Recent insights into the cellular biology of atherosclerosis
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Atherosclerosis occurs in the subendothelial space (intima) of medium-sized arteries at regions of disturbed blood flow and is triggered by an interplay between endothelial dysfunction and subendothelial lipoprotein retention. Over time, this process stimulates a nonresolving inflammatory response that can cause intimal destruction, arterial thrombosis, and end-organ ischemia. Recent advances highlight important cell biological atherogenic processes, including mechanotransduction and inflammatory processes in endothelial cells, origins and contributions of lesional macrophages, and origins and phenotypic switching of lesional smooth muscle cells. These advances illustrate how in-depth mechanistic knowledge of the cellular pathobiology of atherosclerosis can lead to new ideas for therapy.

Atherosclerotic vascular disease is the underlying cause of myocardial infarction (heart attack), stroke, unstable angina (ischemic heart pain), and sudden cardiac death (Lusis, 2000). Collectively, these diseases account for the leading cause of death in the world, and the incidence is continuing to rise as a result of the international epidemic of obesity and type 2 diabetes, which are potent risk factors for atherosclerosis (Braunwald, 1997; World Health Organization, 2014). The disease is initiated by the subendothelial retention of apolipoprotein B (apoB)–containing lipoproteins (LPs) in focal areas of arteries, particularly regions in which laminar flow is disturbed by bends or branch points in the arteries (Williams and Tabas, 1995). Various modifications of the retained LPs likely mimic pathogen- and/or damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) and thereby trigger a low-grade inflammatory response. This response lead to activation of endothelial and vascular smooth muscle cells (SMCs); recruitment of monocytes; and accumulation of cellular, extracellular, and lipid material in the subendothelial space, or intima. The cells include monocYTE-derived macrophages, other inflammatory cells, including T cells, B cells, dendritic cells, and mast cells, and SMCs that take on myofibroblast characteristics. Atherosclerotic lesions most often undergo a partial resolution process characterized by the formation of an overlying scar, or fibrous cap (Libby, 2008; Falk et al., 2013). This fibrous cap provides a “protective” barrier between platelets in the blood stream and prothrombotic material in the plaque. Moreover, outward remodeling of the arterial wall, resulting in preservation of luminal blood flow, and collateral vessel formation help prevent end organ ischemia. Thus, most atherosclerotic lesions do not cause acute vascular disease (Virmani et al., 2002).

However, certain types of atherosclerotic lesions over time develop features that can lead to acute thrombotic vascular disease. The features of these so-called “vulnerable plaques” include a large area of necrosis in the intima, called the necrotic or lipid core, thinning of the fibrous cap, and a heightened inflammatory state. These features can lead to breakdown of the aforementioned fibrous cap barrier and thereby promote acute luminal thrombosis. If the thrombosis is occlusive, end organ damage occurs. Plaque necrosis results from a combination of defective efferocytosis, or clearance of apoptotic cells, and primary necrosis of these cells (Moore and Tabas, 2011). Fibrous cap thinning is likely caused by both defective collagen synthesis by intimal SMCs and increased degradation by matrix metalloproteinases secreted by inflammatory cells. Activation of innate and adaptive immune pathways contribute to the inflammatory response (Hansson and Hermansson, 2011), and this is likely amplified in advanced lesions by the increased production of DAMPs from necrotic cells. Moreover, there are many features of defective inflammation resolution, which may be caused by defective production and/or action of proresolving mediators, which are lipid
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and protein factors that promote repair and healing after the initial inflammatory assault (Libby et al., 2014).

In this review, we will focus on how three cell types that participate in atherosclerosis—endothelial cells, macrophages, and intimal SMC—contribute to atherogenesis and vulnerable plaque formation. Rather than an all-inclusive review of how these three cell types contribute to atherosclerosis, we emphasize overall principles of cellular pathophysiology and new areas of investigation.

