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In order to prime reverse transcription, retroviruses require annealing of a tRNA molecule to the U5-primer binding site (U5-PBS) region of the viral genome¹². The residues essential for primer annealing are initially locked in intramolecular interactions³⁵, and hence, annealing requires the chaperone activity of the retroviral nucleocapsid (NC) protein to facilitate structural rearrangements⁶. Here we show that, unlike classical chaperones, the Moloney murine leukemia virus NC uses a unique mechanism for remodeling: it specifically targets multiple structured regions in both the U5-PBS and tRNAPro primer that otherwise sequester residues necessary for annealing. This high-specificity and high-affinity binding by NC consequently liberates these sequestered residues—which are exactly complementary—for intermolecular interactions. Furthermore, NC utilizes a stepwise, entropy-driven mechanism to trigger both residue-specific destabilization and residue-specific release. Our structures of NC bound to U5-PBS and tRNAPro reveal the structure-based mechanism for retroviral primer annealing and provide insights as to how ATP-independent chaperones can target specific RNAs amidst the cellular milieu of non-target RNAs.

Retroviruses preferentially employ specific host tRNAs as primers for the first step of reverse transcription; for example, human immunodeficiency virus requires tRNAlys³, while Moloney murine leukemia virus (MLV) uses tRNAPro¹². Two distinct sequences in the tRNA anneal to complementary sequences in the retroviral U5-PBS domain to form the initiation complex: the 3’
end of the tRNA acceptor stem anneals to the 18-nucleotide primer binding site (PBS) sequence, while a portion of the tRNA TΨC arm base-pairs with a primer activation signal (PAS) (Fig. 1a, b). However, for primer annealing to occur, favorable intramolecular associations involving both the PBS and PAS in U5-PBS and the complementary anti-PBS and anti-PAS sequences in tRNA must first be disrupted by NC chaperone proteins. Mechanistically, all NC proteins have thus far been thought to function as classical, ATP-independent chaperones, utilizing both their zinc-finger domain(s) and unstructured tails for this process (Extended Data Fig. 1a). ATP-independent chaperones are known to permit RNA molecules to access higher energy conformations and then allow refolding by rapidly dissociating from the RNA during the process. These transitory, low-affinity interactions generally necessitate the coating of an RNA with many molecules of chaperone (Extended Data Fig. 1b). In addition, and in contrast, to the transient interactions of NC proteins, the NC zinc-fingers are also capable of sequence specific, high-affinity binding to RNA. However, this mode of interaction has, until now, been thought to be used exclusively for the recognition of the genome via interaction with the genome packaging signal during viral assembly (Supplementary Info. 1). To gain insights into the mechanism of NC-mediated primer annealing in MLV, a prototypical retrovirus, we solved structures of both the genomic U5-PBS RNA and the tRNA primer, both in the free form and in complex with MLV NC proteins, by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy.

The free U5-PBS is a largely linear molecule capped by a structured tetraloop (UCAG130) and contains one single-nucleotide bulge (A122) and two internal loops, (UCUGA111, UU146) and (GA96, GU156) (Figs. 1a, c, Extended Data Figs. 3-4, and Extended Data Fig. 10d). In the absence of NC, the (UCUGA111, UU146) internal loop maintains a distinct, folded configuration in which residue C108 of the NC binding site sequesters the 5' end of the PBS sequence (+1 U146) via an intramolecular ribose zipper interaction [superscript denotes the PBS position from 5' to 3'] (Fig. 1c, and Extended Data Figs. 4e-g). Residue U109 of the NC binding site also base pairs with U145, which is the first template residue read by reverse transcriptase. Continuous intramolecular base stacking interactions from U144 to +2 G147 further serve to tether the 5' end of the PBS inside the internal loop. On the other side of the bulge, continuous NOEs indicate the stacking of C106 with C108 and, hence, extrusion of residue U107. Importantly, residue G110 exhibits a syn glycosidic torsion angle and faces the major groove, making it poised for interaction with NC
In the NC-bound structure, the NC zinc finger binds the UCUG110 sequence of the internal loop (Kd = 33 ± 3 nM; Extended Data Fig. 2a) in a mode similar to that previously described for UCUG100 in the MLV Ψ-genome packaging signal19,20,21, see Supplementary Info 1 and 2). Notably, since NC-binding residues are initially involved in sequestering the first template (U145) and the first PBS (+1U146) residues, the well-defined internal loop structure is mutually exclusive with NC binding. Thus, NC binding liberates U145 and +1U146 for primer annealing and reverse transcription initiation (Fig. 1e).

