



Contribution of estradiol levels and hormonal contraceptives to sex differences within the fear network during fear conditioning and extinction

Citation

Hwang, Moon Jung, Rachel G. Zsido, Huijin Song, Edward F. Pace-Schott, Karen Klahr Miller, Kelimer Lebron-Milad, Marie-France Marin, and Mohammed R. Milad. 2015. "Contribution of estradiol levels and hormonal contraceptives to sex differences within the fear network during fear conditioning and extinction." BMC Psychiatry 15 (1): 295. doi:10.1186/s12888-015-0673-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12888-015-0673-9.

Published Version

doi:10.1186/s12888-015-0673-9

Permanent link

http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:23845172

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. <u>Submit a story</u>.

Accessibility

RESEARCH ARTICLE







Contribution of estradiol levels and hormonal contraceptives to sex differences within the fear network during fear conditioning and extinction

Moon Jung Hwang¹, Rachel G. Zsido¹, Huijin Song¹, Edward F. Pace-Schott¹, Karen Klahr Miller², Kelimer Lebron-Milad¹, Marie-France Marin¹ and Mohammed R. Milad^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Findings about sex differences in the field of fear conditioning and fear extinction have been mixed. At the psychophysiological level, sex differences emerge only when taking estradiol levels of women into consideration. This suggests that this hormone may also influence sex differences with regards to activations of brain regions involved in fear conditioning and its extinction. Importantly, the neurobiological correlates associated with the use of hormonal oral contraceptives in women have not been fully contrasted against men and against naturally cycling women with different levels of estradiol. In this study, we begin to fill these scientific gaps.

Methods: We recruited 37 healthy men and 48 healthy women. Of these women, 16 were using oral contraceptives (OC) and 32 were naturally cycling. For these naturally cycling women, a median split was performed on their serum estradiol levels to create a high estradiol (HE) group (n = 16) and a low estradiol (LE) group (n = 16). All participants underwent a 2-day fear conditioning and extinction paradigm in a 3 T MR scanner. Using the 4 groups (men, HE women, LE women, and OC users) and controlling for age and coil type, one-way ANCOVAs were performed to look at significant activations within the nodes of the fear circuit. Using post-hoc analyses, beta-weights were extracted in brain regions showing significant effects in order to unveil the differences based on hormonal status (men, HE, LE, OC).

Results: Significant main effect of hormonal status group was found across the different phases of the experiment and in different sub-regions of the insular and cingulate cortices, amygdala, hippocampus, and hypothalamus. During conditioning, extinction and recall, most of the observed differences suggested higher activations among HE women relative to men. During the unconditioned response, however, a different pattern was observed with men showing significantly higher brain activations.

Conclusions: Our data further support the important contribution of estradiol levels in the activation of brain regions underlying fear learning and extinction. The results highlight the need to document gonadal hormonal levels, menstrual cycle phase as well as oral contraceptive use in women in order to avoid overlooking sex differences when investigating the neurobiology of emotional regulation.

Keywords: Gonadal hormones, Estrogens, Estradiol, Sex differences, Fear conditioning, Extinction memory, Men, Women, Conditioned response, Unconditioned response, fMRI

* Correspondence: milad@nmr.mgh.harvard.edu

¹Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital & Harvard Medical School, CNY 149 13th Street Room 2508, Charlestown, Boston, MA

02129. USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2015 Hwang et al. **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Background

The neural correlates of the acquisition and extinction of conditioned fear are relatively well described in humans. The amygdala, hippocampus, insular cortex, and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) are regions known to show significant activations in response to the presentation of conditioned fear cues [1-6]. The hypothalamus, amygdala, hippocampus, orbitofrontal cortex, and medial prefrontal cortex are also known to show significant activations during unconditioned fear cues [7]. Subsets of these brain areas along with the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) show robust activations during extinction learning and extinction memory recall [5, 8, 9]. Resting metabolism and functional coupling within this fear extinction network are associated with the expression of conditioned responses in healthy individuals [10, 11].

Importantly, the pathophysiology of numerous anxiety and fear-based disorders has been associated with dysfunctional activations of this network in the context of fear extinction [12-15]. It is also well established that the prevalence of anxiety and fear-based disorders is higher in women [16–19] and that the brain regions noted above are sexually dimorphic [20-22]. Reports related to sex differences during fear learning and extinction are often inconsistent, highlighting the need to examine biological factors that may contribute to this inconsistency [22-27]. These studies call for a better understanding of how sex differences may emerge during fear conditioning and extinction, as well as how sex hormones may mediate some of these differences [23-30]. Estrogens are a class of gonadal hormones that include estrone, estriol and estradiol. Estradiol is the predominant and most potent circulating estrogen during the reproductive years in non-pregnant women.

A recent preliminary study using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) reported sex differences in the activation of the amygdala, dACC, and vmPFC during fear conditioning, extinction, and recall [23]. Despite the lack of sex differences in skin conductance responses during fear conditioning and extinction memory recall, women exhibited significantly greater activation within the amygdala and dACC during fear conditioning while men showed significantly greater activation of the vmPFC during extinction recall [23]. When taking into account the hormonal milieu, it has been shown that elevated estradiol levels are associated with increased vmPFC, amygdala, and hippocampal activation during extinction recall in women [29]. In addition, exogenous administration of estradiol to women [28, 30] and to female rats [27, 28, 31] has been shown to increase the consolidation of extinction memory. What remains to be tested is how variance in estradiol levels in women could contribute to the presence, or absence, of sex differences during fear regulation.

