18</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>OCD clinic</td>
<td>Tourette’s syndrome, pervasive developmental disorder, psychotic disorder, anorexia nervosa, primary phobic disorder</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toro et al. (1992)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5–8</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Child and adolescent psychiatry hospital clinic and private clinics</td>
<td>Organic mental disorder, IQ &lt; 70, disagreement between assessors</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanna et al. (1995)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>8–18</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Neuropsychiatric institute</td>
<td>Mental retardation, psychosis, organic mental disorder, bipolar disorder, primary major depressive disorder, anorexia nervosa</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geller et al. (1996)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>&lt;18</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Child and adolescent OCD program</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geller et al. (2000)</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>&lt;18</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Child and adolescent OCD program</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geller et al. (2000)</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Pediatric psychopharmacology clinic</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geller et al. (2001)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>&lt;12</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Pediatric OCD clinic</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heyman et al. (2001)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>5–15</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Child Benefit Register UK</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geller et al. (2003)</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>8–17</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Multicenter paroxetine research</td>
<td>Any Axis I disorder, seizure disorder, mental retardation, recent substance abuse, serious homicidal or suicidal risk, pregnancy, concomitant psychotherapy or pharmacotherapy</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaisoorya et al. (2003)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>&lt;18</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Specialty clinics and psychiatric outpatients, inpatients</td>
<td>Psychosis or primary affective disorders: major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, primary major depressive episode with obsessive-compulsive symptoms</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farrel et al. (2006)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>6–11</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Community referrals and advertisement</td>
<td>Tourette’s syndrome, autism spectrum disorder, schizophrenia, mental retardation, organic mental disorder</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storch et al. (2007)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>12.95</td>
<td>7–17</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>OCD specialty clinic</td>
<td>Psychosis; autism; bipolar disorder; current suicidal intent; caregiver diagnosis of mental retardation, psychosis, or other psychiatric disorder</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued on next page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean age</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Percentage male</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
<th>Percentage ADHD within OCD</th>
<th>Percentage OCD within ADHD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geller et al. (2008)</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>6–17</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>OCD specialty clinic</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivarsson et al. (2008)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4–11</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Specialized and nonspecialized clinics</td>
<td>Mental retardation, psychotic disorder, anorexia nervosa, pervasive developmental disorder</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mancebo et al. (2008)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>13.77</td>
<td>6–18</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Specialty clinics and psychiatric outpatients, inpatients</td>
<td>Organic mental disorder, mental retardation</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storch et al. (2008)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>7–19</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>OCD program, and participants in a treatment study</td>
<td>Psychosis, autism, bipolar disorder, substance use, current suicidality</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waltiza et al. (2008)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>&lt;18</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy</td>
<td>Tourette’s syndrome, autistic spectrum disorder, IQ &lt; 70, psychotic disorder</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack et al. (2009)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>8–17</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>OCD specialty clinic</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canavera et al. (2010)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>10–17</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>University-based research and treatment facility</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joshi et al. (2010)</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>6–17</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Family genetic study</td>
<td>IQ &lt; 80, psychosis, autism, sensorimotor handicap</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langley et al. (2010)</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>5–17</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>University-based specialized clinic for childhood OCD</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewin et al. (2010)</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Specialized pediatric anxiety, OCD, and tic disorder clinic</td>
<td>Transient or vocal tic disorder</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masi et al. (2010)</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>6–18</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Mood and anxiety disorders clinic</td>
<td>Mental retardation, pervasive developmental disorder, schizophrenia</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storch et al. (2010)</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>6–19</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>OCD specialty clinic</td>
<td>Psychotic disorder, bipolar disorder, autism, mental retardation, current suicidality</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewin et al. (2011)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>7–13</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>OCD specialty clinic</td>
<td>Bipolar disorder, mental retardation, psychotic disorder, current suicidality</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coskun et al. (2012)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.3–5.75</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Child and Adolescent Psychiatry clinic</td>
<td>Autism spectrum disorder, mental retardation</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Mean age</td>
<td>Age range</td>
<td>Percentage male</td>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Exclusion criteria</td>
<td>Percentage ADHD within OCD</td>
<td>Percentage OCD within ADHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farrell et al. (2012)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>7–17</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>OCD specialty clinic</td>
<td>Psychosis, intellectual disability, mental retardation, currently receiving psychotherapy</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Mathis et al. (2013)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>9–17</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Seven university outpatient facilities</td>
<td>Schizophrenia, mental retardation</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moll et al. (2000)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>9–13</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>University Child and Adolescent Psychiatry clinic</td>
<td>Neurological condition, mental retardation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biederman et al. (2006)</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>6–17</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Longitudinal case-control OCD family study; psychiatric and pediatric clinics</td>
<td>Adopted, sensorimotor impairments, autism, psychosis, IQ &lt; 80, poor English</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geller et al. (2007)</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6–17</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Psychiatric and pediatric clinics</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carter et al. (2010)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Census: New Haven metropolitan area</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghanizadeh et al. (2010)</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>Child and adolescent psychiatry clinic</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skirbekk et al. (2011)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>7–13</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Child and adolescent outpatient psychiatric clinics</td>
<td>Asperger’s syndrome, IQ &lt; 70, medication, neurological disease</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al. (2012)</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6–9</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>ADHD self-help groups, mental health clinics, clinical service providers, pediatric offices, local elementary schools</td>
<td>Pervasive developmental disorder, neurological condition</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Adolescent samples only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean age</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Percentage male</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
<th>Percentage ADHD within OCD</th>
<th>Percentage OCD within ADHD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zohar et al. (1992)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>16–17</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Military recruits</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zohar et al. (1999)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>16–17</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Military recruits</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geller et al. (2001)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>12–18</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Pediatric OCD clinic</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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with ADHD. Interestingly, these studies report less variable and relatively low co-occurrence rates of 3%–7.5% compared to studies examining ADHD in OCD samples (0%–60%). A limited number of studies assessed ADHD in OCD adolescent samples. Both studies were conducted in Israel and found concomitant OCD in 2.6% and 8% of participants with ADHD, respectively.