Whereas the NC tails are not involved in binding the Ψ-packaging signal, in U5-PBS, the NC tails specifically remodel the (GA98, GU156) internal loop and the UCAG130 capping tetraloop (Fig. 1e). In the absence of NC, the six PAS residues in the lower stem, +1G99 to +6U104 [subscript with + sign denotes the PAS position from 5' to 3'], form base pairs with PBS residues (Fig. 1c and Extended Data Fig. 3a), and hence are not available for primer annealing. The preceding (GA98, GU156) internal loop, however, exists in multiple conformations; in the major form, a continuous internal stacking of all residues leads to the formation of tandem A98-+10G155 and G97-+11U156 non-canonical base pairs, while in the minor, destabilized form, the +10G155 and +11U156 PBS residues are extra-helical (Fig. 1c and Extended Data Figs. 3a, 4h-j). Similarly, the capping UCAG130 tetraloop forms a YNMG-type structure22 (Extended Data Fig. 4a), with the C128 base either stacked on A129 or, in a small population, extruded from the structure (Fig. 1d and Extended Data Fig. 4c). Interaction with the NC tails alters the equilibria between the two conformations in favor of the minor, destabilized conformations (Fig. 1d) and hence leads to release of the tenth and eleventh (+10G155 and +11U156) PBS residues and the C128 tetraloop residue. The destabilization of the latter is important for cooperative binding of a second NC to the tetraloop (Extended Data Fig. 2a; see Supplementary Info 3). Thus, the positively charged NC tails do not globally destabilize U5-PBS but instead specifically target residues inherently predisposed for destabilization. Furthermore, because PAS residues immediately follow the destabilized internal loop (Fig. 1a), the NC tails also specifically perturb residues +1G99, +2G100, and +3G101 (Extended Data Fig. 4k). Interestingly, both NC tails (Ala1-Arg17 and Arg4-Leu56) remain disordered, indicating that destabilization must occur via transient interactions that are nevertheless residue-specific due to the inherent accessibility of the particular RNA residues and the orientation constraints imposed by the zinc finger binding to UCUG110 (Fig. 1e and see
Extended Data Fig. 4l). In live viruses, a G110U mutant designed to liberate the U_{145} and U_{146} residues and a deletion mutant (DM) designed to sequester them exhibited only 56% and 7%, respectively, of wild-type MLV infectivity (Fig. 1f). While the severe infectivity defect of DM virions confirms the importance of high-affinity NC binding in releasing the 5' end of the PBS, the partial defect observed for G110U virions indicates that tail-mediated interactions are also required for optimal function.

In tRNA^{Pro}, NC binding occurs first at GUUG\_9 (site T1, 4 ± 2 nM) followed by the anticodon loop AGGG\_37 (site T2, 13 ± 3 nM) and then the D-stemloop UAUG\_23 (site T3, 834 ± 343 nM) (Extended data Fig. 2b; for assignment strategy of tRNA^{Pro}, see Supplementary Info 4). Importantly, titration of MLV NC into the HIV primer, tRNA\_Lys\_3, did not lead to NMR chemical shift perturbations, thus confirming the specificity of MLV NC for tRNA^{Pro} (Extended Data Fig. 1f, g). The structure of the first NC bound to tRNA^{Pro} shows the zinc finger making extensive contacts with the GUUG\_9 sequence that links the acceptor stem with the D-stemloop, with G\_9 stacking within the zinc finger pocket (Fig. 2, Extended Data Fig. 9c, Extended Data Fig.10e). Importantly, prior to NC binding, all four GUUG\_9 residues are involved in intramolecular interactions: G\_6 and U\_7 are part of the acceptor stem, and U\_8 and G\_9 are involved in core tertiary interactions (Fig. 2a, b and Extended Data Figs. 6, 9a). Similar to U5-PBS, because these contacts are mutually exclusive with NC interactions, NC binding leads to major RNA remodeling events. First, since residues G\_6 and U\_7 are initially base paired with anti-PBS residues -10\_C\_47 and -11\_A\_50 [superscript with “-” sign denotes the anti-PBS position from 3’ to 5’], respectively, NC binding releases these sequestered anti-PBS residues (Fig. 2c and Extended Data 8c, e). Second, because U\_8 and G\_9 are involved in core tertiary interactions via triple base formation with the D-stemloop (U\_8:A\_142-A\_21, G\_9:C\_12-G\_23) (Fig. 2a, b and Extended Data Fig. 9a), NC binding disrupts these core tertiary interactions (Extended Data Fig. 8c). As a result of this rearrangement, D-stem residues A\_21 and G\_23, which are part of the UAUG\_23 site T3 sequence, are made partially available for the third NC binding event. Globally, while the helical arrangement between the T\_ΨC stem and the acceptor stem is lost, the helical stacking between the D-stem and anticodon stem is preserved (Extended Data Fig. 8d), as is the variable loop interaction and the T\_ΨC loop:D-loop interface (the “elbow”) (Fig. 2a, c and Figure 3a).
In comparison with sites T1 and T3 (see below), there is a marked mechanistic difference in the remodeling activity of the NC that binds the second site, T2—this NC uses its tails to achieve residue-specific destabilization. After the first NC binding event, the second NC accesses the residual elbow structure by anchoring its zinc finger to the distal AGGG\textsubscript{17} sequence in the anticodon loop, with the G\textsubscript{37} base stacking inside the zinc finger (Fig. 3b and Extended Data Fig. 10a). While the elbow interaction is maintained, the NC tails specifically perturb D-loop and TΨC loop residues G\textsubscript{16} and A\textsubscript{59}, respectively (Fig. 3c, d), which are in close proximity to each other (Fig. 3b). Prior to NC binding, these residues are extruded out of their respective loops and are hence available for NC interaction. Thus, as in the interaction with U5-PBS, the NC tails do not cause global destabilization of tRNA\textsubscript{Pro} but instead target specific, already accessible residues for remodeling.