The studies mentioned above examined the role of estradiol in fear extinction in naturally cycling subjects. Relatively few studies have examined the effects of hormonal contraceptive use on fear extinction. Combined oral contraceptives contain ethinyl estradiol and progestin, which inhibit ovulation by decreasing ovarian production of estrogens and progesterone. This leads to a sustained reduction in the level of circulating estrogens comparable to levels found in naturally cycling women during the early follicular (i.e. low gonadal hormones) phase of the menstrual cycle [32]. As mentioned above, oral contraceptives contain ethinyl estradiol, a synthetic estrogen that binds to estrogen receptors at levels high enough to prevent ovulation through negative feedback at the hypothalamus and pituitary gland [32]. It is therefore unclear if oral contraceptive use exacerbates or diminishes sex differences in the reactivity of the fear extinction network.

In the present study, we conducted a comprehensive analysis of fMRI data from a cohort of women who underwent extinction learning either during high or low estradiol states or while using oral contraceptives. We also included a cohort of men. All subjects underwent a validated 2-day fear conditioning and extinction paradigm [23, 28, 29]. Some of the psychophysiological and fMRI data pertaining to fear extinction have been previously published [28-30]. In the present study, we focused our analysis on brain activation to specifically explore the presence or absence of sex/hormonal status differences in response to unconditioned and conditioned stimuli during fear and extinction learning and extinction recall. We primarily studied the activation of the insular cortex, cingulate cortex, amygdala, hippocampus, vmPFC, and hypothalamus. We analyzed the brain activation to the conditioned stimuli during the fear acquisition, extinction learning, and extinction recall phases as well as the unconditioned responses during the conditioning phase. Moreover, comparisons of the psychophysiological data across the different groups of women and men have been previously reported [23, 28, 30] and as such will not be included in the present study.

Methods

Participants

Data from a total of 85 healthy right-handed individuals were selected from a large pool of subjects that had participated in studies within our lab over the past few years. All participants were without neurologic, endocrine, or other medical conditions and were evaluated and screened for Axis I mental disorders via the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV [33, 34]. No participants were using psychoactive or other potentially confounding drugs or medications. There were a total of 37 men and 48 women. The selection of all women out of our large database was based on our knowledge of their levels of estradiol and/or our documented data on their oral contraceptive use. Thus, women from whom we did not have these data were excluded from the analysis. The composition of the women included 32 naturally cycling women and 16 women using monophasic oral contraceptives (OC) for at least 3 months. The naturally cycling group was divided into 2 groups based on serum estradiol levels measured at the day of fear extinction learning just prior to the fMRI scan. A median split of 108 pg/mL was used to separate the 32 naturally cycling women into 16 high estradiol women (HE) and 16 low estradiol (LE) women. The average estradiol level of naturally cycling women was 138 pg/mL (SD = 116.5) and the average estradiol level per group was 222.6 pg/ mL (SD = 109.0) and 53.7 pg/mL (SD = 30.9), respectively. Mean age and years of education as a function of group are summarized in Table 1. One-way MANOVAs performed on age and years of education with Group (men, HE, LE, OC) as the between-subject factor confirmed a significant effect of group for age, F(3,80) = 7.52, p < 0.001. Age was therefore added as a covariate for all analyses. All procedures were approved by Partners Healthcare Institutional Review Board and written informed consent was obtained from all participants in accordance with requirements of the Partners Healthcare Human Research Committee. As mentioned above, portions of these data from the cohorts included in our analyses were used for prior publications by our group [28, 30].

Fear conditioning, extinction, and recall procedures

All participants underwent our validated 2-day fear conditioning and extinction procedure that has been previously described [23, 28, 29]. Day 1 consisted of the habituation, fear conditioning, and extinction phases. Approximately 24 h later, subjects underwent the

	Table 1	Demographic	information	for the study	population
--	---------	-------------	-------------	---------------	------------

		· ·		× 1	
	Ν		Age (SD)	Education (SD)	Estradiol (SD)
Men		37	29.8 (8.8)	16.4 (2.2)	
Women		48	23.3 (2.4)	15.9 (1.1)	
Women	HE	16	23.0 (2.7)	15.8 (1.0)	222.6 (109.0)
	LE	16	23.4 (2.6)	15.9 (1.2)	53.7 (30.9)
	OC	16	23.6 (1.8)	15.9 (1.2)	
All		85	26.2 (6.8)	16.1 (1.7)	

Sample size (*n*) and means for age, years of education, and estradiol levels as a function of group are indicated. SD = standard deviations. Age showed a significant effect of Group and was therefore added as a covariate in all analyses

extinction recall test. The conditioned stimuli (CSs) were pictures of lamps (i.e. blue, red, and yellow lights) that appeared within 1 of 2 rooms (i.e. an office or library) that served as the fear conditioning and extinction learning contexts. The unconditioned stimulus (US) was a mild electric shock delivered to the second and third finger of the subjects' right hands. The subjects selected their own level of shock that they found to be "highly annoying but not painful." At the initiation of the experiment, subjects underwent 6 trials of habituation (CS alone) immediately followed by a total of 32 trials during the conditioning phase. In the fear-conditioning phase, 2 of the CSs were each presented 8 times with 62.5 % partial reinforcement (CS + s, five shocks for each), while the third CS was never followed by a shock (CS-). The CS- was presented 16 times intermingled with the 2 CS + s. In each trial, the context images were presented for a total of 9 s: 3 s with the lights off followed by 6 s with the light on. The mean inter-trial interval was 15 s, with a range of 12 s to 18 s. The shock delivery occurred immediately at the offset of the CS+ and lasted for 0.5 s. The conditioning phase was immediately followed by extinction learning during which one of the CS+s was presented without the US, in a different context than the one used during conditioning (i.e. the extinction context). During this phase, the CS+ that was extinguished (CSE) was presented 16 times intermingled with 16 presentations of the CS-. The following day, extinction memory recall was tested by presenting all 3 CSs (CSE, CS-, and the unextinguished CS+ (CSU)) without any US in the extinction-learning context.