In contrast to the large number of co-occurrence reports in clinical settings, only one study reported prevalence of OCD in adult individuals with ADHD in a nationally representative sample. Kessler and colleagues used the US National Comorbidity Survey to examine comorbidity of ADHD samples within a sample of 13-44 adults with ADHD. The authors reported that 7% of their sample had a coconcurrent diagnosis of ADHD.

In the case of pediatric OCD, we found only two studies reporting the occurrence of ADHD in non-OCD samples. The adult literature reveals a similar number of studies reporting the occurrence of ADHD in OCD samples and OCD in ADHD samples. While the occurrence of ADHD in OCD samples is somewhat similar to that seen in pediatric and adult samples, ranging from 2% to 36%, overall co-occurrence rates are generally lower in adult samples that range from 0% to 23%. For example, in one of the largest samples included in our review, Jaisoorya et al. recruited 192 adults with OCD from specialized outpatient and inpatient clinics. The authors reported that 2% of their sample had a concomitant diagnosis of ADHD.

In sum, our review of the literature reveals notable variability in reported ADHD-OCD co-occurrence rates, as well as lower co-occurrence rates and less variability in adult compared to youth samples. In addition, higher co-occurrence rates are reported for ADHD in OCD samples than for OCD in ADHD samples. Below, we suggest methodological factors that may account for the divergence in reported co-occurrence rates.

### Methodological Issues

Most of the studies examining ADHD-OCD co-occurrence examined clinical samples in specialty clinics, whereas only few examined non-referral or general population samples. The latter are the only reliable source from which inferences regarding prevalence in the epidemiological population samples can be made. The former are too highly selected to provide a stable estimate of prevalence in the general population. Therefore, studies on ADHD-OCD co-occurrence should include both types of samples to provide a more complete picture of the epidemiology of comorbidity between these two disorders.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean age</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Percentage male</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
<th>Percentage ADHD within OCD</th>
<th>Percentage OCD within ADHD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Farrel et al. (2006)
| 44 | 14 | 12–17 | 43 | Community referrals and media | Tourette's syndrome, autistic spectrum disorder, schizophrenia, mental retardation, organic mental disorder | 2 |
| Ivarsson et al. (2008) | 66 | 13 | 12–17 | 68 | Specialized and nonspecialized clinics | Mental retardation, psychotic disorder, anorexia nervosa, pervasive developmental disorder | 10.6 |
| Zohar et al. (1992) | 24 | N/A | 16–17 | 85 | Military recruits | N/A | 8 |
| Zohar et al. (1999) | 39 | N/A | 16–17 | N/A | Military recruits | N/A | 2.6 |

N/A, not available.