We also structurally characterized the third NC binding event using the tRNA\textsubscript{Pro}-T1\textsubscript{M}T2\textsubscript{M} construct (see Supplementary Info. 5). Our structures show that NC zinc finger binding to UAUG\textsubscript{23} in the D-stem disrupts the entire helix and, because the D-stem architecture is crucial for the D-Loop-TΨC interaction, eliminates the residual elbow tertiary structure (Fig. 3a, e and Extended Data Fig. 10b, c). Consequently, the interactions between D-loop residues G\textsubscript{18} and G\textsubscript{19} and TΨC loop anti-PAS residues \textsubscript{C}56 and \textsubscript{U}35 are lost, leading to the release of these sequestered anti-PAS residues \textsubscript{-}C\textsubscript{56} and \textsubscript{-}U\textsubscript{35} (Fig. 3d). NC binding to site T3 thus serves to dismantle the residual tRNA tertiary structure prior to primer annealing. Importantly, destabilization of the D- and TΨC-loop residues by the anticodon site T2 NC tails is maintained even after the elbow contacts are dismantled by the third NC binding event (Fig. 3c), prohibiting the freed TΨC-loop from forming an intrinsically stable structure\textsuperscript{23} (see Extended Data Fig. 6) and ensuring that the released anti-PAS residues will remain accessible during primer annealing.

Our data demonstrate how MLV NC “captures” specific portions of both the U5-PBS and tRNA\textsubscript{Pro} through high-affinity interactions with residues that are normally engaged in intramolecular stabilizing interactions and results in the subsequent “release” of these sequestered residues, thereby reducing the energetic barrier for primer:template complex formation (Fig. 4). The combinations of liberated and pre-exposed residues within tRNA\textsubscript{Pro} and
U5-PBS are exactly complementary and therefore poised for intermolecular base pairing. Furthermore, the complementarity of liberated sequences to regions that are already exposed in the counterpart RNA allows remodeling to occur with a limited number of NC molecules (Fig. 4). Indeed, the presence of four NC molecules is sufficient for formation of a functional U5-PBS-tRNAPro complex (Extended Data Fig. 2c,d). Importantly, because the NC binding sites are perfectly positioned in close proximity to, but not overlapping with, the RNA-annealing sequences, subsequent dissociation of NC from the annealed complex is not required\textsuperscript{24}. In fact, the presence of NC has been shown to be important for the elongation step of reverse transcription\textsuperscript{25,26}.

In addition to defining previously undiscovered roles for high-affinity NC binding events in the retroviral lifecycle, our study has implications for the location, timing, and specificity of primer annealing (see Supplementary Info 6). Like MLV NC, some other RNA chaperones and remodelers bind with high affinity to their substrates; however, they typically require the input of additional energy for subsequent dissociation\textsuperscript{27}. The MLV NC-mediated capture-and-release mechanism described here is distinct from mechanisms utilized by other known ATP-independent RNA chaperones\textsuperscript{28,29}. During the capture-and-release RNA remodeling, NC uses high-affinity interactions to bind a limited number of sites with high specificity. Furthermore, unlike typical chaperones, which cause global destabilization to allow access to higher energy conformations, the mechanism of NC-mediated remodeling in primer annealing involves the formation of stable, lower energy complexes with RNA that cause strategic local destabilization of the regions important for annealing. Consistent with this, the thermodynamic analyses of all U5-PBS- and tRNAPro-NC interactions show high binding affinities with entropically-driven profiles (see Supplementary Info 7). This entropy-driven, capture-and-release remodeling thus represents the first example of a new mechanism by which RNA chaperones can specifically select their specific targets from a sea of cellular RNAs.
METHODS SUMMARY
Detailed methods for RNA sample preparation, biophysical characterization, structure determination and viral assays can be found in Methods.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Structure, function and nucleocapsid interaction of U5-PBS

Secondary structures of (a) U5-PBS and (b) tRNA$_{Pro}$ with complementary PBS/anti-PBS and PAS/anti-PAS sequences shown in blue and green, respectively. The two internal loops in U5-PBS are boxed, and the first, second and third high-affinity NC binding site sequences are shown in red, orange and yellow, respectively. The tRNA$_{Pro}$ residue numbering reflects the canonical numbering scheme for all tRNAs, and hence, the number 17 is omitted. (c) NMR structure of the free U5-PBS RNA. The NC binding sites UCUG$_{110}$ and UCAG$_{130}$ are shown in red and orange, respectively. Top inset: Zoom-in view of the sequestration of U$_{145}$ and +1U$_{146}$ residues by U$_{109}$ and C$_{108}$, of the UCUG$_{110}$ NC binding site. Middle inset: G$_{110}$ aromatic ring is turned outside of the helix in a conformation poised for NC interaction. Bottom inset: The minor, extruded conformation of G$_{155}$ is shown. PAS residues are sequestered by alternating G-C and G-U base-pairs in both conformations. (d) 1D slice of a $^1$H-$^1$C spectrum depicting the NC tail-mediated increase of the minor conformation (shown by *) of the tetraloop C$_{128}$ residue. Based on the population distribution in the pre-bound state, we estimate the extruded conformation to have a free energy of ~1.4 kcal/mol greater than the stacked conformation. (e) Structure of NC bound to UCUG$_{110}$ via the zinc-finger (the Trp35 and Tyr28 aromatic stacking interactions are shown in black). The structure shows that the protein tails can extend to contact the UCAG$_{130}$ tetraloop and residues in and near the (G$_9$A, G$_{155}$U) internal loop. (f) Left: Secondary structures of the wild-type (WT), G110U and deletion mutant (DM). Right: Reduction of viral infectivity is observed in mutants. As a negative control, heat-inactivated (HI) virions were used for infection. The data shown represent means ± standard deviations from six independent biological replicates. A packaging assay was also done to confirm that the mutations do not affect genome encapsidation (see Extended Data Fig. 2g), and the G110U mutation was structurally characterized to ensure that the mutation does not give rise to an alternate structure that sequesters the 5’ end of the PBS (data not shown).

