Gravity waves from extreme-mass-ratio plunges into Kerr black holes

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Published Version</td>
<td>doi:10.1103/physrevd.90.064045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citable link</td>
<td><a href="http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:20483713">http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:20483713</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms of Use</td>
<td>This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Articles, as set forth at <a href="http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#OAP">http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#OAP</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gravity Waves from Extreme-Mass-Ratio Plunges into Kerr Black Holes

Shahar Hadar§, Achilleas P. Porfyriadis† and Andrew Strominger†

§ Racah Institute of Physics, Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91904, Israel
† Center for the Fundamental Laws of Nature, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

Abstract

Massive objects orbiting a near-extreme Kerr black hole quickly plunge into the horizon after passing the innermost stable circular orbit. The plunge trajectory is shown to be related by a conformal map to a circular orbit. Conformal symmetry of the near-horizon region is then used to compute the gravitational radiation produced during the plunge phase.
1 Introduction

General relativity implies that the high-redshift region very near the horizon of a near
maximally-spinning Kerr black hole is governed by an infinite-dimensional conformal sym-
metry [1, 2]. X-rays [3] and iron lines [4] from such regions have already been observed,
and the future may hold yet higher precision observations. It is of interest to explore any
potential observational consequences of the conformal symmetry. In a companion paper [5],
the conformal symmetry was exploited to compute gravity wave emission for an extreme-
mass-ratio-inspiral within this near-horizon region. This approximates the signal from a
stellar mass object orbiting near an extreme supermassive Kerr black hole and is potentially
observable at eLISA [6, 7, 8]. Once such an object passes the innermost stable circular orbit
(ISCO), it plunges into the black hole. The plunge trajectory turns out to be related by
a conformal map to the circular orbit. In this paper we use the conformal map to compute the gravitational radiation produced during this post-ISCO plunge into a near-extreme Kerr black hole. This complements the computation in [9] of radiation produced during the post-ISCO plunge into a nonrotating Schwarzschild black hole.

In section 2 we set notation and briefly review the geometry of Kerr, near-horizon extreme Kerr (NHEK) and near-horizon near-extreme Kerr (near-NHEK). Section 3 gives the conformal map from a circular orbit of a pointlike ‘star’ in NHEK to a plunge trajectory in near-NHEK. In section 4, as a warmup to the gravity case, we couple a scalar field to the star. 4.1 computes the radiation production within near-NHEK using a bulk gravity analysis. 4.2 computes the same process using the techniques of two-dimensional conformal field theory (CFT). The results are shown to agree in subsection 4.3. In subsection 4.4 we turn to asymptotically flat near-extreme Kerr by reattaching the asymptotically flat region to near-NHEK. The resulting outgoing scalar radiation at flat future null infinity is computed. In 4.5 we derive the late-time quasinormal mode (QNM) decomposition. In section 5 all of these steps are repeated for the spin two case of gravitational radiation.

2 Kerr, NHEK and near-NHEK

The Kerr metric in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates reads ($G = \hbar = c = 1$):

$$ds^2 = -\frac{\Delta}{\rho^2} \left( d\hat{t} - a \sin^2 \theta d\hat{\phi} \right)^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} \left( (\hat{r}^2 + a^2)d\hat{\phi} - ad\hat{t} \right)^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} d\hat{r}^2 + \rho^2 d\theta^2,$$

where

$$\Delta = \hat{r}^2 - 2M\hat{r} + a^2, \quad \rho^2 = \hat{r}^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta.$$

It is labeled by the mass $M$ and angular momentum $J = aM$. The horizons are located at:

$$r_\pm = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}.$$

The Hawking temperature, angular velocity of the horizon, and Bekenstein-Hawking entropy are:

$$T_H = \frac{r_+ - M}{4\pi Mr_+}, \quad \Omega_H = \frac{a}{2Mr_+}, \quad S_{BH} = 2\pi Mr_+.$$

Extreme Kerr is characterized by $a = M$ so that angular momentum takes the maximal value $J = M^2$. Near extremality one has

$$\kappa \equiv \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{a}{M} \right)^2} \ll 1.$$

In this case there is a long throat to the horizon of the black hole and we can derive a regular throat geometry by zooming in to the horizon (Appendix A). Defining

$$r = \frac{\hat{r} - r_+}{r_+}, \quad t = \frac{\hat{t}}{2M}, \quad \phi = \hat{\phi} - \frac{\hat{t}}{2M},$$

We have
the near-NHEK geometry \[\text{[10]}\] is obtained by scaling \(r \sim \kappa\) to zero:
\[
ds^2 = 2M^2 \Gamma(\theta) \left[ -r(r + 2\kappa)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r(r + 2\kappa)} + d\theta^2 + \Lambda(\theta)^2(d\phi + (r + \kappa)dt)^2 \right],
\]
where
\[
\Gamma(\theta) = \frac{1 + \cos^2 \theta}{2}, \quad \Lambda(\theta) = \frac{2\sin \theta}{1 + \cos^2 \theta}.
\]
The NHEK geometry \[\text{[1]}\] is obtained by scaling \(\kappa\) to zero first:
\[
ds^2 = 2M^2 \Gamma(\theta) \left[ -R^2dT^2 + \frac{dR^2}{R^2} + d\theta^2 + \Lambda(\theta)^2(d\Phi + RD\phi)^2 \right].
\]

3 Mapping from near-NHEK plunge to NHEK orbit

We wish to study radiation sourced by a particle that spirals off the ISCO in near-NHEK and plunges into the horizon. As shown in Appendix \[\text{B}\] this equatorial plunge orbit is given by:
\[
t(r) = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \ln \frac{1}{r(r + 2\kappa)} + t_0,
\]
\[
\phi(r) = \frac{3r}{4\kappa} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{r}{r + 2\kappa} + \phi_0,
\]
and has energy and angular momentum (per unit rest mass):
\[
e = 0, \quad l = 2M/\sqrt{3}.
\]
This orbit is very special in that it is a Killing flow. The corresponding Killing vector becomes manifest when we make the following transformation to NHEK \[\text{[11, 12, 13, 14]}\]:
\[
T = -e^{-\kappa t} \frac{r + \kappa}{\sqrt{r(r + 2\kappa)}},
\]
\[
R = \frac{1}{\kappa} e^{\kappa t} \sqrt{r(r + 2\kappa)},
\]
\[
\Phi = \phi - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{r}{r + 2\kappa}.
\]
This is illustrated in Figure \[\text{I}\]. The transformation takes the near-NHEK equatorial plunge geodesics \[\text{[3.1, 3.2]}\] to the equatorial circular orbits in NHEK:
\[
R = R_0, \quad \Phi(T) = -\frac{3}{4} R_0 T + \Phi_0,
\]
where,
\[
R_0 = e^{\kappa t_0}/\kappa, \quad \Phi_0 = \phi_0 - 3/4.
\]
Note that (3.5-3.6) is precisely what we would call an equatorial plunge trajectory in NHEK, that is, the geodesic with energy and angular momentum those of the marginally stable circular orbits of NHEK: \( E = 0, L = 2M/\sqrt{3} \) (Appendix B).

