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Prognostic Value of Coronary Computed Tomography (CT) Angiography and Coronary Artery Calcium Score Performed Before Revascularization
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Background—Cardiac events after revascularization are equally attributable to recurrence at site of culprit lesions and development of nonculprit lesions. We evaluated the hypothesis that coronary computed tomography (CT) angiography and coronary artery calcium score (CACS) performed before revascularization predicts cardiac events after treatment.

Methods and Results—Among 2238 consecutive patients without known coronary artery disease who underwent coronary CT angiography and CACS, 359 patients underwent revascularization within 30 days after CT; in 337 of 359 (93.9%) follow-up clinical information was available. In addition to known cardiac risk factors, CT findings were evaluated as predictors of cardiac events after revascularization: CACS and the presence of CT-verified high-risk plaque (CT-HRP). Improvement of predictive accuracy by including CT findings was evaluated from a discrimination (Harrell’s C-statistics) standpoint. During the follow-up period (median: 673, interquartile range: 47 to 1529 days), a total of 98 cardiac events occurred. Cox proportional hazard model revealed that age, diabetes, triglyceride, CACS, and nonculprit CT-HRP were significant predictors of overall cardiac events. Although not statistically significant, discriminatory power was greater for the model with CACS (C-stat: 63.2%) and the model with both CACS and CT-HRP (65.8%) compared to the model including neither CACS nor CT-HRP (60.7%).

Conclusions—High CACS and the presence of nonculprit CT-HRP performed before revascularization are significant predictors of cardiac events after revascularization. (J Am Heart Assoc. 2015;4:e002264 doi: 10.1161/JAHA.115.002264)
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Cardiac events after revascularization are equally attributable to recurrence at the site of culprit lesions and to development of nonculprit lesions.1 Risk factors of cardiac events related to nonculprit lesions can be detected by virtual histology intravascular ultrasound (eg, plaque burden ≥70%, thin-cap fibroatheroma containing large necrotic cores, and a minimum lumen area <4 mm²).1 However, virtual histology intravascular ultrasound is a catheter-based technology and has risks of dissection and acute coronary syndrome,1 and thus is not suitable for coronary screening revascularization.

Coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA) evaluates coronary artery disease (CAD) noninvasively.2–4 In addition to the evaluation of stenosis, CCTA identifies calcified lesions and characterizes plaque composition.5–10 Although a potential use of CT-derived plaque characterization for prognosis prediction has been investigated,8,9,11–14 to our knowledge there is no report focusing on the prediction ability of cardiac events after treatment by CT plaque characterization. Because CCTA performed before revascularization can evaluate all plaque, including nonculprit lesions, and in theory can serve as a predictor of cardiac events after treatment, the purpose of this study was to test the hypothesis that coronary artery calcium score (CACS) and high-risk lesions identified in a nonculprit lesion before revascularization by CCTA can predict cardiovascular events after revascularization.
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1
Methods

Study Population

This study was approved by the ethics committee at the single recruitment institution, and written informed consent was obtained from subjects. Between September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2011, 2238 consecutive subjects without known CAD (ages: 35 to 74 years) underwent 64- or 320-detector row CCTA and CACS for suspected obstructive CAD. After excluding patients with poor image quality (n=21), 359 subjects were identified as those who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention as part of their standard clinical care within 30 days of CCTA. Among these 359 subjects, 337 (93.9%) had clinical follow-up data and thus formed the study cohort.

CT Acquisition

64-detector row CT protocol

Subjects with a heart rate >60 beats per minute received atenolol (25 mg) by mouth in the evening before the CT examination. Alternatively, heart rate control with a target of 60 beats per minute was achieved using 2 to 10-mg propranolol injected intravenously before data acquisition. The tube voltage for imaging (Aquilion 64; Toshiba Medical Systems Corporation, Tochigi-ken, Japan) was 120 kV. The tube current ranged from 400 to 600 mA; patients with a body mass index of 18 was imaged at 420 mA. The 2-point increase or decrease in body mass index, the tube current was incremented by 10 mA. For example, a patient with body mass index of 22 were imaged at 440 mA, and for each 2-point increase or decrease in body mass index, the tube current was incremented by 10 mA. For example, a patient with body mass index of 18 was imaged at 420 mA. The gantry rotation time that ranged from 0.35 to 0.4 seconds per rotation. Based on prior experience with 64-detector row CCTA, the ECG gating acquisition strategy was divided into 5 heart rate groups. Contrast was injected via a 2-phase protocol: contrast medium alone for 10 seconds, followed by saline for 8 seconds. The contrast and saline injection rates were calculated as the individual patient’s mass in kilograms multiplied by 0.06 mL per second.