**Endothelial cells**

**Endothelial cell function, dysfunction, and atherogenesis.** The endothelial lining of the vascular system comprises a dynamic interface with the blood and acts as an integrator and transducer of both humoral and mechanical stimuli. The vascular endothelium responds to these stimuli by synthesizing and metabolizing products that then act in an autocrine and paracrine manner to maintain vascular homeostasis. In this regard, alterations of the endothelial phenotype into a dysfunctional state constitute a pathogenic risk factor for several vascular diseases including atherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis is a spatially nonrandom and temporally nonlinear process that initially affects so-called lesion-prone areas of the arterial tree. These areas display a unique endothelial dysfunctional phenotype (proinflammatory, prothrombotic, impaired barrier function), which is triggered by the distinct type of biomechanical forces present in these regions. These prelesional but susceptible areas are also distinguished by their predisposition to the retention of apoB LPs, which then further exacerbates the endothelial dysfunctional phenotype, particularly after the LPs become modified by oxidation and perhaps other processes (Tabas et al., 2007). This amplifying combination of endothelial dysfunction and apoB LP retention stimulates monocyte entry and provokes their differentiation into macrophages, which become loaded with LP cholesterol (“foam cell” formation) beneath a physically intact but dysfunctional endothelial lining. Additional factors important for the activation of the arterial endothelium in a pro-atherogenic manner include cytokines, advanced glycosylation end products, and possibly pathogen-associated molecular patterns from bacteria or viruses. The concept that biomechanical forces generated by the flow of blood can act as local risk factors for atherosclerosis provides an interesting conceptual framework, which is the central topic of this section.

An intriguing aspect of the disease is that atherosclerotic lesions develop in a nonrandom fashion—typically around areas where blood vessels branch or curve. Physical and computational models have determined that these susceptible regions have low time-average shear stress, a high oscillatory shear index, and a steep temporal and spatial gradient in shear stress (atheroprone flow). In contrast, unbranched arteries that are exposed to uniform laminar shear stress (atheroprotective flow) largely do not develop lesions. It is now well documented that the endothelial cells overlying atherosclerosis-resistant versus -susceptible regions of the human carotid or mouse aorta have unique structural, molecular, and functional differences that help explain, at least in part, their atheroprotective versus atheroprone phenotypes (Fig. 1; Gimbrone and García-Cardeña, 2013).

**Atheroprotective and atheroprone endothelium.** Endothelial cells from atherosclerosis-resistant regions display an ellipsoidal cell and nuclear morphology and coaxial alignment in the primary flow direction, in contrast to the atherosclerosis-susceptible regions where this orderly pattern is not present and where the cells display a cuboidal morphology. Moreover, a thick glycocalyx layer forms on the endothelium in atherosclerosis-resistant regions, and long-term exposure of cultured human endothelial cells to atheroprotective flow promotes the cell surface expression of key molecular components of the endothelial glycocalyx (Koo et al., 2013). Although the functional significance of the glycocalyx layer in the context of atherosclerosis is not known, some studies suggest that an intact glycocalyx layer decreases extravasation of low-density LP particles into the subendothelial space (van den Berg et al., 2009). Endothelial cells in atherosclerosis-susceptible regions also display impaired endothelial barrier function (McGill et al., 1957) and higher rates of cell turnover and cellular senescence compared with cells present in atherosclerosis-resistant regions (Gerrity et al., 1977; Hansson et al., 1985). They also express markers of chronic ER stress (Civelek et al., 2009), which may promote atherosclerosis by causing endothelial apoptosis (Zeng et al., 2009).

At the molecular level, atheroprotective and atheroprone endothelial phenotypes are associated with distinct patterns of gene expression and mechanooactivated signaling pathways. Among the most important distinctions are the activation of the transcriptional integrators KLF2 (Kruppel-like factor 2) and KLF4 (Kruppel-like factor 4) in the atheroprotective endothelium and activation of the NF-κB pathway in the atheroprotecive endothelium. Interestingly, these two pathways appear to play antagonistic roles in endothelial cells (Atkins and Simon, 2013). The expression of KLF2 and KLF4 is activated by the MEK5/ERK5/MEF2 signaling cascade (Parmar et al., 2006; Ohnesorge et al., 2010; Villarreal et al., 2010). This central signaling pathway can be modulated by AMP kinase, SIRT1, protein kinase C-ζ, SUMO-specific protease 2, histone deacetylase 5, and micro-RNAs (Abe and Berk, 2014). The importance of KLF2 for atheroprotection in vivo was documented by showing that Klf2+/−Apoe−/− mice exhibit a 31–37% increase in atherosclerotic lesion area compared with littermate control Apoe−/− mice (Atkins et al., 2008). Nevertheless, the contribution of endothelial KLF2 expression to this phenotype remains to be defined. Of interest, KLF2 in other atherosclerosis-relevant cell types, including monocytes (Das et al., 2006), T cells (Bu et al., 2010; Takada et al., 2011; Pabbisetty et al., 2014), and dendritic cells (Fang et al., 2013) maintains an antiinflammatory state and, in the case of myeloid cells, is atheroprotective (Lingrel et al., 2012). The role of endothelial KLF4 in atherosclerosis is clearer: recent work using endothelial-specific loss-of-function and gain-of-function approaches in Apoe−/− mice suggests that endothelial KLF4 is atheroprotective (Zhou et al., 2012).