Figure 2. Structure and nucleocapsid interaction of site T1 in tRNA$_{Pro}$

(a) Cartoon representation of the L-shaped tRNA$_{Pro}$ structure. Dashed lines show the long-range elbow and base-triple interactions with the D-stemloop. Solid lines denote the covalent linkages.
(b) 3D model of the free tRNA\textsuperscript{Pro} showing site T1 residues GUUG\textsubscript{9} (red) involved in intramolecular interactions. (c) Structure of NC:tRNA\textsuperscript{Pro} complex. The aromatic NC residues Tyr28 and Trp35 are shown in black. The zinc finger interaction with the GUUG\textsubscript{9} binding site is shown in red. The tails are excluded for simplicity since they form random coils and do not specifically interact with the tRNA (Extended Data Fig. 9b). Upper inset shows the close-up of the zinc-finger interaction with G\textsubscript{9} inserted into the hydrophobic pocket of NC protein and is stabilized by stacking over Trp35. Lower inset shows the interaction of the variable loop with the core of the tRNA molecule that is maintained after NC-1 interaction.

Figure 3. Structure and nucleocapsid interaction with sites T2 and T3 in tRNA\textsuperscript{Pro}

(a) A portion of 2D-NOESY spectrum showing H8/H1' correlations for tRNA\textsuperscript{Pro} with 0 (black), 1 (red), or 1.9 (orange) NC equivalents. The perturbation of anticodon residues only after titrations above 1.0 equivalent of NC confirms the sequential binding mode. (b) \textsuperscript{1}H-\textsuperscript{13}C 2D U-labeled HMQC spectra collected with 0 (black), 1.0 (red), 1.9 (orange) and 2.5 & 3.0 (yellow) equivalents of NC. Upon addition of 1 equivalent of NC, the U8 resonance is shifted, confirming the first binding site. Perturbation of D-loop:TΨC signals occur only upon third NC binding indicating that the elbow contacts are maintained during the first two binding events. (c) \textsuperscript{1}H-\textsuperscript{13}C 2D HMQC spectra for tRNA\textsuperscript{Pro} with 0 (black), 1 (red), 1.4 (orange), 1.6 (orange), or 2.4 (yellow) NC equivalents. Selective perturbation of the extruded G\textsubscript{16} residue in the D-loop as evidenced by a significant chemical shift change is shown. (d) Regions of 2D NOESY with 1 (red), or 1.9 (orange) NC equivalents in tRNA\textsuperscript{Pro}, and 0 (black) and 1.3 (yellow) equivalents in tRNA\textsuperscript{Pro-T1\textsubscript{M}T2\textsubscript{M}}. Top and Middle panels show that the protected A\textsubscript{57} in the TΨC loop is not perturbed, but the extruded A\textsubscript{59} is affected upon the second NC binding. In the T1\textsubscript{M}T2\textsubscript{M} mutant (bottom two panels), NC binding to the third site disrupts the TΨC-D-loop interaction, resulting in a chemical shift change for residue A\textsubscript{57}. Thus, the lack of A\textsubscript{57} perturbation in the native tRNA\textsuperscript{Pro} demonstrates that the elbow region is still maintained after the second NC binding event (see also Supplementary Info 4 and Fig. 3a). (e) Structure of NC bound to tRNA\textsuperscript{Pro} sites T1 and T2 via the zinc-fingers. The structures show that the NC-2 protein tails can extend to contact the D-loop:TΨC loop elbow region. Inset shows the proximity of the extruded G\textsubscript{16} and A\textsubscript{59} residues. For clarity, only the tails of NC-2 protein are shown. (f) Model of three NCs bound to tRNA\textsuperscript{Pro} (based on the structure of NC bound to T1\textsubscript{M}T2\textsubscript{M} tRNA\textsuperscript{Pro}; see Extended Data Fig. 10c), showing
the loss of the elbow tertiary interactions upon binding of the third NC, which leads to the release of anti-PAS sequences (Fig. 3a). For clarity only the zinc-finger portion of the NC proteins are shown.