CACS protocol

CACS was performed by the following parameters: 120 kV, 150 mA, and 3-mm thickness. All data were evaluated on a dedicated workstation (Zio M900 or ZioStation, Ziosoft). A calcified lesion was defined as >3 contiguous pixels with a peak attenuation of at least 130 Hounsfield Units (HU). Regarding CACS, Agatston score was calculated. Patients were divided into 3 groups according to CACS as those with score <100, those with a score ranging from 100 to 400, and those with a score >400.

Image reconstruction

Half image reconstruction or segmental image reconstruction was performed in the slow filling phase and/or end-systole. Images for interpretation were chosen by the attending cardiovascular imager and were based on minimizing motion artifact.

Plaque Interpretation by CT

For plaque detection, both cross-sectional and longitudinal curved multiplanar reformation images were analyzed. The coronary circulation was divided into 17 segments according to American Heart Association recommendations. Coronary artery segments with a diameter of >2 mm were evaluated. CCTA interpretation was evaluated by consensus of 2 experienced cardiovascular imagers and an experienced radiological technologist, all of whom were blinded to all clinical data. Nonculprit plaque was defined as plaque at >5 mm distant from stent position and/or at coronary vessels without culprit plaque.
Definition of High-Risk Plaque by CT

CT-verified high-risk plaque (CT-HRP) was defined as plaque with positive remodeling and low density. Positive remodeling was defined as a change in coronary diameter at the location of the plaque when compared to a reference segment with normal appearance (reference diameter). The remodeling index was defined as the lesion diameter divided by the reference diameter, and the measurements were made using both cross-sectional and longitudinal reconstructed images. The remodeling index was considered as positive remodeling when the diameter at the plaque site was at least 10% larger than the reference segment. Plaque density was computed for all noncalcified lesions plus lesions with spotty calcification. The attenuation was defined as the minimum HU among five 0.36 x 0.36-mm regions of interest. The lesion was defined as a low-density plaque when this minimum HU was <30.

Risk Factors

Hypertension was defined as either systolic or diastolic blood pressure ≥140/90 mm Hg or the use of antihypertensive medications. Diabetes mellitus was defined as fasting blood sugar ≥126 mg/dL or postprandial blood sugar ≥200 mg/dL or hemoglobin A1c ≥6.5% (National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program), or the use of medications. Dyslipidemia was defined as total cholesterol ≥220 mg/dL, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol ≥140 mg/dL, fasting triglycerides ≥150 mg/dL, high-density cholesterol <40 mg/dL, or the use of lipid-lowering medications. Smokers were defined as those patients who had smoked during the past 1 year from the time of CCTA acquisition.

Study Outcome

Follow-up clinical information was obtained from the review of medical records and/or human research committee approved telephone interviews by attending physicians. The study end point was cardiac events defined as cardiac death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, or hospitalization for unstable or progressive angina during the period from the time of the CT acquisition until December 31, 2012. Cardiac death was defined as death due to acute myocardial infarction, ventricular arrhythmias, refractory heart failure, or cardiogenic shock. Nonfatal myocardial infarction was defined based on the criteria of typical acute chest pain and persistent ST-segment elevation or positive cardiac enzymes.20,21 Hospitalization for unstable or progressive angina was defined based on the Braunwald unstable Angina Classification and the Canadian Cardiovascular Society Angina Classification.1

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 20 for Windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL) and SAS version 9.4 (SAS Inc, Cary, NC). Continuous variables were expressed as the mean±SD and categorical variables were expressed as percentages. Cumulative event proportions were estimated using Kaplan–Meier methods and compared with the log-rank test for variables that were significant predictors for overall cardiac events in the univariate analysis using the Cox proportional hazards model. Cox proportional hazards modeling was used to determine the independent predictors for cardiac events. Significant predictors for cardiac events in the univariate analysis were entered into the multivariable model. Model-fit was tested by the likelihood-ratio test. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant in all instances.

In addition to estimating prognostic significance of each predictor by the coefficients (log hazard ratio) in the multivariable model, the improvement of predictive accuracy of the constructed model was evaluated from discrimination and reclassification standpoints. For discrimination, Harrell’s C-statistics were calculated from linear predictors (“X-beta”) of fitted multivariable models with and without CACS and nonculprit CT-HRP.22,23 Standard errors and P-values for the difference between the models were obtained by 500 bootstrap samples.