A critical role for the endothelial NF-κB signaling pathway in early atherogenesis was demonstrated by a seminal study showing its activation in prelesional atherosclerosis-susceptible
regions of the mouse aorta (Hajra et al., 2000). Atheroprone flow activates the NF-κB pathway in endothelial cells (Dai et al., 2004; Won et al., 2007), leading to expression of proatherogenic cell surface receptors, including VCAM-1 and the Toll-like receptor 2 (Mullick et al., 2008). In addition, the atheroprone endothelium produces several proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines, extracellular matrix proteins, growth factors, and micro-RNAs (Thomas et al., 2009; Feaver et al., 2010; Marin et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2014a; Kumar et al., 2014), which may act as autocrine or paracrine factors to foster a local proatherogenic environment.

Disturbed flow also modulates global DNA methylation patterns via alterations in DNA methyltransferase activity, in particular DNMT1 (Dunn et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2014b). More specifically, disturbed flow increases methylation of the proximal promoter of KLF4, thus inhibiting KLF4 transcription in atherosclerosis-susceptible regions (Jiang et al., 2014). These observations suggest that, during the development of the vascular system, the initial exposure of endothelial cells to atheroprotective or atheroprone flow may “mark” their DNA, leading to unique flow-dependent endothelial epigenetic landscapes. Atheroprone flow may also affect mRNA splicing. For example, disturbed flow suppresses a “protective” switch in the splicing of the EIIIA and EIIIB exons of the fibronectin gene (Murphy and Hynes, 2014).

Endothelial mechanotransduction. The capacity displayed by endothelial cells to sense and discriminate distinct flow patterns raises the fundamental cell biological question of how these cells sense mechanical forces. Although the true nature of the mechanosensing and mechanotransduction systems in endothelial cells remains poorly characterized, several plausible and promising hypotheses supported by experimental data have been put forward in recent years (Conway and Schwartz, 2013). Here, we will highlight some recent developments in this area with a focus on cell surface–proximal sensors.

Previous work had shown a mechanotransducing role for PECAM-1, in complex with VE-cadherin and VEGFR2, at endothelial cell junctions, leading to downstream changes in NF-κB activation and cell alignment. Recent studies have led to a more in-depth understanding of the molecular mechanism. In particular, a flow-dependent GTP exchange factor called TIAM1 links PECAM-1 mechanotransduction to focal activation of the small GTPase Rac1 at the flow-downstream region of the cell (Liu et al., 2013). Rac1 activation then triggers the NF-κB pathway as well as production of reactive oxygen species.

A role for the G protein–coupled S1P1 (SIP receptor-1) in endothelial mechanotransduction has been documented (Jung et al., 2012). The expression of S1P1 was demonstrated to be important for flow-mediated directional alignment in cultured endothelial cells and for the characteristic alignment of the endothelium of the descending mouse aorta. Using endothelial cell–specific inducible S1p1flox/− mice, this study also demonstrated that an activating phosphorylation site of endothelial nitric oxide synthase is decreased in the retinal vasculature of these mice when compared with wild-type littermate controls.

Another mechanosensor of interest is Piezo1, a mediator of shear stress–evoked ionic current and calcium influx in endothelial cells (Li et al., 2014). Piezo1-mediated calcium influx was shown to be important for an increase in calpain activity and subsequent rearrangement of focal adhesions. As such, endothelial cells isolated from Piezo1−/− mice failed to align in the direction of flow when exposed to atheroprotective flow. Moreover, endothelial cells in intact cerebral arteries of Piezo1−/− mice showed the same alignment defect. A second study also documented a role for Piezo1 in endothelial cell alignment using a siRNA approach in human endothelial cells exposed to atheroprotective flow (Ranade et al., 2014).