**Figure 4. Capture-and-release mechanism for nucleocapsid-mediated remodeling of MLV U5-PBS and tRNA^Pro**

A mechanistic model for NC zinc finger- and tail-mediated remodeling via a step-wise, residue-specific release and residue-specific destabilization of sequestered PBS/PAS and anti-PBS/anti-PAS sequences in U5-PBS and tRNA^Pro, respectively. Upon NC binding to U5-PBS, the PBS residues +1U146, +10G155 and +11U156 are released, and the PAS residues +1G99, +2G100 and +3G101 are destabilized. Reciprocally, in tRNA^Pro, NC binding to site T1 frees anti-PBS residues -10C67 and -11A66 ("C76 is already available in free tRNA^Pro"), and binding to site T2 and site T3 renders the anti-PAS residues -1C56, -2U55, and -3U54 accessible for annealing. NC binding to the second site in U5-PBS may be important for primer extension by reverse transcriptase since stem loops with stable tetraloops have been shown to stall reverse transcription.
METHODS

Sample preparation: The MLV NC protein was prepared as described previously\textsuperscript{21}. The HIV-NC protein was made in an analogous manner to that of MLV-NC protein; the NC sequence was amplified from pNL4-3 plasmid\textsuperscript{30}, cloned into pGEX-6p1 (GE Healthcare) vector, and the purified fusion protein was cleaved from GST through the use of PreScission Protease. NMR experiments were used to confirm the correct folding of the proteins. The HIV and MLV U5-PBS RNA samples were also made using methods previously described\textsuperscript{21}. tRNA\textsuperscript{Pro} and tRNA\textsuperscript{Lys} DNA templates were constructed through annealing and ligation of oligonucleotides designed to contain a T7 promoter and two 2'-O-methoxy modified nucleotides at the 5' end of the template strand to maintain 3' end homogeneity\textsuperscript{31}. For the U5-PBS construct, used for NMR studies a single basepair swap (G96C:C157G) was made to ameliorate the spectral overlap problem that arose due to five consecutive guanosine residues in a row. This swap does not change the secondary or tertiary structure of the U5-PBS domain (Extended Data Fig. 3b).

Isothermal titration calorimetry: Prior to all ITC experiments, nucleocapsid proteins and RNAs were exchanged into ITC buffer (25 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 0.1 mM ZnCl\textsubscript{2}, 10 mM Tris, pH 7.0). For each ITC experiment, reaction heats (μCal/second) were measured for 1.5-2 μL titrations of 70-90 μM NC into 5 μM RNA at 30°C using an iTC200 machine (MicroCal). Titration curves were analyzed using ORIGIN (OriginLab).

NMR data acquisition, resonance assignment and structure calculations: For NMR experiments, RNA samples were resuspended in NMR buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5 and 10 mM NaCl). NMR data for tRNA\textsuperscript{Pro} were collected with and without the presence of 1 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2} and 100-150 mM NaCl. For NC complex studies, the samples were prepared in buffers containing 1mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}. NMR data were acquired using a Bruker 700 MHz spectrometer equipped with a cryoprobe. Spectra were recorded at 35°C, with the exception of data for the imino region, which were also collected at 10°C. Non-exchangeable assignments were made using 2D-NOESY, 2D HMOC and 3D HMOC-NOESY experiments using both unlabeled and nucleotide-specific labeled (G-, U-, A-, and C\textsuperscript{15}N,\textsuperscript{13}C-labeled and C/U/A-deuterated) or protonated samples. RDC data for U5-PBS was collected using Pf1 phage (12 mg/mL, ASLA Biotech) as has been described\textsuperscript{32}. 
Initial structures were calculated as described\textsuperscript{26} using manually assigned restraints in CYANA\textsuperscript{28}. In contrast to all long range triple bases in tRNA\textsubscript{Pro}, the two base pairs between D-loop and TΨC loop were not observable by NMR: these hydrogen bonds were modeled based on our knowledge of tRNA structures. The crystal structure of tRNA\textsubscript{Phe} was used as a guide for soft phosphate-phosphate distance restraints within a particular stem\textsuperscript{33}. For U5-PBS, the ten best CYANA models were then used for final structure calculations in AMBER similar to what has been described\textsuperscript{34}. Specifically, the refinement included 50,000 steps, with temperature increasing from 0 K to 500 K over the first 12500 steps, remaining at 500 K over the next 32500 steps, and then decreasing to 0 K over the next 5,000 steps. These calculations incorporated all upper limit restraints used in CYANA but not the angle restraints. The individual structures generated were then used for tensor fitting, and the above structure calculation process was repeated with the RDC restraints along with a final minimization that included 8000 steps. In the final minimization step for the U5-PBS structure, loose hydrogen bond restraints used for the ribose zippers were removed. Several rounds of \textit{in vacuo} AMBER calculations were done until the distance violations were less than 0.5 Å. Molecular images were generated with PyMOL (www.pymol.org).

\textbf{Mutagenesis of pNCS vector for MLV virus production:} The pNCS plasmid encoding the MLV genome was a generous gift from the laboratory of Stephen Goff (Columbia University). The presence of the repeated U5 sequence at both ends of the proviral DNA required use of the \textit{NdeI} restriction endonuclease to cleave the pNCS plasmid into two pieces, a 3 kb fragment and a 9 kb fragment. This allowed for selective introduction of the mutations only into the U5 sequence in the 5’ LTR. The 9 kb fragment was circularized by self-ligation and used as a template to introduce the G110U, DM, or Ψ C331G mutation using a QuickChange II XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies). Mutant plasmids were then digested with \textit{NdeI} and re-ligated with the 3 kb fragment to form the complete mutant pNCS. DNA sequencing confirmed both the orientation of the insert and the presence of the desired mutation.

