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Background: Melphalan is one of the most active chemotherapeutic agents in the treatment of multiple myeloma (MM). However, the mechanism underlying differential patient responses to melphalan therapy is unknown.

Methods: Chromatin structure, transcriptional activity and DNA damage response signals were examined following ex vivo treatment with melphalan of both malignant bone marrow plasma cells (BMPCs) and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of MM patients, responders (n = 57) or non-responders (n = 28) to melphalan therapy. PBMCs from healthy controls (n = 25) were also included in the study.

Results: In both BMPCs and PBMCs, the local chromatin looseness, transcriptional activity and repair efficiency of the transcribed strand (TS) were significantly higher in non-responders than in responders and lowest in healthy controls (all P < 0.05). Moreover, we found that melphalan-induced apoptosis inversely correlated with the repair efficiency of the TS, with the duration of the inhibition of mRNA synthesis, phosphorylation of p53 at serine 15 and apoptosis rates being higher in responders than in non-responders (all P < 0.001).

Conclusions: Our findings provide a mechanistic basis for the link between DNA repair efficiency and response to melphalan therapy. Interestingly, the observation of these phenomena in PBMCs provides a novel approach for the prediction of response to anti-myeloma therapy.
HDACi; San Miguel et al, 2008; Redic, 2013) that prolonged the median survival of MM patients to more than 7 years, the disease remains incurable due to the development of resistance and relapse practically in all patients (Anderson, 2012; Kumar et al, 2012).

Melphalan is an alkylating agent producing N-alkylpurine monoadducts, a small proportion of which goes on to form DNA interstrand cross-links (ICL) that are associated with the agent cytotoxicity (Balcome et al, 2004; Edler et al, 2006). The repair of DNA damage caused by alkylating agents such as melphalan is an important mechanism of tumour resistance against those agents (Spanswick et al, 2002). Melphalan N-alkylpurine monoadducts, precursors of ICL, are substrates for both sub-pathways of the nucleotide excision repair (NER), that is, the global genome repair (GGR), which removes DNA damage from the entire genome, and the more specialized transcription-coupled repair (TCR) that removes DNA lesions located in the transcribed strand (TS) (Episkopou et al, 2009). TCR is initiated by stalling of the transcription machinery at a DNA lesion, resulting in the recruitment of NER factors that remove the lesion from the DNA. In this fashion, the recovery of transcription after exposure to DNA-damaging agents is ensured, and this generally is considered to be the primary function of TCR (Vermeulen and Fousteri, 2013). In case the load of DNA damage exceeds the capacity of TCR or when TCR is impaired, persistently stalled transcription complexes induce apoptosis, averting the generation of mutations in cells following replication (Hendriks et al, 2010). On the other hand, ICL repair is characterised by complexity and involves the Fanconi anaemia repair pathway, NER, translesion synthesis and homologous recombination (Thompson and Hinz, 2009; Deans and West, 2011).

In mammalian cells, genomic integrity is maintained by a network of DNA damage response (DDR) mechanisms, initiated by the detection of DNA lesions via specific signalling (Lagerwerf et al, 2011). As DDR is a comprehensive signalling process that determines the cell’s ability to repair DNA damage or to undergo apoptosis, its role has been implicated in the disease process and in the successful outcome of chemotherapy (Jasin, 2002; Clingen et al, 2008). In line with these findings, aberrant DNA repair pathways appear to have an important role in genomic instability in MM (Velangi et al, 2004; Shammas et al, 2009). Moreover, Sharma et al (2010) have shown that changes in the expression of DNA repair genes may be implicated in the myeloma pathogenesis by leading to genomic instability and accumulation of genetic mutations. Furthermore, Walters et al (2011) demonstrated that ongoing DNA damage intensifies across the disease spectrum of normal cells to MM and may be involved in the mechanism whereby clonal evolution occurs in the monoclonal gammopathies. Recently, we have shown that during the malignant transformation in MM, progressive changes in chromatin structure, transcriptional activity and DDR pathways occur in malignant bone marrow plasma cells (BMPCs) and that these changes are also reflected in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs; Gkotzmanidou et al, 2014). In addition, our previous studies have shown that the efficiency of DNA repair in PBMCs of MM patients could prospectively distinguish between patients with different degrees of therapeutic response, providing the basis for pre-screening and selection of those patients more likely to benefit from this treatment (Souliotis et al, 2003; Dimopoulos et al, 2005, 2007; Stefanou et al, 2012).