Table 1. Baseline Clinical Characteristics of All Study Patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>64.2±7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>246/91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, %</td>
<td>68.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia, %</td>
<td>85.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes, %</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking, %</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>24.5±3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery calcium score</td>
<td>433.7±741.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery calcium score (&lt;100/100 to 400/&gt;400)</td>
<td>119/110/108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>201.6±38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride, mg/dL</td>
<td>163.2±105.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>127.0±36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol, mg/dL</td>
<td>48.5±12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culprit CT-HRP, %</td>
<td>23.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonculprit CT-HRP, %</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin, %</td>
<td>57.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation period, days</td>
<td>710.0±366.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT-HRP indicates computed tomography–verified high-risk plaque; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.
Results

Baseline Clinical Characteristics

Baseline clinical characteristics of all study patients are provided in Table 1. Median follow-up duration was 673 (interquartile range: 47 to 1529) days. All 337 subjects underwent revascularization without complication.

At least 1 nonculprit CT-HRP was observed in 9.5% of patients. During the follow-up period, overall cardiac events occurred in 98 cases: death n=1, nonfatal myocardial infarction n=6, and hospitalization for unstable or progressive angina n=91. Cardiac events related to culprit lesion due to in-stent thrombosis and/or in-stent restenosis occurred in 24 cases.

Predictors of Cardiac Events

Time-to-event analysis showed that the probability of overall cardiac events increased significantly for diabetics (P=0.002) (Figure – Panel A), CACS category (P=0.007) (Figure – Panel B), and the presence of nonculprit CT-HRP (P=0.016) (Figure – Panel C). Univariate analysis using Cox proportional hazards regression revealed that age, diabetes, CACS, triglyceride, and nonculprit CT-HRP were significant predictors of overall cardiac events (Table 2). As for subanalysis for only hard events (cardiac death and nonfatal myocardial infarction), although the small event number (n=7) made all CIs extremely wide, C-statistics and reclassification analysis also suggested that predictive accuracy for hard event could be improved by

Figure. Kaplan–Meier analysis for cardiac event–free survival as stratified by diabetes (A), CACS (B), and nonculprit CT-HRP (C). CACS indicates coronary artery calcium score; CT-HRP, computed tomography–verified high-risk plaque; DM, diabetes mellitus.
adding CACS and nonculprit CT-HRP (data are shown in Tables S1 through S5).

**Prediction Accuracy of the Multivariable Models**

Three multivariable models with or without CACS and CT-HRP showed a significant association with cardiac events (Table 3). Likelihood-ratio tests indicate that CACS increased model-fit over the age, diabetes, and triglyceride-only model ($P=0.010$) and that CT-HRP increased model-fit over the model with CACS ($P=0.049$). Although not statistically significant at the 5% level, C-statistics for the model with CACS (63.2%) and the model with both CACS and CT-HRP (65.8%) are greater than the model including neither CACS nor CT-HRP (60.7%).

Reclassification tables of the model with CACS (Model 2) versus the model including neither CACS nor CT-HRP (Model 1), and the model with both CACS and CT-HRP (Model 3) versus the model with CACS (Model 2) using Net reclassification indices are shown in Tables S1 through S5.

**Discussion**

The presence of noncalcified plaque in a nonculprit lesion detected by CCTA in acute myocardial infarction patients has been reported as a predictor of cardiovascular events after treatment. To our knowledge, the current study is the first report to evaluate the prognostic value of CCTA performed before revascularization. Our results confirm our hypothesis that CACS and high-risk plaque in a nonculprit lesion on CCTA performed before revascularization predict cardiovascular events after revascularization. The findings had marginally statistically significant incremental prognostic value over clinical risk factors.

Our results are consistent with the Providing Regional Observations to Study Predictors of Events in the Coronary Tree (PROSPECT) study that used virtual histology intravascular ultrasound; nonculprit lesions with vulnerable plaque predicted future cardiovascular events after revascularization. CCTA is not only an established diagnostic modality for CAD, but also a useful tool for treatment planning.