\textbf{MLV virion infectivity and genome packaging assay:} 293 T and Rat2 cells were grown at 37°C and 5% CO\textsubscript{2} in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 5%
penicillin-streptomycin. The 293 T cells were used for transfection-mediated viral production, while Rat2 cells were used for infectivity assays. Transfection of 293 T cells with pNCS was performed at a cell confluency of ~80% using the Fugene-6 (Roche). Virion-containing supernatant was harvested 48 hours following transfection and filtered through a 0.45 μm filter. Viruses were quantified with the use of exogenous Luciferase RNA template (Promega) provided in excess during qPCR reactions. Purified, exogenous MLV RT (Promega) was used to generate a linear standard curve (R^2 > 0.98) for the calculation of RT activity. SYBR Green-based qPCR was carried out as described^5^, and dissociation curve measurements were performed at the conclusion of each run to confirm primer specificity. Equal numbers of wild-type or mutant virions were used to infect 293T cells, as has been described^36,37^. As a negative control, separate aliquots of wild-type virions were incubated at 80°C for fifteen minutes to render the virus non-infectious. The RT-based virus quantification assay was used to quantify the viral yield resulting from infection.

For the genome packaging assay, total virion RNA was extracted from viral supernatant with the QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen) using 50-150 μL of viral supernatant per RNA extraction column. Three independent extractions were pooled and viral genomic RNA was quantified by qPCR using a TaqMan probe-based assay system as has been described^38^ with an AABI 7900 sequence detection apparatus (Applied Biosystems). Negative control reactions lacking viral RNA template yielded negligible signals, and standard curves were generated using threshold cycle (Ct) values from a range of different input viral DNA (pNCS) concentrations. A two-sample, one-tailed unpaired t-test was performed to compare mean values of RT activity for the using the statistical package Stata (StataCorp), assuming unequal variances and using a 99% confidence interval.

For heat-annealing, 250 pmol of MLV U5-PBS RNA was incubated with 250 pmol tRNA^Pro^ at 50 °C for 5 minutes followed by 85 °C for 15 minutes in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.2), 50 mM KCl, and 5 mM MgCl_2_. For NC-mediated annealing, the same amounts of RNA were incubated with five molar equivalents of NC at 37 °C for 16 hours. After annealing, 100 U ribonuclease inhibitor and 750 U MLV reverse transcriptase were added to each sample, along with Cy3-labeled deoxy CTP (ddCTP) to a final concentration of 0.4 nM. Following ethanol precipitation, the
RNA was digested by Riboshredder RNase and resolved on a denaturing gel. DNA terminated with Cy3-ddCTP was visualized with imager.
EXTENDED DATA FIGURE LEGENDS

Extended Data Figure 1: (a) MLV NC sequence depicting the zinc finger moiety with N- and C- terminal tails. (b) Cartoon representation displaying the general mechanism of ATP-independent chaperons. (c) Cartoon representation of the E- and B-forms of U5-PBS genomic RNA, including the secondary structure of the Ψ-genome packaging signal, with the high affinity sites colored in red (see Supplemental Info 1) (d) ITC data for MLV NC binding to U5-PBS-B form native and site 1 mutant (V1M) forms. Top: Raw ITC data for 1.5 µL titrations of 80 µM NC into 5 µM RNA at 30 °C. Bottom: data following peak integration, with continuous black line representing the fit for a one-site binding model. Elimination of site V1 in the U5-PBS-B form completely abolishes the binding. (e),(f) ITC data showing weak binding of HIV NC to HIV U5-PBS RNA and tRNA{Lys,3} primer. (g) Portions of 2D NOESY spectra collected in D_{2}O displaying an overlay of free tRNA{Lys,3} primer (black) and 0.5 equivalents of MLV NC (red). Lack of any chemical shift perturbation indicates the absence of any interactions between the two molecules. (h) Models for primer annealing in MLV and HIV-1: in MLV (left), high-affinity binding of the NC domain (red) of Gag to the U5-PBS region of the viral genome and to the tRNA{Pro} primer promotes tRNA annealing in the cytosol of the host cell prior to virion budding. Further supporting this model is the evidence that MLV virions are only slightly enriched for the tRNA{Pro} primer, see Supplementary Info 1). In HIV-1 (right), NC does not bind with high affinity to the U5-PBS domain or to the primer tRNA{Lys,3}. Furthermore, the tRNA{Lys,3} primer is highly enriched in HIV-1 virions which leads to primer annealing after virion budding, mediated by weak, non-specific interactions of HIV-1 NC with the viral and primer RNAs. For the sake of simplicity, only one of the two packaged retroviral genome copies is shown.

