Sublingual Nitroglycerin Administration in Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography: a Systematic Review

Richard A. P. Takx1,2 · Dominika Suchá2 · Jakob Park1,3 · Tim Leiner2 · Udo Hoffmann1

Received: 4 January 2015 / Revised: 30 March 2015 / Accepted: 9 April 2015 / Published online: 21 May 2015
© The Author(s) 2015. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract

Objective To systematically investigate the literature for the influence of sublingual nitroglycerin administration on coronary artery diameter, the number of evaluable segments, image quality, heart rate and blood pressure, and diagnostic accuracy of coronary computed tomography (CT) angiography.

Methods A systematic search was performed in PubMed, EMBASE and Web of Science. The studies were evaluated for the effect of sublingual nitroglycerin on coronary artery diameter, evaluable segments, objective and subjective image quality, systemic physiological effects and diagnostic accuracy. Due to the heterogeneous reporting of outcome measures, a narrative synthesis was applied.

Results Of the 217 studies identified, nine met the inclusion criteria: seven reported on the effect of nitroglycerin on coronary artery diameter, six on evaluable segments, four on image quality, five on systemic physiological effects and two on diagnostic accuracy. Sublingual nitroglycerin administration resulted in an improved evaluation of more coronary segments, in particular, in smaller coronary branches, better image quality and improved diagnostic accuracy. Side effects were mild and were alleviated without medical intervention.

Conclusion Sublingual nitroglycerin improves the coronary diameter, the number of assessable segments, image quality and diagnostic accuracy of coronary CT angiography without major side effects or systemic physiological changes.

Key Points

- Sublingual nitroglycerin administration results in significant coronary artery dilatation.
- Nitroglycerin increases the number of evaluable coronary branches.
- Image quality is improved the most in smaller coronary branches.
- Nitroglycerin increases the diagnostic accuracy of coronary CT angiography.
- Most side effects are mild and do not require medical intervention.
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Introduction

Coronary computed tomography angiography (CCTA) has become a useful tool for the exclusion of significant coronary artery disease (CAD) [1–3]. CT allows for image acquisition with high spatial and temporal resolution. Nonetheless, it can be challenging to visualize coronary branches with a small diameter [4]. In clinical practice, nitroglycerin is applied for rapid coronary vasodilation, without causing significant changes in heart rate or blood pressure [5]. Nitroglycerin generates nitric oxide, which initiates smooth muscle relaxation independent of endothelial function [6]. Nitroglycerin also reduces the likelihood of coronary artery vasospasm. Nitroglycerin is widely administered to alleviate angina...
pectoris and has a half-life of about 5 minutes [7, 8]. Sublingual spray is the preferred method of administration since it is the most efficacious and is associated with fewer side effects [9, 10]. In acute myocardial infarction, sublingual nitroglycerin rapidly relieves pulmonary congestion and decreases myocardial oxygen consumption, although administration might result in a decline in cardiac output [11]. Headache and dizziness are most frequently associated with the use of nitrates [9]. Headaches following the use of sublingual nitroglycerin are fairly common, but are generally short-lasting and self-limiting. Dizziness is typically the result of nitroglycerin-induced hypotension. More serious side effects can occur in patients with diminished cardiac output/severe hypotension, in patients with increased intracranial pressure or patients using phosphodiesterase inhibitors [9, 12]. Feldman et al. [5] demonstrated in invasive coronary angiography that small doses of nitroglycerin result in significant coronary artery and collateral dilatation, without significant changes in heart rate and blood pressure. The administration of nitrates was recommended for conventional coronary angiography by the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) in 1999, because it allowed for reliable assessment of coronary stenosis degree [13, 14]. Subsequently, sublingual nitroglycerin has been routinely used to dilate coronary arteries in CCTA examinations to optimize visualization of the coronary artery lumen and thus improve stenosis assessment [3, 15, 16].

Multiple studies evaluated the use of sublingual nitroglycerin in CCTA, however the evidence has not been systematically reviewed. This systematic review intended to summarize the current evidence on the effects of sublingual nitroglycerin on coronary diameter, the number of evaluable segments, image quality, heart rate and blood pressure, and diagnostic accuracy.

Materials and methods

Literature search

To identify all potentially relevant studies, a systematic search was performed using predefined criteria. PubMed, EMBASE and Web of Science were searched to December 2014. The databases were searched using the subject headings “sublingual nitroglycerin” or “nitroglycerin” or “nitrate” or “nitric oxide donors” and “computed tomography” or “CT” or “CCTA” and “coronary” or “cardiac”. No search restrictions were applied. A manual reference check of included articles was performed to identify potential studies missed by our search strategy.

