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Are Obesity Risk Genes Associated with Binge Eating in Adolescence?

Nadia Micali1, Alison E. Field2,3,4, Janet L. Treasure5, and David M. Evans6,7,8

Objective: Cognitions and behaviors characteristic of binge eating are associated with a polymorphism in the FTO gene, robustly related to body mass index (BMI) and obesity risk. We investigated the association between binge eating and the individual and combined effect of 32 SNPs robustly associated with BMI in a population-based sample. We hypothesized that higher BMI and binge eating might share a common genetic etiology.

Methods: Binge eating was assessed in adolescents from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children at age 14 (n = 5,958) and 16 years (n = 4,948). We tested associations between 32 BMI-related SNPs and binge eating in crude and BMI-, age-, and gender-adjusted regression models.

Results: Crude analyses showed an association between binge eating and rs1558902 (FTO) that persisted after adjustment for BMI (OR = 1.20, P = 8 × 10⁻⁴). A weighted allelic score consisting of all 32 BMI-related SNPs was associated with binge eating (P = 8 × 10⁻⁴); this association attenuated (P = 0.08) when rs1558902 was removed from the weighted allelic score.

Conclusions: BMI-related genes are associated with adolescent binge eating, in particular an FTO polymorphism. Although replication is needed, our findings have biological plausibility and are consistent with a postulated effect of FTO on appetite and food intake. Future studies should aim to understand the mechanisms underlying the relationship between FTO, binge eating, and obesity.

Introduction

Binge-eating disorder (BED) is the most common eating disorder (ED) in the general population, with a lifetime prevalence of about 1.5% in adolescents and adults (1,2), and is associated with adverse physical and psychological outcomes (3,4). BED is characterized by episodes of overeating with loss of control (occurring on average once a week over 3 months) and accompanied by distress. BED has recently been recognized as a diagnostic category in DSM5 (5). The prevalence of engaging in binge eating behaviors, both in the context of a full-criteria ED diagnosis or in the absence of other ED features, is about 10% in adults (6) and adolescents (7). Binge eating is most common in individuals who are overweight/obese (8).

It is widely accepted that ED are partly explained by genetic factors (9). However, since BED was only recently recognized as an official disorder, few studies have investigated genetic risk for BED. Despite the paucity of research there is initial evidence that genetic factors influence risk for BED (9). Heritability estimates range between 0.39 (10) in a mixed-gender sample of Norwegian twins and 0.45 in a female-only twin sample (11). Evidence from twin studies shows a moderate correlation between obesity and binge eating, which
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suggests that some of the same genetic factors might influence both obesity and binge eating (12).

Genetic association studies have investigated risk-conferring genes particularly in the dopamine, serotonin, and appetite systems. Two studies found an association between MC4R (melanocortin 4 receptor) variants and BED (13,14), but another study failed to find an association (15). A more recent study of 289 youth aged between 6 and 19 years found an association between a polymorphism in the FTO locus and loss-of-control eating, which is one of the main characteristics of BED/binge eating behavior (16). Similarly a recent study showed an association between a weighted allelic risk score, obtained from combining the effect of 32 SNPs robustly associated with body mass index (BMI), and emotional and uncontrolled eating (17), behaviors highly correlated with binge eating (18).

Based on these studies and evidence from our studies that BED predicts overweight (3), we aimed to: (1) investigate whether variants previously identified as associated with BMI (17) were also associated with adolescent binge eating (overeating with loss of control) and (2) test the role of a polygenic weighted allelic score [obtained by combining the 32 independent genetic variants associated with BMI from a genome-wide association study (19)]. We also aimed to explore whether associations varied by gender and frequency of binge eating at each time-point.

Methods
Participants
The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) is a longitudinal, population-based, prospective study of women and their children (20). All pregnant women living in the geographical area of Avon, UK, who were expected to deliver their baby between 1st April 1991 and 31st December 1992 were invited to take part in the study. All women gave informed and written consent. The study website contains details of all the data that are available through a fully searchable data dictionary (http://www.bris.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/data-access/data-dictionary).

