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Abstract

Drug-induced liver injury (DILI) is a rare but potentially life threatening adverse drug reaction. DILI may mimic any morphologic characteristic of acute or chronic liver disease, and the histopathologic features of DILI may be indistinguishable from those of other causes of liver injury, such as acute viral hepatitis. In this review article, we provide an update on causative agents, clinical features, pathogenesis, diagnosis modalities, and outcomes of DILI. In addition, we review results of recently reported genetic studies and updates on pharmacological and invasive treatments.
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Introduction

Drug-induced liver injury (DILI) is the most frequent indication for drug withdrawal from the pharmaceutical market due to its association with significant adverse effects, morbidity, and mortality. Several drugs have been removed from the market because of DILI, including bromfenac and troglitazone. DILI may be divided into intrinsic and idiosyncratic hepatotoxicity, and idiosyncratic injury is further divided into allergic and nonallergic reaction. The intrinsic mechanism is related to dose dependent hepatotoxicity, whereas idiosyncratic hepatotoxicity is not dose dependent and may happen in an unpredictable fashion. Allergic idiosyncratic hepatotoxicity is further characterized by the presence of symptoms and signs typical of an adaptive immune system reaction, including fever, skin reactions, eosinophilia, formation of autoantibodies, and a short latency time particularly after re-exposure.

DILI is responsible for the majority of acute liver failure cases and is now the leading cause for liver transplantation among patients. Among hospitalized patients with jaundice, 2–10% of cases are reported to be secondary to liver injury caused by drugs. Furthermore, DILI is responsible for approximately 10% of all adverse drug reactions in the United States (USA). Estimates of the incidence of DILI are reported to be as high as 14–24 cases/100,000 individuals, and estimates show that nearly 44,000 patients per year will develop DILI.

Two prospective surveys of the general population of France and Spain estimated DILI incidence to be 7–14/100,000 people, and retrospective studies from Sweden and the United Kingdom (UK) reported an estimated DILI incidence rate of 2–3/100,000 people per year. The true incidence of DILI is still largely unknown due to underreporting of adverse drug reactions. Moreover, the presence of confounding factors makes it difficult to determine an accurate DILI incidence. Nonetheless, the World Health Organization (WHO) proclaimed an increasing incidence of DILI since the 1990s.

Clinical presentation

The clinical presentation of DILI ranges from asymptomatic abnormal liver function tests to acute and chronic hepatitis. DILI is classified based on the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS), as hepatocellular, cholestatic, or mixed injury. Approximately 25–30% of patients who develop DILI display symptoms of an allergic drug reaction, such as fever, rash, and eosinophilia. Although rare, DILI was implicated in the development of cirrhosis in the long-term follow-up of patients after initial
presentation of DILI. Notwithstanding, DILI is often a self-limiting process without chronic consequences, as some patients may need hospitalization, and some may progress to acute liver failure resulting in a high mortality rate. Many drugs can cause DILI, and different drugs have led to varying disease time courses (usually, the resolution of cholestatic liver injury is slower than hepatocellular injury). Physicists must be aware of time differences in the progression of DILI. For example, trovafloxacin and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid can have a lag period of 2–3 weeks between the discontinuation of treatment and the development of idiosyncratic liver injury, as evidenced by elevated liver enzymes. Depending on several factors, there is wide variability in DILI symptoms, time course, and type of liver injury.

**Pathogenesis**

DILI can be mediated by two mechanisms: intrinsic liver injury and idiosyncratic liver injury. Drugs that cause intrinsic liver injury exert hepatotoxic effects either directly by the agent itself or indirectly by its metabolite. In these instances, liver injury is dose dependent. An example of a drug that leads to intrinsic liver injury is acetaminophen. Idiosyncratic hepatotoxicity is the DILI mechanism that develops from the majority of the DILI causing agents. Idiosyncratic liver injury can be caused by metabolic or immunological mechanisms, with the immunological effect resulting from a hypersensitivity reaction. Idiosyncratic liver injury is generally associated with hepatocellular inflammation, while intrinsic liver injury is associated with necrosis and minimal inflammation. Recently, some reports addressed the role of other mechanisms of liver injury, including the role of mitochondrial injury and active drug metabolites in the pathogenesis of DILI. These other mechanisms need further characterization.