Finally, syndecan 4, which is a transmembrane heparan sulfate proteoglycan, was recently shown to be also required for endothelial cell alignment in cultured endothelial cells exposed to laminar shear stress and in the mouse thoracic aorta (Baeyens et al., 2014). When mice deficient for syndecan 4 were placed on a genetic background of elevated cholesterol and fed a high-fat diet, i.e., to promote atherosclerosis, they displayed an increase in atherosclerotic lesions. Early lesions of atherosclerosis in the human carotid artery develop in the area of a major curvature (carotid sinus) exposed to low time-average shear stress, a high oscillatory shear index, and steep temporal and spatial gradients. Endothelial cells at this site display an atheroprone phenotype, which promotes a proinflammatory milieu driven by the priming of the NF-κB signaling pathway, which is then perpetuated in response to subendothelial apoB LPs. NF-κB activation promotes the entry of blood-borne monocytes (blue cells) through the junctions of endothelial cells (orange cells) into the intima, and there, monocytes differentiate into macrophages (red cells). In contrast, arterial geometries that are exposed to uniform laminar flow evoke an atheroprotective endothelial cell phenotype driven by the transcriptional integrators KLF2 and KLF4. This atheroprotective endothelial phenotype, together with a decrease in LP retention, promotes an antiinflammatory and antithrombotic environment that affords relative protection from atherosclerotic lesion development.

Figure 1. Vascular endothelial cells and the development of early atherosclerotic lesions. Early lesions of atherosclerosis in the human carotid artery develop in the area of a major curvature (carotid sinus) exposed to low time-average shear stress, a high oscillatory shear index, and steep temporal and spatial gradients. Endothelial cells at this site display an atheroprone phenotype, which promotes a proinflammatory milieu driven by the priming of the NF-κB signaling pathway, which is then perpetuated in response to subendothelial apoB LPs. NF-κB activation promotes the entry of blood-borne monocytes (blue cells) through the junctions of endothelial cells (orange cells) into the intima, and there, monocytes differentiate into macrophages (red cells). In contrast, arterial geometries that are exposed to uniform laminar flow evoke an atheroprotective endothelial cell phenotype driven by the transcriptional integrators KLF2 and KLF4. This atheroprotective endothelial phenotype, together with a decrease in LP retention, promotes an antiinflammatory and antithrombotic environment that affords relative protection from atherosclerotic lesion development.
Macrophages

Origins of lesional macrophages. Chemokine-induced influx of bone marrow–derived monocytes is triggered by endothelial activation in nascent lesions, i.e., as initiated by LP retention and endothelial cell alterations. In certain settings, the monocytes first seed the spleen, where they undergo additional rounds of proliferation and activation before reentering the blood stream and homing to atherosclerotic lesions (Dutta et al., 2012). The monocytes that most readily enter developing and progressing atherosclerotic lesions in mice are Ly6<sup>hi</sup> monocytes, which is the subset that participates in the inflammatory response. However, atherosclerosis is maximally inhibited only when the entry of both Ly6<sup>hi</sup> and Ly6<sup>lo</sup> monocytes is blocked (Tacke et al., 2007), suggesting a more complex picture. Moreover, the nature and functions of monocyte subpopulations in humans differ from those in mice, and the roles of human monocyte subpopulations in atherosclerosis is not known.

Until recently, it was generally assumed that each lesional macrophage originated from one monocyte despite hints in the literature that macrophages in human and animal atherosclerotic lesions undergo proliferation. Recent work has provided more convincing evidence that macrophage proliferation may be a quantitatively important process in macrophage accumulation in advanced lesions, at least in murine models of atherosclerosis (Robbins et al., 2013). Previous in vitro work had shown that activation of type A scavenger receptors on macrophages can promote macrophage proliferation, perhaps by activating a phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase pathway (Sakai et al., 2000), but the relevance of this mechanism in vivo remains to be shown.

Roles of macrophages in early atherosclerosis. Monocyte-derived macrophages are key drivers of the atherogenic process (Fig. 2). Processes that promote the proliferation of bone marrow–derived hematopoietic stem cells, including cholesterol accumulation caused by defective cholesterol efflux, increase circulating monocytes and promote atherogenesis (Murphy et al., 2014). Indeed, there is a significant and independent correlation between blood monocyte count and atherosclerotic vascular disease in humans. Lesional macrophages encounter and internalize subendothelially retained LPs, which can be native or modified by oxidation, aggregation, and other processes. In vitro studies suggest that the LPs can be internalized by a combination of phagocytosis of aggregated LPs, scavenger receptor-mediated uptake of modified LPs, and fluid-phase pinocytosis of native LPs. In the traditional pathway, internalized LPs are delivered to late endosomes and lysosomes, where various LP lipids and proteins are degraded by lysosomal hydrolases. However, recent studies examining the interaction of cultured macrophages with matrix-bound aggregated LPs, which may be particularly relevant to atherosclerosis, raise the possibility that LP hydrolysis can also occur in sealed-off, acidic extracellular compartments that receive hydrolases through lysosomal exocytosis (Haka et al., 2009).