There is mounting evidence that the epigenome, as a second dimension of genetic information superimposed onto the DNA helix, is also a key regulator in maintenance of genomic integrity. Significant efforts have shown that epigenetic control mechanisms become deregulated in MM and may be involved in the initiation and progression of the disease, as well as in the outcome of chemotherapy (Chng et al, 2007; Fonseca et al, 2009). About 15–20% of myeloma tumours are associated with the t(4;14) chromosomal translocation that leads to overexpression of the MMSET (MM SET) gene. It has been shown that MMSET exhibits histone methyltransferase activity and its overexpression leads to transcriptional changes of oncogenes and tumour suppressor genes (Chesi and Bergsagel, 2011). Also, Van Haafelen et al (2009) described inactivating somatic mutations in the histone lysine demethylase, UTX, pointing to histone H3 lysine methylation deregulation in multiple tumour types; among them, MM exhibits the highest prevalence (10%). In addition, HDACi have shown significant anti-myeloma activity, highlighting the importance of epigenetic regulation in MM (Smith et al, 2010; Hideshima and Anderson, 2013; Richardson et al, 2013).

Here, to investigate the mechanism underlying differential response rates to melphalan therapy, we assessed the epigenetic changes and the alteration of DDR signals in malignant BMPCs and PBMCs of newly diagnosed MM patients. We found that changes in chromatin structure and transcriptional activity affect the drug sensitivity of myeloma cells via alteration of the repair efficiency of the TS of active genes and the associated persistence of lesions in this strand, and correlate with the clinical response to melphalan therapy.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

** Patients. ** A total of 110 consecutive, unselected MM patients and healthy controls were included in the present study. Primary cells were collected from 85 (n = 85) newly diagnosed MM patients (37F/48M; median age 57 years, range 23–71) who were treated in the Department of Clinical Therapeutics at the University of Athens School of Medicine. Twenty-five (n = 25) healthy individuals, age- and gender-matched patients (11F/14M; median age 55 years, range 26–69), were served as controls.

All patients were staged according to the International Staging System (Greipp et al, 2005). All patients received as first-line treatment HDM supported by ASCT. Subsequently, the patients categorised based on their outcome to responders (s ≥ PR, n = 57) and non-responders (n = 28) after melphalan treatment (Table 1). None of the patients had received any anti-myeloma treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>23–71</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response to HDM at day 100</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete response</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial response</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>41.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable disease</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progressive disease</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>27.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Myeloma type** | | |
| IgG             | 56  | —     | 65.9      |
| IgA             | 16  | —     | 18.8      |
| IgD             | 5   | —     | 5.9       |
| Light chain only | 8   | —     | 9.4       |

** Abbreviations: HDM — high-dose melphalan; Ig — immunoglobulin. **
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Previously, including also any supportive treatment, that is, bisphosphonates. Response assessment was based on the Interna-
tional Myeloma Working Group criteria (Durie et al., 2006). The
study was approved by the Institutional review board of Alexandra
Hospital and all subjects provided informed consent. The study
was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki.
Peripheral blood was collected from 25 healthy controls and 85
MM patients, and PBMCs were isolated as previously described
(Stefanou et al., 2012). Bone marrow aspiration samples were
obtained from 15 patients during diagnostic clinical assessment.
Mononuclear cell suspensions were prepared from bone marrow
aspirates by Ficoll-Paque plus gradient centrifugation (Amersham
Pharmacia AB, Uppsala, Sweden), and plasma cell isolation from
mononuclear cell suspensions was performed by immunomagnetic
bead selection with CD138+ using the CD138 MicroBeads and
magnet-assisted cell sorting, according to the manufacturer’s
instructions (Miltenyi Biotec, GmbH, Bergisch Gladbach,
Germany). The purity of plasma cells obtained by this method
was more than 90% as confirmed by flow cytometry (Becton-
Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA).

Measurement of transcriptional activity. Total RNA was
extracted from BMPCs and PBMCs using Trizol (Invitrogen,
Paisley, UK), slot blotted on Hybond-N+ membrane (Amersham,
GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Freiburg, Germany), hybridised to
the appropriate 32P-labelled probe and expression of the genes
of interest was evaluated by densitometric analysis (see Supplementary
Materials and Methods; Episkopou et al., 2006; Episkopou et al., 2009).

Micrococcal nuclease digestion-based analysis. Isolation of
nuclei from BMPCs and PBMCs, digestion with micrococcal
nuclease and analysis of chromatin condensation using southern
blot were performed as described previously (see Supplementary
Materials and Methods; Episkopou et al., 2011).

Measurement of total DNA damage (N-alkylpurines) along the
genes. BMPCs and PBMCs were treated ex vivo with 100 μg ml−1
melphalan for 5 min at 37 °C in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10%
FBS, penicillin (100 U ml−1), streptomycin (100 μg ml−1) and
2 mM l-glutamine, followed by incubation in drug-free medium
for 1 h and DNA damage was analysed thereafter. DNA damage (N-
algalpurines) was measured along the TS and non-TS (NTS) of the
N-ras, p53 and d-globin genomic loci using southern blot analysis (see
Supplementary Materials and Methods; Episkopou et al., 2011).
Briefly, genomic DNA was fully digested with BstYI and heated at
70 °C for 30 min for depurination of N-alkylated bases. Subsequently,
the apurinic sites were converted to single-strand breaks by adding
NaOH for 30 min at 37 °C, size fractionated using agarose gel
electrophoresis and southern blotted. Labelling of the oligonucleotides
(Supplementary Table 1), hybridizations and calculation of the average
frequency of melphalan-induced N-alkylpurine monoaducts in the
restriction fragment of interest were performed as described previously
(Episkopou et al., 2011). In all experiments, an internal standard
(part of the N-ras gene) was included.