Image acquisition

A 3.0 Tesla Siemens MAGNETOM Trio, whole body MRI system imaging device (Siemens Medical Systems, Iselin, New Jersey) was used to acquire whole brain images with conventional 12-channel or 32-channel head coils. Subjects were instructed to lie as still as possible and head movement was restricted with foam cushions. After an automated scout image was obtained, a highresolution T1-weighted anatomic image was acquired using three-dimensional magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition multi gradient echo (MEMPRAGE) for structural reference to facilitate spatial normalization. fMRI blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) images were acquired with an interleaved T2*-weighted EPI sequence $(TR = 3000 \text{ ms}, TE = 30 \text{ ms}, Flip angle = 90^\circ)$, oblique axial along with the anterior-posterior commissure line to cover the whole brain using the 12-channel coil. For the 32-channel coil, images were acquired with the following parameters (TR = 2560 ms, TE = 30 ms, Flip angle = 90°) collected to cover the whole brain. Here is the distribution of subjects as a function of coil type: 26 men with 12-channel coil and 11 men with 32-channel

coil; 16 LE women with 12-channel coil; 16 HE women with 12-channel coil; 9 OC users with 12-channel coil and 7 OC users with 32-channel coil. Given the unequal distribution of subjects as a function of head coil, this factor was used as a covariate in the fMRI between-group analyses.

Functional MRI data analysis

Image processing and statistical analyses were performed using Matlab v2012b (The Mathworks Inc, Natick, Massachusetts, USA) and Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM8; Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk) for all MRI data. Structural images were segmented and spatially normalized to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) T1 template. Functional images were corrected for slice timing, realigned, co-registered with the structural image, normalized into MNI space using parameters obtained from the structural normalization process, and finally smoothed with an 8 mm full width half-maximum Gaussian kernel to increase the signal-to-noise ratio and account for anatomical variations between subjects. High-pass temporal filtering with a cutoff of 128 s was applied to remove low frequency signal drift. Serial correlations in the fMRI time series due to aliased biorhythms were accounted for using an autoregressive AR(1) model. Artifact detection toolbox (ART, http://gablab.mit.edu) was applied to detect a spike and spiking motion in the functional temporal data. The motion artifact data detected by ART were used in the first-level analysis as regressors with movement parameters (x, y, z, roll, pitch, and yaw) from the realignment process. Data from subjects that exhibited movement greater than 3 mm or 3° were excluded from all subsequent analyses. For the remainder of the subjects, motion regressors were generated using the ART-tool with condition of movement 1 mm and rotation 0.087 radian in SPM and applied to all first-level analyses. These criteria resulted in the exclusion of 13 subjects (4 men, 1 HE, 4 LE, and 4 OC) for conditioning, 17 subjects (6 men, 2 HE, 5 LE, and 4 OC) for extinction, and 16 subjects (9 men, 2 HE, 3 LE, and 2 OC) for the recall phase of the fMRI analysis.

First-level model

After preprocessing, each subject's functional time series was modeled for each experimental phase using a general linear model specifying the condition onsets. For the conditioning phase, these conditions included all CS+ trials, CS- trials, shocks (i.e., the 0.5 s shock delivered at the offset of 10 of the 16 CS+ trials), and CS- offsets (the offset of the 16 CS- trials, when the shock was never expected and was never delivered). Extinction phase onsets were modeled for the late CSE and CS-, i.e., the last 8 trials of the 16 CSE trials and the last 8 trials of the 16 CS- trials. Extinction recall phase onsets were modeled for the first four trials of CSE and CSU. Movement parameters from the realignment step and ART regressor (described above) were included in the model to remove residual motion-related noise. Activated voxels in each experimental phase were identified using a statistical model containing event-related design functions representing each of the experimental conditions with the SPM canonical hemodynamic response function.

Based on prior literature, we focused our attention on 4 different contrasts of interest: 1) the unconditioned response during the fear conditioning phase (UCR: Shock vs. CS- offset) in order to examine activations associated with the sensation of the shock; 2) the conditioned response during the fear conditioning phase (Conditioning: CS+ vs. CS-) in order to examine fear conditioning-induced activations; 3) the late extinction phase (last 8 trials of CSE vs. last 8 trials of CS-) in order to examine fear extinction learning–induced activation towards the end of extinction learning; and 4) the early extinction recall phase (first 4 trials of CSE vs. first 4 trials of CSU) in order to examine extinction memory-induced brain activation during the early phase of recall.

Second-level model and ROI selection

The first-level contrasts listed above were obtained for each subject, and were modeled at the secondlevel using a general linear model and random effect analysis. Our main objective was to examine how hormonal status affects the activation of the fear network. To this end, we first conducted a maineffect analysis with a one-way ANCOVA across all groups (men, HE, LE and OC). Because age and coil type differ between groups, they were used as covariates to control any potential confounding effects on activations in the fear extinction network. Based on prior literature, we were specifically interested in the insular cortex, cingulate cortex (both middle [MCC] and anterior [ACC]), amygdala, hippocampus, and hypothalamus. A p < 0.05 threshold with a minimum of 20 contiguous voxels criterion was used to detect any significant results within these regions. Clusters detected within these regions that survived small volume family-wise error (FWE) correction (p < 0.05) were considered significant. For those clusters that survived the correction, we extracted beta weights using REX (region of interest extraction) toolbox (http://web.mit.edu/swg/software.htm) (representing BOLD effect) for each region of interest (ROI) found to exceed the threshold noted above. Bonferroni post-hoc t-tests were conducted on the extracted beta values to assess significant group differences

between men, HE, LE and OC women. SPSS (v21) software was used for post-hoc group comparisons of the extracted beta values.