Figure 1: Penrose diagram of the throat geometry. The large wedge (bounded by \( R = 0 \) and \( R = \infty \)) is NHEK. The small shaded wedge (bounded by \( r = 0 \) and \( r = \infty \)) is near-NHEK. The line \( R = R_0 \) is the circular orbit in NHEK which in near-NHEK is seen to plunge into the future horizon at \( r = 0 \).

The NHEK problem has a manifest Killing symmetry with respect to \( \chi = \partial_T - (3/4) R_0 \partial_\Phi \) and has been fully solved, both from the gravity and the CFT point of view, in [5]. In this paper we will thus solve the near-NHEK plunge problem by performing the bulk diffeomorphism (3.4) on the gravity computation and the boundary conformal transformation,\n
\[
T = -e^{-\kappa t}, \quad (3.8) \\
\Phi = \phi, \quad (3.9)
\]

on the CFT computation of [5]. We will see that the results remain in perfect agreement. Note that this conformal transformation is not among the \( SL(2, R) \) isometries and hence this agreement tests the full infinite-dimensional conformal symmetry.
4 Scalar radiation from a plunging star

In this section we consider a massless scalar field $\Psi$ coupled to a source $S$ via the interaction:

$$S_I = -4\pi \lambda \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \Psi(x) S(x), \quad (4.1)$$

where $\lambda$ is a coupling constant. The source due to a pointlike ‘star’ on a geodesic $x_*^\mu(\tau)$ is the covariant delta function integrated along the worldline of the star:

$$S(x) = -\int d\tau (-g)^{-1/2} \delta^{(4)}(x - x_*(\tau)). \quad (4.2)$$

In this case the wave equation becomes

$$\Box \Psi(x) = -4\pi \lambda \int d\tau (-g)^{-1/2} \delta^{(4)}(x - x_*(\tau)). \quad (4.3)$$

4.1 Gravity analysis

In this subsection we solve the wave equation (4.3) for the near-NHEK plunge and compute the flux across the future horizon. The solution for a particle on the geodesic (3.5-3.6) in NHEK with boundary conditions ingoing at the horizon and Neumann at the boundary is (setting $\Phi_0 = 0$)

$$\Psi = \sum_{\ell,m} e^{im(\Phi+3R_0T/4)} S_\ell(\theta) R_{\ell m}(R), \quad (4.4)$$

where $S_\ell$ are the spheroidal harmonics obeying

$$\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\theta (\sin \theta \partial_\theta S_\ell) + \left( K_\ell - \frac{m^2}{\sin^2 \theta} - \frac{m^2}{4} \sin^2 \theta \right) S_\ell = 0, \quad (4.5)$$

and $R_{\ell m}$ is given by:

$$R_{\ell m}(R) = \frac{1}{W} \left[ X \Theta(R_0 - R) W_{im,h-\frac{1}{2}}(-2i\Omega/R) + Z \Theta(R - R_0) M_{im,h-\frac{1}{2}}(-2i\Omega/R) \right], \quad (4.6)$$

where $W_{k,\mu}$ and $M_{k,\mu}$ are Whittaker functions and

$$\Omega = -\frac{3}{4} m R_0,$$

$$h = \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{1/4 + K_\ell - 2m^2},$$

$$X = -\sqrt{3} \lambda R_0 \frac{S_\ell(\pi/2) M_{im,h-\frac{1}{2}}(3im/2)}{2M \Gamma(2h)},$$

$$Z = -\sqrt{3} \lambda R_0 \frac{S_\ell(\pi/2) W_{im,h-\frac{1}{2}}(3im/2)}{2M \Gamma(2h)},$$

$$W = 2i\Omega \frac{\Gamma(2h)}{\Gamma(h - im)}.$$
This solution has the asymptotic behaviors:

\[ \Psi(R \to 0) = \sum_{\ell,m} e^{im(\Phi+3R_0T/4)} S_\ell(\theta) \frac{X}{W} (-2i\Omega)^m R^{-im} e^{-3imR_0/4R}, \quad (4.7) \]

\[ \Psi(R \to \infty) = \sum_{\ell,m} e^{im(\Phi+3R_0T/4)} S_\ell(\theta) \frac{Z}{W} (-2i\Omega)^h R^{-h}. \quad (4.8) \]

As explained in section 3, the solution to the near-NHEK plunge problem, with the same boundary conditions, may be obtained by transforming the above NHEK solution using the transformation (3.4). That is to say, the \( \Psi \) given above, transformed via (3.4), will be the solution to the wave equation (4.3) in near-NHEK with a source due to a plunging particle on the trajectory (3.1-3.2).

Holding \( t, \phi \) fixed, from the transformation (3.4) we see that

\[ R \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\kappa}} e^{\kappa t} r^{1/2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for} \quad r \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.9) \]

\[ R \approx e^{\kappa t} \quad \text{for} \quad r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.10) \]

and

\[ e^{im(\Phi+3R_0T/4)} (r \to 0) = (2\kappa)^{im/2} e^{im\phi} r^{-im/2} \exp \left[ -\frac{3imR_0}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2r}} e^{-\kappa t} \right], \quad (4.11) \]

\[ e^{im(\Phi+3R_0T/4)} (r \to \infty) = e^{im\phi} \exp \left[ -\frac{3imR_0}{4} e^{-\kappa t} \right]. \quad (4.12) \]

We thus find:

\[ \Psi(r \to 0) = \sum_{\ell,m} \frac{X}{W} \kappa^m (-2i\Omega)^m e^{im\phi} S_\ell(\theta) r^{-im} e^{-im\kappa t} \exp \left[ -\frac{3imR_0}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2r}} e^{-\kappa t} \right] \quad (4.13) \]

\[ \Psi(r \to \infty) = \sum_{\ell,m} \frac{Z}{W} \kappa^h (-2i\Omega)^h e^{im\phi} S_\ell(\theta) r^{-h} e^{-h\kappa t} \exp \left[ -\frac{3imR_0}{4} e^{-\kappa t} \right]. \quad (4.14) \]