The authors reported the mean age of the adolescent and children samples combined.
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean age</th>
<th>Age range</th>
<th>Percentage male</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Exclusion criteria</th>
<th>Percentage ADHD within OCD</th>
<th>Percentage OCD within ADHD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jaisoorya et al. (2003)</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>&gt;18</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Specialty clinics, psychiatric outpatients, inpatients</td>
<td>Psychosis or primary affective disorders: major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, primary major depressive episode with obsessive-compulsive symptoms</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mancebo et al. (2008)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>19–75</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Specialty clinics, psychiatric outpatients, inpatients</td>
<td>Organic mental disorders, mental retardation</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruscio et al. (2010)</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>18–44</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>National survey</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anholt et al. (2010)</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Anxiety outpatient program</td>
<td>OCD patients with psychosis, severe major depression, substance dependence, mental deficiency, inability to read/speak Dutch</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheppard et al. (2010)</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>4–82</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Part of an ongoing childhood-onset genetic study</td>
<td>History of Tourette’s syndrome, pervasive developmental disorder, bipolar disorder type 1, primary psychotic disorder</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brakoulias et al. (2011)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>&lt;18</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Anxiety disorders clinic, private psychiatrists, OCD support groups, newspaper advertisements</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frost et al. (2011)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>34.56</td>
<td>18–74</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>Anxiety disorders clinic, private psychiatrists, media</td>
<td>Suicidal ideation, psychotic symptoms, substance abuse or dependence within past 3 months, mental retardation, dementia</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Mathis et al. (2013)</td>
<td>955</td>
<td>35.82</td>
<td>18–82</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>Seven university outpatient facilities</td>
<td>Schizophrenia, mental retardation</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shekim et al. (1990)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>19–65</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Referrals to a diagnostic and treatment program</td>
<td>Any affective disorder, major depressive disorder, bipolar disorder, schizoaffective or borderline personality disorder</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millstein et al. (1997)</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>19–60</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Psychopharmacology clinic</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mannuzza et al. (1998)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Referrals to a child psychiatric clinic</td>
<td>At T1, IQ &gt; 85, psychosis, neurological disorder, antisocial behavior</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biederman et al. (2004)</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>&gt;18</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Psychopharmacology program</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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sense can be drawn. Importantly, the range of ADHD-OCD co-occurrence rates in the three studies of large representative samples was between 0% and 10%, whereas most of the studies using samples from specialized clinics found co-occurrence rates of 20% to 59%. The discrepancy between the co-occurrence rates found in referred samples versus the general population is a well-known phenomenon that may be accounted for by two types of selection biases that are often underrecognized. The Berksonian bias expresses how every additional diagnosis increases the probability that a person will be referred to mental health services, whereas the related clinical selection bias refers to the fact that individuals with multiple diagnoses are usually more functionally impaired and thus are more likely to pursue treatment. Ultimately, these biases lead to a substantial overrepresentation of patients with comorbidities in specialized clinics relative to the general population. For example, McConaughy and Achenbach analyzed studies encompassing 2705 juvenile patients across four groups of disorders. They found that comorbidity rates in samples drawn from clinical settings were up to six times higher than those seen in non-referred or general population samples. Notably, several studies we reviewed recruited participants from different referral sources such as outpatient, inpatient, and private clinics, thereby potentially increasing the variability of reported co-occurrence rates.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA As portrayed in Tables 2 and 3, the studies we reviewed also differed considerably in terms of exclusion criteria. Some studies of adult, pediatric, or adolescent samples did not employ any exclusion criteria, whereas most studies excluded patients with mental retardation, psychosis, autism, or autistic spectrum disorders. Some studies also excluded major depressive and other Axis I disorders.