Correlations between estradiol levels and brain activations

For the sake of completeness, we performed a regression analysis for each of the above-mentioned contrast. Importantly, serum estradiol levels were only documented in naturally-cycling women and we therefore combined those two subgroups for that specific analysis and used serum estradiol levels as a regressor. Age was added as a covariate. Note that coil type was not used as a covariate here given that all naturally cycling women were scanned with 12-channel coil. An initial threshold of 20 contiguous voxels and p < 0.05 was used to detect positive or negative correlations in the brain regions involved in fear acquisition and extinction. Clusters detected with this initial threshold that survived small volume FWE correction (p < 0.05) were considered significant.

Results

Overall, the insular and cingulate cortices showed significant differences in terms of activation as a function of Group across the different phases of the experiment (conditioning, extinction, and extinction recall). In addition, the amygdala and the hypothalamus showed significant differences among the groups only during the conditioned response of the fear-conditioning phase. During the unconditioned response of the fearconditioning phase, significant effects were revealed in the hippocampus and the insular cortex. Details of these results are described below. Note that p values reported are FWE corrected. The cluster size reported is the number of voxels that survived the FWE correction, thus explaining why some cluster sizes are smaller than 20.

Sex differences in the insular cortex

Across the 3 phases of the study (conditioning, extinction, and extinction recall), the one-way ANCOVAs revealed a significant main effect of Group in different sub-regions of the insular cortex (IC) (Fig. 1). During



fear conditioning, a main effect of Group was noted in the posterior IC (left: -40, -40, 16; cluster size = 23; F(3,66) = 6.03, *FWE p* = 0.029 (data not shown), right: 44, -36, 26; cluster size = 130; F(3,66) = 7.29, FWE p = 0.010, Fig. 1a). Post-hoc comparisons on the extracted beta values revealed that the HE group had significantly higher activation in the insular cortex relative to men (left: p = 0.002, right: p < 0.001) and OC users (left: p= 0.267, right: p = 0.020) (Fig. 1a lower panel). During late extinction, a main effect of Group was revealed in the anterior region of the left insular cortex (-24,24, 2; cluster size = 70; F(3,62) = 7.88, *FWE* p = 0.004, Fig. 1b upper panel). Post-hoc analyses performed on the extracted beta values revealed that HE women had significantly greater activation in that region when compared to men (p = 0.007) and LE women (p = 0.024) (Fig. 1b lower panel). During the early extinction recall phase, a main effect of Group was revealed in the insular cortex bilaterally (left: -42, 4,10; cluster size = 17; F(3,63) = 4.94, *FWE* p = 0.023, right: 50, -2, 8; cluster size = 6; F(3,63) = 3.066, *FWE* p = 0.013 (data for right not shown). Post-hoc analyses showed that HE women had significantly greater activation in that region when compared to men (left: p = 0 < 0.001, right: p = 0.001, Fig. 1c lower panel).

Sex differences in the cingulate cortex

Significant group effects were observed in various sub-regions of the cingulate cortex (CC) as a function of the experimental phase (Fig. 2). During fear conditioning, a main effect of Group was revealed in the MCC (-14, -22, 48; cluster size = 200; F(3,66) = 9.45, *FWE* p = 0.002). Post-hoc analyses showed that the HE group had higher MCC activation during conditioning when compared to men (p < 0.001) and to OC users (p < 0.001) (Fig. 2a lower panel). During late extinction, the rostral portion of the cingulate cortex (rACC) showed a significant effect of Group (-14, 42, 10; cluster size = 6; F(3,62) = 3.74, *FWE* p = 0.038). Post-hoc analyses revealed that HE women had significantly higher activation in the rACC relative to men (p < 0.001, Fig. 2b lower panel). During early recall, there was a trend toward significance in the MCC (14, -14, 46; cluster size = 14; F(3,63) = 3.43, *FWE* p = 0.095), which was driven by HE women



Fig. 2 Cingulate cortex activations as a function of hormonal status grouping and phases of the fear conditioning and extinction paradigm. Significant functional activations of the cingulate cortex are displayed as a function of the different phases: fear conditioning (**a**, MCC), late extinction learning (**b**, rACC), and early extinction recall (**c**, MCC). The Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) coordinates are listed below each functional activation, along with the corresponding statistics (note that the reported p values are FWE corrected). The lower panel indicates the BOLD signal extracted from the corresponding cluster as a function of the different groups during conditioning (**a**, MCC), late extinction (**b**, rACC), and early recall (**c**, MCC). FWE: family-wise error, M: men, HE: high estradiol women, LE: low estradiol women, OC: oral contraceptive users, MCC: middle cingulate cortex, rACC: rostral anterior cingulate cortex. Significant differences are noted (* p < 0.05 ** p < 0.01) having significantly higher activation in that region relative to men (p = 0.001, Fig. 2c lower panel).

Sex differences in other regions during fear acquisition

The amygdala and hypothalamus both showed significant group differences only during fear learning (amygdala: 22, 0, -30; cluster size = 50; F(3,66) = 6.47, *FWE* p = 0.009, Fig. 3a; hypothalamus: -10, -6, -10; cluster size = 181; F(3,66) = 12.06, *FWE* p < 0.001, Fig. 3b). Post-hoc analyses revealed that HE women exhibited significantly higher activation in the amygdala compared to all other groups (p = 0.018 for men, p = 0.001 for LE, and p = 0.003 for OC, Fig. 3a lower panel). With regards to the hypothalamus, post-hoc analyses revealed significantly higher activation in the HE group compared to both men (p < 0.001) and to OC users (p = 0.02) (Fig. 3b lower panel).