In terms of the Fourier decomposition\(^1\)

\[ \Psi(r \to 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int d\omega \sum_{\ell,m} N e^{-i\omega t} e^{im\phi} S_\ell(\theta) r^{-\frac{1}{2}(m+\omega/\kappa)}, \quad (4.15) \]

\[ \Psi(r \to \infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int d\omega \sum_{\ell,m} N e^{-i\omega t} e^{im\phi} S_\ell(\theta) r^{-h}, \quad (4.16) \]

---

\(^1\)The relevant Fourier transforms are:

\[ F \left( e^{-im\kappa t} \exp \left[ -\frac{3imR_0}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2r}} e^{-\kappa t} \right] \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\kappa}} \left( \frac{2}{\kappa} \right)^{im} \Gamma \left( \frac{im}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{im+i\omega}{2\kappa} \right) \]

\[ F \left( e^{-h\kappa t} \exp \left[ -\frac{3imR_0}{4} e^{-\kappa t} \right] \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\kappa}} \left( \frac{3imR_0}{4} \right)^{-h+i\omega} \Gamma \left( h-i\frac{\omega}{\kappa} \right). \]
where
\[ I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}(m-\omega/\kappa)} \frac{X}{W} \kappa^{-1+\frac{1}{2}(m+\omega/\kappa)} (-2i\Omega)^{i\omega/\kappa} \Gamma(im - i\omega/\kappa), \] \tag{4.17} \]
\[ N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} 2^{h-\omega/\kappa} \frac{Z}{W} \kappa^{-1} (-2i\Omega)^{i\omega/\kappa} \Gamma(h - i\omega/\kappa). \] \tag{4.18} \]
The Klein-Gordon particle number flux is defined as:
\[ F = \int \sqrt{-g} J^r \, d\theta d\phi, \quad J^\mu = \frac{i}{8\pi} (\Psi^* \nabla^\mu \Psi - \Psi \nabla^\mu \Psi^*). \] \tag{4.19} \]
The near-NHEK solution (4.15-4.16) obeys ingoing boundary conditions at the horizon and Neumann at the boundary. Thus for real \( h \) the Klein-Gordon particle number flux vanishes at the boundary. Finally, the horizon flux, for \( \omega > 0, m > 0 \), is:
\[ F_{\ell m \omega} = \frac{M^2}{4\pi\kappa} \left| \frac{X}{W} \right|^2 \frac{m + \omega/\kappa}{m - \omega/\kappa} \frac{e^{-\pi\omega/\kappa}}{\sinh \pi(m - \omega/\kappa)} \] \tag{4.20} \]
\[ \approx \frac{M^2}{2\pi\kappa} \left| \frac{X}{W} \right|^2 \frac{e^{-2\pi\omega/\kappa} e^{\pi m}}, \] \tag{4.21} \]
where in the last line we have used \( \kappa \ll 1 \).

### 4.2 CFT analysis

In this subsection we derive the flux formula (4.21) from the CFT representation of gravity in near-NHEK as a 2D CFT at temperatures \( T_L = 1/(2\pi) \), \( T_R = \kappa/(2\pi) \) and an angular potential \[ 10 \]. As shown in [5], the addition of a star to NHEK is dual to a certain deformation of the CFT. The latter is described by the deformation of the two-dimensional action \( S_{CFT} \):
\[ S = S_{CFT} + \sum \int d\Phi dT \, J_\ell(\Phi, T) O_\ell(\Phi, T), \] \tag{4.22} \]
where \( O_\ell \) are CFT operators with left and right weights \( h \). For a star on the orbit (3.5-3.6) it was shown that \[ 5 \] that \( J_\ell(\Phi, T) = \sum m \frac{X}{W} C e^{im(\Phi + 3R_0T/4)}, \] \tag{4.23} \]
where \( C = (-2i\Omega)^{1-h} \Gamma(2h - 1)/\Gamma(h - im) \). Since \( O_\ell \) carry left and right weights \( h \) it follows by conformal invariance of (4.22) that \( J_\ell \) carry left and right weights \( 1 - h \). As a result, the conformal transformation (3.8) gives:
\[ J_\ell(\phi, t) = \kappa^{1-h} e^{(h-1)\kappa t} \sum m \frac{X}{W} C e^{im(\phi - 3R_0e^{-\kappa t}/4)}, \] \tag{4.24} \]

\(^2\)To avoid index clutter we suppress here and in the following the \( \ell \) subscript on \( h \).
The Fourier decomposition is:

$$J_\ell(\phi, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int d\omega \sum_{\ell, m} J_{\ell m \omega} e^{-i\omega t} e^{im\phi},$$

(4.25)

where

$$J_{\ell m \omega} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} X \kappa^{-h} \left( \frac{3imR_0}{4} \right)^{h-1+i\omega/\kappa} \Gamma(1-h-i\omega/\kappa).$$

(4.26)

The deformed action (4.22) may then be written:

$$S = S_{CFT} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int d\omega \sum_{\ell, m} \int d\phi dt J_{\ell m \omega} e^{im\phi-i\omega t} O_\ell(\phi, t).$$

(4.27)

The time dependent sources pump both left and right energy into the CFT. The leading-order transition rate out of the thermal state is given by Fermi’s golden rule [15, 16, 5]:

$$\mathcal{R} = \int d\omega \sum_{\ell, m} |J_{\ell m \omega}|^2 \int d\phi dt e^{-im\phi+\omega t} G(\phi, t),$$

(4.28)

where $G(\phi, t) = \langle \mathcal{O}(\phi, t)\mathcal{O}(0, 0) \rangle_{TL,TR}$ is the finite temperature two point function of the CFT. Using $T_L = \frac{1}{2\pi}$, $T_R = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}$, for $\omega > 0, m > 0$ with the appropriate $i\epsilon$ prescription, the Fourier transform of the two point function is:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\ell m \omega} = C^2_\mathcal{O} \frac{1}{\Gamma(2h)^2} |J_{\ell m \omega}|^2 \kappa^{2h-1} e^{\pi\omega/\kappa} e^{\pi m} |\Gamma(h+i\omega/\kappa)|^2 |\Gamma(h+im)|^2$$

(4.29)

$$\approx C^2_\mathcal{O} \frac{8\pi}{2^{2h}(2h-1)^2\kappa^2} \left| \frac{X}{W} \right|^2 e^{-2\pi\omega/\kappa} e^{\pi m},$$

(4.30)

where $C^2_\mathcal{O}$ is the operator normalization and in the last line we have used $\kappa \ll 1$.