The activation of inflammatory pathways in lesional macrophages is a critical proatherogenic process. In particular, certain subpopulations of lesional macrophages take on an inflammatory, M1-like phenotype, which further activates the endothelium and leads to additional rounds of monocyte recruitment (Peled and Fisher, 2014). The exact mechanisms of macrophage activation in lesions remain to be fully explored, but modified LPs and other lesional molecules can activate receptors involved in inflammatory signaling, such as toll-like receptors and nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain–like receptors. Furthermore, LP cholesterol can accumulate in the plasma membrane, where it enhances inflammatory receptor signaling by altering membrane properties (Fessler and Parks, 2011; Westerterp et al., 2014). Oxidative stress induced by modified LPs, oxysterols, and other lesional factors can also activate inflammatory pathways (Glass and Witztum, 2001). For example, mitochondrial oxidative stress occurs in both human and animal atherosclerosis and can be induced in cultured macrophages by oxidized LPs and sterols. Mitochondrial oxidative stress enhances NF-κB activation, which leads to induction of the monocyte chemokine MCP-1 and further recruitment of monocytes (Wang et al., 2014).

In addition, there is ample evidence of inflammasome activation in atherosclerotic lesions, and IL-1β likely plays an important role in early atherogenesis (Lu and Kakkar, 2014). Based on in vitro studies and in vivo observations, a leading hypothesis posits that cholesterol microcrystals derived from the cellular ingestion of retained LPs activate the inflammasome pathway (Duewell et al., 2010). However, it is not clear whether cholesterol crystallization would be robust enough at body temperature to activate the inflammasome pathway, and so other mechanisms of are being explored. For example, activation of CD36 by modified LPs promotes the conversion of cytoplasmic soluble molecules, such as β-amyloid, into inflammasome-activating stimuli (Sheedy et al., 2013). In addition, oxidized mitochondrial DNA molecules resulting from mitochondrial oxidative stress can activate the inflammasome (Zhou et al., 2011).

The net proatherogenic effect of lesional macrophages is best conceived as a tipping of a delicate balance between inflammatory and proresolving responses (Tabas, 2010). For example, the proinflammatory consequence of excess plasma membrane cholesterol is counterbalanced by cholesterol efflux mediated in large part by the ABCA1 and ABCG1 transporters. Moreover, when macrophages internalize atherogenic LPs, there is an accumulation of the cholesterol intermediate desmosterol, which triggers a liver X receptor–mediated anti-inflammatory response (Spann et al., 2012). Another example is the balance between the synthesis of proinflammatory (and proatherogenic) leukotriene B<sub>4</sub> and proresolving lipoxin A<sub>4</sub> in macrophages, which is regulated by the subcellular localization of the enzyme 5-lipoxigenase and by mediators of inflammation resolution (Fredman et al., 2014). In addition, inflammatory processes can induce compensatory proresolving signaling pathways. For example, when the NF-κB pathway is genetically blocked in macrophages in fat-fed Ldlr<sup>−/−</sup> mice, early lesion development is actually accelerated, which may be tied to inhibition of a compensatory IL-10 response (Kanters et al., 2003). The implication of this balancing concept is that it may be very difficult to prevent atherosclerosis by simply blocking a specific inflammatory pathway (Tabas and Glass, 2013).
Roles of macrophages in vulnerable plaque formation. The subtype of atherosclerotic lesions that cause acute atherothrombotic vascular events are characterized by large areas of necrosis, nonresolving inflammation, and thinning of the subendothelial fibrous cap. Plaque necrosis results in large part by the combination of lesional macrophage apoptosis and defective efferocytosis, which leads to postapoptotic necrosis, loss of efferocytosis-mediated antiinflammatory signaling, and generation of proinflammatory DAMPs. RIP3-mediated primary necrosis may also contribute to plaque necrosis (Lin et al., 2013). Macrophages likely contribute to fibrous cap thinning by the secretion of matrix metalloproteinases (Libby, 2013), although this has been difficult to prove in vivo because mouse models of atherosclerosis do not mimic the type of plaque rupture that occurs in humans (Fig. 2).