Sex differences in response to shock delivery

During the Shock vs. CS- offset contrast of the fearconditioning phase, the hippocampus (left: -24, -14, -26; cluster size = 1; F(3,66) = 2.81, *FWE* p = 0.045, Fig. 4a) and the posterior insular cortex (-42, -28, 12; cluster size = 40; F(3,66) = 5.33, *FWE* p = 0.021, Fig. 4b) both yielded a main effect of Group. The post-hoc analyses indicated that men had significantly higher activation in the hippocampus relative to all other groups during the unconditioned response (p = 0.015 for HE, p = 0.03 for LE, and p =0.007 for OC, Fig. 4a lower panel). Similarly, men also exhibited significantly higher activation in the posterior insular cortex relative to OC users during that same phase (p = 0.017, Fig. 4b lower panel).

Brain activations associated with estradiol levels (Table 2)

During fear conditioning, a positive correlation was found between estradiol levels and the following brain regions: posterior cingulate cortex (12, -34, 46; cluster size = 70, t(24) = 3.10, *FWE* p = 0.033), posterior IC (44, -38, 24; cluster size = 116, t(24) = 3.22, *FWE* p = 0.034), amygdala (16, 2, -12; cluster size = 140, t(24) = 3.32, *FWE* p = 0.037), hypothalamus (-12, 0, -8; cluster size = 33, t(24) = 2.71, *FWE* p = 0.039) and anterior IC (36, 28, 6; cluster size = 138, t(24) = 3.09, *FWE* p = 0.051). A negative correlation was found during conditioning in the following regions: orbitofrontal cortex (-16, 34, -24; cluster size = 21, t(24) = 3.21, *FWE* p = 0.038) and subgenual ACC (-6, 18, -18; cluster size = 48, t(24) = 3.00, *FWE* p = 0.039).

For the unconditioned responses, a positive correlation was found between estradiol levels and the hypothalamus (8, 4, -32; cluster size = 357, t(24) = 4.11, *FWE* p = 0.015) as well as the middle IC (-40, 2, 8; cluster size = 311, t(24) = 3.53, *FWE* p = 0.040). There was, however, a negative correlation detected in the orbitofrontal cortex (-14, 34, -18; cluster size = 44, t(24) = 3.40, *FWE* p = 0.011).

During late extinction, a positive correlation was found between estradiol levels and the subgenual ACC (-14, 26, -14; cluster size = 30, t(22) = 2.88, *FWE* p = 0.028) whereas a negative correlation was found in the IC (-36, 4, 16; cluster size = 62, t(22) = 3.27, *FWE* p = 0.024).

During early extinction recall, positive correlations were found in the following brain regions: parahippocampus (-30, 2, -20; cluster size = 183, t(24) = 5.35, *FWE* p = 0.001), subgenual ACC (10, 12, -18; cluster size = 64, t(24) = 4.39, FWE p = 0.002), anterior IC (right: 32, 18, 12; cluster size = 82, t(24) = 4.35, FWE p = 0.003 and left: -62, 10, 0; cluster size = 1595, t(24) = 5.34, FWE p = 0.005), dorsal ACC (10, 24, 40; cluster size = 91, t(24) = 4.13, *FWE* p = 0.005), vmPFC (-14, 40, -26; cluster size = 572, t(24) = 4.37, FWE p =0.014), MCC (-4, 0, 36; cluster size = 76, t(24) = 3.33, FWE p = 0.024), and orbitofrontal cortex (-16, 52, -18; cluster size = 572, t(24) = 3.94, FWE p = 0.034). No significant negative correlations were yielded between serum estradiol levels and brain activations during early extinction recall.

Discussion

In this study, we evaluated sex differences as a function of hormonal status in the neural correlates of conditioned and unconditioned fear responses, extinction learning, and extinction recall. In fact, measuring estradiol levels in women enabled us to examine how variance in levels of this gonadal hormone may contribute to observed sex differences during fear conditioning and extinction. We observed significant effects of hormonal status across the different phases of the experiment and in different sub-regions of the insular and cingulate cortices, amygdala, hippocampus, and hypothalamus. Our data showed that the high estradiol (HE) group was the major contributor to these significant effects, with women from that group exhibiting higher activation in these brain regions during fear conditioning, late extinction learning, and early extinction recall. For most of the effects, HE women had significantly higher activation than men. In some instances, they also significantly differed from LE and OC women. During the shock delivery of the fear-conditioning phase, the pattern was somewhat different; men exhibited significantly higher activations (for a summary of the results, see Table 3). To complete these results, we have also performed regression analyses that allowed to pinpoint activations of brain regions that correlated with estradiol levels in naturally cycling women across the different phases. Taken together, these results confirm that the brain structures known to be key for fear learning and extinction are not



only sexually dimorphic, but are also modulated by estradiol levels.

The elevated responses in different sub-regions of the insular and cingulate cortices in HE women are consistent with previous reports. For example, we have previously reported elevated vmPFC as well as dACC activations in HE women compared to LE women [24]. Elevated activation in the arousal circuitry, which includes the hypothalamus, the amygdala, and the cingulate cortex, has also been documented in HE women in response to emotional stimuli [7]. The elevated activation reported in this study in HE women may be related to enhanced memory consolidation associated with the different phases of the experiment. High levels of estradiol have been shown to enhance memory consolidation in a number of different paradigms as well as enhance long-term potentiation in hippocampal neurons during contextual fear learning [17, 24].

We have previously shown that, at the psychophysiological level, the magnitude of extinction memory was comparable between men and HE women, and that both of these groups showed superior extinction retention relative to LE women [29]. We have also shown that extinction memory was significantly reduced in women using oral contraceptives compared to HE women [30]. Based on these data, we had anticipated that brain activations between men and the HE group would be the most comparable whereas the LE and OC groups would differ the most from men. The findings, however, were not consistent with our predictions nor with our previously reported psychophysiological data from the same women analyzed in the



current study. One possible explanation for this finding is that men and women achieve the same behavioral outcome but by using different neurobiological networks. These important data highlight the need of understanding how men and women consolidate fear-related memories differently so that treatment for anxiety and mood disorders may be specifically tailored to the different sexes, while taking into account the hormonal status.