### 4.3 Gravity/CFT matching

With the normalization found in [5],

$$C_\mathcal{O} = \frac{2^{h-1}(2h-1)}{2\pi} M,$$

(4.31)

we have the exact match of the gravity and CFT computations:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\ell m \omega} = \frac{M^2}{2\pi\kappa} \left| \frac{X}{W} \right|^2 e^{-2\pi\omega/\kappa} e^{im} = \mathcal{F}_{\ell m \omega}. $$

(4.32)
4.4 Reattaching the asymptotically flat region

In the previous subsections we considered scalar radiation production in near-NHEK. Boundary conditions were imposed such that all radiation was (for real \( h \)) reflected off of the asymptotic throat boundary and ultimately falls into the future horizon. Here we reattach the asymptotically flat region and compute the outgoing radiation at future null infinity.

Consider the scalar field on Kerr expanded in modes:

\[
\Psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int d\hat{\omega} \sum_{\ell,m} e^{-i\hat{\omega} \hat{r}} e^{im\hat{\phi}} \hat{S}_\ell(\theta) \hat{R}_{\ell m \hat{\omega}}(\hat{r}).
\]

The wave equation separates into the spheroidal angular equation,

\[
\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\theta (\sin \theta \partial_\theta \hat{S}_\ell) + \left( \hat{K}_\ell - \frac{m^2}{\sin^2 \theta} - a^2 \hat{\omega}^2 \sin^2 \theta \right) \hat{S}_\ell = 0,
\]

and a radial equation which in the coordinates (2.6) reads:

\[
r(r + \tau_H) \hat{R}_{\ell m \hat{\omega}}'' + (2r + \tau_H) \hat{R}_{\ell m \hat{\omega}}' + V \hat{R}_{\ell m \hat{\omega}} = \hat{T}_{\ell m \hat{\omega}},
\]

with

\[
V = \frac{(r_+ \hat{\omega} r^2 + 2r_+ \hat{\omega} r + n\tau_H/2)^2}{r(r + \tau_H)} + 2am\hat{\omega} - \hat{K}_\ell.
\]

Here \( \hat{K}_\ell \) is the separation constant and,

\[
\tau_H \equiv \frac{r_+ - r_-}{r_+}, \quad n \equiv 4M \frac{\hat{\omega} - m\Omega_H}{\tau_H}.
\]

In the near extremal, near superradiant bound regime,

\[
\tau_H \ll 1 \quad \text{and} \quad n\tau_H \ll 1,
\]

we have to leading order:

\[
\tau_H = 2\kappa, \quad 2r_+ \hat{\omega} = 2a\hat{\omega} = m, \quad \hat{K}_\ell = K_\ell, \quad \hat{S}_\ell = S_\ell, \quad 2M\hat{\omega} - m = (n - m)\kappa.
\]

Then, identifying

\[
\omega = (n - m)\kappa,
\]

we can match a near-NHEK solution containing the source with a far Kerr vacuum solution as follows. For \( r \gg \max(\tau_H, n\tau_H) \) equation (4.35) becomes the vacuum far equation in extreme Kerr and the solution that is purely outgoing at null infinity behaves as [5]:

\[
\hat{R}_{\ell m \hat{\omega}}^{\text{far}}(r \to \infty) = Q \frac{\Gamma(2 - 2h)}{\Gamma(1 - h + im)} (im)^{h-1+im} \times \\
\times \left[ 1 - \frac{(-im)^{2h-1} \sin \pi(h + im)}{(im)^{2h-1} \sin \pi(h - im)} \right] r^{-1+im} e^{imr/2},
\]

\[
\hat{R}_{\ell m \hat{\omega}}^{\text{far}}(r \to 0) = Pr^{h-1} + Q r^{-h},
\]
For \( r \ll 1 \) equation (4.35) becomes the near-NHEK equation,

\[
   r(r + 2\kappa)\dot{T}_{\ell m}\omega + 2(r + \kappa)\dot{R}_{\ell m}\omega + \left[ \frac{(mr + \kappa\dot{\omega})^2}{r(r + 2\kappa)} + m^2 - K_\ell \right] R_{\ell m}\omega = \hat{T}_{\ell m}\omega ,
\]

with the source \( \dot{T}_{\ell m}\omega \) due to the plunging star on (3.1-3.2). In section 4.1 we found the solution to this equation with ingoing boundary conditions at the horizon and Neumann at the boundary of the throat (equations (4.15-4.16)). The latter implies no flux leaking outside the throat. However, here we wish to allow the necessary flux leak out in such a way that we can match the large \( r \) behavior of the solution to (4.44) with the small \( r \) behavior of \( \dot{R}_{\ell m}\omega \) in (4.42). This is done by adding to the solution of section 4.1 an ingoing at the horizon homogeneous solution of (4.44),

\[
   \dot{R}_{\ell m}^{\text{near}} = r^{-\imath n/2} \left( \frac{r}{2\kappa} + 1 \right)^{i(n/2-m)}_{2F_1 \left( h - \imath m, 1 - h - \imath m, 1 - \imath n, -\frac{r}{2\kappa} \right)},
\]

with the appropriate amplitude to match the Dirichlet mode of (4.42). Doing so fixes the amplitude \( Q \) according to:

\[
   Q = 2MN \left[ 1 - (-2\imath m\kappa)^{2h-1} \frac{\Gamma(1 - 2h)^2 \Gamma(h - \imath m)^2 \Gamma(h - i(n - m))}{\Gamma(2h - 1)^2 \Gamma(1 - h - \imath m)^2 \Gamma(1 - h - i(n - m))} \right]^{-1},
\]

where \( N \) is given in (4.18). Plugging into equation (4.41) we obtain the waveform at future null infinity as a function of \( \ell, m \) and \( \dot{\omega} \):

\[
   \dot{R}_{\ell m}\omega (r \to \infty) = \frac{4\lambda}{\sqrt{6\pi}} (-1)^{-h} (2\kappa)^{h-1} (3R_0/4)^{i(n-m)} \times \frac{S_\ell (\pi/2) W_{im,h-\frac{1}{2}} (3i/2) (im)^{h-2+im} e^{\pi m} (1 - 2h) \Gamma(h - im)^2 / (2h)^2}{1 / \Gamma(h - i(n - m))} \times (-2\imath m\kappa)^{2h-1} \frac{\Gamma(1 - 2h)^2 \Gamma(h - im)^2 \Gamma(h - i(n - m))}{\Gamma(2h - 1)^2 \Gamma(1 - h - im)^2 \Gamma(1 - h - i(n - m))} \times r^{-1+im} e^{imr/2},
\]

for \( m > 0 \).