Arguably, epidemiological examination of the prevalence rates of, and associations between, co-occurring conditions would benefit in terms of generalizability and ecological validity if no exclusion criteria were used. This is particularly important in the case of ADHD and OCD, both of which are characterized by a high prevalence of comorbidity with anxiety, tic, and depressive disorders. In this case, the exclusion of depressive or anxiety disorders may bias the reported prevalence rates and limit generalizability. One way to resolve this problem would be to provide a detailed account of the theoretical considerations underlying the selection of exclusion criteria determined for each study. Unfortunately, in the vast majority of the articles we reviewed, no explanations were offered for the choice of exclusion criteria.

In the case of ADHD-OCD co-occurrence, both excluding and failing to exclude particular diagnostic groups may have important consequences for the findings and their interpretation. For example, autistic spectrum disorders are associated with both impulsive and obsessive-compulsive symptoms and are highly comorbid with both ADHD and OCD.
Therefore, including autistic spectrum disorders may inflate co-occurrence rates of ADHD and OCD.

Most importantly, as observed by Sheppard and colleagues,51 few reports have assessed the co-occurrence of ADHD and OCD while excluding tic disorders (i.e., Tourette’s syndrome and chronic tic disorders). Comorbidity between tic disorders and OCD and between tic disorders and ADHD has been consistently found across numerous tic disorder studies, with co-occurrence rates ranging from 25% to 50%.137–140 In addition, very high rates of concomitant ADHD and OCD are regularly identified in tic disorder samples. For example, Lebowitz and colleagues138 examined a sample of 158 youth with chronic tic disorders and found that 24.1% had ADHD and OCD. Grados and Mathews137 found that 34% of a sample of 596 patients with tic disorders had OCD and ADHD. Elevated prevalence rates of ADHD-ODC comorbidity were also reported in first-degree relatives of patients with tic disorders.139

In our review, only four of the pediatric and adolescent samples (12%) excluded tic disorders. Given the high comorbidity of tic disorders with both ADHD and OCD,141,142 along with the elevated co-occurrence of ADHD and OCD in tic disorders,138 inclusion of tic disorders may lead to inflated rates of reported ADHD-ODC comorbidity. A few studies did not exclude tic disorders but did control for their presence when reporting results. For example, Geller and colleagues19 reported the co-occurrence of ADHD and OCD in unaffected relatives beyond that expected by chance, and found that co-occurrence was significant over and above the presence of tic disorders. The authors noted, however, that given the evidence suggesting that tic disorder, ADHD, and OCD often co-occur in families, it is plausible that some shared susceptibility genes account for some features of the three disorders. To our knowledge this study is the only one that specifically examined ADHD-ODC comorbidity while controlling for tic disorders, and more familial and epidemiological research is needed to replicate these findings and to further explore the mediating role of tic disorders in ADHD-ODC comorbidity. It is important to note that the majority of the studies reviewed in this article did not set out to examine ADHD-ODC co-occurrence in particular but typically aimed at examining a variety of general clinical variables or the prevalence of comorbid conditions in either ADHD or OCD.

**ADULT VS. PEDIATRIC POPULATIONS** Our review of the literature reveals notable differences in ADHD-ODC co-occurrence rates reported in adult versus youth samples. As presented in Tables 2 and 3, considerably higher co-occurrence rates are found in youth samples (unweighted mean = 19%) relative to adult samples (unweighted mean = 9%).* In an attempt to account for this difference, it may be useful to consider neurodevelopmental aspects of pediatric OCD (for a review see Abramovitch et al. [2012]).143 Specifically, pediatric OCD may be neurobiologically distinct from OCD in adolescent and adult populations. As mentioned above, ample evidence suggests the presence of frontostriatal hypermetabolism in adults with OCD, but the same does not seem to hold for young children with OCD.