LE and OC women exhibit similar brain activations, yet their estradiol levels differ: LE women have temporarily low levels of natural estradiol whereas OC women have both constantly low levels of natural estradiol and constantly elevated levels of synthetic estradiol. These data suggest that the cyclicity of estradiol may be key in enhancing the activation of brain regions involved in both fear learning and extinction. An alternative interpretation is that endogenous estradiol may have a different or more efficacious influence on this brain network activation when compared to synthetic estradiol. A growing amount of research demonstrates that OC use may lead to structural modifications in brain regions implicated in highorder cognitive function [35], including regional gray matter volumes in regions associated with the fear extinction network such as the prefrontal cortex, anterior cingulate gyrus, cerebellum, and the hippocampus [36, 37]. Several studies have noted functional differences in OC users during the resting state [38] as well as during face and reward processing [39, 40]. A recent study reported that OC users performed behaviorally like naturally cycling women during two numerical tasks, but actually displayed male-like brain-activation patterns [41]. This apparent discrepancy is similar to our findings showing a discrepancy between the OC users' behavior and their brain activation patterns, in which there may be a somewhat 'masculinizing' effect of OC use on

Experimental phase	Correlation	Brain regions	х	У	Z	Cluster size	t value	p value FWE
Fear conditioning	Positive	Posterior cingulate cortex	12	-34	46	70	3.10	0.033
-		Posterior insular cortex	44	-38	24	116	3.22	0.034
		Amygdala	16	2	12	140	3.32	0.037
		Hypothalamus	-12	0	-8	33	2.71	0.039
		Anterior insular cortex	36	28	6	138	3.09	0.051
	Negative	Orbitofrontal cortex	-16	34	-24	21	3.21	0.038
		Subgenual anterior cingulate cortex	-6	18	-18	48	3.00	0.039
Shock delivery (unconditioned responses)	s) Positive	Hypothalamus	8	4	-32	357	4.11	0.015
		Middle insular cortex	-40	2	8	311	3.53	0.040
	Negative	Orbitofrontal cortex	-14	34	-18	44	3.40	0.011
Late extinction learning	Positive	Subgenual anterior cingulate cortex	-14	26	-14	30	2.88	0.028
	Negative	Middle insular cortex	-36	4	16	62	3.27	0.024
Early extinction recall	Positive	Parahippocampus	-30	2	-20	183	5.35	0.001
		Subgenual anterior cingulate cortex	10	12	-18	64	4.39	0.002
		Anterior insular cortex	32	18	12	82	4.35	0.003
		Anterior insular cortex	-62	10	0	1595	5.34	0.005
		Dorsal anterior cingulate cortex	10	24	40	91	4.13	0.005
		Ventromedial prefrontal cortex	-14	40	-26	572	4.37	0.014
		Middle cingulate cortex	-4	0	36	76	3.33	0.024
		Orbitofrontal cortex	-16	52	-18	572	3 94	0.034

Table 2 Brain regions activations that correlate with estradiol levels in naturally cycling women throughout the different experimental phases of the paradigm

For each experimental phase (fear conditioning, unconditioned responses 'shock delivery', late extinction learning and early extinction recall), correlations between brain activations and estradiol levels are listed. 'Positive' and 'negative' refer to the direction of the correlation. For each brain region, Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) coordinates are reported along with cluster size, t value and p value (family-wise error corrected). Note that all these analyses were performed only in naturally cycling women (excluding men and women using oral contraceptives). Only brain regions from the fear conditioning and extinction networks are reported

brain activation. Together, these data suggest that OC use may cause structural reorganization and lead to differences in activation in response to a wide array of tasks; and this may in turn induce changes in behavioral output and brain activation. Beyond such research implications, this area of study is also critical because there appear to be effects of OC use on brain structure, function, and behavioral output. Notably, 80 % of women of reproductive age in the United States use oral contraceptives for birth control, with the average age of first OC use rapidly decreasing into the early years of adolescence, a time especially sensitive to neuroplasticity [42].

We note a few limitations of the present study that should be considered. First, we did not collect other hormonal data, such as cortisol levels. In fact, cortisol has been shown to interact with estrogens and influence sex differences, fear learning, and fear extinction [43]. Second, the effects reported in this manuscript pertain to monophasic OC users. These factors should therefore be considered in future work attempting to replicate and build upon the findings of the present study.

Table 3	Summary	table for	[,] subgroup	comparisons	throughout
the diffe	erent exper	imental j	ohases of t	he paradigm	

	Unconditioned	Conditioning	Extinction	Recall
Insular cortex	M > OC	HE > M	HE > M	HE > M
		HE > OC	HE > LE	
MCC	n.s.	HE > M	n.s.	HE > M
		HE > OC		
rACC	n.s.	n.s.	HE > M	n.s.
Amygdala	n.s.	HE > M	n.s.	n.s.
		HE > LE		
		HE > OC		
Hypothalamus	n.s.	HE > M	n.s.	n.s.
		HE > OC		
Hippocampus	M > OC	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

'Unconditioned' refers to the contrast Shock vs. CS- offset during fear conditioning, 'Conditioning' refers to the CS+ vs. CS- contrast during fear conditioning, 'Extinction' refers to the late CS+ vs. late CS- of the extinction training, and 'Recall' refers to the early CS + E trials vs. early CS + U trials of the extinction recall test. (n.s. = no significant main effect of group)

Despite these limitations, a key contribution of the present study is to highlight the potential to entirely overlook sex differences in the neurobiology of fear extinction based upon the composition of the sample of women tested. Our findings of multiple HE-driven sex differences would have been occluded if our sample population consisted of mainly OC users and/or LE women. This finding may also contribute to discrepancies between studies in this area of research in which some reports note sex differences and others do not. Our paper emphasizes the importance of considering whether or not women are naturally cycling, what their hormone levels are during their participation, and their use of hormonal contraceptives when examining the neurobiology of fear extinction.