Overall genome repair. BMPCs and PBMCs were exposed to
100 μg ml−1 melphalan for 5 min, followed by incubation in drug-
free medium for 0, 2, 8 and 24 h. Then, the repair of N-alkylpurines
in total cellular DNA was determined by a gel electrophoresis
method using densitometer scan of photograph negatives of ethidium bromide-stained agarose gels (Souliotis et al., 2006).

Measurement of poly(A) mRNA synthesis. BMPCs and PBMCs
were exposed to 100 μg ml−1 melphalan for 5 min and the cells
were left in drug-free medium for 0–24 h, before pulse labelling
with [5,6-3H]uridine for 20 min at 37 °C. Then, mRNA
was isolated using the MicroPoly(A)Purist Kit (Ambion, Inc.,
Darmstadt, Germany) isolation system and radioactivity was
counted as described previously (Souliotis et al., 2006).

Accumulation of p53 phosphorylated at serine 15. BMPCs and
PBMCs were treated with 0–200 μg ml−1 melphalan for 5 min and
then incubated in drug-free medium for 6 h. Whole-cell protein
lysates were subjected to SDS–PAGE and western blotting was
conducted as described previously (see Supplementary Materials and
Methods; Chauhan et al., 2011). The primary antibodies used were
anti-p53-phospho-S15 antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, UK; ab38497)
and anti-f-actin antibody (loading control; Abcam, ab8227). The
lowest concentration of melphalan required to induce detectable
levels of p53 phosphorylated at serine 15 (S15-P-p53) was noted.

Apoptotic assay. BMPCs and PBMCs were treated with various
concentrations of melphalan (0–200 μg ml−1) for 5 min, followed
by incubation in drug-free medium for 24 h. Apoptosis was
evaluated using the Cell Death Detection ELISA-PLUS kit (Roche
Applied Sciences, Penzberg, Germany), according to manufac-
turer’s instructions (see Supplementary Materials and Methods).
This test quantifies apoptosis as a fold increase (expressed as an
enrichment factor) in the level of apoptosis in treated to untreated
samples. The lowest concentration of melphalan required to induce
detectable change in the levels of apoptosis (defined as a three-fold
increase relative to controls) was noted.

Statistical analysis. The efficiency of DNA repair, the accumula-
tion of S15-P-p53 and the induction of apoptosis were compared
between groups of individuals using nonparametric tests. Specifi-
cally, Kruskal–Wallis analysis was used for comparisons across all
three groups, and Wilcoxon rank-sum test for the pair-wise
comparisons. Correlations between the values of the various DDR-
related parameters within BMPC or PBMC of the different MM
patients, as well as between the values of BMPC and the
corresponding values in PBMC for each DDR-related parameter,
were assessed by the Spearman correlation coefficient. For RNA
synthesis, data consisted of repeated measurements for each subject
at various time points following drug treatment. To account for the
within-subject dependency, a clinically meaningful summary
variable was created for each subject (Matthews et al., 1990). This
summary variable was the minimum level that each subject’s RNA
synthesis reached from time 1 to 24 h. The summary variable was
compared across groups using the same nonparametric tests as
before. A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. To
account for multiple comparisons, the Bonferroni correction was
used. Thus, the level of significance for each comparison was set to
0.001. Analysis was performed using STATA statistical software
(StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

RESULTS

Chromatin structure, transcriptional activity and DNA repair
efficiency affect the drug sensitivity of malignant BMPCs. We
have previously shown a continuous, parallel variation in the
transcriptional activity, chromatin condensation and efficiency of
TCR by using human primary fibroblasts (Episkopou et al., 2009).
Here, these three biological end points were analysed in malignant
BMPCs from 15 newly diagnosed MM patients who went on to
receive melphalan as first-line treatment (9 responders and 6 non-
responders).

