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Seeing What We Build—The Need for New Imaging Techniques in Myocardial Regeneration

David E. Sosnovik, MD

The largest experience with stem cell therapy in the heart has been with bone marrow mononuclear cells (BMMCs). Several single-center studies have shown modest, and in some cases transient, increases in ejection fraction (EF) following BMMC injection in patients with reperfused myocardial infarction. While this experience clearly demonstrated the safety of BMMC injection, the imaging readouts used in these phase 1 to 2 studies did not provide a clear “stop or go” signal on which to base further decisions. Several multicenter phase 3 studies of BMMC injection were thus conducted and have been negative, showing no significant changes in EF. A clear need, therefore, exists for more refined imaging tools to guide the development of regenerative therapies in the heart.

Successful regeneration in the heart requires the injected cell to be delivered to the correct zone of the myocardium, survive in the host microenvironment, exert beneficial paracrine effects, differentiate, and integrate with the host myocardium. Advanced imaging techniques to characterize many of these processes have been developed (Figure) and several are poised for use in humans. In the current issue of JAHA, Dash and colleagues add to this armamentarium with an elegant approach involving dual-contrast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and positron emission tomography (PET) of a reporter probe. The value of this and other advanced imaging approaches is discussed below.

Characterization of the Host Myocardium

Acute necrosis elicits an intense inflammatory response in the myocardium. Highly degradative macrophages infiltrate the infarct and release cytotoxic and proteolytic enzymes including cathepsins, myeloperoxidase, and matrix metalloproteinases. Any cell that is injected, or migrates, into the infarct zone must be able to survive in this highly hostile inflammatory environment. MRI of iron-oxide nanoparticles and PET imaging of 18-fluorodeoxyglucose can be used to image the degree of macrophage infiltration in infarcted myocardium. In addition, preclinical probes to myeloperoxidase and matrix metalloproteinases have been developed for MRI and nuclear imaging, respectively. Infarcted myocardium has a poor vascular supply, limiting the availability of nutrients and oxygen to any injected cells. The degree of angiogenesis in healing infarcts has been imaged using a PET tracer to the $\alpha_v\beta_3$ integrin. Imaging tools are thus available to characterize the receptiveness of the host myocardium to cell therapy and to personalize the timing and location of cell injection.

The alternative to this image-guided approach is an empiric strategy in which cells are injected at predefined time points. This formed the basis of the LateTIME trial, where cell injection was performed 2 to 3 weeks after infarction. However, no advantage was seen in the delayed injection strategy used in LateTIME. While this may reflect the inherent limitations of BMMCs, the absence of an imaging readout to characterize inflammation and angiogenesis in the myocardium prior to cell injection may also have contributed to the negative result.

Confirmation of Local Cell Delivery

The delivery of cells to the myocardium must be confirmed for the analysis of the subsequent response to be interpreted in its true context. A large body of preclinical experience exists with MRI of the ferumoxides nanoparticle, which unfortunately is no longer available. However, ferumoxytol is Food and Drug Administration–approved and can be used for cell labeling as well. The advantage of this cell-labeling approach is that late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) of the infarct can
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Figure. Advanced imaging techniques in myocardial regeneration. Top row: (A) DNA release from acute necrosis (hyperenhanced area), reproduced with permission from Huang et al.; (B) macrophage infiltration into the infarct (hypoenhanced area), reproduced with permission from Sosnovik et al.; (C) MMP production (red) in the perfusion defect defined by thallium (green), reproduced with permission from Su et al.; (D) PET imaging of angiogenesis (arrows) in the healing infarct, reproduced with permission from Makowski et al. Middle row: (E) Intramyocardial injection of iron-oxide-labeled cells (arrows), reproduced with permission from Kraitchman et al.; (F) homing of radiolabeled cells to the infarct (arrow), reproduced with permission from Kraitchman et al.; (G) PET imaging of embryonic stem cells expressing the thymidine kinase reporter gene, reproduced with permission from Cao et al.; (H) MRI of the ferritin reporter gene producing signal hypoenhancement (arrow), reproduced with permission from Naumova et al. Bottom row: (I through K) DTI-tractography of fibers in the lateral wall of a normal mouse and a mouse with IR injury, reproduced with permission from Sosnovik et al. Fibers intersecting a standardized region-of-interest (inset) are shown and are color-coded by their helix angle. After IR, coherent myofiber tracts can no longer be visualized in the apical half of the ventricle. Serial in vivo imaging shows that fiber tracts that were present in the border zone preinjection (arrows) have been lost after BMMC injection. BMMC indicates bone marrow mononuclear cell; DTI, diffusion tensor MRI; IR, ischemia–reperfusion; MMP, matrix metalloproteinases; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PET, positron emission tomography.
be simultaneously performed to further guide the location of cell injection. Intravenous delivery of stem cells is more challenging. The vast majority of the cells accumulate in the liver, and radiolabeling is needed to detect cell delivery to the myocardium. Concerns regarding the potential bioeffects of radiolabeling are legitimate but can be mitigated in part by labeling only a small fraction of the cells.