Conclusions

The present study demonstrates that estradiol levels may influence the degree of activation in the brain's fearextinction network. It is therefore important to consider gonadal hormonal status, oral contraceptive use, and menstrual cycle phase when investigating sex differences in the context of fear conditioning, fear extinction, and other emotional regulation tasks. As these factors contribute to the endocrine milieu, taking them into account may serve to reduce the variability and seemingly contradictory findings among different studies on sex differences in emotion regulation.

Abbreviations

ACC: Anterior cingulate cortex; BOLD: Blood oxygenation level dependent; CC: Cingulate cortex; CS: Conditioned stimulus; dACC: Dorsal anterior cingulate cortex; fMRI: Functional magnetic resonance imaging; FWE: Family-wise error; HE: High estradiol; IC: Insular cortex; LE: Low estradiol; MCC: Middle cingulate cortex; MNI: Montreal Neurological Institute; OC: Oral contraceptives; rACC: Rostral anterior cingulate cortex; ROI: Region of interest; US: Unconditioned stimulus; vmPFC: Ventromedial prefrontal cortex.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

MJH analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. RGZ contributed to analyzing the data, creating the figures, and writing the final version of the manuscript. HS, EFP, and MFM contributed to data analysis and to writing the final version of the manuscript. KKM and KLM helped with study design, implementation, and coordination. MRM designed the study, collected data, and contributed to the final version of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) 1R01MH097880-001 to M.R.M. The authors would like to thank the members of the MGH Behavioral Neuroscience Program for their helpful comments on the manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital & Harvard Medical School, CNY 149 13th Street Room 2508, Charlestown, Boston, MA 02129, USA. ²Department of Neuroendocrine Unit, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02114, USA.

Received: 14 July 2015 Accepted: 4 November 2015 Published online: 18 November 2015

References

- Milad MR, Quirk GJ, Pitman RK, Orr SP, Fischl B, Rauch SL. A role for the human dorsal anterior cingulate cortex in fear expression. Biol Psychiatry. 2007;62:1191–4.
- Cheng DT, Knight DC, Smith CN, Helmstetter FJ. Human amygdala activity during the expression of fear responses. Behav Neurosci. 2006;120:1187–95.
- Knight DC, Smith CN, Cheng DT, Stein EA, Helmstetter FJ. Amygdala and hippocampal activity during acquisition and extinction of human fear conditioning. Cogn Affect Behav Neurosci. 2004;4:317–25.
- Linnman C, Rougemont-Bucking A, Beucke JC, Zeffiro TA, Milad MR. Unconditioned responses and functional fear networks in human classical conditioning. Behav Brain Res. 2011;221:237–45.
- Milad MR, Wright CI, Orr SP, Pitman RK, Quirk GJ, Rauch SL. Recall of fear extinction in humans activates the ventromedial prefrontal cortex and hippocampus in concert. Biol Psychiatry. 2007;62:446–54.
- Dunsmoor JE, Bandettini PA, Knight DC. Impact of continuous versus intermittent CS-UCS pairing on human brain activation during Pavlovian fear conditioning. Behav Neurosci. 2007;121:635–42.
- Goldstein JM, Jerram M, Abbs B, Whitfield-Gabrieli S, Makris N. Sex differences in stress response circuitry activation dependent on female hormonal cycle. J Neurosci. 2010;30:431–8.
- Delgado MR, Nearing KI, Ledoux JE, Phelps EA. Neural circuitry underlying the regulation of conditioned fear and its relation to extinction. Neuron. 2008;59:829–38.
- Rougemont-Bucking A, Linnman C, Zeffiro TA, Zeidan MA, Lebron-Milad K, Rodriguez-Romaguera J, et al. Altered processing of contextual information during fear extinction in PTSD: an fMRI study. CNS Neurosci Ther. 2011;17: 227–36.
- Linnman C, Zeidan MA, Furtak SC, Pitman RK, Quirk GJ, Milad MR. Resting amygdala and medial prefrontal metabolism predicts functional activation of the fear extinction circuit. Am J Psychiatry. 2012;169:415–23.
- Linnman C, Zeidan MA, Pitman RK, Milad MR. Resting cerebral metabolism correlates with skin conductance and functional brain activation during fear conditioning. Biol Psychol. 2012;89:450–9.
- 12. Jovanovic T, Norrholm SD, Blanding NQ, Davis M, Duncan E, Bradley B, et al. Impaired fear inhibition is a biomarker of PTSD but not depression. Depress Anxiety. 2010;27:244–51.
- Hayes JP, Hayes SM, Mikedis AM. Quantitative meta-analysis of neural activity in posttraumatic stress disorder. Biol Mood Anxiety Disord. 2012;2:9.
- 14. Patel R, Spreng RN, Shin LM, Girard TA. Neurocircuitry models of posttraumatic stress disorder and beyond: a meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging studies. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2012;36:2130–42.
- Linnman C, Zeffiro TA, Pitman RK, Milad MR. An fMRI study of unconditioned responses in post-traumatic stress disorder. Biol Mood Anxiety Disord. 2011;1:8.
- Breslau N, Chilcoat H, Schultz LR. Anxiety disorders and the emergence of sex differences in major depression. J Gend Specif Med. 1998;1:33–9.
- Cover KK, Maeng LY, Lebron-Milad K, Milad MR. Mechanisms of estradiol in fear circuitry: implications for sex differences in psychopathology. Trans Psychiatry. 2014;4:e422.
- Furmark T, Tillfors M, Everz P, Marteinsdottir I, Gefvert O, Fredrikson M. Social phobia in the general population: prevalence and sociodemographic profile. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 1999;34:416–24.
- Inslicht SS, Metzler TJ, Garcia NM, Pineles SL, Milad MR, Orr SP, et al. Sex differences in fear conditioning in posttraumatic stress disorder. J Psychiatr Res. 2013;47:64–71.
- 20. Arnold AP, Gorski RA. Gonadal steroid induction of structural sex differences in the central nervous system. Annu Rev Neurosci. 1984;7:413–42.
- Goldstein JM, Seidman LJ, Horton NJ, Makris N, Kennedy DN, Caviness Jr VS, et al. Normal sexual dimorphism of the adult human brain assessed by in vivo magnetic resonance imaging. Cereb Cortex. 2001;11:490–7.
- Chang YJ, Yang CH, Liang YC, Yeh CM, Huang CC, Hsu KS. Estrogen modulates sexually dimorphic contextual fear extinction in rats through estrogen receptor beta. Hippocampus. 2009;19:1142–50.
- Lebron-Milad K, Abbs B, Milad MR, Linnman C, Rougemount-Bucking A, Zeidan MA, et al. Sex differences in the neurobiology of fear conditioning and extinction: a preliminary fMRI study of shared sex differences with stress-arousal circuitry. Biol Mood Anxiety Disord. 2012;2:7.