Extended Data Figure 2: ITC analysis identifies entropically driven high-affinity binding by MLV NC Secondary structures of the (a) U5-PBS domain (monomeric form, see Supplemental Info 1) and (b) tRNAPro primer required for MLV reverse transcription. ITC data for NC interactions with the U5-PBS, tRNA{Pro}, and respective mutant RNAs [V1M= G110U; V2M= G130A; T1M= G9A; T2M= G35A,G36A,G37A; T3M was not made since G23 is part of a critical base triple]. Continuous lines represent the fit for two- and three-site binding models for U5-PBS and tRNA{Pro}, respectively. As expected, V1M is fit with one-site binding model, while V1MV2M abolishes NC binding. Similarly, T1M and T2M are fit with two-site binding models, while
T1_M T2_M is fit with a one-site binding model. The thermodynamic parameters represent averages from three ITC experiments. Entropy values were calculated using $T = 303$ K. The net gain in entropy (green) and loss in enthalpy (red) are shown for site T2 in full-length tRNA_{Pro} in comparison to the isolated anticodon SL RNA. The favorable enthalpy resulting from zinc finger interaction with the anticodon loop in tRNA_{Pro} ($\Delta H = -14.2$ kcal/mol) is offset by loss of enthalpy in RNA elements beyond the anticodon stem (loss of 9.8 kcal/mol), and consequently, a significant entropic compensation (gain of 11.5 kcal/mol is observed) (see Supplementary Info 7).

(c) Titration of NC into a mixture of tRNA_{Pro} and U5-PBS, Lanes 1, 2, 3 and 4 correspond to 1, 2, 3 and 4 equivalents of NC. Addition of four equivalents of NC to a mixture of tRNA_{Pro} and U5-PBS led to a near complete annealing of the molecule. For this experiment, the U5-PBS dimeric construct was used since for a functional assay, the entire 18-nucleotide primer binding site is required. NMR studies on this construct however, showed that the construct is in equilibrium with the monomeric form. This experiment demonstrates that only a few NC molecules are required to yield a functional complex.

(d) Reverse transcription of heat-annealed versus nucleocapsid-annealed MLV U5-PBS RNA-tRNA_{Pro} initiation complexes. The 10-nucleotide DNA product is obtained by reverse transcriptase terminating transcription after incorporating ddCTP at position 10 (and subsequent removal of the tRNA nucleotides by RNase treatment).

(e) ITC data for MLV NC binding to tRNA_{Pro} T2_M (AGGG$_{34}$ is mutated to AAAA$_{34}$). ITC data confirming that base modifications are not required for NC binding. ITC data were fit well with one-site binding model. Dissociation constants and stoichiometry values (N) indicate the averages for three independent titrations.

(g) A packaging assay confirms that the mutations do not affect genome encapsidation. As a positive control, the observed decrease for $\Psi$ (C331G) control is similar to that previously observed$^{37}$, and the non-template control (NTC) exhibits no viral RNA signal.

**Extended Data Figure 3:** (a) Top: Portion of the $^1$H-$^1$H 2D NOESY spectrum showing imino-to-imino NOEs for the MLV U5-PBS RNA. Data were collected at 10°C in 10 mM NaCl and 10 mM Tris (pH 5.0). Imino-to-imino connectivities for the upper stem are shown in orange, while those for the lower stem are shown in blue. Inset: Secondary structure of the MLV U5-PBS construct used for structural and biochemical studies. Grey residues indicate non-native positions; the G96C:C157G base pair represents a basepair-swap alteration; the terminal G-C
base-pair was added for the purposes of transcription efficiency and SmaI digestion of the DNA template. Residues for which the imino protons have been assigned are shown in orange (upper stem) or blue (lower stem). Bottom: Portions of the $^1$H-$^{15}$N 2D-HSQC spectra for $^{15}$N, $^{13}$C-labeled MLV U5-PBS. The imino resonances for U- and G-labeled samples are shown, as are two A$_{98}$ amino resonances with unusually downfield chemical shift values, which are observed for the A-labeled sample. Formation of the stacking interactions of G$_{155}$ inside the helix is shown on the right. This is also confirmed by a 155-to-156 walk in the D$_2$O 2D NOESY spectrum (data not shown). (b) Portion of the $^1$H-$^1$H 2D NOESY imino spectrum overlay for the native U5-PBS and G96C:C157G base pair swap construct, which was made to aid in unambiguous assignments of the otherwise five consecutive Gs. Importantly, similar binding affinity with the NC protein were obtained compared to the native. No change in the imino-to-imino connectivity were observed for the RNA except at the expected mutation site (shown in boxed region). In fact, the (GA$_{98}$, GU$_{156}$) bulge immediately above the G-C swap maintains the exact chemical shifts, intensity, etc., as the native construct, demonstrating that the two constructs have similar secondary structures. (c) U5-PBS NMR ensemble alignments: Ensemble of lowest energy AMBER structures is shown. They are aligned by the top portion (left) or bottom portion (right) of the molecule. Nucleocapsid binding sites V1 (UCUG$_{110}$) and V2 (UCAG$_{130}$) are shown in red and orange, respectively. Left: Alignment of residues 112-125 and 132-144, yielding an RMSD value of 0.6 ± 0.2 Å. Right: Alignment of residues 94-106 and 147-159, excluding G155, which appears from NOE data to exhibit multiple conformations. The resulting RMSD for alignment of the bottom residues is 1.2 ± 0.5 Å.