Eligibility Criteria

Article eligibility was determined using predefined criteria. Articles were included based on the following criteria: study domain, patients with suspected or known CAD; index test, CT; evaluation of premedication, nitroglycerin; study results, coronary artery diameter, evaluable segments, objective and subjective (e.g., Likert scale) image quality, systemic physiological effects and diagnostic accuracy. When studies stemmed from overlapping populations, the study with the largest population was included. Animal studies and phantom studies were excluded.

Data Extraction and Analysis

Data were extracted by two reviewers. CT hardware, study design, number of patients, use of β-blockers, heart rate, nitroglycerin dose and time between scan were recorded and summarized. Due to the heterogeneous reporting of outcome measures for each study, a formal meta-analysis was not possible. We thus applied a narrative synthesis of the effect of sublingual nitroglycerin on coronary artery diameter, evaluable segments, objective and subjective image quality, systemic physiological effects and diagnostic accuracy.

Results

Literature search and assessment

The initial search identified 217 papers after removal of duplicates (Fig. 1). After title/abstract screening, 27 studies remained for full text assessment. Eighteen papers were excluded because they did not meet the predefined selection criteria, yielding a total of nine studies.

Study characteristics

The study characteristics of the included studies are listed in Table 1. Of these, seven reported on the effect of nitroglycerin on coronary artery diameter, six on evaluable segments, four on image quality, five on systemic physiological effects and two on diagnostic accuracy.

Coronary artery diameter

Seven studies evaluated the effect of sublingual nitroglycerin on coronary artery diameter on CCTA. Two studies used a randomized design [20, 23], one used intra-individual comparison [17, 25], one used a matched design [22] and two used a retrospective review of their database [18, 24]. All studies demonstrated an overall increase in diameter with the use of
nitroglycerin, ranging from 8 to 30 % (Table 2). Klass et al. [22] showed that the relative vessel diameter increase is larger in distal segments compared to proximal segments. Okada et al. [23] and Sato et al. [23] confirmed this observation for peripheral segments. Kang et al. [18] found a relative increase in diameter in two patients who underwent CCTA with and without administration of nitroglycerin.

**Evaluable segments**

Six CCTA studies evaluated the effect of sublingual nitroglycerin on the number of evaluable segments/branches [18–20, 23–25]. All studies showed an improvement in the number of evaluable segments in the nitroglycerin group. Okada et al. [25] observed a non-significant increase in their intra-individual comparison in 52 patients. The effect was particularly profound when only comparing the number of side branches, as was performed by Decramer et al. [20]. Lee et al. [24] found a significant improvement in the visibility of second order branches of the left anterior descending (LAD) artery and the right coronary artery (RCA). Chun et al. [19] showed that the application of nitroglycerin resulted in significantly more evaluable distal segments.

**Image quality**

Two studies found that the administration of sublingual nitroglycerin resulted in significantly better subjective image quality, especially for the posterior descending artery [22, 24]. Decramer et al. [20] did not observe an effect of nitroglycerin on objective measures such as signal-to-noise and contrast-to-noise ratio, nor did they observe a difference in the number of scans with substantial artefacts with the use of sublingual nitroglycerin. Okada et al. [25] did not find a significant difference in subjective image quality. Two studies observed significantly higher intraluminal attenuation in the nitroglycerin group for the more peripheral vessels [22, 25].

**Systemic physiological effects**

Three studies observed no significant changes in blood pressure and/or heart rate [19–21]. Two more extensive studies did observe a significant change in heart rate, though heart rate variability tended to be lower in the group that received nitroglycerin [23, 25]. Okado et al. also observed a notable decrease in systolic (10.6 %) and diastolic (9.1 %) blood pressure. Sato et al. [23] found significant changes in
Table 1  Overview of studies investigating the use of sublingual nitroglycerin in CCTA exams