The children from 14,541 pregnancies were enrolled; 13,988 children were alive at 1 year. At age 14 years 10,303 adolescents (singletons) were eligible for follow-up (20) and were sent questionnaires; 5,958 adolescents (57.8% of those eligible) returned completed questionnaires. At age 16 years 9,660 adolescents (singletons) were sent questionnaires and 4,948 returned them (51.2%).

Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees.

Binge eating
Binge eating was assessed using a two-part question (21). Participants were first asked about the frequency during the past year of eating a very large amount of food. Those who answered yes were directed to a follow-up question that asked whether they felt out of control during these episodes, i.e., whether they could not stop eating even if they wanted to stop. Adolescents who answered yes to both questions were classified as engaging in binge eating. For the purpose of the study we used binge eating either at 14 or 16 years of age as an outcome. We also created an ordinal variable for frequency of binging reported in the year prior to assessment (never, < once a month, one to three times per month, once a week, > once a week), in order to determine whether increasing frequency of binge eating was associated with genetic risk.

Genotyping
In total, 9,912 participants were genotyped using the Illumina HumanHap550 quad genome-wide SNP genotyping platform by the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Cambridge, UK, and the Labora-

tory Corporation of America, Burlington, NC. Individuals were excluded from analyses on the basis of excessive or minimal heterozygosity, gender mismatch, individual missingness (3%), cryptic relatedness as measured by identity by descent (genome-wide IBD > 10%) and sample duplication. Individuals were assessed for population stratification using multi-dimensional scaling modeling seeded with HapMap Phase II release 22 reference populations. Individuals of non-European ancestry were removed from further analyses. SNPs with a final call rate of <95%, minor allele frequency (MAF) < 1% and evidence of departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) \( (P < 5 \times 10^{-7}) \) were also excluded from analyses (22). Individuals were imputed to HapMap Phase II (Build 36, release 22) using the Markov Chain Haplotyping software (MACH v.1.0.16) (23).

From these genome-wide data the 32 SNPs previously identified in a large GWAS meta-analysis (19) as being robustly and independently associated with BMI were selected. We also generated weighted polygenic allelic scores using these 32 SNPs. The estimated dosage of each effect allele was weighted by its regression coefficient from Speliotes et al (19). We calculated allelic scores using all 32 SNPs and using 31 SNPs excluding the FTO variant.

Covariates
BMI, weight (kg)/height (m)\(^2\), was obtained from measured weight and height during a face to face assessment at mean age 13.5 and mean age 15.5 years. BMI at 13.5 was used as the main covariate, if this was missing, BMI at mean age 15.5 was used (correlations between BMI at the two time-points was very high \( r = 0.98 \)). Data on BMI were available on 4,048 adolescents (82.3% of those with available data on binge eating and genotype).

Data on binge eating and genotype were available on 4,360 adolescents (2,406 girls and 1,954 boys) at 14 years, and 3,663 (2,151 girls and 1,512 boys) at 16 years. Overall 4916 adolescents had genotype data and information on binge eating at either age 14 or 16 (2725 girls and 2191 boys).

Data analyses
We tested the effect of BMI associated SNPs in unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression models where binge eating was the outcome. Adjusted models included age, BMI and gender as covariates. Unadjusted logistic regression models were also conducted stratifying by gender to investigate whether the pattern of association differed between males and females. In order to increase the power of our analyses, we examined the relationship between a weighted allelic risk score and binge eating at either age in an unadjusted
logistic regression model. We used effect size coefficients as reported in Speliotes et al. (19) to weight individual SNPs. In order to assess the contribution of the FTO variant to the allelic score, two models were tested, one including all 32 SNPs and one comprising 31 SNPs and excluding the FTO variant.