A growing literature supports the concept that high-risk plaque detected by CCTA coincides with vulnerable plaque on virtual histology intravascular ultrasound or optical coherence tomography. Moreover, previous investigations indicate a prognostic value of CT-derived plaque volume for future coronary events; several studies reported a correlation between larger plaque volume detected by CCTA with a higher rate of acute coronary syndrome. Regarding the high-risk plaque (positive remodeling and low attenuation) identified by CCTA, 22% of patients developed acute coronary syndrome within 2 years, while only 0.5% of remaining patients presented with an acute coronary syndrome. This paper also showed that positive plaque remodeling and/or low plaque attenuation was an independent predictor of acute coronary syndrome in a clinical study with 27±10 months follow-up (hazard ratio 22.8; 95% CI 6.9 to 75.2; $P<0.001$). In line with this literature, the current results plus implementation of reliable plaque analysis software support a greater clinical use of CCTA plaque characterization.

Although CACS is a known risk factor for cardiovascular events, the current data demonstrate that CACS remains a predictor of future cardiovascular events even after appropriate revascularization. It may be that CACS reflects an overall arteriosclerosis burden that includes nonculprit lesions that induce post-treatment events. Our model highest predictive ability (Model 3, Table 3) thus includes both “quality of instability” of coronary arteriosclerosis represented by nonculprit CT-HRP and “overall burden” of coronary arteriosclerosis represented by CACS.

Diabetes and triglyceride level were significant predictors as well. On the other hand, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol level, a well-known risk factor of cardiac event, was not a predictor. One possible explanation is that low-density lipoprotein; NA, not available.

### Table 2. Univariate Analysis Using Cox Proportional Hazards Regression to Identify the Significant Predictors of Cardiac Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>0.950 to 0.995</td>
<td>$P=0.019$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.310</td>
<td>0.823 to 2.085</td>
<td>$P=0.26$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.556 to 1.267</td>
<td>$P=0.41$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.520 to 1.606</td>
<td>$P=0.76$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>1.859</td>
<td>1.253 to 2.759</td>
<td>$P=0.002$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smoking</td>
<td>1.339</td>
<td>0.885 to 2.026</td>
<td>$P=0.17$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>1.030</td>
<td>0.965 to 1.099</td>
<td>$P=0.38$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACS &lt;100 (reference)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACS 100 to 400</td>
<td>1.987</td>
<td>1.172 to 3.369</td>
<td>$P=0.011$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACS &gt;400</td>
<td>2.210</td>
<td>1.316 to 3.709</td>
<td>$P=0.003$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>1.005</td>
<td>1.000 to 1.010</td>
<td>$P=0.07$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride</td>
<td>1.003</td>
<td>1.001 to 1.004</td>
<td>$P=0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.995 to 1.006</td>
<td>$P=0.95$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>0.971 to 1.003</td>
<td>$P=0.12$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culprit CT-HRP</td>
<td>0.805</td>
<td>0.494 to 1.313</td>
<td>$P=0.39$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonculprit CT-HRP</td>
<td>1.985</td>
<td>1.129 to 3.490</td>
<td>$P=0.0178$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statin</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.672 to 1.489</td>
<td>$P=0.99$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CACS indicates coronary artery calcium score; CT-HRP, computed tomography-verified high-risk plaque; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HR, hazard ratio; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; NA, not available.
lipoprotein cholesterol used in the prediction model was recorded at the time of the CT scan. The low-density lipoprotein cholesterol is usually strictly controlled by statin, especially in secondary prevention. In our population, almost 60% of patients took statin (Table 1). Although antiplatelet agents are reported as a predictor of cardiac events, we did not include this in the analysis as all patients took an antiplatelet agent. Based on our results, diabetes may require earlier intervention and more strict management after revascularization. Regarding triglyceride, improvement of lifestyle and development of therapies are warranted.

We acknowledge several study limitations. First, this is a retrospective single-center study. A significant selection bias could be introduced. Second, our data were collected from a single Japanese medical center, and the overall Japanese prevalence of CAD is relatively low. Our results should be confirmed by external validation. Third, while the number is <10%, we had subjects who were excluded because of incomplete follow-up data. Fourth, although the discrimination for cardiac event related to nonculprit lesion or not was evaluated, we could not confirm that when nonculprit CT-HRP had been detected by pretreatment CCTA, it was a lesion responsible for cardiovascular events after treatment. Fifth, most of the events were hospitalization for unstable angina. While the small hard-event number (death and nonfatal myocardial infarction) made all CIs extremely wide, point estimates lay in the same direction as those from the original end point analysis. Moreover, prediction of hospitalization for unstable angina that included both nonculprit- and culprit-related events would be important from the standpoint of secondary prevention.

Conclusions

High CACS and nonculprit high-risk plaque on CCTA performed before revascularization are significant predictors of cardiac events after revascularization.

Disclosures


References