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>CT hardware</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Use of β-blockers (%)</th>
<th>HR° (bpm)</th>
<th>SNG dose (mg)</th>
<th>Time between SNG and scan (minutes)</th>
<th>Diameter</th>
<th>Evaluable segments</th>
<th>Image quality</th>
<th>Physiological accuracy</th>
<th>Diagnostic accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dewey, 2006 [17]</td>
<td>16 and 64-slice</td>
<td>Intra-individual</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>✓✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kang, 2007 [18]</td>
<td>16-slice</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chun, 2008 [19]</td>
<td>16-slice</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.6^</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decramer, 2008 [20]</td>
<td>64-slice</td>
<td>Randomized</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>^</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang, 2008 [21]</td>
<td>64-slice</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klass, 2009 [22]</td>
<td>40-slice</td>
<td>Retrospective matched</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>✲</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sato, 2009 [23]</td>
<td>16-slice</td>
<td>Randomized</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, 2011 [24]</td>
<td>64-slice Retrospective</td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okada, 2014 [25]</td>
<td>64-slice DSCT</td>
<td>Intra-individual</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HR heart rate; bpm beats per minute; SNG sublingual nitroglycerin; ^ Korean language; ° in tablet form; ° reported for overall study population, if only reported by group, then the group receiving nitroglycerin is reported; ^ β-blockers administered if HR>65 bpm; ^^ β-blockers administered if HR>70 bpm

Table 2  Overview of diameter measurements without nitroglycerin and after nitroglycerin administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year</th>
<th>CT hardware</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Vessel assessment</th>
<th>Overall increase (%)</th>
<th>LM No SNG</th>
<th>LM After SNG</th>
<th>LAD No SNG</th>
<th>LAD After SNG</th>
<th>LCX No SNG</th>
<th>LCX After SNG</th>
<th>RCA No SNG</th>
<th>RCA After SNG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dewey, 2006 [17]</td>
<td>16 and 64-slice</td>
<td>Intra-individual</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.3±1.1</td>
<td>4.8±0.9</td>
<td>3.0±0.6</td>
<td>3.5±0.5</td>
<td>2.7±0.6</td>
<td>3.2±0.7</td>
<td>2.9±0.9</td>
<td>3.5±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kang, 2007 [18]</td>
<td>16-slice</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decramer, 2008 [20]</td>
<td>64-slice</td>
<td>Randomized</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Klass, 2009 [22]^</td>
<td>40-slice</td>
<td>Retrospective matched</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.9±0.4</td>
<td>4.2±0.4</td>
<td>3.2±0.4</td>
<td>3.4±0.3</td>
<td>3.1±0.4</td>
<td>3.3±0.3</td>
<td>3.0±0.5</td>
<td>3.4±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sato, 2009 [23]</td>
<td>16-slice</td>
<td>Randomized</td>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee, 2011 [24]</td>
<td>64-slice Retrospective</td>
<td></td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3.1±0.6</td>
<td>3.9±0.6</td>
<td>2.8±0.5</td>
<td>3.6±0.7</td>
<td>2.7±0.5</td>
<td>3.4±0.6</td>
<td>3.0±0.5</td>
<td>4.1±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okada, 2014 [25]</td>
<td>64-slice DSCT</td>
<td>Intra-individual</td>
<td>Full length</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SNG sublingual nitroglycerin, LM left main, LAD left anterior descending, LCX left circumflex, RCA right coronary artery; ^ based on 2 patients; ° reported the average percentage of diameters decrease at 4 (proximal) and 8 (distal) cm compared to 1 cm from origin. Vessel measurements are in mm (unless otherwise specified)
hemodynamics after 4 minutes. Only a few studies reported on side effects; all were mild (e.g., headache or dizziness) and were alleviated without medical intervention [19, 20, 23, 24].

**Diagnostic accuracy**

The effect of sublingual nitroglycerin on diagnostic performance was evaluated in two studies using 16-detector row CT [18, 19]. Sensitivity and specificity were evaluated for a ≥50 % stenosis degree. Chun et al. [19] reported a sensitivity of 97.0 % for patient-based evaluation with a specificity of 84.6 % for the nitroglycerin group compared to 90.0 % and 70.0 %, respectively, for the control group. Diagnostic accuracies for proximal, mid, and distal segments were all higher in the patients who received sublingual nitroglycerin. Overall sensitivity for segment-based evaluation was 83.0 % with a specificity of 97.8 % for the nitroglycerin group compared to 63.6 % and 94.8 %, respectively, for the control group. Kang et al. [18] determined the diagnostic accuracy in a subset of 42 patients who underwent invasive coronary angiography. On a segment basis, they found a comparable diagnostic accuracy of 79.5 % for the nitroglycerin group and 84.1 % for the control group. The diagnostic accuracy for finding any atherosclerosis was higher in the nitroglycerin group (85.8 % vs. 80.1 %).