Finally, we investigated the relationship between BMI associated SNPs and an ordinal indicator of binge eating (frequency-based) in age stratified adjusted (for gender and BMI) ordinal logistic regression models.

Analyses were run using STATA 12 and the R software package 2.15.2.

Results

The prevalence of binge eating in adolescence was 5.6% at age 14 years and 11.2% at age 16 years amongst adolescents included in the study (See Table 1). Binge eating was more common in girls at both age 14 (7.4% of girls, 3.5% of boys) and 16 (16.1% of girls and 4.4% of boys).

Relationship between BMI variants and binge eating at either 14 or 16 years of age

Minimally adjusted analyses (adjusted for gender and age) revealed an association in the hypothesised direction between the A allele of rs1558902 (FTO) and increased risk of binge eating [odds ratio (OR) = 1.25 (95% CI: 1.10-1.41), \( P = 5.1 \times 10^{-4} \)]. This association showed little attenuation after adjusting for BMI (OR = 1.20 (95% CI: 1.05-1.38), \( P = 8.0 \times 10^{-3} \)) (Table 2). There was also nominal evidence of a protective association (direction opposite to that expected) between rs10150332 (NRXN3) and binge eating in unadjusted (OR = 0.85 (95% CI: 0.72-0.99), \( P = 0.038 \)), but not adjusted analyses [OR = 0.88 (95% CI: 0.74-1.04), \( P = 0.13 \)].

Females (OR = 1.30, \( P = 3.3 \times 10^{-4} \)) displayed slightly stronger evidence for association at the FTO locus than males (OR = 1.23, \( P = 0.51 \)), but the difference was not significant (\( P = 0.26 \)) (Table 3).

Amongst girls there was nominal association between rs2112347 (FLJ35779/HMGCR) and binge eating in the expected direction (OR = 1.21 (95%CI: 1.04-1.40), \( P = 0.012 \)) (see Table 3), whilst in boys there was nominal evidence of association between rs2815752 (NEGR1) and binge eating in the expected direction (OR = 1.32 (95%CI: 1.00-1.73, \( P = 0.047 \)) and rs10150332 (NRXN3) and bingeing in the direction opposite to expected (OR = 0.70 (95%CI: 0.49-1.01), \( P = 0.047 \)).

Frequency of binge eating

Ordinal regression models confirmed the association between the A allele of rs1558902 (FTO) and higher binge eating frequency at age 14 years \([OR = 1.21 (95\%CI: 1.01-1.46), P = 0.04]\) and at 16 years \([OR = 1.28 (95\%CI: 1.10-1.48), P = 1.0 \times 10^{-3}\])]. In other words, the presence of the A allele increased the odds of higher binge eating frequency (from never to less than monthly, monthly, weekly, and more than weekly) by 28% for each increase in level at age 16 (see Table 4).

Two SNPs showed nominal association with frequency of binge eating at either age 14 [rs3817334 (MTCH2); OR = 1.21 (95%CI: 1.01-1.46), \( P = 0.03 \)] or 16 years [rs1514175 (TNNI3K); OR = 1.17 (95% CI: 1.01-1.36), \( P = 0.04 \)] in the expected direction; but these did not replicate across ages.

Polygenic risk score

In unadjusted analyses, the weighted polygenic risk score was strongly positively associated with adolescent binge eating at either age 14 or 16 (\( P = 7.9 \times 10^{-4} \)). This association weakened dramatically once FTO was removed from the score (\( P = 0.08 \)). The same pattern was seen in females with the 32 allelic variant weighted polygenic risk score being strongly positively associated with binge eating (\( P = 8.6 \times 10^{-4} \)), but not after FTO was removed from the risk score (\( P = 0.08 \)). The risk score was not strongly associated with binge eating in males (\( P > 0.05 \)).