Advanced lesional macrophage apoptosis is likely induced by a variety of factors. Examples include oxidized LPs, oxidized phospholipids, and excess accumulation of LP-derived cholesterol in the ER. Moreover, in view of the importance of obesity and type 2 diabetes as a major driver of coronary artery disease, systemic risk factors associated with insulin resistance as well as direct effects of defective insulin signaling on macrophages can promote macrophage cell death (Bornfeldt and Tabas, 2011). A common process associated with a variety of death-inducing factors in advanced lesions is activation of a prolonged unfolded protein response, which can trigger several apoptotic pathways (Tabas and Ron, 2011). In vivo studies in mice have indicated a direct, causative role for the ER effector C/EB-homologous protein (CHOP) in lesional apoptosis (Thor et al., 2009), and there is a very strong correlation among CHOP expression, apoptosis, and the degree of plaque vulnerability in human coronary and carotid arteries (Myoishi et al., 2007; Dorweiler et al., 2014). CHOP induces a variety of apoptotic pathways, but one that may be particularly relevant to advanced lesional macrophages involves activation of the inositol-3-phosphate receptor ER calcium release channel (Li et al., 2009). The resulting increase in cytosolic calcium activates CaMKII (calcium/calmodulin-dependent proteinase II), which, via downstream signaling, enganges both death rector and mitochondrial pathways of apoptosis (Timmins et al., 2009). CHOP has also been shown to decrease the expression of the cell survival protein Bcl-2, and Bcl-2 deficiency promotes advanced lesional macrophage death and plaque necrosis.

Macrophage efferocytosis becomes defective in advanced lesions of both humans and animals (Schrijvers et al., 2005; Tabas, 2005). Efferocytosis is carried out by the interaction of apoptotic cell recognition motifs, macrophage receptors, and molecules that bridge these two components (Hochreiter-Hufford and Ravichandran, 2013). Several of these molecules have been shown to mediate efferocytosis in atherosclerotic lesions, and thus, defective efferocytosis and subsequent plaque necrosis could develop as a consequence of compromised expression or function of these molecules (Thor et al., 2011a). As one possible example, a macrophage receptor called MerTK, which is functionally important in lesional efferocytosis, undergoes an ADAM17 protease-mediated cleavage reaction (Sather et al., 2007; Thor et al., 2011b). MerTK cleavage both destroys the receptor and creates a long-lived extracellular portion, called soluble Mer, that acts as a competitor inhibitor of apoptotic cell uptake by sequestering efferocytosis bridging molecules. MerTK cleavage is triggered by inflammation, which makes it a plausible contributor to defective efferocytosis in advanced atherosclerosis. Indeed, there is evidence of MerTK cleavage in advanced human plaques, particularly in plaque necrosis (Garbin et al., 2013). This hypothesis and alternative ones related to other efferocytosis molecules awaits validation in vivo.

Much of the maladaptive behavior of macrophages in advanced atherosclerosis, including their persistent inflammatory state, continued influx of monocytes, and defective efferocytosis, can be explained on the basis of defective inflammation resolution. In physiological host defense and response to injury, the inflammatory phase directly triggers a resolving phase that
promotes repair of collateral tissue damage and return to homeostasis (Nathan and Ding, 2010). Resolution is mediated by proteins, such as IL-10, TGF-β, and annexin A1, and by small lipids derived from arachidonic acid and omega-3 fatty acids, such as lipoxins, resolvins, protectins, and maresins (Buckley et al., 2014). Although the persistence and amplification of the major inflammatory stimulus in atherosclerosis—retained subendothelial LPs—goes a long way in explaining defective resolution, it is also possible that defective expression of proresolving mediators and/or their receptors also occurs. Moreover, this paradigm provides a potentially unique therapeutic opportunity to inhibit advanced plaque progression, because proresolving mediators, unlike direct inhibitors of inflammatory cytokines or chemokines, are less likely to compromise host defense. Two recent studies demonstrated the potential promise of proresolving therapy for atherosclerosis using mouse models of atherosclerosis (Drechsler et al., 2015; Fredman et al., 2015).

**SMCs**

**Origins and fates of vascular SMCs in atherosclerosis.** LP accumulation, endothelial activation, and inflammatory responses in developing atherosclerotic lesions result in “activation” or “phenotypic switching” of SMCs. During this process, quiescent, fully contractile SMCs down-regulate expression of differentiation marker genes, such as those encoding smooth muscle α-actin (Acta2) and smooth muscle myosin heavy chain (Myh11). As a consequence, the SMCs undergo cell proliferation and migration, and they increase their production of extracellular matrix, proteoglycans, and other proteins believed to be beneficial in outward vessel remodeling and plaque stabilization (Alexander and Owens, 2012). Indeed, the current dogma is that lesions that are more vulnerable to plaque rupture, and associated acute thrombotic events have a reduced fraction of SMC relative to inflammatory lipid-loaded macrophages, particularly in the vicinity of the fibrous plaque. However, there is considerable ambiguity as to which cells in atherosclerotic lesions are SMC-derived versus macrophage-derived, largely because of lack of rigorous, definitive lineage tracing studies.

Beginning efforts in this critical area can be illustrated by a few studies. For example, SMC lineage tracing in atheroprone Apoe<sup>−/−</sup> mice (Wamhoff et al., 2004; Gomez et al., 2013) revealed that in advanced lesions, intimal SMCs lacked detectable expression of smooth muscle α-actin (Acta2), smooth muscle myosin heavy chain (Myh11), and SM22α/transgelin (Tagln), which are the markers traditionally used to identify lesional SMCs. Moreover, cholesterol loading of cultured SMCs was reported to down-regulate SMC marker genes and induce macrophage markers, including CD68 and Mac2 (Rong et al., 2003). This phenomenon also appears to occur in atherosclerotic lesions: studies using SM22α ERT2 Cre–LacZ lineage tracing mice on the Apoe<sup>−/−</sup> background showed that SMC-derived cells in advanced lesions expressed Mac2 and CD68, although the very low labeling efficiency in these studies (11%) precludes assessing the overall contributions of these cells within lesions (Feil et al., 2014). In addition, it is unclear what function these cells have in overall lesion pathogenesis or if they are present within human atherosclerotic lesions. Importantly, the converse is also true: macrophages, or at least hematopoietic-derived cells, can express SMC markers, including smooth muscle α-actin and SM22α. For example, treatment of cultured macrophages with TGF-β or thrombin results in the expression of SMC markers on these cells, and lineage tracing studies have shown that hematopoietic-derived cells express early but not late stage markers of SMCs in Apoe<sup>−/−</sup> lesions (Stewart et al., 2009; Martin et al., 2009; Iwata et al., 2010). The latter studies are partially consistent with lineage tracing studies reporting that virtually all SMC marker–positive cells in lesions of Apoe<sup>−/−</sup> mice are of local SMC origin (Bentzon et al., 2006). Finally, Y chromosome lineage tracing studies in humans who have undergone cross-gender bone marrow transplantation have shown that ≥10% of smooth muscle α-actin–positive cells in advanced coronary artery lesions are of hematopoietic and not SMC origin (Caplice et al., 2003).

Collectively, the results from these various studies support the following conclusions: (a) it is highly likely, indeed certain, that SMCs and macrophages in atherosclerotic lesions have been misidentified in most previous studies in the field; (b) SMC marker–positive cells in lesions can be derived from multiple cell types other than SMCs; (c) the majority of SMC-derived cells in lesions have not been identified in previous studies as a result of loss of expression of SMC markers; and (d) macrophage marker–positive cells in lesions may not be macrophages or even of hematopoietic origin (Fig. 3).

**Functional significance and mechanisms of SMC phenotypic switching.** In the final analysis, the most critical issue is how knowledge of the origin and phenotypic features of lesional cells helps us to understand the pathogenesis of lesion progression and formulate new ideas for cell-based therapies. As an example, we can consider a study showing that 50% of foam cells in advanced human coronary artery lesions express smooth muscle α-actin (Allahverdian et al., 2014). However, the majority of these cells also expressed the macrophage marker CD68, and thus, their origin is uncertain, particularly when one considers that cells of myeloid origin can be induced to express smooth muscle α-actin. Most importantly, expression of the cholesterol exporter ABCA1 (ATP-binding cassette transporter A1) was reduced in smooth muscle α-actin<sup>+</sup> foam cells compared with smooth muscle α-actin<sup>−</sup>CD68<sup>+</sup> cells, suggesting that the former subpopulation of foam cells might exhibit impaired reverse cholesterol transport and thereby contribute to plaque cholesterol burden and associated inflammation. Consistent with this idea, a recent study demonstrated that although cholesterol-loaded cultured SMCs show diminished expression of SMC markers and express some markers of macrophages, their overall transcriptome indicates they are likely to show impaired macrophage functions including phagocytosis and efferocytosis (Vengrenyuk et al., 2015).