Measurement of the steady-state RNA levels in BMPCs using slot-
blot analysis revealed that the N-ras and p53 genes were active in all
patients, whereas d-globin gene was expressed in 11 out of 15 patients
(7 out of 9 responders and 4 out of 6 non-responders). Significant
differences in the expression levels of these genes were observed
between the above-mentioned groups (all P < 0.001), with the
transcriptional activity of all three genes being higher in non-
responders in comparison with responders (Figure 1A and D; Table 2).
We also analysed chromatin condensation in different regions
of the three genes examined (Figure 1C). Using MN digestion,
Figure 1. Transcriptional activity and chromatin condensation in untreated primary cells. Representative autoradiograms showing RNA slot blots (A) in malignant BMPCs and (B) in PBMCs from one healthy volunteer (HV), one MM patient responder (R) and one non-responder (NR) to melphalan therapy. β-Actin was used as normalisation/loading control. (C) The gene loci where chromatin condensation and region-specific repair were evaluated. Black boxes, transcribed regions of the genes. (D) Box plots showing statistical distribution of the steady-state RNA levels in non-responders as a ratio to that of responders in the N-ras, p53 and d-globin genes, in the untreated PBMCs and BMPCs. The horizontal lines within the boxes represent the median value and the vertical lines extending above and below the boxes indicate maximum and minimum values, respectively. Representative autoradiograms showing chromatin condensation in the malignant BMPCs along the active N-ras (E) and p53 (F) genes in all subjects, the active d-globin gene in 11 out of 15 MM patients (G) and the silent d-globin gene in 4 out of 15 MM patients (H). Moreover, representative autoradiograms showing chromatin condensation in the malignant BMPCs from MM patients responders and non-responders in the FN4 fragment of the active N-ras (I), in the FP7 of the active p53 (J), in the FD3 of the active d-globin (K) and in the FD3 fragment of the silent d-globin genes (L). Symbols M, D, Tri and Tet represent the positions of nucleosome monomer, dimmer, trimer and tetramer, respectively.

a gradient of the local chromatin structure was found along the transcribed genes, with greater looseness of chromatin structure in regions near the transcription start site (Figure 1E and F). Chromatin looseness becomes lowest outside the transcribed regions (Figure 1E and G). That is, FN3 fragment (located at the 5′-end of the active N-ras gene) is in mono- and dinucleosome structures, with a significant portion in mononucleosomes (Figure 1E), FN5 fragment (at the 3′-end of the same gene) is in mono-, di-, tri- and tetranucleosomes, whereas FN1 fragment (located outside the N-ras gene) is in di-, tri-, tetranucleosomes and higher structures. Similar results were obtained using the other active genes (Figure 1F and G). Notably, the silent d-globin gene was in di-, tri-, tetranucleosomes and higher structures in all three regions analysed, located either outside (FD1, FD4) or inside (FD3) the gene (Figure 1H).

As regards, DNA repair, our previous studies suggest that the repair efficiency of N-alkylpurine monoadducts (precursors of cytotoxic ICL) has an important role in protecting cells from melphalan cytotoxicity and may be a significant factor leading to chemotheraphy failure (Souliotis et al., 2006; Episkopou et al., 2009; Stefanou et al., 2012). Therefore, in the present study, DNA damage was measured within 1 h of ex vivo melphalan treatment, a time point at which DNA damage consists almost exclusively from monoadducts (Souliotis et al., 2006). In agreement with the chromatin condensation results, a gradient of the DNA repair efficiency was found at different regions along the active genes, with higher repair efficiency in regions close to the transcription start site (Figure 2). DNA repair efficiency becomes lowest outside the transcribed regions. In the four patients (two responders and two non-responders) with inactive d-globin gene, the levels of repair efficiency were similar at the different DNA regions of this gene (Figure 2H and I).

Interestingly, significant differences between responders and non-responders, in both local chromatin structure and DNA repair efficiency, were observed at specific genomic sites. That is, at regions located inside the active genes, the looseness of the local chromatin structure (Figure 1I–K) and the DNA repair efficiency in the TS of active genes (Figure 2A, B, D and F) were significantly higher in non-responders than in responders (all \( P<0.04 \); Table 2). In contrast, no difference in the repair efficiency between responders and non-responders was observed (a) in the fragments located outside the active genes (FN1 in all patients; FD1 and FD4 in 11 patients with transcribed d-globin gene; Table 2; Figure 2B and F) and (b) in the NTS of all three genes examined in all patients (Figure 2C, E, G and I). Moreover, in the four patients with inactive d-globin gene, no difference was found between responders (n = 2) and non-responders (n = 2) in both the local chromatin condensation (Figure 1L) and the repair efficiency of the TS (Figure 2H). Finally, no difference was found among groups of individuals in the overall genome repair efficiency of melphalan.
N-alkylpurines, indicating that the active global repair processes in the removal of N-alkylpurines were not significantly affected in MM patients (Figure 2J and K).

The above observations indicate that malignant BMPCs from non-responders to melphalan therapy are characterised by greater looseness of chromatin structure in transcribed genes compared with responders that results in higher repair efficiency of melphalan-induced DNA damage in the TS, which in turn affects drug sensitivity of these cells and is involved in the resistance to melphalan therapy. Thus, we hypothesised that accumulation of DNA damage in the TS, as a result of its reduced repair efficiency, leads to blocked transcription and activation of the apoptotic pathway. To test this hypothesis, we first measured the inhibition of mRNA synthesis following treatment of BMPCs with melphalan. In both groups of patients, the lowest levels of RNA synthesis (% control) were observed 1 h following treatment (Figure 3A). These results suggest that the fast removal of transcription blocking lesions is crucial for the cell to escape from the lethal effects of inhibited transcription. That is, BMPCs from non-responders repair melphalan-induced N-alkylpurines located in the TS very rapidly resulting in fewer remaining DNA lesions, low number of blocked RNA polymerase II molecules and thus lower severity and faster recovery of transcription inhibition. In contrast, the higher severity and prolonged duration of blockage of RNA polymerase machinery observed in BMPCs from responders is attributed to inferior DNA repair efficiency of the TS and consequently high number of unrepaired DNA N-alkylpurines that could block RNA polymerase and consequently lead to apoptosis.