Discussion

This is the first study to investigate the association between binge eating in adolescence and 32 SNPs that have been robustly associated with BMI, and to include the effect of a weighted allelic score of 32 SNPs associated with BMI. We investigated this association across genders, and explored the association between 32 SNPs and increasing frequency of binge eating (as a more sensitive measure of binge eating and a severity indicator).

We found a significant positive (risk-conferring) association between the A allele of the FTO SNP (rs1558902) and adolescent binge eating, independent of BMI. This association was also found when
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**TABLE 1 Characteristics of the sample under study with complete data (genotype and phenotype)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age 14 (n = 4,360)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Age 16 (n = 3,663)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cases (n = 245)</td>
<td>Controls (n = 4,115)</td>
<td>Cases (n = 412)</td>
<td>Controls (n = 3,251)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, females N (%)</td>
<td>177 (72.5%)</td>
<td>2,229 (54.2%)</td>
<td>346 (84.0%)</td>
<td>1,805 (55.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (SD)</td>
<td>14.05 (0.21)</td>
<td>14.04 (0.19)</td>
<td>16.66 (0.23)</td>
<td>16.68 (0.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, mean (SD)</td>
<td>21.7 (3.9)</td>
<td>20.2 (3.33)</td>
<td>22.51 (4.0)</td>
<td>21.21 (3.31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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investigating binge eating as a frequency-based ordinal outcome (engaging in binge eating weekly, monthly, or less than monthly).

Analyses stratified by gender showed some evidence for gender differences suggesting the FTO rs1558902 A allele might confer increased risk of binge eating amongst girls compared to boys, although the absence of an effect on boys might also be due to lower power due to a reduced prevalence of binge eating in boys (a formal test for interaction was not significant).

A weighted allelic risk score derived from 32 variants associated with BMI showed a positive association with binge eating in unadjusted analyses, with a seemingly stronger effect in girls. Removing the rs1558902 (FTO) variant from the allelic risk score attenuated the association between the risk score and binge eating, suggesting that: (i) the FTO variant is the major variant driving the association with binge eating, and (ii) the association with bingeing is unlikely to be mediated by BMI (in which case we would also expect an allelic score of BMI SNPs to show strong association with bingeing).

The association between SNPs at the FTO locus and other EDs [anorexia (AN) and bulimia nervosa (BN)] has been examined in the literature and resulted in conflicting findings. In particular a
TABLE 3 Association between binge eating in adolescence and genetic variants reliably related to BMI stratified according to sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chrom</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Putative gene</th>
<th>Effect allele&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Other allele</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Females, minimally adjusted model (N = 2,725)</th>
<th>Males, minimally adjusted model (N = 2,191)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>72585028</td>
<td>rs2815752</td>
<td>NEGR1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.99 (0.86-1.15)</td>
<td>0.92 (1.00-1.73)</td>
<td>0.047 (0.79-1.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>74764232</td>
<td>rs1514175</td>
<td>TNNT3K</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>1.00 (0.94-1.06)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>1.10 (0.77-1.30)</td>
<td>0.083 (1.00-1.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9516381</td>
<td>rs887912</td>
<td>FANCL</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.984 (0.86-1.12)</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.98 (0.84-1.15)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.84-1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>11457901</td>
<td>rs1044011</td>
<td>RAF1B</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>1.01 (0.83-1.21)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.83-1.21)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.83-1.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>19737896</td>
<td>rs377281</td>
<td>TMEM18</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>1.00 (0.88-1.12)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.00 (0.87-1.12)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.87-1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>8616119</td>
<td>rs4929949</td>
<td>LRRN6C</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.00 (0.87-1.12)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.87-1.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>42530002</td>
<td>rs7337937</td>
<td>SH2B1</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.97 (0.81-1.12)</td>
<td>0.047 (0.79-1.29)</td>
<td>0.047 (0.79-1.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>52361075</td>
<td>rs1558902</td>
<td>FTO</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.997</td>
<td>1.00 (0.88-1.12)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.88-1.12)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.88-1.12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*BMI increaser allele.