### 4.5 Quasinormal mode decomposition

The waveform at future infinity as a function of time rather than frequency is obtained by plugging (4.47) into (4.33) and integrating over frequencies. It is well known that for appropriately late times the waveform is dominated by the quasinormal mode contribution, which is determined by the residues of \( \dot{R}_{\ell m}\omega (r \to \infty) \) at its poles. From (4.47) the poles are located where the denominator,

\[
   D \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma(h - i(n - m))} - (-2\imath m\kappa)^{2h-1} \frac{\Gamma(1 - 2h)^2 \Gamma(h - im)^2 \Gamma(h - i(n - m))}{\Gamma(2h - 1)^2 \Gamma(1 - h - im)^2 \Gamma(1 - h - i(n - m))},
\]

for \( m > 0 \).
vanishes. These QNMs of near extreme Kerr were first studied for the case $n \to \infty$ in \cite{17} and more recently for $n = \text{finite}$ in \cite{18}. Here we follow the latter because this case gives the most long lived resonances. In order to have $D = 0$, the two different terms need to be of the same magnitude as $\kappa \to 0$ which happens when $\Gamma(h - i(n - m))$ itself is close to a pole. Accordingly, we write the following ansatz for the QNM frequency:

$$n = m - i(N + h - \epsilon \eta), \tag{4.49}$$

where $\epsilon \equiv (-2im\kappa)^{2h-1} \ll 1$ for real $h$, and $N = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ is the overtone number which enumerates the different poles. Then we can approximate,

$$\Gamma(h - i(n - m)) = \Gamma(-N + \epsilon \eta) \approx \frac{(-1)^N}{N! \epsilon \eta}, \tag{4.50}$$

so that $D$ near the QNM frequencies may be written as:

$$D \approx \epsilon (-1)^N N! (\eta - \eta_{QNM}), \tag{4.51}$$

with

$$\eta_{QNM} = \frac{\Gamma(1 - 2h)^2 \Gamma(h - im)^2}{\Gamma(2h - 1)^2 \Gamma(1 - h - im)^2 N! \Gamma(1 - 2h - N)} \cdot \tag{4.52}$$

So all the poles in (4.47) are simple poles in the lower complex $\hat{\omega}$ plane located at:

$$\hat{\omega}_{N\ell m} = \frac{1}{2M} [m - i\kappa(N + h)]. \tag{4.53}$$

Then, by the residue theorem, the QNM contribution to the waveform at asymptotic flat infinity is given by:

$$\Psi_{\text{far}}(r \to \infty) = \sum_{N, \ell, m} c_{N\ell m} e^{-i\hat{\omega}_{N\ell m} \hat{t}} e^{im\hat{\phi}} S_{\ell}(\theta) r^{-1+im} e^{imr/2}, \tag{4.54}$$

where the amplitudes are

$$c_{N\ell m} = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{3} M} \frac{(-1)^{N-h}(2\kappa)^h (3R_0/4)^{N+h} S_{\ell}(\pi/2) W_{im,h-\frac{1}{2}} (3im/2)}{N! \Gamma(1 - h - im)^2} \times$$

$$\times (im)^{N+2h-2+im} e^{\pi m(1 - 2h)\Gamma(h - im)^2/\Gamma(2h)^2}. \tag{4.55}$$

Finally, performing the sum over $N$ we find:

$$\Psi_{\text{far}}(r \to \infty)$$

$$= \sum_{\ell, m} \frac{3^{h-\frac{1}{2}} \lambda}{2^h M} (-1)^{-h} e^{3im/4} S_{\ell}(\pi/2) W_{im,h-\frac{1}{2}} (3im/2) (im)^{2h-2+im} e^{\pi m(1 - 2h)\Gamma(h - im)^2/\Gamma(2h)^2} \times$$

$$\times \exp \left[-\frac{im}{2M}(\hat{t} - \hat{t}_0) - \frac{3im}{4\kappa} e^{\frac{\pi m}{4} (t - \hat{t}_0)} \right] e^{im(\hat{\phi} - \hat{\phi}_0)} S_{\ell}(\theta) r^{-1+im} e^{imr/2}, \tag{4.56}$$
where we have used that $R_0 = \kappa^{-1}e^{\kappa\hat{t}_0/(2M)}$, $\hat{\phi}_0 = \hat{t}_0/(2M) + 3/4$. We note that this QNM waveform is valid starting at times,

$$\exp\left[-\frac{\kappa}{2M}(\hat{t} - \hat{t}_0)\right] \sim \kappa,$$

so that in (4.56) we have $\Psi_{\text{far}} \sim \kappa^h$.

## 5 Gravitational radiation from a plunging star

In this section we repeat the analysis for the realistic case of plunging stars coupled to gravity rather than scalars. It is conceptually similar but slightly more intricate computationally.

### 5.1 Gravity analysis

In [5] the solution to the Teukolsky equation describing gravitational wave generation in NHEK due to a particle on (3.5-3.6) was found to be:

$$
\psi^{(-2)} = \sum_{\ell,m} e^{im(\Phi + 3R_0T/4)} S_\ell(\theta) R_{\ell m}(R),
$$

where $\psi^{(-2)}$ is a Newman-Penrose component of the Weyl tensor, $S_\ell$ are the spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics obeying

$$
\frac{1}{\sin\theta} \partial_\theta (\sin\theta \partial_\theta S_\ell) + \left( K_\ell - \frac{m^2 + s^2 + 2ms \cos\theta}{\sin^2\theta} - \frac{m^2}{4} \sin^2\theta - ms \cos\theta \right) S_\ell = 0,
$$

and $R_{\ell m}$ is:

$$
R_{\ell m}(R) = \frac{1}{R_0^{2sW}} \left[ \mathcal{X} \Theta(R_0 - R) W(R) + \mathcal{Z} \Theta(R - R_0) \mathcal{M}(R) \right] + a_2 \delta(R - R_0),
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{M}(R) = R^{-s} M_{im+s,h-\frac{1}{2}}(-2i\Omega/R),
$$

$$
\mathcal{W}(R) = R^{-s} W_{im+s,h-\frac{1}{2}}(-2i\Omega/R),
$$

$$
W = 2i\Omega \frac{\Gamma(2h)}{\Gamma(h - im - s)},
$$

$$
\mathcal{X} = R_0 \mathcal{M}'(R_0)(2s_1 - a_1 - 2a_2) + \mathcal{M}(R_0)(a_0 - 2s_1 - 2s_2 + 4s^2a_2 - a_2 V_s(R_0)),
$$

$$
\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{X} (\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{W}),
$$

$$
V_s(R) = 2m^2 - K_\ell + s(s + 1) + \frac{2\Omega(m - is)}{R} + \frac{\Omega^2}{R^2},
$$

$$
a_0 = \frac{m_0 R_0^3}{16\sqrt{3}M^5} \left( 40S + 3imS - \frac{9}{8}m^2S + 6mS' - 16iS' - 8S'' \right),
$$

$$
a_1 = \frac{m_0 R_0^3}{16\sqrt{3}M^5} (8iS' - 3imS - 16S),
$$

$$
a_2 = \frac{m_0 R_0^3}{16\sqrt{3}M^5} 2S.$$

Here $S, S', S''$ denote $S_{\ell}(\pi/2), S'_{\ell}(\pi/2), S''_{\ell}(\pi/2)$ respectively and $s = -2$ is understood. This solution obeys ingoing boundary conditions at the horizon and Neumann at the boundary:

$$
\psi^{(-2)}(R \to 0) = \sum_{\ell, m} e^{im(\Phi+3R_0T/4)} S_{\ell}(\theta) \frac{X}{R_0^4 W} (-2i\Omega)^{im-2} R^{-im+4} e^{-3imR_0/4R}, \quad (5.4)
$$

$$
\psi^{(-2)}(R \to \infty) = \sum_{\ell, m} e^{im(\Phi+3R_0T/4)} S_{\ell}(\theta) \frac{Z}{R_0^4 W} (-2i\Omega)^{h} R^{-h+2}. \quad (5.5)
$$

As in the scalar case, the solution to the Teukolsky equation in near-NHEK with a source due to a plunging particle on (3.1-3.2) and with the same boundary conditions, may be obtained by transforming the above NHEK solution via equations (3.4). However, a minor additional step is in order here. In NHEK the solution above describes metric perturbations according to \[ \psi^{(-2)} = (1 - i \cos \theta)^4 \delta \psi \] with the Weyl scalar $\psi \equiv C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} n^\mu \bar{m}^\nu n^\rho \bar{m}^\sigma$ defined with respect to the NHEK Kinnersley tetrad

$$
L^\mu = \left( \frac{1}{R^2}, 1, 0, -\frac{1}{R} \right),
$$

$$
N^\mu = \frac{1}{2M^2(1+\cos^2 \theta)} \left( 1, -R^2, 0, -R \right), \quad (5.6)
$$

$$
M^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2M(1+i\cos \theta)}} \left( 0, 0, 1, \frac{i(1+\cos^2 \theta)}{2\sin \theta} \right).
$$

The transformation (3.4) takes this NHEK Kinnersley tetrad to a near-NHEK tetrad in which the spin coefficient $\epsilon \neq 0$ but in which $l$ and $n$ are still aligned along the repeated principal null directions of the Weyl tensor. Thus to get to the near-NHEK Kinnersley tetrad,

$$
l^\mu = \left( \frac{1}{r(r+2\kappa)}, 1, 0, -\frac{r+\kappa}{r(r+2\kappa)} \right),
$$

$$
n^\mu = \frac{1}{2M^2(1+\cos^2 \theta)} \left( 1, -r(r+2\kappa), 0, -(r+\kappa) \right), \quad (5.7)
$$

$$
m^\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2M(1+i\cos \theta)}} \left( 0, 0, 1, \frac{i(1+\cos^2 \theta)}{2\sin \theta} \right),
$$

the transformation (3.4) needs to be followed by a (Class III) rotation $l \rightarrow (R/r)l, n \rightarrow (r/R)n$ which rotates,

$$
\psi^{(-2)} \rightarrow \kappa^2 e^{-2\kappa t} \frac{r}{r+2\kappa} \psi^{(-2)}. \quad (5.8)
$$

We do this primarily for later convenience when matching to the standard Kerr Teukolsky equation separated in the Kinnersley tetrad.
Using equations (4.9-4.12) followed by a Fourier transform we get:

\[
\psi(-2)(r \to 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int d\omega \sum_{\ell,m} \mathcal{I} e^{-i\omega t} e^{im\phi} S_\ell(\theta) r^{-\frac{3}{2}(m+\omega/\kappa)+2},
\]

\[
\psi(-2)(r \to \infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int d\omega \sum_{\ell,m} \mathcal{N} e^{-i\omega t} e^{im\phi} S_\ell(\theta) r^{-\frac{1}{2}+2},
\]

where

\[
\mathcal{I} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} 2^{\frac{3}{2}(m-\omega/\kappa)} \frac{\mathcal{X}}{R_0^4 W} \kappa^{-1+\frac{3}{2}(m+\omega/\kappa)} (-2i\Omega)^{i\omega/\kappa} \Gamma(-2+im-i\omega/\kappa),
\]

\[
\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} 2^{h-i\omega/\kappa} \frac{Z}{R_0^4 W} \kappa^{h-1} (-2i\Omega)^{i\omega/\kappa} \Gamma(h-i\omega/\kappa).
\]

For \(\omega > 0, m > 0\), the graviton number flux at the horizon, to leading order in \(\kappa\), is [19]:

\[
\mathcal{F}_{\ell m \omega} = \frac{8M^{10}}{\pi \kappa} \left| \frac{\mathcal{X}}{R_0^4 W} \right|^2 e^{-2\omega \kappa/\kappa} e^{\pi m} |C|^2 e^{\pi m},
\]

\[
|C|^2 \equiv ((K_\ell - m^2)^2 + m^2)((K_\ell - m^2 - 2)^2 + 9m^2).
\]

### 5.2 Gravity/CFT matching

The source corresponding to the NHEK problem, as obtained from the leading term of the appropriate Hertz potential at the boundary, is given by [4]:

\[
J_\ell(\Phi, T) = \sum_m \frac{4}{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\mathcal{X}}{R_0^{-2s} W} \frac{(-2i\Omega)^{1-h} \Gamma(2h-1)}{\Gamma(h-im-s)} e^{im(\Phi+3R_0 T/4)}.
\]

Now \(O_\ell\) carry weights [20] \(h_R = h, h_L = h - s\) so that conformal invariance implies \(J_\ell\) carry right weight \(1-h\) and left weight \(1-h+s\). Thus as in the scalar case, after the conformal transformation we find \(J_\ell(\phi, t)\) given as in (4.25) with:

\[
J_{\ell m \omega} = \frac{2^{3-h-i\omega/\kappa}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \mathcal{C}} \kappa^{-h} \frac{\mathcal{X}}{R_0^{-2s} W} (-2i\Omega)^{i\omega/\kappa} \Gamma(1-h-i\omega/\kappa) \frac{\Gamma(2h-1)}{\Gamma(h-im-s)}.
\]

Using the above together with the operator normalization from [5],

\[
C_O = \frac{2^{h-1} M^5}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{\Gamma(2h+4)\Gamma(2h)}}{\Gamma(2h-1)},
\]

and plugging into Fermi’s golden rule reproduces, to leading order in \(\kappa\), the rate (5.13).
5.3 Reattaching the asymptotically flat region

Consider the full Teukolsky equation in Kerr for \( \hat{\psi}(-2) \equiv (\hat{r} - ia \cos \theta)^4 \delta \hat{\psi}_4 \) expanded in modes:

\[
\hat{\psi}(-2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int d\omega \sum_{\ell,m} e^{-i\omega \hat{t}} e^{im\hat{\phi}} \hat{S}_\ell(\theta) \hat{R}_{\ell m \omega}(\hat{r}).
\] (5.17)

In the near extremal, near superradiant regime (4.38), given the relations (4.39-4.40), we can match, across the entrance to the throat, an ingoing at the horizon solution of the near-NHEK equation containing the source with a far Kerr vacuum solution that is purely outgoing at null infinity. The desired far solution is given by [5]:

\[
\hat{R}_{\ell m \omega}^{\text{far}}(r \to \infty) = Q \frac{\Gamma(2-2h)}{\Gamma(1-h+im-s)} (im)^{h-1+im-s} \times
\]
\[
\times \left[ 1 - \frac{(-im)^{2h-1}}{(im)^{2h-1}} \sin \pi(h+im) \right] r^{-1+im-2s} e^{imr/2},
\] (5.18)

\[
\hat{R}_{\ell m \omega}^{\text{far}}(r \to 0) = P r^{h-1-s} + Q r^{-h-s},
\] (5.19)

where

\[
P \over Q = -(-im)^{2h-1} \frac{\Gamma(2-2h)}{\Gamma(2h)} \frac{\Gamma(h-im+s)}{\Gamma(1-h-im+s)}. \] (5.20)

To match this with a solution of the near-NHEK equation,

\[
r(r+2\kappa)\hat{R}_{\ell m \omega}^{\text{near}} + 2(s+1)(r+\kappa)\hat{R}_{\ell m \omega} + \left( \frac{(mr+n\kappa)^2 - 2is(r+\kappa)(mr+n\kappa)}{r(r+2\kappa)} + 2ism + m^2 + s(s+1) - K_\ell \right) \hat{R}_{\ell m \omega} = \hat{T}_{\ell m \omega},
\] (5.21)

where the source \( \hat{T}_{\ell m \omega} \) is due to the plunging particle on (3.1-3.2), we need only adjust the Neumann boundary conditions of the solution found in section 5.1 to the leaky boundary conditions given in (5.19). This is done by adding an ingoing at the horizon homogeneous solution of (5.21),

\[
\hat{R}_{\ell m \omega}^{\text{near}} = r^{-i\eta/2-s} \left( \frac{r}{2\kappa} + 1 \right)^{i(n/2-m)-s} 2F_1 \left( h - im - s, 1 - h - im - s, 1 - in - s, -\frac{r}{2\kappa} \right),
\] (5.22)

with the appropriate amplitude to match the Dirichlet mode of (5.19). Doing so we find (note that \( \hat{\psi}(-2) = M^6 \psi(-2) \)):

\[
Q = 2M^7 N \times
\]
\[
x \left[ 1 - (-2im\kappa)^{2h-1} \frac{\Gamma(1-2h)^2}{\Gamma(2h-1)^2} \frac{\Gamma(h-im-s)\Gamma(h-im+s)}{\Gamma(1-h-im-s)\Gamma(1-h-im+s)} \frac{\Gamma(h-i(n-m))}{\Gamma(1-h-i(n-m))} \right]^{-1},
\] (5.23)
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where $\mathcal{N}$ is given in (5.12). Plugging into equation (5.18) we obtain the full frequency domain solution at asymptotic flat infinity (for $m > 0$):

$$R_{\text{far}}(r \to \infty) = \frac{m_0 M^2}{6\sqrt{6\pi}} (-1)^{-h}(2\kappa)^{h-1}(3R_0/4)^{i(n-m)} \times$$

$$\times \frac{\gamma (im)^{h+im} e^{\pi m (2h-1)} \Gamma(2+h-im) \Gamma(-2+h-im) / \Gamma(2h)^2}{\Gamma(h-i(n-m))} - \frac{(-2im\kappa)^{2h-1} \Gamma(1-2h)^2 \Gamma(2h-1)^2 \Gamma(3-h-im) \Gamma(-1-h-im) \Gamma(1-h-i(n-m))}{\Gamma(2h-1)^2} r^{3+im} e^{imr/2},$$

where

$$\gamma = 2 [(h^2 - h + 6 - im) S + 4(2i + m) S' - 4S''] W_{im-2h-\frac{1}{2}} (3im/2)$$

$$+ [(4 + 3im) S - 8i S'] W_{im-1, h-\frac{1}{2}} (3im/2).$$

### 5.4 Quasinormal mode decomposition

As in the scalar case, the QNMs are determined by the zeros of the denominator in (5.24),

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(h-i(n-m))} \frac{\Gamma(1-2h)^2 \Gamma(2+h-im) \Gamma(-2+h-im) \Gamma(1-h-i(n-m))}{\Gamma(2h-1)^2 \Gamma(3-h-im) \Gamma(-1-h-im) \Gamma(1-h-i(n-m))}.$$  

This implies that the QNM frequencies are again as in (4.53) where $\mathcal{D}$ gives simple poles as in (4.51) with,

$$\eta_{\text{QNM}} = \frac{\Gamma(1-2h)^2 \Gamma(2+h-im) \Gamma(-2+h-im)}{\Gamma(2h-1)^2 \Gamma(3-h-im) \Gamma(-1-h-im) N! \Gamma(1-2h-N)}.$$ (5.27)

The QNM contribution to the waveform at asymptotic flat infinity is:

$$\psi_{\text{far}}^{(-2)}(r \to \infty) = \sum_{\ell,m} \frac{3^{h-\frac{3}{2}} m_0 M}{2^{h+3}} (-1)^{-h} e^{3im/4} \gamma (im)^{2h+im} e^{\pi m (2h-1)} \Gamma(2+h-im) \Gamma(-2+h-im) \times$$

$$\times \exp \left[-i \frac{m - i\kappa}{2M} (\hat{t} - \hat{t}_0) - \frac{3im}{4\kappa} e^{-\frac{2\pi M}{M} (\hat{t} - \hat{t}_0)} \right] e^{im(\hat{\phi} - \hat{\phi}_0)} S_{\ell}(\hat{\phi}) r^{3+im} e^{imr/2},$$ (5.28)

where $\gamma$ is given in (5.25).
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A  Throat geometries in near extreme Kerr

There exist three different scaling limits one can take to zoom into the geometry of the throat of a near extreme Kerr black hole. Consider the Kerr metric \( \text{[2.1]} \). Define
\[
a = M \sqrt{1 - (\kappa \delta)^2},
\]
and make the coordinate transformation:
\[
r = \hat{r} - r_+ \frac{\delta \hat{r}}{2M}, \quad t = \frac{\delta \hat{t}}{2M}, \quad \phi = \hat{\phi} - \frac{\hat{t}}{2M},
\]
where \( \delta > 0 \). Taking \( \delta \to 0 \) we have the following three cases.

For \( p < 1 \) we get NHEK:
\[
ds^2 = 2M^2 \Gamma(\theta) \left(-r^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} + d\theta^2 + \Lambda(\theta)^2 (d\phi + r dt)^2\right).
\]
For \( p = 1 \) we get near-NHEK:
\[
ds^2 = 2M^2 \Gamma(\theta) \left(-r(r + 2\kappa) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r(r + 2\kappa)} + d\theta^2 + \Lambda(\theta)^2 (d\phi + (r + \kappa) dt)^2\right).
\]
For \( p > 1 \) we get horizon-NHEK:
\[
ds^2 = 2M^2 \Gamma(\theta) \left(\frac{dr^2}{2kr} + d\theta^2 + \Lambda(\theta)^2 (d\phi + \kappa dt)^2\right).
\]
Note that horizon-NHEK is a singular metric because it has vanishing determinant.

B  Equatorial geodesic equations in NHEK-like metric

Consider a NHEK-like metric:
\[
ds^2 = 2M^2 \Gamma(\theta) \left[-N^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{N^2} + d\theta^2 + \Lambda(\theta)^2 (d\phi + N^\phi dt)^2\right],
\]
where \( N^2, N^\phi \) are functions of \( r \) only. Confining ourselves to the equatorial plane, \( \theta = \pi/2 \), the two constants of motion associated with the Killing fields \( \partial_t \) and \( \partial_\phi \), namely the energy and angular momentum (per unit rest mass) \( E \) and \( L \), suffice to describe the geodesics. The timelike equatorial geodesics, parameterized by proper time \( \tau \), are given by:
\[
\frac{dt}{d\tau} = \frac{E + LN^\phi}{M^2 N^2},
\]
\[
\frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = \frac{L}{4M^2} - N^\phi \cdot \frac{E + LN^\phi}{M^2 N^2},
\]
and for the radial motion:
\[ \Sigma^2 \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 = V(r), \]  
with \( \Sigma^2 = M^2/N^2 \) and,
\[ V(r) = \frac{4E^2 + 8ELN^\phi - 4M^2N^2 + 4L^2(N^\phi)^2 - L^2N^2}{4M^2N^2}. \]

For a circular orbit at some radius \( r \) we need \( V(r) = 0 \) and \( V'(r) = 0 \). These equations can be solved to give \( E \) and \( L \) in terms of the circle radius but circular orbits don’t necessarily exist for all values of \( r \). Moreover, not all circular orbits are stable. Stability requires \( V''(r) \leq 0 \).

### B.1 near-NHEK

For near-NHEK:
\[ N^2 = r(r + 2\kappa), \quad N^\phi = r + \kappa. \]

Circular orbits, satisfying \( V(r) = 0 \) and \( V'(r) = 0 \), exist for \( 3r^2 + 6\kappa r - \kappa^2 > 0 \) and have:
\[ E = \pm \frac{2M\kappa^2}{\sqrt{3r^2 + 6\kappa r - \kappa^2}}, \]
\[ L = \pm \frac{2M(r + \kappa)}{\sqrt{3r^2 + 6\kappa r - \kappa^2}}, \]
where the upper (lower) sign refers to direct (retrograde) orbits. Among those, stable ones should also have \( V''(r) \leq 0 \) which is equivalent to:
\[ \frac{8\kappa^2}{r(r + 2\kappa)(3r^2 + 6\kappa r - \kappa^2)} \leq 0. \]

Thus in near-NHEK ISCO is at \( r = \infty \). Since ISCO is a marginally stable orbit, we choose the plunging orbit with \( E \) and \( L \) those of ISCO (direct orbit):
\[ E = 0, \quad L = \frac{2M}{\sqrt{3}}. \]

Plugging these values into equations (B.2–B.4) we can integrate them to find the expressions for the plunge trajectory in near-NHEK:
\[ t(r) = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \ln \frac{1}{r(r + 2\kappa)} + t_0, \]
\[ \phi(r) = \frac{3r}{4\kappa} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{r}{r + 2\kappa} + \phi_0. \]
B.2 NHEK

For NHEK:

\[ N^2 = r^2, \quad N^\phi = r. \]  \hspace{1cm} (B.13)

Circular orbits, satisfying \( V(r) = 0 \) and \( V'(r) = 0 \), exist at all \( r > 0 \) and they all have:

\[ E = 0, \]  \hspace{1cm} (B.14)

\[ L = \pm \frac{2M}{\sqrt{3}}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (B.15)

Moreover, all of them are marginally stable with \( V''(r) = 0 \). So there is no single innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) in NHEK. Since these are marginally stable orbits the solution to the equatorial geodesic equations with \( E = 0, L = 2M/\sqrt{3} \) may be called a (direct) plunge trajectory in NHEK. Plugging these values into equations (B.2–B.4) we can integrate them to find the expressions for the plunge trajectory in NHEK:

\[ r(t) = r_0, \]  \hspace{1cm} (B.16)

\[ \phi(t) = -\frac{3}{4}r_0 t + \phi_0. \]  \hspace{1cm} (B.17)
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