It is well known that the tumour suppressor p53 is a critical mediator of cellular responses to genotoxic stress. Following DNA damage, post-translational modification involving phosphorylation of p53 on serine 15 is causally associated with induction of apoptosis via the increased expression of specific p53-responsive pro-apoptotic genes (Amano et al, 2009). Therefore, BMPCs were treated with melphalan and the accumulation of the serine 15-phosphorylated form of the p53 protein was measured. In accordance with the results on the inhibition of mRNA synthesis, we found that the concentrations of melphalan required for the induction of S15P-p53 were higher in non-responders than in responders that results in higher repair efficiency of the TS of active synthesis was higher in responders than in non-responders and (Figure 3A). Interestingly, the severity of the inhibition of mRNA synthesis were observed 1 h following melphalan treatment with melphalan. In both groups of patients, the lowest levels of RNA synthesis (% control). These results suggest that the

Table 2. DNA damage response-related parameters and associated Wilcoxon rank-sum tests in BMPCs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DDR parameters</th>
<th>Fragment name</th>
<th>Responders (n = 9)</th>
<th>Non-responders (n = 6)</th>
<th>Responders vs non-responders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transcription N-ras&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16.1 (10.2–22.6)</td>
<td>45.7 (37.1–56.9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcription p53&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>71.7 (7.3–93.2)</td>
<td>109.7 (83.4–147.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcription d-globin&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16.4 (0–34)</td>
<td>38.0 (0–97.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromatin N-ras&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>14.4 (8.4–20.7)</td>
<td>34.2 (25.6–41.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromatin p53&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>54.4 (42.1–65.8)</td>
<td>81.2 (70.3–91.4)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromatin d-globin&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>13.9 (5.0–22.4)</td>
<td>62.5 (55.9–70.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active N-Ras gene&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>FN1</td>
<td>158.7 (136–185)</td>
<td>155.7 (140–170)</td>
<td>0.527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FN2</td>
<td>138.3 (120–155)</td>
<td>109.0 (95–135)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FN3</td>
<td>54.1 (43–65)</td>
<td>24.7 (15–33)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FN4</td>
<td>97.1 (80–113)</td>
<td>40.3 (20–54)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FN5</td>
<td>117.9 (102–134)</td>
<td>103.0 (90–115)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active p53 gene&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>FP5</td>
<td>8.3 (3–14)</td>
<td>2.0 (0–4)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FP6</td>
<td>17.8 (15–22)</td>
<td>2.3 (0–5)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FP7</td>
<td>66.1 (50–80)</td>
<td>35.3 (25–45)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FP8</td>
<td>84.0 (68–103)</td>
<td>60.2 (48–72)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active d-globin gene&lt;sup&gt;e,d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>FD1</td>
<td>152.8 (139–167)</td>
<td>149.8 (129–170)</td>
<td>0.597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FD2</td>
<td>134 (115–155)</td>
<td>92.2 (80–105)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FD3</td>
<td>126.2 (112–139)</td>
<td>2.5 (0–10)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FD4</td>
<td>141.2 (124–162)</td>
<td>138 (122–158)</td>
<td>0.698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA synthesis&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>25 (15–40)</td>
<td>55 (45–75)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S15P-p53 accumulation&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>45.2 (30–60)</td>
<td>70.8 (55–90)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apoptosis&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>33.9 (15–50)</td>
<td>61.7 (45–80)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BMPCs = bone marrow plasma cells; DDR = DNA damage response.
<sup>a</sup>Steady-state RNA levels (as the ratio to that of the β-actin).
<sup>b</sup>Chromatin condensation (as % of mononucleosomes following micrococcal nuclease digestion).
<sup>c</sup>DNA damage in the transcribed strand (adducts per 10<sup>6</sup> nucleotides).
<sup>d</sup>Activation of d-globin was found in 7 out of 9 responders and 4 out of 6 non-responders.
<sup>e</sup>The lowest levels of RNA synthesis (% control).
<sup>f</sup>The lowest concentration of melphalan required to induce detectable levels of S15P-p53 (μg/ml<sup>–1</sup>).
<sup>g</sup>The lowest concentration of melphalan required to induce detectable change in the levels of apoptosis (μg/ml<sup>–1</sup>).
Figure 2. Region-specific repair of melphalan-induced damage along the N-ras, p53 and d-globin genes in BMPCs. (A) Representative autoradiograms showing southern blot analysis of melphalan-dependent loss of signal from the full-length (non-treated) band as a result of N-alkylpurine formation in the TS and NTS of the FN4 fragment of the N-ras gene from one MM patient responder (R) and one non-responder (NR) to melphalan therapy. Box plots showing statistical distribution of melphalan-induced N-alkylpurine levels in responders and non-responders to melphalan therapy, in different regions of the TS (A, B, D, F, H) and the NTS (A, C, E, G, I) of the active N-ras (A–C) and p53 genes (D, E) in all patients, the active d-globin gene in 11 of 15 MM patients (F, G) and the silent d-globin gene in 4 out of 15 MM patients (H, I). The horizontal lines within the boxes represent the median value and the vertical lines extending above and below the boxes indicate maximum and minimum values, respectively. (J) Overall genome repair at various time points (0–24 h) following melphalan treatment of BMPC from one patient responder and non-responder to melphalan therapy. DNAs were electrophoresed in a 0.6% agarose gel and stained with ethidium bromide. Kb = kilobases; U = untreated samples. (K) Presented are data derived from densitometric analysis of the overall genome repair. The data are based on two biological experiments and several gels from each. The error bars represent s.d. Abbreviation: nucl = nucleotides.
inducing apoptosis in malignant BMPCs (same patients. Finally, the correlation between the lowest melphalan concentrations inducing phosphorylation of p53 at serine 15 and those required to induce detectable change in the levels of apoptosis in malignant BMPCs and in PBMCs from the same patients. (Figure 3).