In a large-scale fMRI study, Fitzgerald and colleagues144 compared the resting-state frontostriatal connectivity of 60 individuals with OCD (age range, 8–40 years) and 61 matched healthy controls. The authors observed significantly increased connectivity in the OCD group relative to controls. In the youngest age group (mean age = 10.7), however, they found reduced functional connectivity that was correlated with OCD symptom severity. These findings may be attributed to abnormal maturational processes in pediatric OCD, which are hypothesized to encompass maturational reorganization, neuronal pruning, and myelination.145

Thus, in contrast to adolescent and adult OCD, pediatric (preadolescence) OCD may be associated with decreased frontostriatal activity, a recognized endophenotypic marker for ADHD that has been consistently identified across the lifespan. As reduced frontostriatal activation is associated with impulsive behavior,146 preadolescent OCD patients may display behaviors and symptoms that may potentially lead to misidentification of OCD as ADHD, consequently inflating the rate of reported comorbidity of the two disorders. This possibility is discussed in more detail below. At present, however, scant research has investigated the maturation and pruning processes in pediatric OCD. In view of the arguments supporting a distinct familial type of ADHD-ODC,19 and given the different developmental trajectories characterizing the two disorders, longitudinal investigation of the neurobiological changes and associated symptoms is much needed.

**GENDER RATIOS** The samples we reviewed were highly variable in terms of male:female ratios. Across samples, the percentages of males ranged between 36% and 100%, with a mean of 62% and a standard deviation of 15.5%. Considering the higher prevalence of ADHD in males relative to females, this level of variability may further confound research findings. Among adults and children with ADHD, the ratio of males to females is at least 2:1,147 with some estimates as high as 9:1, depending on ADHD subtype, age range, and sample characteristics.148,149 Whereas the average of 62% males in ADHD samples may be methodologically sound (as it reflects the conservative reports of 2:1 male:female ratio in ADHD), a higher proportion of males than females in OCD samples may artificially inflate the probability of finding cases of ADHD. For example, Farrell and colleagues10 reported that 19% of their sample of children and adolescents diagnosed with OCD met criteria for ADHD. The percentage of males in the OCD-only group was 23%, however, versus 77% in the OCD-comorbidity group, which included ADHD, pervasive developmental disorder, and depression. While matching

---

* The nature of the reviewed samples does not allow for statistical analyses assessing differences between samples. Thus, when using terms such as higher or lower, we do not imply statistical significance.
groups in naturalistic studies is impossible, few of the studies we reviewed have acknowledged this potential bias.

ETIOLOGICAL ACCOUNTS OF ADHD-OCD COMORBIDITY

Concomitant ADHD-OCD as a Familial Subtype
Psychiatric comorbidity research is susceptible to several artifacts, including chance, sampling bias, unique samples that limit generalizability, and definitional overlap. For this reason, an etiological account is essential for assessing the legitimacy and validity of any psychiatric comorbidity. To our knowledge, the only etiological account of ADHD-OCD comorbidity was put forth by Geller and colleagues and is limited to youth.

In the first study of its kind, Geller and colleagues examined 121 school-age children and adolescents (67 with ADHD and 54 with comorbid ADHD-OCD). The authors compared the groups on several factors, including DSM-IV ADHD symptoms and clusters, frequency of ADHD subtypes, and several ADHD-related functional indices. The authors observed no differences between the groups on these variables and suggested that ADHD symptoms in OCD represent a genuine comorbidity between ADHD and OCD. In a later study, the same group examined a sample of 256 children with ADHD alone, 12 with OCD and ADHD, 235 controls, and 1540 first-degree relatives. They concluded that “(1) relatives of children with ADHD with and without OCD had similar and higher rates of ADHD than did relatives of non-ADHD comparison children, (2) the risk for OCD was elevated only among relatives of ADHD + OCD probands, (3) a strong and significant finding of co-segregation between ADHD and OCD emerged.” The authors also postulated that “in children, ADHD that is comorbid with OCD represents a distinct familial subtype.” In other words, according to Geller and colleagues, comorbid ADHD-OCD is a distinct condition in which the two disorders are genetically transmitted together. Notably, and in contrast to the co-occurrence suggested by Geller and colleagues—the simultaneous presentation of two conditions that are not necessarily correlated in the general population—Lilienfeld and colleagues have argued that this type of comorbidity constitutes covariation, in which two conditions co-occur more often than expected by chance.

In sum, to date, only one etiological account has been offered for genuine ADHD-OCD comorbidity in children. This account postulates a unique and heritable condition consisting of a full-blown comorbidity of ADHD and OCD. To date, no familial studies on adults with ADHD-OCD comorbidity have been published.