The majority of DILI cases are due to idiosyncratic adverse drug reactions, which can involve the liver and other organs, including skin and bone marrow. Five basic theories were developed to explain idiosyncratic drug reactions. These include drug metabolism, the hapten hypothesis, the inflammagen model, the danger hypothesis, and pharmacological interaction. The large number of theories, different target organs and cells involved in the injury, the diversity of mechanisms involved, and different risk factors limit the development of a simple approach to prevent and predict idiosyncratic DILI. One constant aspect for drugs that cause intrinsic liver injury and drugs with well-documented idiosyncratic DILI is a dose-dependent component.

Although DILI is often related to idiosyncratic reactions, there are other factors that substantially increase the risk of developing idiosyncratic DILI, including genetic susceptibility, older age, environmental factors, female sex, the presence of concomitant diseases, polypharmacy, dosage and duration of treatment, drug formulation, comitant alcohol consumption, nutritional status (obesity and malnutrition), pre-existing chronic liver disease, and other underlying comorbidities. Most idiosyncratic drug reactions occur roughly between 1–2 weeks and 2–3 months from the start of drug therapy. However, there are numerous exceptions describing exposure of a drug for many months prior to DILI, such as in cases of liver injury resulting from nitrofurantoain and ximelagatran.

In many instances, the liver plays an important role in the metabolism and detoxification of drugs, and drugs that are predominantly metabolized by the liver are more frequently associated with hepatic adverse effects than drugs with limited or nonsignificant hepatic metabolism. The most common drugs in the USA leading to idiosyncratic DILI are antimicrobials, central nervous system drugs, herbal/dietary supplements, and immunomodulatory agents. However, other drugs, including nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and anticoagulation medications, are associated with idiosyncratic DILI. In an extensive analysis of 461 DILI cases over a 10 year period involving 505 drugs, the anti-infective drugs were found to be most frequently associated with idiosyncratic DILI, with amoxicillin-clavulanate accounting for 12.8% of these cases.

Despite the complexity of the mechanism underlying DILI, a three step working model has been proposed for its pathogenesis: 1. drugs, or their metabolites, cause cell stress directly (intrinsic pathway); 2. Immune reactions are triggered; 3. Apoptotic or necrotic cell death, depending on the availability of adenosine triphosphate, is initiated. Despite this model, the exploration of the mechanism of DILI remains challenging and is at the forefront of research in the field of hepatotoxicity.

**Factors associated with increased susceptibility to DILI**

1. Genetic susceptibility

The occurrence of DILI is associated with genetic vulnerability, and multiple studies have focused on the exploration of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) within transporter genes. A small study series linked polymorphisms in CYP2C9 and CYP2C19 to DILI. However, a large Spanish study on DILI patients showed that polymorphisms in these isoforms were not associated with increased susceptibility to DILI, and, based on those findings, genetic variation in CYP2C9 and CYP2C19 may not be considered a DILI risk factor.

CYP2D6, another gene with allelic variants and encoding enzymes with variable degrees of activity, is associated with the development of hepatotoxicity after use of certain pharmaceutical agents, including antidepressants and herbal products.

A potential link was also found between the CYP2E1 genotype and risk for developing DILI. Specifically, the wild-type CYP2E1 genotype is associated with increased susceptibility to DILI, specifically when antituberculosis medications are administered. However, other studies did not demonstrate this association. Finally, polymorphic variants of the CYP2B6 gene are associated with ticlopidine-induced liver injury in association with the HLA-A*3303 haplotype in Japanese patients.