The horizontal lines within the boxes represent the median value and the vertical lines extending above and below the box indicate maximum and minimum values, respectively. (Table 2). The correlation between the lowest concentration of melphalan required to induce detectable levels of S15P-p53 in malignant BMPCs and in PBMCs from the same patients. (Figure 3F), indicating that the induction of apoptosis was inversely correlated with the repair efficiency of the TS. A melphalan concentration as low as 33.9 ± 12.2 μg ml⁻¹ was sufficient to induce detectable levels of apoptosis in responders, whereas non-responders required concentrations of 61.7 ± 14.0 μg ml⁻¹.

Molecular differences between responders and non-responders are reflected in PBMCs. To use blood leukocyte molecular parameters as biomarkers for prediction of clinical response, their relationship with the respective parameters of the target cells must be ensured. Thus, transcription activity, chromatin structure and DDR signals were evaluated at the same model loci (N-ras, p53, d-globin) in PBMCs from 25 healthy controls and 85 MM patients (including the 15 patients mentioned above—paired samples), 57 responders and 28 non-responders to melphalan therapy.

responders (all P < 0.001; Table 2; Figure 3D), indicating that the ability of BMPCs to phosphorylate p53 on serine 15 was inversely correlated with the repair efficiency of the TS. Specifically, BMPCs from responders showed evidence of p53 phosphorylation at melphalan concentrations as low as 45.2 ± 11.7 μg ml⁻¹, whereas non-responders required concentrations of 70.8 ± 13.2 μg ml⁻¹ (Table 2).

Also, BMPCs were ex vivo treated with various concentrations of melphalan and the induction of apoptosis was measured 24 h after the end of the treatment using the Cell Death Detection ELISA-PLUS kit. In agreement with the above results and in harmony with our hypothesis, we found that the lowest concentrations of melphalan required for the induction of apoptosis were higher in non-responders compared with responders (all P < 0.0001; Table 2; Figure 3F), indicating that the induction of apoptosis was inversely correlated with the repair efficiency of the TS. A melphalan concentration as low as 33.9 ± 12.2 μg ml⁻¹ was sufficient to induce detectable levels of apoptosis in responders, whereas non-responders required concentrations of 61.7 ± 14.0 μg ml⁻¹.

Molecular differences between responders and non-responders are reflected in PBMCs. To use blood leukocyte molecular parameters as biomarkers for prediction of clinical response, their relationship with the respective parameters of the target cells must be ensured. Thus, transcription activity, chromatin structure and DDR signals were evaluated at the same model loci (N-ras, p53, d-globin) in PBMCs from 25 healthy controls and 85 MM patients (including the 15 patients mentioned above—paired samples), 57 responders and 28 non-responders to melphalan therapy.
In agreement with the results of BMPC experiments, measurement of the steady-state RNA levels in PBMCs showed that the N-ras and p53 genes were active in all subjects, whereas the d-globin gene was silent in all healthy controls and transcribed in 68 out of 85 MM patients (46 out of 57 responders and 22 out of 28 non-responders; Episkopou et al., 2009; Gkotzamanidou et al., 2014). Significant differences were observed among the groups of individuals in the expression levels of the genes (all P < 0.01), with the transcriptional activity of all genes being significantly higher in non-responders than in responders and lowest in healthy volunteers (Figure 1B and D; Supplementary Table 2).

Similarly, in all subjects, we found that the local chromatin condensation (data not shown) and the DNA repair efficiency following ex vivo treatment with melphalan show a strong gradient, decreasing from the 5′- to the 3′-end of the transcribed regions of the expressed genes (Figure 4A, B, D and E; Supplementary Figure 1A and B). Chromatin looseness and DNA repair efficiency become lowest in regions located inside the silent d-globin gene and outside all genes examined.

More interestingly, significant differences in the chromatin structure and the repair efficiency of the TS of active genes were observed among the three groups of individuals. Specifically, at regions located inside the genes, the looseness of the local chromatin structure and the DNA repair efficiency of the TS (Figure 4A and D; Supplementary Figure 1A) were higher in non-responders than in responders and lowest in healthy controls (all P < 0.05; Supplementary Table 2). On the contrary, no difference in the repair efficiency between responders and non-responders was observed (a) in the fragments located outside the active genes (FN1 of the N-ras in all patients; FD1 and FD4 in 68 patients with active d-globin gene; Supplementary Table 2; Figure 4A; Supplementary Figure 1A), (b) in the FP5 and FP6 of the p53 gene where repair activity was extremely high in all individuals (Supplementary Table 2; Figure 4D), (c) in the silent d-globin gene (11 responders and 6 non-responders; Supplementary Figure 1C and D), (d) in the NTS of all three genes examined (Figure 4B and E; Supplementary Figure 1B and D) and (e) in the overall genome (data not shown). Remarkably, significant correlations between BMPCs and BMPCs from the same patients (n = 15) were observed in the repair efficiency of all genes examined (N-ras, linear regression models $r^2 = 0.831$, $P < 0.001$; p53, $r^2 = 0.751$, $P < 0.001$; d-globin, $r^2 = 0.573$, $P = 0.01$; Figure 4C and F; Supplementary Figure 1E).

Consistent with BMPC findings, significant differences among groups of individuals were observed in the ability of PBMCs to induce the apoptotic pathway. The severity and the duration of the inhibition of the RNA synthesis (all P < 0.0001; Supplementary Table 2; Figure 3B), the accumulation of S15P-p53 (all P < 0.0001; Supplementary Table 2; Figure 3C and D) and the induction of apoptosis (all P < 0.0001; Supplementary Table 2; Figure 3F) in PBMCs correlated inversely with the repair efficiency of the TS of active genes and varied in the order healthy controls > responders > non-responders. More importantly, significant correlations were found between BMPCs and BMPCs from the same patients (n = 15) in the phosphorylation of p53 on serine 15 (linear regression models $r^2 = 0.48$, $P < 0.01$) and the induction of apoptosis (linear regression models $r^2 = 0.62$, $P < 0.001$) as shown in Figure 3E and G, respectively. Finally, the observed range of changes in all examined end points in PBMCs from MM patients was not present in PBMCs among healthy controls, supporting the disease-associated nature of the defects in DDR pathways and chromatin structure reflected in cells of the peripheral blood of MM patients.

Figure 4. Region-specific repair of melphalan-induced damage along the active N-ras and p53 genes in PBMCs. Box plots are showing the statistical distribution of melphalan-induced N-alkylpurine levels in healthy volunteers (HV) and MM patients, responders (R) and non-responders (NR), in different regions of the TS (A, D) and the NTS (B, E) of the N-ras (A, B) and p53 (D, E) genes. The horizontal lines within the boxes represent the median value and the vertical lines extending above and below the boxes indicate maximum and minimum values, respectively. Correlation between DNA damage in malignant BMPCs and DNA damage in PBMCs from the same patients in the N-ras (C) and p53 (F) genes. Abbreviations: melph = melphalan; nucl = nucleotides.
The apoptotic elimination of cells having sustained DNA damage is a critical mechanism in tumour suppression (Cho and Liang, 2011). In our study, we observed differential induction of apoptosis by the DNA-damaging agent melphalan in BMPCs of MM patients, who differ in their ability to process the corresponding DNA damage, and we demonstrate that such induction correlates with the repair efficiency of the TS of active genes and the patient therapeutic response. Interestingly, these molecular parameters were reflected in PBMCs of the same MM patients.

Significant differences between the groups of patients were observed in the local chromatin condensation, the state of transcription and the repair efficiency of melphalan-induced N-alkylpurines in the TS of active genes. Particularly, the looseness of the local chromatin structure and the expression levels at selected model loci (inside the active N-ras, p53 and d-globin genes) were higher in non-responders than in responders to melphalan therapy. Also, in line with our previously published data where the repair efficiency in different gene loci correlated with the local chromatin condensation and the transcriptional activity (Episkopou et al., 2009), we found significant differences (all \( P < 0.04; \) Table 2; Supplementary Table 2) between the two groups of patients in the repair efficiency of the TS of active genes (repaired by the TCR subpathway of NER), with the efficiency being higher in non-responders than in responders. No such differences were found in the repair efficiency of the NTS (repaired by the GGR subpathway of NER) or in the overall genome repair, suggesting that the key determinant of the cytotoxicity of melphalan and the chemotherapy outcome is the efficiency of TCR and the associated persistence of lesions in the TS of active genes.

In agreement with the above suggestion, following ex vivo treatment of both BMPCs and PBMCs with melphalan, we observed significant differences among the groups of patients in the induction of the apoptotic pathway (all \( P < 0.001; \) Table 2; Supplementary Table 2). In particular, we found that the severity and duration of the inhibition of mRNA synthesis, the phosphorylation of the p53 at serine 15 and the induction of apoptosis inversely correlated with the repair efficiency of the TS, and were all higher in responders than in non-responders. On the contrary, no such correlation was detected for the repair efficiency of the NTS. These data are in accordance with our previous observations in human fibroblasts showing that cells with low repair efficiency of the TS (GGR+ /TCR−) exhibited stronger inhibition and slower recovery of mRNA synthesis than those with low repair efficiency of the NTS (GGR− /TCR+), as well as that loss of TCR (GGR+ /TCR−) had a greater effect on resistance than did loss of GGR (GGR− /TCR+; Episkopou et al., 2009). Similarly, Enou

Figure 5. The mechanistic basis for the link between DNA repair efficiency and the response to melphalan therapy. Reduced repair efficiency of the TS of active genes correlates with high numbers of blocked RNA polymerase II complexes acting as lesion detectors, phosphorylation of p53 at serine 15, increased cellular chemosensitivity and better clinical response to melphalan therapy.
et al (2012) have showed that transcription-dependent pathways contribute to cellular survival following crosslinking-agents’ treatment. In addition, the suppression of the apoptotic pathway observed in PBMCs of MM patients compared with healthy controls enhances previous studies that have shown that apoptosis and senescence in precancerous cells are barriers to tumorigenesis, whereas both of these cellular responses are suppressed during cancer progression (Gorgoulis et al, 2005; Bartkova et al, 2006; Halazonitis et al, 2008). The strong correlation observed between the accumulation of S15P-p53 and apoptosis (RMPC, linear regression models $r^2=0.98$, $P<0.001$; PBMC, $r^2=0.39$, $P=0.05$; Figure 3H and I) in both BMPCs and PBMCs of MM patients is compatible with previous reports, which showed that blockage of the RNA polymerase II complex at DNA lesions elicits a signal that triggers the induction of p53 and the execution of the apoptotic programme (Ljungman et al, 1999), and supports a direct role for p53 in the activation of melphalan-induced apoptosis. Although the evidence presented in this study emphasises the importance of DDR, especially TCR, in determining the therapeutic impact of melphalan treatment at the individual level, it is likely that additional mechanisms (that is, repair of DNA lesions downstream of melphalan-DNA N-alkylpurines, such as ICL and double-strand breaks (Gourzones-Dmitriev et al, 2013), defects in drug transport systems resulting in reduced drug uptake (Tew, 1994) and increased binding to glutathione (Moscow et al, 1993)) modify therapeutic response and may also constitute useful tools for the identification of melphalan-sensitive MM patients.

Examination of the ranking of the different subjects in relation to each of the DDR-related parameters analysed shows a high degree of correlation for all pair-wise comparisons, in both BMPC and PBMC, with the Spearman correlation coefficients ranging 0.83–0.97 if d-globin transcription (which shows a significant but lower correlation) is excluded (Supplementary Tables 3 and 4). A similar correlation is seen when subject ranking in relation to each DDR-related parameter is compared between the two tissues (Supplementary Table 5). These observations suggest the existence of consistent phenotypic trait related to DDR, which determines individual response to therapeutic treatment and whose characterisation in PBMC can be used to predict its state in BMPC.

Taken together, our findings suggest a mechanistic basis for the link between DNA repair efficiency and the response to melphalan therapy. More specifically, in accordance with our previous study (Gkotzamanidou et al, 2014), we found significant differences among MM patients in the degree of chromatin condensation and transcription activity of the malignant BMPCs. As the condensation status is known to affect DNA repair, MM patients with more condensed chromatin structure in active genes show lower repair efficiency of melphalan-induced DNA damage in the TS and prolonged inhibition of RNA polymerase II-driven transcription, which in turn triggers the induction of the p53-dependent apoptotic pathway, a priority for successful clinical outcome; these patients exhibit better clinical response to melphalan treatment (Figure 5). Moreover, as the molecular changes between responders and non-responders observed in cancer BMPCs are also reflected in a readily accessible tissue (PBMCs), these data might eventually be translated into novel indicators for selection of MM patients who are more likely to benefit from subsequent melphalan therapy and suggestions. This work was partly supported by the ECNIS (Environmental Cancer, Nutrition and Individual Susceptibility) Network of Excellence of the European Union (contract no. 513943).
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