The Executive Overload Model of OCD
A potential confounding factor that may contribute to both inflation and variability of reported co-occurrence of ADHD and OCD was recently suggested by Abramovitch and colleagues. As noted above, despite the phenomenological and neurobiological differences between ADHD and OCD, both disorders may be associated with some degree of reduced abilities in attention and executive function. Abramovitch and colleagues conducted a direct comparison of neuropsychological functioning of adults with ADHD and adults with OCD. As expected, the authors found that compared to nonpsychiatric controls, both patient groups underperformed on several neuropsychological tasks. At the same time, this study noted significant differences between the disorders in disorder-specific symptoms (e.g., ADHD subjects had higher impulsivity ratings compared to controls, whereas the OCD group did not differ from controls). Perhaps more importantly, neuropsychological underperformance was positively correlated with obsessive-compulsive symptoms in the OCD group but negatively correlated with obsessive-compulsive symptoms in the ADHD group. The authors proposed an Executive Overload Model, which focuses on the cognitive “cost” of obsessions in OCD. Specifically, they postulated that the overflow of obsessive thoughts in OCD may overload the executive system, subsequently resulting in neuropsychological deficits. Based on this rationale, the authors suggested that neuropsychological impairments in OCD should be conceived as an epiphenomenon in that they are associated with state-dependent symptom severity.

The Executive Overload Model may have important implications for the observed ADHD-OCD co-occurrence. It suggests that ADHD symptoms in OCD might actually be ADHD-like symptoms; that is, they may be behavioral manifestations of OCD-related neurocognitive impairment. Partial support for this possibility was provided in a another report by the same group, where the authors reasoned that if ADHD-like symptoms in OCD are actually a result of OCD-related symptoms, then childhood and adult ADHD symptoms would not be correlated within the OCD group. In support of this hypothesis, the authors found strong significant correlations between childhood and adult ADHD symptoms only in the ADHD and control groups.

In sum, the Executive Overload Model suggests that the comorbidity between the two disorders in some cases may be only apparent and may actually reflect the presence of ADHD-like symptoms in OCD. Such ADHD-like symptoms may be especially pronounced in pediatric populations due to the hypothesized maturational abnormality in young children with OCD. Furthermore, the underlying OCD-related attentional symptoms may be incorrectly interpreted as indicators of ADHD, especially in pediatric populations, in which diagnosis relies heavily on informants. Such misdiagnosis may be especially problematic if it leads to stimulant therapy, which may significantly exacerbate OCD symptoms.

DSM Diagnostic Criteria
Criterion E for the diagnosis of ADHD in the DSM-IV requires that “the symptoms do not occur exclusively during the course of a Pervasive Developmental Disorder, Schizophrenia, or...
Comorbidity of ADHD and OCD

other Psychotic Disorder and are not better accounted for by another mental disorder (e.g., Mood Disorder, Anxiety Disorder, Dissociative Disorder or a Personality Disorder). As suggested by Abramovitch and colleagues, it is possible that the overlap of obsessive thoughts in individuals with OCD overloads the executive system, leading to behavioral expressions similar to several DSM-IV ADHD criteria (e.g., difficulties in concentration, feeling of restlessness, avoidance of tasks that require cognitive effort, distraction by extraneous stimuli). It has already been noted that DSM-IV ADHD criteria pertaining to restlessness, increased speech, concentration difficulties, hyperactivity, and so on are also symptoms of other anxiety, affective, and personality disorders. Thus, behavioral and cognitive expression of OCD-related inattention symptoms may be misidentified as ADHD symptoms, potentially leading to a false DSM-IV diagnosis of ADHD.

Moreover, the hallmark symptoms of ADHD (i.e., impulsivity) and OCD (i.e., compulsivity) are phenomenologically opposite. Compulsions are typically rituals that are carefully planned and repetitively performed according to rigid rules. In addition, clinical experience indicates that OCD patients are able to inhibit or postpone compulsive rituals (e.g., at work). Behavioral impulsivity, by contrast, is characterized by actions that are performed without forethought and with little ability to inhibit or postpone them. As noted above, several studies observed equal or decreased behavioral impulsivity in OCD patients relative to healthy controls. Indeed, as noted by Angold and colleagues, a paucity of research has investigated the differential characteristics of symptoms between comorbid disorders in general; that is, research examining disorder-specific versus shared symptoms between comorbid conditions is limited. For example, while patients with either ADHD or OCD appear to present symptoms of inattention, some aspects of that inattention that may be disorder-specific, and their identification would increase diagnostic accuracy. Future research into the differential characteristics of shared ADHD and OCD symptoms may aid in untangling this complex issue.