Uridine 5’-diphospho-glucuronosyltransferase (UGT) has an important role in metabolism and is linked to the development of DILI. UGT catalyzes glucuronidation reactions, which aid in the detoxification of drugs and endogenous compounds, such as bilirubin and bile acids. Many isoforms of UGT are known, and they are encoded by 19 different genes in humans. UGT1A1 is involved in bilirubin metabolism, and mutations found in the UGT1A1 gene are responsible for Gilbert’s syndrome and hyperbilirubinemia. Drugs that are metabolized by the enzyme expressed by UGT1A1, such as irinotecan, estradiol, and buprenorphine or drugs that inhibit its function, such as indinavir and ketoconazole, have an increased risk for hepatotoxicity in patients with a mutant
UGT1A1 genotype. Another polymorphic isofrom of UGT, UGTB7, is associated with DILI induced by diclofenac by increasing glucuronidation activity.

Mutations in GST mu 1 (GSTM1) and GST theta 1 (GSTT1), other hepatoocyte enzymes involved in metabolism and detoxification, may lead to partial deletion, absence of enzymatic activity, and predisposition to DILI.

In addition, MDR3 (ABCB4), MRP2 (ABCC2), and BSEP (ABCB11) are ABC transporters located at the canalicular hepatocyte membrane and are associated with DILI. Mutations in ABCB4 were described in a patient with hepatocellular DILI following the administration of amoxycillin-clavulanic acid and in a patient with cholestatic DILI after taking risperidone. Mixed type of DILI associated with mutations in ABCC2 were seen in Korean patients and a mutation of ABCB11 was linked with both drug-induced hepatocellular and drug-induced cholestatic liver injury.

The SLC transporter, OATP1B1, responsible for the influx of many drugs, was shown to have a connection to hepatotoxicity after administration of specific pharmaceuticals, including troglitazone, rifampin, bosentan, methotrexate, and statins. Polymorphisms in the SLCO1B1 gene were described in cases of drug hypersensitivity. For instance, several SNPs identified in this gene resulted in altered function, which affected levels of statins, methotrexate, and irinotecan and may lead to severe drug toxicities.

Allelic variations of manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD), which is involved in the defense against cellular oxidative stress, were shown to possibly increase the susceptibility for DILI, particularly with antituberculosis drugs. These variations increased the risk for developing cholestatic and mixed types of DILI from drugs and their metabolites in Spanish patients. Increased levels of MnSOD are also thought to cause accumulation of hydrogen peroxide, which may lead to the occurrence of DILI.

Although many polymorphisms have been identified within CYP450, there is no strong evidence demonstrating a correlation between specific CYP genotypes and DILI. Only a few polymorphisms are associated with hepatotoxicity. Another example of genetic variation leading to DILI susceptibility is mutations in NAT2 (N-acetyl transferase 2), in which some variants were identified as slow or rapid acetylators. Isoenzyme, an antimicrobial drug, is initially metabolized by NAT2 prior to oxidation by CYP2E1. Although some felt that rapid acetylators caused isoniazid-induced liver necrosis in the past, subsequent studies have indicated a strong correlation between slow acetylators and hepatotoxicity caused by antimicrobial drugs. While genetic factors have been connected to DILI, further research is needed to delineate the exact role that specific genetic mechanisms play in DILI.

2. Adaptive immunological mechanisms

The adaptive immune system is thought to be involved mechanistically in idiosyncratic DILI, as shown by the presence of immune cells in liver biopsies of DILI patients and by the association of DILI with allergic symptoms. It is hypothesized that either the drug metabolite or parent drug binds to hepatic proteins to form a neoantigen and activates the immune system to generate antibodies against the hepatic proteins. This mechanism was established with diclofenac-induced liver injury. Furthermore, autoantibodies against the CYP450 enzymes were reported in cases of DILI; these include ingestion of halothane, tienilic acid, dihydralazine, and anticonvulsant drugs.

Another mechanism thought to involve the immune system in the pathogenesis of DILI is the p-I concept, which suggests that the drug itself directly stimulates T cells. The p-I mechanism was originally proposed for sulfamethoxazole. Despite evidence for a role of the immune system in the pathogenesis of DILI, its function in the progression of DILI is unclear because of the natural liver tolerance to antigens from ineffective stimulation of T cells by hepatic antigen presenting cells.