<sup>a</sup>One tailed binomial sign test indicated that the direction of allelic association with binge eating did not occur significantly more often in the same direction as the known BMI associations (females P = 0.11; males P = 0.298).

Bold font indicates statistically significant results (P ≤ 0.05).

Recent study found an association between the obesity predisposing allele of the FTO variant rs9939609 and AN (24) but not with BN (once adjusted for BMI). In contrast an earlier study did not find an association between the same SNP and AN in a smaller sample of 225 patients with AN and 1,351 controls (25).

The rs1558902 polymorphism is in high linkage disequilibrium with the rs9939609 FTO SNP (r² = 0.93 in CEU HapMap 2) and a recent meta-analysis has shown similarly strong associations between each of these SNPs and overweight/obesity in children and adolescents (26). There is reason to believe that FTO locus SNPs may be related to binge eating. Both rs1558902 and rs9939609 have previously been associated with eating behavior, such as loss of control in adolescents (16), food choice and higher intake of energy-dense food in children (27,28), food responsiveness (29), and decreased satiety (30). A recent study also showed a positive association between rs1558902 (FTO) and the cognitive restraint subscale from the three factor eating questionnaire (a measure of restrained eating) (17). Taken together these findings suggest that polymorphisms at the FTO locus might increase the risk for both binge eating and
Recent findings have highlighted differences in prefrontal cortex activation in the processing of food stimuli associated with FTO polymorphisms, with lower activity (hence lower inhibitory control of eating) in this brain region post-prandially in subjects carrying overweight/obesity via higher palatable food and energy intake and decreased satiety, or that binge eating might be a mediator on the pathway between genotype and increased BMI/obesity.

Our findings of: (a) an association between an FTO locus SNP and binge eating, that showed little reduction in strength after conditioning on BMI, (b) the lack of association with other BMI-increasing SNPS, and (c) an association between the allelic risk score and binge eating when FTO was included that attenuated markedly after excluding FTO from the allelic risk score suggests that the effect of FTO on binge eating is not mediated by BMI. Therefore our findings suggest either pleiotropic effects of variants in or near the FTO locus, or that binge eating might be on the causal pathway between genetic variants and obesity. We have shown in two large population-based cohorts (including the one under study) that BED significantly increases the odds of overweight/obesity even when taking into account baseline weight (3) (Micali et al., submitted).

### TABLE 4 Associations between frequency of binge eating in adolescence and genetic variants reliably related to BMI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chrom</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Putative gene</th>
<th>Effect allele</th>
<th>Other allele</th>
<th>Minimally adjusted model, age 14 (N = 4,359)</th>
<th>Minimally adjusted model, age 16 (N = 3,663)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.98 (0.81-1.19)</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.10 (0.95-1.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td>1.00 (0.83-1.20)</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.17 (1.01-1.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.95 (0.79-1.15)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>1.06 (0.92-1.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>1.12 (0.87-1.44)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.14 (0.93-1.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.97 (0.78-1.22)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.03 (0.87-1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.11 (0.92-1.33)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.94 (0.81-1.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.96 (0.78-1.17)</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.86 (0.73-1.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.98 (0.77-1.25)</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>1.00 (0.83-1.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>1.13 (0.91-1.41)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.93 (0.77-1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.97 (0.77-1.25)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.94 (0.78-1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.18 (0.98-1.42)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.04 (0.89-1.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.97 (0.68-1.39)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.11 (0.83-1.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.11 (0.91-1.35)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.09 (0.93-1.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>1.19 (0.98-1.44)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.04 (0.89-1.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.16 (0.93-1.45)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.99 (0.82-1.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>1.10 (0.85-1.37)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.94 (0.78-1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.89 (0.73-1.09)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.07 (0.92-1.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.88 (0.73-1.06)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.97 (0.84-1.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.22 (0.96-1.55)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.99 (0.90-1.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td>1.18 (0.98-1.42)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.04 (0.89-1.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.02 (0.82-1.27)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.99 (0.82-1.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.78 (0.48-1.27)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.90 (0.63-1.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.86 (0.68-1.09)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.92 (0.77-1.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.94 (0.75-1.16)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.96 (0.81-1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.20 (0.90-1.59)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.07 (0.86-1.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>1.00 (0.83-1.20)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.93 (0.81-1.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>1.21 (1.01-1.46)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.28 (1.10-1.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.06 (0.86-1.31)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.05 (0.88-1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.97 (0.79-1.18)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.91 (0.78-1.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.10 (0.86-1.39)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.97 (0.81-1.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.94 (0.76-1.17)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.03 (0.87-1.24)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For age and BMI.