SUMMARY

OCD and ADHD are very different disorders in terms of pathophysiology, phenomenology, and treatment strategies (see Table 1). Numerous studies, however, have reported significant rates of ADHD-OCD co-occurrence. Increased co-occurrence rates were found in children and adolescents as compared to adults. In this review, we documented extreme variability across studies, with co-occurrence rates ranging from 0% to 60%. An attempt to provide an etiological account for ADHD-OCD comorbidity, primarily genetic in nature, was made only with regard to children. Few studies directly examined co-occurrence between ADHD and OCD in adults. Much more research is required to validate ADHD-OCD co-occurrence, let alone comorbidity, in this population. Specifically, more research is required to examine false comorbidity versus covariation of ADHD and OCD; such clarification would have important clinical implications.

Several methodological concerns have been identified in our review. First, we found gender proportions to vary significantly between samples, which may result in a bias in prevalence reports, as ADHD is more frequent in males. Second, most studies recruited patients from specialized clinics rather than from the community or representative samples. Given that few studies examined representative samples and that significantly higher rates of ADHD-OCD co-occurrence have been reported in samples recruited from specialized clinics, our understanding of the prevalence of co-occurring ADHD and OCD may be largely based on biased results. Third, we identified high variability in terms of exclusion criteria between samples. Most critically, the great majority of the studies did not exclude or control for tic disorders, a mediator and potential confound for ADHD-OCD co-occurrence.

As noted by Pennington and colleagues, a theoretical and etiological account is essential to validate a true comorbidity between disorders. Only one etiological account for ADHD-OCD comorbidity, which postulates a distinct heritable ADHD + OCD condition, is currently available. Finally, a potential confounding factor that received little attention is addressed by the Executive Overload Model offered by Abramovitch and colleagues. That model suggests that deficits in attention and executive function may be state dependent in OCD, resulting in a subsequent phenotypical expression that may resemble ADHD symptoms.

We suggest that future studies on ADHD-OCD comorbidity should strive to mitigate the biases and potential confounds elaborated above. Future studies should aim to address the potential bias associated with gender proportions and might be also advised to consider age stratification. Additionally, examination of ADHD-OCD in community samples is necessary to balance the majority of reports, which recruited participants via specialty clinics. Recruitment methodology ought to be more carefully considered in light of the known effects of the Berksonian and clinical-selection biases. If aggregation of various recruitment methods is necessary, future research ought to differentiate between cohorts recruited from different sources. Researchers are advised to carefully consider exclusion criteria when examining ADHD-OCD comorbidity, and should provide justifications for these criteria. Specifically, the control or exclusion of tic disorders ought to be carefully assessed due to their possible mediating effect on the estimation of ADHD-OCD co-occurrence. Moreover, according to the Executive Overload Model, ADHD-like symptoms may be present in OCD. Both
clinicians and researchers ought to pay careful attention to this issue, especially in cases where comorbid tic disorders are identified.

The paucity of knowledge regarding ADHD-OCD comorbidity in adults needs to be addressed through further research. Our review underlines the need for more studies in this population, especially investigations into community samples. Additionally, prospective longitudinal studies are required that would examine the developmental trajectory of ADHD-OCD comorbidity. Such studies would be invaluable in elucidating factors differentiating ADHD-like symptoms in OCD from genuine comorbidity between the two disorders. Finally, the ultimate aim of research on psychiatric comorbidity is to further our understanding of complex conditions so as to advance treatment and prognosis. Among these lines, the first aim of the present investigation was to establish if ADHD and OCD are genuinely comorbid. The conclusion would have critical implications for treatment, especially in view of the well-known efficacy of treatment options for the two disorders (considered separately)—namely, stimulant medication (and possibly CBT) for ADHD, and SRIs for OCD. Several authors have suggested that individuals with comorbid ADHD-OCD are more functionally impaired. And if such comorbidity proves to be as common as some studies suggest, it is imperative to determine effective treatments for this complex condition.
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