3. Drug-drug interaction and hepatic metabolism

The risk of idiosyncratic DILI is generally increased with higher doses of a medication and a dose of more than 50 mg daily, especially if the drug is known to have extensive hepatic metabolism. This increased risk is attributed to the generation of reactive intermediaries and subsequent metabolic idiosyncrasy. Furthermore, oral drugs that undergo hepatic metabolism of more than 50% are associated with increased liver adverse effects, greater incidence of DILI, and increased morbidity and mortality as compared to medications that undergo less than 50% hepatic metabolism.

4. Underlying disease

Liver disease is an important factor in the development of both intrinsic and idiosyncratic DILI, as abnormal liver function alters the expression of drug transporter proteins, not only in the liver but also in other organs. Moreover, underlying liver disease can change the pharmacokinetics of drugs and lead to unexpected effects. It is difficult to predict the action of drugs in patients with liver diseases and, hence, follow-up of serum drug levels and dose adaptations should be performed carefully.

The outcomes and risk for DILI in patients with known chronic liver disease and in whom potential hepatotoxic drugs are used were reviewed previously. The presence of pre-existing liver disease does not definitively increase the susceptibility to DILI, and most drugs can be used safely in these patients. Still, it is important to remember that a diseased liver with reduced functional capacity and diminished reserve, limits the liver’s ability to recover and could worsen the consequences of DILI. Finally, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) in both rats and humans was shown to lead to mitochondrial dysfunction, which can significantly increase the risk of DILI.

Role of hepatitis viruses

Viral hepatitis predisposes patients to the development of DILI in certain situations. Nader et al. reported an increased risk for DILI in hospitalized patients positive for hepatitis C virus receiving treatment with rifampin, isoniazide, and pyrazinamide for tuberculosis (8.8% of the 534 patients developed DILI). Furthermore, hepatitis C virus infection is a risk factor for increased severity of acetaminophen-induced acute liver injury. Another study showed that the presence of hepatitis B virus surface antigen was a moderate predictor of DILI but with low precision. Conversely, a third study concluded that viral hepatitis does not appear to be an established risk factor for INH hepatotoxicity, except in those who are injection drug users.
human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection have a higher risk of severe hepatotoxicity when coinfected with the hepatitis B or C viruses, particularly if the regimen is based on protease inhibitors. Nonetheless, there is no increase in DILI occurrence in those treated with other antiviral agents. Thus, the presence of viral hepatitis is not a well-established risk factor for the occurrence of DILI.

Classification of liver injury

The clinical spectrum of liver injury in DILI is classified according to the Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS). Hepatocellular DILI is established once there is an isolated elevation of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) greater than two times the upper limit of normal, or when the ratio between ALT to alkaline phosphatase (ALK) is more than five. However, if the ratio of ALT to ALK is below two, the liver injury is classified as cholestatic DILI. A ratio of ALT to ALK between two and five is classified as mixed liver injury.

Diagnosis

The absence of specific signs and symptoms and the lack of specific criteria and testing make the diagnosis of DILI difficult. The diagnosis of DILI is often one of exclusion (Fig. 1). The manifestations of drug hepatotoxic effects are highly variable, ranging from asymptomatic liver enzyme abnormality to fulminant hepatic failure. Thus, diagnosis of DILI must be based on a comprehensive clinical assessment, including the temporal association between illness and administration of a causative drug. A pattern of liver injury, which is characteristic of the drug, is also helpful for diagnosis as well as exclusion of other causes of acute liver injury.