**Bold font indicates statistically significant results (P < 0.05).
the risk allele (31). Evidence also suggests that FTO rs9939609 AA carriers have a different brain response to food and ghrelin expression compared to TT carriers (32).

Thus, evidence from behavioral genetics and neuroimaging studies supports our findings that genetic variation at the FTO locus might confer risk of binge eating. In our study, the strongest associations between the FTO polymorphism and binge eating were most evident in girls; although this might be due to a lower prevalence of binge eating in boys and hence lower power to detect differences, or a true differential gender effect (although the formal test for interaction was negative).

In gender-stratified analyses some polymorphisms were nominally associated with binge eating: (e.g., a polymorphism in FLJ37779/HMGCR in girls, and a polymorphism in NEGR1 with binge eating in boys). A variant at MTCH2 was associated with frequency of binge eating at age 14 years, and a polymorphism in TNNI3K with frequency of binge eating at age 16 years. These findings might reflect type I error given the large number of statistical comparisons performed in this paper, and the rather weak P values. Future studies should aim to replicate these findings. FLJ37779/HMGCR has not, to our knowledge, been previously implicated in appetite regulation. In contrast the TNNI3K and MTCH2 obesity risk-conferring SNPs have both been shown to be positively associated with emotional eating and uncontrolled eating (17). Emotional eating and uncontrolled eating are traits strongly associated with binge eating, and confer risk for binge eating.

Amongst the SNPs studied we did not find an association between binge eating and variants within MC4R or RB1/ADCT3/POMC, despite evidence from previous studies that mutations in the melanocortin 4 receptor (MC4R) and the Pro Opio Melanocortin (POMC) genes might be implicated in appetite control (33,34). Similarly, polymorphisms in the BDNF gene have been shown to be associated with ED phenotypes (35) but were not strongly associated with binge eating in this study. This might be due to low power to detect associations in our study, or to the selection of more severe phenotypes in previous studies (mostly reliant on clinical populations).

Strengths of our study include a relatively large sample size in comparison to previous studies on genetic risk for binge eating, an investigation of binge eating as a dichotomous outcome and of an ordinal outcome (i.e., frequency of binge eating), and a candidate gene approach based on 32 SNPs robustly associated with BMI. We also investigated a possible relationship between a weighted allelic score and binge eating (17). Emotional eating and uncontrolled eating are traits strongly associated with binge eating, and confer risk for binge eating.

Conclusion

This study suggests a positive association between a polymorphism in the FTO gene and adolescent binge eating, particularly in girls. Taken together with previous research, it appears that variants within or near the FTO locus are associated with a preference for energy-dense foods, greater food intake, less sensitivity to satiety cues, and loss-of-control eating episodes, all of which characterize binge eating. A recent GWAS of 339,224 individuals (37) has identified 97 loci associated with BMI; future studies should therefore test the effect of a weighted allelic score incorporating all 97 loci on binge eating. In the absence of a GWAS of binge eating or BED, future larger studies should also aim to confirm our findings and examine potential risk mechanisms or shared pathways between obesity and binge eating. A GWAS of binge eating or BED is likely to be achievable by pooling available samples across the world.
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