The origin of vascular cells can also be important in understanding cell-specific consequences of common signaling pathways. For example, as reviewed in the Endothelial cells section, conditional knockout studies suggest that KLF4 is atheroprotective in endothelial cells and macrophages (Sharma et al., 2012). In SMCs, however, the results of vascular injury experiments (Yoshida et al., 2008) predict that KLF4 may have detrimental
effects by lowering SMC content and hence decreasing plaque stability. SMC-specific deletion of KLF4 in the setting of atherosclerosis will be needed to test this prediction. In this regard, it is interesting to note that KLF4 is required for phenotypic switching of cultured SMCs in response to treatment with PDGF-BB or oxidized phospholipids (Gomez and Owens, 2012). As another example, whereas IL-1β signaling in macrophage-like cells is almost certainly proatherogenic, in vascular SMCs, it may promote plaque stability (Alexander et al., 2012).

In terms of identifying new cell-based therapeutic targets, these examples of how different cell types respond differently to environmental cues present within lesions highlight the importance of defining the cellular origins of lesional cells and understanding what controls their phenotypic transitions. In the case of SMCs, this knowledge will be critical if we wish to promote plaque stabilization. With regard to the regulation of phenotypic switching, cholesterol loading of cultured SMCs induces phenotypic switching to a macrophage-like state, but whether this is a key factor linking hypercholesterolemia and LP retention to the progression of atherosclerosis remains to be seen. There is also extensive evidence showing that various cytokines and growth factors can induce phenotypic switching in cultured SMCs, including PDGF-BB/DD, IL-1β, TNF, oxidized phospholipids, basic FGF, and SDF-1α. However, these observations need to be validated in vivo through studies combining rigorous SMC lineage tracing with SMC-specific conditional deletions of the candidate regulatory pathway of interest.

Conclusion

In diseases with well-defined initiating events, the most successful strategy is to prevent these events from occurring or to curtail them as quickly and efficiently as possible after they occur. For atherosclerotic disease, this principle translates into the goals of reversing endothelial dysfunction in atherosusceptible sites and lowering apoB LPs as earlier and robustly as is safe. The rationale for this dual approach is that the interplay between apoB LP retention and endothelial dysfunction initiates and then sustains the maladaptive, nonresolving inflammatory response that ultimately leads to atherothrombotic clinical disease. In theory, this interplay could be broken by early, robust, and safe apoB LP lowering. However, there is some variability in the response to apoB-lowering drugs in terms of threshold for efficacy and safety, and this is likely to be the case with newer drugs as well. Moreover, the population at risk is extremely large, and it continues to grow in response to the epidemic of obesity and metabolic disease. Therefore, the most successful therapies will combine apoB LP-lowering therapy with complementary approaches that target endothelial dysfunction and other pathogenic cellular responses to these LPs.

In-depth knowledge of endothelial biology will be the key to solving the mystery of how apoB LPs traverse the endothelium and how this process, as well as the physical process of LP retention after entry, can be prevented. The focal nature of atherosclerosis, which is likely caused by focal blood flow disturbances, speaks to the necessity of fully understanding endothelial cell mechanotransduction biology to achieve this goal. In terms of the cellular responses after LP retention, the key issue is to elucidate how the LPs trigger a nonresolving inflammatory response in the various lesional cell types. To achieve this goal, it will be important to fully understand the interplay between events occurring in the subendothelial space per se and those being “communicated” to this space from the circulation through endothelial cell mechanotransduction. Ultimately, it is the inflammatory cells in the lesions that trigger the type of plaque changes that lead
to cardiovascular disease. In that regard, the older notion that monocyte-derived macrophages are the culprit and that media-derived SMCs are protective needs to be reevaluated in view of lineage tracing studies that raise questions regarding the identity of these cells within lesions (Fig. 3). Integrating this new insight with more traditional mechanisms of innate and adaptive immunity will be key to achieving the ultimate goal of suppressing plaque inflammation in a manner that does not compromise host defense. For these newer efforts, further understanding the cellular biology of atherosclerosis will be essential.
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