One of the most difficult issues in the diagnosis of DILI is the determination of causality. There are three main approaches to assess causality. The gold standard approach is a positive rechallenge test, which is similar to Koch’s postulates. However, this approach is not pursued, as it is clinically dangerous. The second method, the ad hoc approach, is also not commonly used. The third, and most widely used, approach is the Roussel Uclaf Causality Assessment Method (RUCAM) scale. This method, demonstrated 86% sensitivity, 89% specificity, and positive and negative predictive values of 93% and 78%, respectively. RUCAM is a semiquantitative scale, and it contains seven domains, including: onset and ending of the injury after initiation or discontinuation of the suspected agent; progression and course of the reaction; risk factors; concomitant medications; causes of liver injury other than drugs; previous information on the medication; and

![Fig. 1. Algorithm for drug induced liver injury (DILI) diagnosis.](image)

**Fig. 1. Algorithm for drug induced liver injury (DILI) diagnosis.** ALT, alanine aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; CMV, cytomegalovirus; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HBV, hepatitis B virus, HEV, hepatitis E virus, HSV, herpes simplex virus; MRCP, magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography; EUS, endoscopic ultrasound; TIBC, total iron binding capacity; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus.
Liver biopsy may be helpful when underlying liver disease and/or autoimmune hepatitis is suspected despite negative serological biomarkers. Biopsy can also be used if the suspected agent causing DILI has not been reported previously to cause liver injury or in cases where there is very slow regression or other chronic liver disease. Furthermore, liver biopsy can reveal histological characteristics specific for certain drugs, e.g. steatohepatitis with amiodarone or valproate or nodular regenerative hyperplasia with azathioprine and 6-thioguanine. However, the impact of knowing unusual histological patterns on management is uncertain, and there is limited data on the impact of histology on clinical outcomes in DILI. Moreover, studies have shown that there are some histological features that may be present uniformly in DILI cases, such as the presence of portal tract expansion by infiltration of mononuclear cells and eosinophils, centrozonal cholestasis, and focal necrosis. Ramachandran et al. reported a variety of specific histological patterns induced by various medications. Recently, a review of liver histopathology in 249 patients in the Drug-Induced Liver Injury Network (DILIN) indicated that five liver injury patterns were responsible for 83% of the cases. Poorer outcomes were associated with higher degrees of necrosis, microvesicular steatosis, and a ductular involvement, whereas the presence of intrahepatic eosinophils and/or granulomas was more consistent with a better prognosis. The prognostic use of these histological features is consistent with prior reports. The role of routine liver biopsy in the diagnosis of DILI is still unclear, and in most instances it is not recommended during the early stages of diagnosis. Liver biopsy is certainly indicated in cases where there is no improvement of liver function or when a diagnosis other than DILI is suspected. Additional prospective studies are needed to delineate the role of liver histology in defining and diagnosing DILI.

The role of new serum biomarkers in DILI

Ongoing research efforts seek to identify new biomarkers for DILI; several proposed biomarker include serum liver injury markers (glutathione S-transferase a, sorbitol dehydrogenase (SDH)) and hepatocyte mitochondrial dysfunction marker (glutamate dehydrogenase). Moreover, the discovery of circulating serum microRNAs has shown novel tissue specificity of miR-122 and miR-192 for liver injury. Furthermore, serum full-length keratin-18 (K-18) and high mobility group box protein 1 (HMGB-1) have been shown to be a sensitive biomarker for necrosis and cell death, but neither of them is liver specific. M-30 is a serum protein that selectively recognizes caspase cleaved necroptote of K-18 released from hepatocytes undergoing apoptotic death, while serum M-65 reflects total hepatocyte death (apoptosis and necrosis). Recently, the combination of serum M-30 levels with other laboratory parameters was shown to be
The role of serum proteomics in DILI

Currently, the proteins or pathways involved in the pathogenesis of DILI can be further studied with advanced bioinformatics software. The serum proteome characteristics of 74 patients in DILIN who had a serum sample collected 2 weeks before the onset of DILI compared to 40 healthy controls were analyzed. Several proteins were highly elevated in subjects with hepatocellular versus cholestatic DILI, including fructose-bisphosphate aldolase B. This protein was correlated with serum aminotransferases levels at baseline and returned to normal during follow-up. Interestingly, autoantibodies to this protein have previously been reported in patients with troglitazone hepatotoxicity. Moreover, elevated levels of apolipoprotein E, which is a lipoprotein contained in chylomicrons rich triglyceride, was the major proteome used in distinguishing patients with DILI from controls. A proteomics platform in combination with metabolomics was recently used to distinguish patients who developed ximelagran hepatotoxicity from unaffected controls. This data suggested that proteomics might aid in the diagnosis of DILI and guide exploration of causative agents involved in DILI. Thus, further proteomic studies are indicated in this field.