- Lebron-Milad K, Milad MR. Sex differences, gonadal hormones and the fear extinction network: implications for anxiety disorders. Biol Mood Anxiety Disord. 2012;2:3.
- Lebron-Milad K, Tsareva A, Ahmed N, Milad MR. Sex differences and estrous cycle in female rats interact with the effects of fluoxetine treatment on fear extinction. Behav Brain Res. 2013;253:217–22.
- Milad MR, Goldstein JM, Orr SP, Wedig MM, Klibanski A, Pitman RK, et al. Fear conditioning and extinction: influence of sex and menstrual cycle in healthy humans. Behav Neurosci. 2006;120:1196–203.
- Milad MR, Igoe SA, Lebron-Milad K, Novales JE. Estrous cycle phase and gonadal hormones influence conditioned fear extinction. Neuroscience. 2009;164:887–95.
- Milad MR, Zeidan MA, Contero A, Pitman RK, Klibanski A, Rauch SL, et al. The influence of gonadal hormones on conditioned fear extinction in healthy humans. Neuroscience. 2010;168:652–8.
- Zeidan MA, Igoe SA, Linnman C, Vitalo A, Levine JB, Klibanski A, et al. Estradiol modulates medial prefrontal cortex and amygdala activity during fear extinction in women and female rats. Biol Psychiatry. 2011;70:920–7.
- Graham BM, Milad MR. Blockade of estrogen by hormonal contraceptives impairs fear extinction in female rats and women. Biol Psychiatry. 2013;73: 371–8.
- Chang CH, Maren S. Strain difference in the effect of infralimbic cortex lesions on fear extinction in rats. Behav Neurosci. 2010;124:391–7.
- Brynhildsen J. Combined hormonal contraceptives: prescribing patterns, compliance, and benefits versus risks. Ther Adv Drug Saf. 2014;5:201–13.
- Farmer RF, Chapman AL. Evaluation of DSM-IV personality disorder criteria as assessed by the structured clinical interview for DSM-IV personality disorders. Compr Psychiatry. 2002;43:285–300.
- American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic criteria from DSM-IV-TR. Washington: American Psychiatric Association; 2000.
- Gogos A, Wu YC, Williams AS, Byrne LK. The effects of ethinylestradiol and progestins ("the pill") on cognitive function in pre-menopausal women. Neurochem Res. 2014;39:2288–300.
- Pletzer B, Kronbichler M, Aichhorn M, Bergmann J, Ladurner G, Kerschbaum HH. Menstrual cycle and hormonal contraceptive use modulate human brain structure. Brain Res. 2010;1348:55–62.
- De Bondt T, Jacquemyn Y, Van Hecke W, Sijbers J, Sunaert S, Parizel PM. Regional gray matter volume differences and sex-hormone correlations as a function of menstrual cycle phase and hormonal contraceptives use. Brain Res. 2013;1530:22–31.
- Petersen N, Kilpatrick LA, Goharzad A, Cahill L. Oral contraceptive pill use and menstrual cycle phase are associated with altered resting state functional connectivity. Neuroimage. 2014;90:24–32.
- Mareckova K, Perrin JS, Nawaz Khan I, Lawrence C, Dickie E, McQuiggan DA, et al. Hormonal contraceptives, menstrual cycle and brain response to faces. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci. 2014;9:191–200.
- Bonenberger M, Groschwitz RC, Kumpfmueller D, Groen G, Plener PL, Abler B. It's all about money: oral contraception alters neural reward processing. Neuroreport. 2013;24:951–5.
- Pletzer B, Kronbichler M, Nuerk HC, Kerschbaum H. Hormonal contraceptives masculinize brain activation patterns in the absence of behavioral changes in two numerical tasks. Brain Res. 2014;1543:128–42.
- Parkes A, Wight D, Henderson M, Stephenson J, Strange V. Contraceptive method at first sexual intercourse and subsequent pregnancy risk: findings from a secondary analysis of 16-year-old girls from the RIPPLE and SHARE studies. J Adolesc Health. 2009;44:55–63.
- Merz CJ, Wolf OT, Schweckendiek J, Klucken T, Vaitl D, Stark R. Stress differentially affects fear conditioning in men and women. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2013;38:2529–41.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit