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ABSTRACT: Mcl-1 is overexpressed in many cancers and can confer resistance to cell-death signaling in refractory disease. Molecules that specifically inhibit Mcl-1 hold potential for diagnosing and disrupting Mcl-1-dependent cell survival. We selected three peptides from a yeast-surface display library that showed moderate specificity and affinity for binding to Mcl-1 over Bcl-1, Bcl-xL, Bcl-2, and Bcl-w. Specificity for Mcl-1 was improved by introducing threonine at peptide position 2e. The most specific peptide, MS1, bound Mcl-1 with 40-fold or greater specificity over four other human Bcl-2 paralogs. In BH3 profiling assays, MS1 caused depolarization in several human Mcl-1-dependent cell lines with IC50 values of ~3 μM, contrasted with IC50 values of >100 μM for Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, or Bcl-1-dependent cell lines. MS1 is at least 30-fold more potent in this assay than the previously used Mcl-1 targeting reagent NoxaA BH3. These peptides can be used to detect Mcl-1 dependency in cells and provide leads for developing Mcl-1 targeting therapeutics.

Mcl-1 is one of the top 10 most frequently amplified genes in cancers and an important factor in resistance to chemotherapeutic agents.1−3 Despite this, no effective Mcl-1 inhibitors have yet been brought to the clinic. Mcl-1 is a member of the family of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 homologues, which also includes Bcl-xL, Bcl-2, Bcl-w, Bfl-1, and Bcl-b in humans. These anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 proteins block apoptosis by preventing the pro-apoptotic proteins Bak and Bax from homo-oligomerizing and creating pores in the mitochondrial outer membrane. The anti-apoptotic proteins can either bind directly to Bak and Bax or bind the related pro-apoptotic BH3-only activator proteins (Bim, Bid, and Puma), preventing activation of Bak and Bax. Other BH3-only proteins, called sensitizers, antagonize anti-apoptotic function by binding competitively with Bak/Bax and activators.4

Synthetic reagents that mimic BH3 sensitizers have high therapeutic potential. For example, the small-molecule BH3 mimetic ABT-263, or Navitoclax, is being tested in humans following extremely promising results in mice.5−8 However, ABT-263 only binds Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, and Bcl-w, and cancers that also express Bfl-1 or Mcl-1 show resistance to the drug.9,10 Furthermore, ABT-263 exhibits platelet toxicity, attributed to an inhibitory effect on Bcl-xL.5,6 Identifying more selective molecules that can target the Bcl-2 family member(s) responsible for blocking apoptosis in a given cancer may be a better strategy for differentially killing cancer cells while avoiding off-target effects. In support of this, ABT-199, a selective Bcl-2 inhibitor, has recently exhibited high activity in chronic lymphocytic leukemia patients, with no off-target killing of platelets.11 Several small-molecule Mcl-1 inhibitors have been reported recently.12−17 These compounds, some of which display specificity for Mcl-1 over other Bcl-2 family proteins, have IC50 values in the nanomolar to micromolar range and represent progress toward achieving a tight, Mcl-1-specific inhibitor that can be considered for clinical development.

Another strategy for developing BH3-mimetic molecules is to more directly mimic natural sensitizers by modifying peptides corresponding to the α-helical BH3 regions of known Bcl-2 family members. Peptide inhibitors can have higher affinities and specificities than small molecules, due to their larger interaction interfaces, and it is possible to identify peptides with desired properties by screening large genetically encoded libraries. In the clinical diagnostic setting, BH3 peptides are useful in BH3 profiling assays, which assess similarities between the in vitro binding profiles and mitochondrial permeabilization patterns of different peptides to determine which anti-apoptotic family member a cancer is dependent upon for resistance to apoptosis.18,19 There is a need for peptides that have high affinity and specificity for individual Bcl-2 proteins to enhance the diagnostic power of these types of assays. Finally, there are promising precedents in which helical peptides have been modified to render them cell-penetrating and highly protease-resistant, indicating that peptides should also be considered as candidate therapeutics.20−25

Thus far, several Mcl-1-specific peptides have been reported in the literature. Peptides with higher affinity for Mcl-1 (Kd...
<100 nM) and lower affinities ($K_d >1 \mu M$) for the other human Bcl-2 proteins have been selected from Bim BH3-based libraries or generated by targeted mutagenesis. A promising Mcl-1-specific peptide was derived from the BH3 region of Mcl-1 itself. Mcl-1 BH3 has a $K_d$ of 245 nM for binding to Mcl-1, but chemical modification by hydrocarbon stapling significantly improved affinity ($K_d = 10 \text{ nM}$). The stapled peptide, called Mcl-1 SAHBD, showed no binding to Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, Bcl-w, or Bcl-1 up to 1 $\mu M$ and sensitized cells to caspase-dependent killing by TRAIL in culture at concentrations of 10–20 $\mu M$. A more potent molecule similar to Mcl-1 SAHBD would have great potential.