The role of serum cytokines, chemokines and miRNAs in DILI

Serum chemokines and cytokine levels may also be useful biomarkers for diagnosis and prognosis of DILI. Recently, the DILIN measured 27 immune analytes in 78 subjects within 2 weeks of DILI onset and after 6 months. This analysis identified decreases in interleukin-9 and interleukin-17 and platelet-derived growth factor serum albumin as predictors of early death, suggesting a role for these serum biomarkers in the pathogenesis of DILI. These data are consistent with recent studies showing a role of the Th17 adaptive immunity pathway in the pathogenesis of idiosyncratic DILI. However, there was no difference in the serum level of interleukin-17 in patients with acute liver failure due to idiosyncratic DILI and those with acetaminophen overdose.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small noncoding RNA molecules (about 22 nucleotides in length) found in plant, animals, and some viruses that function in RNA silencing and posttranscriptional regulation of gene expression. They can be detected in microvesicles in the serum. Although a number of miRNAs are widely expressed, certain miRNAs appear to be tissue specific. miRNAs specifically expressed in the liver include miR-122, miR-21, and miR-192. Liver-derived miRNAs may represent specific biomarkers of acetaminophen-induced DILI. However, early in the course of liver injury, these miRNAs are elevated. Moreover, they appear to have a prognostic role when considering liver transplant in acetaminophen hepatotoxicity. Given their liver tissue specificity, assay quantification availability, and short half-life, these miRNAs are important biomarkers for severe acute liver injury.

Pharmacogenomics into the pathogenesis of DILI

Human leukocyte antigen (HLA) is the locus for genes that encode highly polymorphic proteins on the surface of the cells that are responsible for regulating the immune system in humans. A growing number of other immunological reactions, including dermatologic reactions and idiosyncratic DILI, have also been associated with various HLA alleles. In most instances, there are a series of drug-protein modifications that produce an intermediate or reactive metabolite that is essential for forming the immunogenic hapten. This phenomenon is responsible for the antigen binding location on HLA. Recently, different HLA susceptibility alleles to carbamazepine hypersensitivity in Chinese and European subjects were reported. Since HLA polymorphisms are restricted to each ethnicity, the absence of a particular genetic susceptibility to DILI in one patient population ethnicity does not necessarily exclude a positive association in another group population.

Treatment

DILI has a wide range of manifestations, from silent biochemical abnormalities to severe fulminant hepatitis with jaundice. Liver injury secondary to DILI often improves following discontinuation of the suspected offending drug. There also may be spontaneous regression of liver injury without discontinuation of the causative drug. Therefore, the discontinuation of a drug should be carefully evaluated, in consideration of the significance of the medication and the degree of damage being caused by its administration. The association of transaminase elevation in combination with clinical jaundice, secondary to the administration of drugs, was associated with serious liver injury and poor prognosis (fatality rate of 10% for many drugs). Due to those findings of increased mortality, Hy’s law for monitoring and estimating the severity of DILI was developed. Hy’s law includes elevation of liver enzymes (aspartate aminotransferase (AST) or ALT more than 3 times upper limit of normal (ULN) or ALP more than 1.5 times ULN) in combination with elevated bilirubin (more than 3 times ULN) at any time after starting a new drug. If Hy’s law is met, it predicts severe liver injury; and termination of drug use is recommended. However, two recent studies have shown that the mortality rate is high in patients with DILI and jaundice even after the discontinuation of the suspected agents. The predicted mortality in Hy’s law has been confirmed by several international studies in Sweden, Spain, and the USA, which demonstrated a 9–12% rate of mortality or liver transplantation in patients who developed severe DILI with jaundice. Once DILI is suspected or identified, management begins with the prompt discontinuation of the suspected agents along with supportive measures and monitoring. There are no reports of beneficial therapies, other than the use of N-acetylcysteine for acetaminophen-induced liver injury. Empiric use of corticosteroids in acute liver failure due to DILI is not recommended due to the lack of benefit in previously reported studies but is still sometimes used in patients with severe DILI.
therapy may be useful in DILI cases associated with hypersensitivity features, such as is the case with carbamazepine-induced hepatotoxicity.95 Furthermore, steroids also exert beneficial effects in the treatment of drug-induced autoimmune hepatitis, such as nitrofurantoin and minocycline.96 In two acute liver failure trials, which included 104 patients and 12 patients with DILI, steroids failed to demonstrate any benefit. Moreover, in those trials, patients in the steroid treatment group tended to have a worse prognosis.97 A recent retrospective study of 300 patients who were hospitalized with DILI showed that combination treatment with ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) and oral steroids was beneficial for nonacetaminophen DILI with acute liver failure. The combination therapy had a significantly positive effect on resolution and recovery time and prognosis.98