Assessment of Cell Survival and Differentiation

An important caveat of all cell-labeling techniques is that the presence of the label in the myocardium does not imply survival of the cell. The label can persist in free form, or in inflammatory cells, well after the death of the injected cell. Dedicated approaches are needed to assess cell survival and differentiation. Reporter genes encoding for bioluminescent, fluorescent, MR-detectable, and PET-detectable probes have all been used to assess cell survival preclinically. Translation of the MR- and PET-based approaches is feasible, but the injection of genetically manipulated cells is complex and will require extensive testing. Ultimately, however, this information will be crucial to understand the mechanism of benefit or mode of failure of any injected cell.

Generation and Alignment of New Myofibers

The regeneration of infarcted myocardium requires new myofibers to be generated within the infarct. Moreover, these myofibers must be correctly aligned and integrated with the surrounding myocardium. Diffusion Tensor MRI-tractography allows myofiber architecture to be imaged noninvasively by tracking the diffusion of water along myofibers. Serial in vivo imaging with the technique can be used to determine whether new myofibers are being regenerated and whether they are spiraling around the left ventricle with the correct helix angle. The difference in helix angle (≈120°) between the subendocardial and subepicardial fibers plays a key role in the mechanical and electrical function of the heart and is vital to replicate during regeneration.

Diffusion tensor MRI provides a direct and fundamental measure of myofiber regeneration that is likely to be highly predictive of downstream clinical response. Serial in vivo diffusion tensor MRI-tractography in infarcted mice injected with BMMCs revealed a neutral response, consistent with the results of the TIME, LateTIME, and Swiss-AMI trials. In addition, occasional cases, the response to BMMC injection was negative. Diffusion tensor MRI-tractography can be performed in humans and could play a valuable role in early clinical trials. One limitation of the technique, however, is its inability to distinguish new myofibers generated from endoge-
How then do we interpret the dual-contrast technique described by Dash and colleagues in the context of these advances? While the combination of T2, T1, and gray zone imaging with LGE could all conceivably detect changes in the size of the PIR, they lack the specific signature of viability provided by Mn uptake. One could thus potentially envisage a scenario where Mn-based viability imaging, rather than LGE, is used in conjunction with native T1 and T2 mapping to characterize changes in the infarct zone and PIR after cell therapy.

Conclusions

The early trials of stem cell therapy in the heart were based largely on the measurement of EF by echocardiography, while in later trials MRI was used. Interestingly, a meta-analysis of BMCC trials revealed positive results by echo-derived EF and negative results using MRI-derived EF. It would be a mistake, however, to assume that the use of MRI, including LGE, provides us with all the tools we need. The complexity of the myocardium and of the regenerative process will require advanced imaging techniques to be developed for optimal results. As shown in the excellent article by Dash and colleagues, the imaging community is rising to meet this challenge.
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