**Discussion**

This systematic review provides an overview of the current evidence on the effect of sublingual nitroglycerin. We found that one to two pumps of sublingual nitroglycerin (0.4-0.8 mg) increased coronary diameter, the number of assessable coronary artery segments, image quality and diagnostic accuracy of CCTA. The administration of nitroglycerin seems to be especially beneficial in smaller coronary branches. We observed among the included studies an increase in the number of evaluable segments as well as an increase in subjective and objective image quality in smaller coronary branches. The spatial resolution of CT may not be sufficiently high to visualize the smallest coronary branches; nevertheless nitroglycerin administration is able to increase the number of evaluable segments.

Sato et al. [23] recorded heart rate and blood pressure every minute during image acquisition and observed significant changes in systemic hemodynamics after 4 minutes. However, only one scan acquisition was acquired and, thus, evaluation of maximal coronary dilatation was not feasible. Pepe et al. [26] observed a maximal vasodilator response between 4 and 5 minutes after nitroglycerin administration using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for visualization of the coronary artery lumen. Also, they noted among their subjects substantial heterogeneity in the time point of maximal coronary dilatation. On the basis of these studies, the optimal starting time for image acquisition is probably between 3 and 4 minutes after sublingual administration of nitroglycerin.

A point of concern is that the administration of nitroglycerin could result in overestimation of the stenosis degree [27]. Conti et al. [28] showed in 47 patients (119 coronary artery stenoses) undergoing conventional angiography that the use of sublingual nitroglycerin results in a significant increase in percentual stenosis (8 % mean) and that the more narrowed stenoses were less likely to dilate. This finding could be explained by a decrease in vascular reactivity to nitroglycerin in diseased vessels, especially in atherosclerotic segments with circumferential disease [29]. Another point of concern with the administration of nitroglycerin is that it can result in a decrease in blood pressure or reflex tachycardia [9, 30]. Reflex tachycardia, although rare, could result in more motion artefacts on CCTA [30]. Only Decramer et al. [20] evaluated the effect of nitroglycerin on the presence of substantial artefacts and did not observe significant differences.

The applicability of nitroglycerin in certain subgroups is of interest. Females have smaller coronary arteries compared to males after controlling for differences in body size [31]. Moreover, oestrogen affects vascular tone through production of nitric oxide by the endothelium [32, 33]. However, none of the included studies investigated the effect of nitroglycerin in women compared to men. Another potentially challenging population are hypertensive patients, although in a small study using invasive angiography, no significant change in the lumen area was observed between normotensive and hypertensive patients after administration of sublingual nitroglycerin [34].

An interesting new field is the effect of nitroglycerin on the diagnostic accuracy of fractional flow reserve CT (FFR\textsubscript{CT}). Advances in computational fluid dynamics allow for calculation of hemodynamic significance of coronary stenoses in CCTA examinations, which is based on an anatomic model of the coronaries generated from CCTA data [35]. In the DeFACTO study [36], the effect of sublingual nitroglycerin on diagnostic accuracy of FFR\textsubscript{CT} was evaluated. Administration of nitroglycerin was linked to improved specificity of FFR\textsubscript{CT} (55.2 % vs. 65.0 %). Improvement in the diagnostic accuracy of FFR\textsubscript{CT} was most profound when nitroglycerin was given 30 minutes or less before the CCTA examination (67.5 % vs. 78.6 %), which underscores the importance of early image acquisition after administration of sublingual nitroglycerin.

A limitation of this systematic review was that many studies used 16-slice CT, which is considered suboptimal for coronary imaging due to limited temporal resolution compared to current CT scanners. Second, only two studies evaluated the effect of nitroglycerin using an intra-individual comparison. Third, the time between sublingual nitroglycerin administration and scan acquisition was not listed in all of the included
studies. Finally, we were not able to meta-analyze our findings due to the heterogeneous reporting of outcome measures.

In conclusion, despite its widespread use in clinical practice, only a few comprehensive studies evaluating the effect of nitroglycerin in coronary CCTA have been published. Our systematic review of the available evidence on the use of sublingual nitroglycerin in CCTA suggests that nitroglycerin improves coronary diameter, the number of assessable segments, image quality, and diagnostic accuracy, although only a few studies met the inclusion criteria. Only mild systemic physiological changes which may impact image quality can be expected if scan acquisition is performed within 4 minutes after nitroglycerin administration. However, the vasodilatory effects of nitroglycerin are reduced in atherosclerotic coronary segments. More studies are needed to assess the effect of nitroglycerin on stenosis assessment and FFR_{CT} measurements, especially when CCTA is performed on current generation scanners.
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