Antioxidants have been used for the treatment of severe DILI, and, specifically, N-acetylcysteine (NAC) is considered the treatment of choice for acetaminophen-induced liver injury.99 The benefits of NAC in nonacetaminophen DILI has still yet to be elucidated. In one trial that included 173 patients with nonacetaminophen liver injury, the administration of continuous intravenous NAC over 3 days was not associated with improved survival. However, a subset of patients with early stage mild acute liver injury did show an increased rate of spontaneous improvement and better prognosis.100 In another study, intravenous NAC was beneficial in the treatment of acute liver failure secondary to idiosyncratic DILI other than paracetamol.101 Plasma exchange is another therapeutic modality that had been used for the treatment of acute liver failure. The mechanism of this modality lies in the removal of toxins that accumulate in hepatic failure and the addition of coagulation factors to correct the coagulopathy from liver injury.102 Multiple studies have shown the efficacy of plasma exchange in reducing the level of nitrogenous waste products (ammonia and urea), improving coagulopathy, and improving encephalopathy in patients with acute liver failure.103 Other studies have demonstrated that plasma exchange exerts beneficial effects on survival in acute liver failure secondary to acetaminophen DILI and in patients with residual liver functional capacity.104 In acute liver failure, other benefits stem from the removal of inflammatory cytokines and effects on regulatory T cells.105 Therefore, plasma exchange exerts positive prognostic and survival value over symptomatic treatment alone in cases of acute liver failure secondary to DILI. Still, other studies have shown that plasma exchange has only minor survival benefits on patients with pre-existing chronic liver disease.106

Molecular adsorbents recirculatory systems (MARS) and fractionated plasma separation and adsorption (PFSA) are advanced treatment options in cases of hepatic failure, although they have no beneficial survival effect in randomized control trials (RCTs) of patients with acute and chronic liver failure.107,108 Even though plasma exchange may be superior to MARS and PFSA in removing cytokines, MARS and PFSA have decreased risk of infections and allergic reactions.109 Thus, plasma exchange may be preferable for the support of fulminant hepatic failure due to its capacity to remove inflammatory cytokines and protein bound toxic molecules, specifically in patients with acute liver failure without pre-existing chronic liver disease. Although treatment options in DILI are limited, UDCA does have a positive effect on DILI, particularly in cases of cholestatic injury, by protecting hepatocytes from the toxic effects of bile acids.110

In order to provide adequate supportive care and management in acute fulminant hepatic failure (including from DILI), patients should be cared for in the setting of an intensive care unit in a liver transplant center. Once acute liver failure develops, liver transplantation may be the sole treatment option.111

The course and the natural history of DILI after the acute episode: does DILI lead to chronic liver disease?

The progression of acute liver injury from DILI to chronic liver disease and liver cirrhosis has been reported with different drugs.112 A prospective study on Spanish patients revealed the development of chronic liver injury in 6% of patients followed for a period of 20 months after initial DILI. In this study, chronic liver injury was defined by the presence of abnormal liver function tests for more than 3 months after DILI onset. Drugs most commonly associated with chronic liver disease were amoxicillin/clavulanate, bensazepam, and atorvastatin. Patients with a cholestatic liver injury pattern were more susceptible to progress to chronic liver injury.113 Similar results were shown among Swedish patients, as only three out of 50 (6%) patients who presented with DILI had persistently abnormal liver tests after a median follow-up of 48 months.114

The long term consequences of DILI was further evaluated in a study that followed patients for a period of 10 years, and the progression of DILI to significant liver disease after severe DILI was rare. Twenty-three out of 685 (3%) DILI patients were hospitalized for liver disease during the study period, and five out of 685 patients had liver-related mortality. Eight patients developed liver cirrhosis, and among those eight patients, five cirrhotic patients did not have other known causes of liver disease other than the past episode of DILI. The patients who developed liver-related morbidity and mortality had longer duration of therapy with the suspected DILI causing agent.11

Prognosis

DILI patients with asymptomatic and mild disease are expected to recover completely. In addition, most patients with symptomatic DILI are expected to recover after discontinuation of the causative drug. With supportive care most patients will not have residual clinical, laboratory, radiological, or histological evidence of liver disease.93 A favorable prognosis for recovery is expected in the majority of patients with DILI. For example, in one cohort, 712 of 784 (91%) DILI patients with jaundice recovered.92 Conversely, patients with severe DILI associated with acute liver failure and concomitant coagulopathy and encephalopathy had a poor prognosis115. Even though the incidence of DILI is more common in males, females tend to develop a more severe course of DILI, leading to acute decompensated liver failure. The prognosis of acetaminophen-induced DILI treated by N-acetylcysteine is better than the prognosis of DILI from other drugs. Although most of the patients recover from DILI, there are patients who develop chronic liver disease, with the incidence of developing chronic DILI in one Spanish registry reported to be 6%.113

Patients who fulfill Hy’s Law and patients with a predominant cholestatic type of DILI have a worse prognosis and increased mortality.10,116 The presence of eosinophilic hepatic infiltration with peripheral eosinophilia has a positive prognostic value in DILI,116 as demonstrated in a Spanish study.
that showed that eosinophilia was more common in patients who recovered from DILI. In that study, approximately 5% of the patients who died or underwent liver transplantation had peripheral eosinophilia, while 23% eosinophilia was seen in patients who recovered. Once DILI patients develop or present with coagulopathy and encephalopathy, the prognosis is very poor, with approximately 60–80% mortality in the absence of liver transplantation. Furthermore, an improvement of liver enzymes is not always predictive of an improved prognosis, as the reduction of serum liver enzymes might herald poor prognostic value due to massive hepatocyte death.

Scoring systems have been developed to assess which patients are candidates for liver transplantation in hepatic disease. The model for end-stage liver disease (MELD) score, which is based on bilirubin, serum creatinine, and INR, can be used to predict the short term mortality rate among acetaminophen-induced liver injury. A second score, the King’s College Hospital Criteria for fulminant hepatic failure in both acetaminophen and nonacetaminophen-induced acute liver failure, is used to identify candidates for liver transplantation. In addition, recent radiological assessment scores of hepatic volume by computed tomography (CT) can be helpful in predicting prognosis in DILI patients.

Conclusions

DILI remains one of the most common causes of acute liver failure and is a challenging clinical entity due to the lack of specific diagnostic and prognostic markers. The pathogenesis of DILI is diverse and genetic susceptibility may play a role in the development of DILI, especially idiosyncratic DILI. The clinical spectrum of DILI is complex, and, although DILI is still a diagnosis of exclusion, diagnostic accuracy may be improved by using causality assessment scores. The various histological patterns of DILI reported in studies and the lack of specific histological characteristics of DILI also contribute to the difficulty in diagnosing DILI. Finally, the basis of treatment in DILI is discontinuation of the suspected causative agent and supportive measures. If signs of hypersensitivity are present, then evidence supports the use of steroids. Further RCTs are needed to identify definite biomarkers for the diagnosis of DILI and to explore the role of specific treatments.
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