We have engineered three peptides, MS1, MS2, and MS3, based on the BH3 region of pro-apoptotic Bim that show high specificity and affinity for Mcl-1. These peptides use novel specificity mechanisms compared to previously designed peptide ligands targeting Mcl-1. The higher affinity Mcl-1 binding exhibited by the peptides makes them better Mcl-1-targeting reagents than the previously engineered Mcl-1-specific peptides or the natural BH3 NoxaA. All three peptides also exhibit high potency and specificity in BH3 profiling assays, demonstrating their usefulness in cellular assays.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Selection of Mcl-1-Specific Peptides.
Three Mcl-1-specific peptides were discovered while screening a yeast-surface display library of Bim-BH3 variants. The original purpose of the library screening was to identify peptides that bind selectively to the viral Bcl-2 homologue Kaposi sarcoma herpesvirus Bcl-2 (KSBcl-2). Of the human Bcl-2 proteins, Mcl-1 is most similar to KSBcl-2 (29% sequence identity in the BH3 binding groove, compared to 16% sequence identity in the groove for KSBcl-2 and Bcl-xL). These two proteins also exhibit similar binding profiles to native BH3-only proteins. Therefore, it was not unexpected that peptides were selected for high-affinity binding to KSBcl-2 also bound to Mcl-1.

Briefly, a library designed to be enriched in KSBcl-2 binders was sorted for binding to KSBcl-2 for two rounds, followed by five rounds of competition sorts in which clones were selected that could bind to KSBcl-2 in the presence of 50- to 100-fold excess Mcl-1 and Bcl-xL (see Supplementary Methods). BH3 sequences from two clones (B3 and A12) were chosen for further study as soluble peptides. B3 was chosen because it had the highest frequency of recovery, and A12 was chosen based on the presence of I2dM, an untested substitution, and E2gG, which was shown to provide modest specificity for Mcl-1. Two designed peptides, MS1, MS2, and MS3 labeled with an N-terminal fluorescein, were tested for binding to the five human Bcl-2 receptors in fluorescence anisotropy assays. As shown in Table 2 and Supplementary Figure 1, all three peptides bound Mcl-1 with $K_d \leq 2 \text{ nM}$. MS1 bound with $K_d > 1 \mu M$ to the other four receptors. MS2 bound with micromolar affinity to Bcl-xL, Bcl-2, and Bcl-1 and bound in the hundred-nanomolar range to Bcl-w. MS3 also displayed micromolar affinity for Bcl-xL and Bcl-2 and several-hundred-nanomolar affinity to Bcl-w and Bcl-1. In contrast, the NoxaA BH3 peptide from murine Noxa, for which no binding up to 2500 nM for Bcl-xL, Bcl-2, Bcl-w, or Bcl-1 is reported in the literature, bound Mcl-1 more weakly than the three designed peptides, with a $K_d$ of 46 nM (Table 2). NoxaA is the most Mcl-1-selective natural BH3, and a NoxaA BH3 peptide is routinely used in BH3 profiling assays to detect apoptotic resistance dependent upon Mcl-1.

![Image](326x428 to 563x722)

#### Table 1. Sequences of Peptides Used for Fluorescence Anisotropy and BH3 Profiling Assays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptides</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS1</td>
<td>RPEIWTQGLRRLGDEINAYAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS2</td>
<td>RPEIWTQLSRQLGDEINAYAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3</td>
<td>RPEIWTQGLRQLGDEINAYAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12</td>
<td>RPEIWMQGLRRLGDEINAYAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>RPEIWLQSLRQLGDEINAYAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G9</td>
<td>RPEIWLQGLRQLGDEINAYAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoxaA</td>
<td>AELPFPFAAQLRKGDKVYC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bim</td>
<td>RPEIWIAQLRRLGDEFPNAYAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bim_A2eT</td>
<td>RPEIWITQQLRRLGDEFPNAYAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bim_A2eT_12dM</td>
<td>RPEIWMTQQLRRLGDEFPNAYAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bim_A2eT_E2gG</td>
<td>RPEIWITQQLRRLGDEFPNAYAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bim_A2eT_13dL</td>
<td>RPEIWITQQLRRLGDEFPNAYAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bim_A2eT_F4aI</td>
<td>RPEIWITQQLRRLGDEFPNAYAR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The heptad convention used to refer to positions in the BH3 peptide is shown. Bim point mutant positions are underlined.*

Guided by prior studies, Wild-type Bim has an alanine at position 2e (see Table 1 for peptide position labels), and SPOT-array tests of Bim BH3 point mutants have shown that glycine at 2e, found in B3, A12, and G9 (a point mutant of B3 that was also identified in screening), is tolerated by or increases binding to all of the receptors. Threonine at 2e was identified using SPOT arrays as a mutation that could decrease binding to Bf-1, Bcl-xL, Bcl-2, and Bcl-w, while maintaining strong binding to Mcl-1. The specificity of peptides corresponding to B3, A12, and G9 was greatly improved by replacing the glycine at the 2e position with a threonine, generating the MS1, MS2, and MS3 variants (for Mcl-1 specific), corresponding to the sequences of A12, B3, and G9 with a G2eT mutation, respectively.

MS1, MS2, and MS3 labeled with an N-terminal fluorescein were tested for binding to the five human Bcl-2 receptors in fluorescence anisotropy assays. As shown in Table 2 and Supplementary Figure 1, all three peptides bound Mcl-1 with $K_d < 2 \text{ nM}$. MS1 bound with $K_d > 1 \mu M$ to the other four receptors. MS2 bound with micromolar affinity to Bcl-xL, Bcl-2, and Bcl-1 and bound in the hundred-nanomolar range to Bcl-w. MS3 also displayed micromolar affinity for Bcl-xL and Bcl-2 and several-hundred-nanomolar affinity to Bcl-w and Bcl-1. In contrast, the NoxaA BH3 peptide from murine Noxa, for which no binding up to 2500 nM for Bcl-xL, Bcl-2, Bcl-w, or Bcl-1 is reported in the literature, bound Mcl-1 more weakly than the three designed peptides, with a $K_d$ of 46 nM (Table 2). Compared
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peptides used. Binding data and a leucine at position 2e.21 To look for possible reasons that the peptide corresponding to the Mcl-1 BH3 region, which has a larger residues at position 2e, including Bim A2eT and a natural BH3 sequences. Mcl-1 can bind BH3 peptides with several destabilizing for all human Bcl-2 receptors other than Mcl-1, in assays. Values designated <1 were too tight to be accurately fit. Values designated >3000 were too weak to be accurately fit. ND, not determined. See Table 1 for sequences of all peptides used. Binding data and fits are shown in Supplementary Figure 1.

Table 2. Affinities of Native and Designed BH3 Peptides for Six Bcl-2 Homologs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>peptide</th>
<th>Mcl-1</th>
<th>Bfl-1</th>
<th>Bcl-w</th>
<th>Bcl-xL</th>
<th>Bcl-2</th>
<th>KSBcl-2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS1</td>
<td>1.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>5000 ± 3200</td>
<td>1300 ± 230</td>
<td>1600 ± 1000</td>
<td>2300 ± 1500</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS2</td>
<td>1.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>3000 ± 2300</td>
<td>250 ± 76</td>
<td>1400 ± 500</td>
<td>6200 ± 4100</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.22</td>
<td>790 ± 140</td>
<td>340 ± 69</td>
<td>2300 ± 1000</td>
<td>&gt;3000</td>
<td>3.3 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A12</td>
<td>2.4 ± 2.3</td>
<td>22 ± 6.6</td>
<td>210 ± 110</td>
<td>9.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td>42 ± 8.9</td>
<td>4.0 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>26 ± 7.7</td>
<td>16 ± 6.2</td>
<td>4.2 ± 2.1</td>
<td>35 ± 11</td>
<td>1.43 ± 1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NoxaA</td>
<td>46 ± 11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bim 23-mer</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bim A2eT</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>31 ± 6.8</td>
<td>39 ± 9.4</td>
<td>17 ± 4.8</td>
<td>43 ± 12</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bim A2eT, I2dM</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>150 ± 69</td>
<td>260 ± 52</td>
<td>83 ± 53</td>
<td>210 ± 71</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bim A2eT, I2dM, Glu129</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.57</td>
<td>250 ± 63</td>
<td>94 ± 36</td>
<td>37 ± 7.0</td>
<td>150 ± 27</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bim A2eT, I3dL</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>120 ± 27</td>
<td>12 ± 2.6</td>
<td>7.6 ± 3.8</td>
<td>37 ± 14</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bim A2eT, F4aI</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>7.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>16 ± 4.1</td>
<td>110 ± 62</td>
<td>150 ± 43</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Dissociation constants for direct binding of fluoresceinated peptides to Bcl-2 proteins (in nM) with 95% confidence intervals. Values designated <1 were too tight to be accurately fit. Values designated >3000 were too weak to be accurately fit. ND, not determined. See Table 1 for sequences of all peptides used. Binding data and fits are shown in Supplementary Figure 1.

to NoxaA, the three designed peptides MS1, MS2 and MS3 have high affinity for Mcl-1 and also show high specificity against Bcl-xL, Bcl-2, Bcl-w, and Bfl-1.

To assess the influence of the N-terminal fluorescein dye on binding, we tested a subset of unlabeled peptides, MS1, MS2, Bim, and NoxaA in competition with a fluorescein labelled Bim variant (Supplementary Figure 2). The $K_d$ values for Mcl-1 binding to MS1, MS2, and NoxaA were weaker than the $K_d$ values determined using labeled peptides. The $K_i$ for MS1 binding to Mcl-1 was between 8 and 24 nM, depending on the fitting protocol (see Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Table 2). The competition experiments indicated that MS1 is between ~40- and 190-fold specific for Mcl-1 over Bcl-w, the next-tightest binding family member. Competition experiments also confirmed that MS1 and MS2 are considerably tighter binders to Mcl-1 than is NoxaA; NoxaA binding to Mcl-1 was very weak and thus difficult to quantify with the competition assay.

Specificity Mechanisms. A peptide corresponding to the BH3 region of Bim binds very tightly to all receptors. To better understand the determinants of binding specificity for MS1, MS2, and MS3, we sought to identify residues in these peptides that differ from Bim and destabilize interactions with receptors other than Mcl-1. The 2eT mutation was vital in generating highly Mcl-1-specific peptides. This single point mutation in Bim (giving Bim A2eT, Table 1) provides a 6-fold reduction in Bcl-xL binding and over 20-fold reduction in Bcl-2, Bcl-w, and Bfl-1 binding in a peptide with the wild-type Bim background (Table 2). Likewise, introducing 2eT into library peptides A12 and B3 reduced binding to Bfl-1, Bcl-2, and Bcl-xL by ~100-fold and gave a more moderate ~10-fold reduction in Bcl-w binding affinity. Thus, it is clear that threonine at position 2e is highly destabilizing for all human Bcl-2 receptors other than Mcl-1, in several different peptide contexts.

Position 2e2 is conserved as small (alanine, glycine, serine) in natural BH3 sequences. Mcl-1 can bind BH3 peptides with larger residues at position 2e, including Bim A2eT and a peptide corresponding to the Mcl-1 BH3 region, which has a leucine at position 2e.21 To look for possible reasons that the other Bcl-2 paralogs cannot accommodate threonine at position 2e, we compared structures of Bcl-xL, Bfl-1, and Mcl-1 bound to the BH3 region of Bim (3FDL, 2VM6, and 2PQK, respectively).31–33 As shown in Figure 1a, helix 4 of Bfl-1 is closer to the peptide near the 2e position than is helix 4 in Mcl-1. Simple modeling of preferred threonine rotamers at 2e on the Bcl-1:Bim BH3 structure (light:dark cyan, 2PQK), as shown by aligning the structures in PyMOL.32,33 (b) Threonine modeled at position 2e clashes (red disks) with helix 4 in the Bcl-1 complex (left) but does not clash significantly in the Mcl-1 complex (right). Mutations were modeled in PyMOL by selecting the least preferred backbone-dependent rotamer for Thr; all rotamers are predicted to clash on the Bcl-1 structure backbone. (c) Ala2e is angled further into the BH3 binding groove in the Bcl-xL:Bim BH3 structure (light:dark green, 3FDL) than in the Mcl-1:Bim BH3 structure (light:dark cyan, 2PQK).31 (d) A salt bridge network that exists in the Bcl-xL:Bim BH3 structure (green) between Glu129, Arg132, and Arg3b would be disrupted at the equivalent sites in Mcl-1:Bim BH3 structure 2PQK (white). Glutamate and arginine are shown modeled in the place of His252 and Ser255 in the 2PQK structure, with rotamers chosen to position the side chains as close as possible to the orientations in the 3FDL structure.

Figure 1. Comparison of Bim BH3 position 2e in structures of Bfl-1, Bcl-xL, and Mcl-1. (a) Helix 4 is closer to Ala2e in the Bfl-1:Bim BH3 structure (light:dark purple, 2VM6) than in the Mcl-1:Bim BH3 structure (light:dark cyan, 2PQK), as shown by aligning the structures in PyMOL.32,33 (b) Threonine modeled at position 2e clashes (red disks) with helix 4 in the Bfl-1 complex (left) but does not clash significantly in the Mcl-1 complex (right). Mutations were modeled in PyMOL by selecting the least preferred backbone-dependent rotamer for Thr; all rotamers are predicted to clash on the Bcl-1 structure backbone. (c) Ala2e is angled further into the BH3 binding groove in the Bcl-xL:Bim BH3 structure (light:dark green, 3FDL) than in the Mcl-1:Bim BH3 structure (light:dark cyan, 2PQK).31 (d) A salt bridge network that exists in the Bcl-xL:Bim BH3 structure (green) between Glu129, Arg132, and Arg3b would be disrupted at the equivalent sites in Mcl-1:Bim BH3 structure 2PQK (white). Glutamate and arginine are shown modeled in the place of His252 and Ser255 in the 2PQK structure, with rotamers chosen to position the side chains as close as possible to the orientations in the 3FDL structure.
a helix-preferred rotomer but clashes with helix-4 residues of Bl-1 (Figure 1b).33,34 In the Bcl-xL:Bim BH3 structure, the BH3 peptide is positioned slightly differently in the groove, resulting in Ala2e being oriented further into the groove than in the Mcl-1:Bim BH3 structure (Figure 1c). Rearrangement of Bcl-xL helix 4 would likely be required to accommodate threonine, and such rearrangement could be disfavored if it led to disruption of a three-residue salt-bridge network that forms in the Bcl-xL:Bim BH3 structure between Glu129 and Arg132 of Bcl-xL (on helix 4) and Arg3b of Bim (Figure 1d). This network cannot be formed in a structure like that of Mcl-1:Bim BH3 or Mcl-1:Mcl-1 BH3 (in which position 2e is leucine), because the equivalent of Bcl-xL residue 129 is farther away from peptide position 3b in this complex. The charged residues in Bcl-xL that participate in salt-bridge formation are also conserved in Bcl-2, suggesting that a similar mechanism might operate to disfavor threonine or larger residues at 2e for that protein.

MS1, MS2, and MS3 all have different substitutions at 2g, which is a glutamate in wild-type Bim and is typically a medium-to-large residue in other known BH3 regions. MS1, our most selective peptide, has a glycine at this position, and mutating glutamate to glycine at position 2g in Bim_A2eT decreased binding to all receptors. The change in affinity for Mcl-1 could not be quantified, but affinities for Bl-1, Bcl-2, Bcl-w, and Bcl-xL were reduced an additional 2–8 fold-compared to Bim_A2eT. Thus, glycine at 2g provides some of the negative design disfavoring interactions with off-target receptors, although at the cost of weakening binding to the Mcl-1 target (Table 2).

Three mutations in peptides MS1, MS2, and MS3 occur in positions that are usually conserved as hydrophobic in known BH3 motifs (positions 2d, 3d, and 4a). When tested in the Bim_A2eT context, I2dM (found in MS1) provided a roughly 4-fold reduction in binding to Bcl-xL, Bcl-2, Bcl-w, and Bl-1 (Table 2). Notably, significant decreases in Bcl-w binding for Bim_A2eT_E2gG and Bim_A2eT_I2dM may explain why MS1 is more selective for Mcl-1 vs Bcl-w than are MS2 and MS3, which have different mutations at 2g and 2d. Mutation 134L reduced binding of Bim_A2eT to Bl-1 by 4-fold, while this mutation increased binding slightly to Bcl-xL, Bcl-2, and Bcl-w (Table 2). The F4aI mutation increased Bim_A2eT binding slightly to Bl-1 and Bcl-w but decreased binding by 6- and 3-fold to Bcl-xL and Bcl-2, respectively (Table 2). Position 4a is a well-documented source of specificity for Mcl-1 binding.31,24,33,35 Mutagenesis studies and peptide library screens have demonstrated that Bcl-xL binds preferentially to peptides that include a phenylalanine or tyrosine to fill the enclosed hydrophobic pocket near 4a, whereas Mcl-1 tolerates a wide variety of substitutions at this position.21,24,35

### Cellular BH3 Profiling Assays

A whole-cell BH3 profiling assay was used to test the specificity of our Mcl-1-binding peptides in several cell lines with differing dependencies on Bcl-2, Mcl-1, Bcl-xL, or Bcl-1.29,36 In this assay, permeabilized cells were treated with increasing doses of BH3 peptides, and mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP) was monitored using the dye JC-1 (see Methods). EC50 values for BH3 profiling experiments involving peptides from this study are given in Figure 2 and Supplementary Table 3, with full titration curves shown in Supplementary Figure 3.

Mcl-1/Myc 2640 is an engineered murine leukemia cell line overexpressing murine Mcl-1 and Myc, and Bcl-2/Myc 2924 is a similarly engineered cell line overexpressing human Bcl-2.30 By Western blot and BH3 profiling, these cells exhibit Mcl-1 and Bcl-2 dependencies, respectively.30 MS1, MS2, and MS3 elicited potent mitochondrial depolarization responses in Mcl-1/Myc 2640, with EC50 values of 70 nM, 700 nM, and 860 nM, respectively. These peptides were much more potent than NoxaA in this assay (EC50 = 20 μM). Human and murine Mcl-1 are over 90% identical in the Bcl-2 domain and 94% identical in the BH3 binding groove. Human multiple myeloma cell lines dependent upon Mcl-1 (as indicated by response to NoxaA and Bad) gave EC50 values of 2.5–3.3 μM for MS1, compared to EC50 values >100 μM for NoxaA (Supplementary Figure 3i–k and Supplementary Table 3). Thus, multiple Mcl-1-dependent cell lines were much more sensitive to MS1 than to NoxaA.
MS1 and MS2 were highly selective in BH3 profiling. In a Bcl-2-dependent line, EC_{50} values were >100 μM for MS1, MS2, MS3, and NoxaA. MDA-MB-231 is a human breast cancer cell line that has been shown to have a Bcl-xL-dependent profile.20 EC_{50} values for MS1, MS2, MS3, and NoxaA were over 100 μM for MDA-MB-231 cells. MS1 and MS2 showed EC_{25} values >100 μM in Pfeiffer, a lymphoma line with high Bfl-1 mRNA expression that has previously been shown to exhibit a Bfl-1-dependent BH3 profile.38 MS3 gave a stronger response in Pfeiffer than MS1 or MS2, but MS3 also exhibited tighter Bfl-1 binding by fluorescence anisotropy (Table 2). Finally, Bim_A2eT_E2gG, which showed modest specificity for Mcl-1 by fluorescence anisotropy (Table 2), exhibited a strong depolarization response in Mcl-1/Myc 2640 and a depolarization response intermediate to that of Bim and MS1 in Bcl-2/Myc 2924, MDA-MB-231, and Pfeiffer. Thus, in vitro binding specificities are replicated in BH3 profiling assays in cell lines showing all of the currently identified dependencies on Bcl-xL, Bcl-2, Mcl-1, and Bfl-1, as a Bcl-w-dependent cell line has not yet been identified or constructed.

The engineered peptides tested here were derived from Bim BH3, which is an activator BH3 peptide. Nevertheless, these peptides did not cause strong depolarization in cell lines that are not dependent upon Mcl-1, indicating that they act as sensitizers rather than activators in these assays. Depolarization activity was specific to the Bcl-2 pathway, because the peptides did not depolarize mitochondria in the Bax/Bak-dependent line Su-DHL10 (Supplementary Figure 4).

The three peptides presented here exhibit high affinity for Mcl-1 in solution binding assays. MS1, the most specific peptide, was at least 40-fold specific over Bcl-xL, Bcl-2, Bcl-w, and Bfl-1 as assessed using biochemical competition binding experiments. We demonstrated the potency and specificity of these peptides as Mcl-1-specific reagents in BH3 profiling assays. Given that Mcl-1 overexpression is a key source of resistance to ABT-263, the ability to detect Mcl-1 activity will be important in designing treatment strategies.9,10 The high affinity of the peptides for Mcl-1 also presents a good starting point for developing peptide-based therapeutics.

**METHODS**

**Library Construction and Sorting.** See Supplementary Methods. **Fluorescence Anisotropy Assays.** The Bim variant peptides were synthesized by the MIT Biopolymers Laboratory and were 23mers as shown in Table 1 with N-terminal 5/6-fluorescein amide and C-terminal amidation. The crude synthesis product was validated to be purified or constructed.

**REFERENCES**