Extended Data Figure 4: (a) 3D NOESY-HMQC strip plot of the $^{13}$C-edited $^1$H-$^1$H planes for C$_{128}$ and A$_{129}$ aromatic and H1’ protons. NOEs from A$_{129}$ H8 to the preceding C$_{128}$ ribose protons are indicative of stacking interaction between the two residues. In contrast, the minor conformation that is populated upon NC binding does not give rise to any inter-residue NOEs (data not shown). (b) U$_{121}$ and A$_{122}$ NOEs indicate a break in regular stacking interactions between these two residues. Strip plots of $^{13}$C-edited $^1$H-$^1$H planes for U$_{121}$ H2’ and A$_{122}$ H2 from 3D NOESY-HMQC spectra are shown. The U$_{121}$ H2’-C$_{123}$ H6 and A$_{122}$ H2-G$_{135}$ H1’ long-range NOEs are indicated in red. (c) Structure of the UCAG tetraloop. The U-G hydrogen bonds, characteristic of UNCG tetraloops, are shown in green. (d) The A$_{122}$ bulge forms a triple base
interaction. Hydrogen bonds are shown in green, and the A_{122} H_{2}-G_{113} H_{1}' distance is indicated by a solid black line. (e) Strip plots of \textsuperscript{13}C edited \textsuperscript{1}H-\textsuperscript{1}H planes from 3D NOESY HMQC spectra of MLV U5-PBS, showing interresidue NOE connectivities. Black, dashed lines show sequential interresidue connectivities, while the orange lines represent long-range interactions. The strong G_{110} H_{8}-H_{1}' intraresidue NOE is indicative of a syn glycosidic torsion angle. Residues in the PBS and NC binding site are labeled with blue and red, respectively. (f) Lowest energy AMBER structures of the (UCUG\textsubscript{111}, UU\textsubscript{146}) internal loop, showing the flexibility of U\textsubscript{107} due to lack of interresidue NOEs. Alignment of residues 108-111 and 144-146 yields an RMSD of 0.8 ± 0.3 Å. (g) Portions from 3D NOESY-HMQC spectra collected for selectively labeled \textsuperscript{13}C,\textsuperscript{15}N-U5-PBS. As evidence for the ribose-zipper motif, intense H_{1}'-H_{1}' interresidue connectivities are observed between U146 and C108, which are located on opposite strands. (h) Portions from 3D NOESY-HMQC spectra collected for selectively labeled \textsuperscript{13}C,\textsuperscript{15}N-U5-PBS in complex with NC. Both the ribose and aromatic protons of the uracil do not give rise to any inter-residue NOEs indicating that lack of thus uracils interaction with neighboring residues. An inset of the secondary structure of the bulge region is shown to visualize the availability of the released uracils. (i), (j) \textsuperscript{1}H-\textsuperscript{13}C 2D HMQC spectra collected with 0 (black) and 0.9 (red) equivalents of NC. Perturbation of G\textsubscript{155}, U\textsubscript{156} signals toward the minor (*) conformation are shown by dashed lines. Blue asterisk indicates the emergence of new freed uridine resonances following NC addition. (k) Specific perturbation of G\textsubscript{100} is seen by selective loss of imino NOE to G\textsubscript{101}. (l) A portion of 2D-NOESY spectra collected in D\textsubscript{2}O for a 1:1-Ψ-complex:NC complex (black) and U5-PBS:NC complex (red). The complete match of the two data set indicate that, similar to Ψ: NC interaction, the NC tails continue to exist in random coil confirmation upon complex formation (DSouza and Summers, Nature, 2002).

Extended Data Figure 5: Assignment of anticodon stemloop in tRNA\textsuperscript{Pro} (see Supplementary Info 4): 2D \textsuperscript{1}H-\textsuperscript{1}H NOESY spectra for the isolated anticodon stemloop (a) and full-length tRNA\textsuperscript{Pro} (b) constructs, with blue lines indicating inter- and intra-residue aromatic-to-H_{1}' NOE connectivities.

Extended Data Figure 6: Assignment of the acceptor-TΨC stemloop construct confirms proper coaxial stacking in the context of the full-length tRNA\textsuperscript{Pro} tertiary structure (see Supplementary
Info 4). 2D $^1$H-$^1$H NOESY spectra for the isolated acceptor-TΨC stemloop (a) and full-length tRNA$^{Pro}$ (b) constructs, with black and red lines indicating inter- and intra-residue aromatic-to-H1’ NOE connectivities in the acceptor stem and TΨC-stemloop, respectively.

**Extended Data Figure 7:** Assignment of the D-stemloop (see Supplementary Info 4): Spectra for the isolated D stemloop (a) and full-length tRNA$^{Pro}$ (b) constructs, with lines indicating inter- and intra-residue aromatic-to-H1’ NOE connectivities. The chemical shifts of residues in the D stemloop are drastically altered in the context of the full-length tRNA due to the formation of multiple long-range interactions between the D-stemloop and other regions in the tertiary structure of tRNA$^{Pro}$. (c) Extreme sensitivity of the tRNA$^{Pro}$ elbow tertiary interaction to temperature. At higher temperature, the D-stemloop loses its long-range interaction with TΨC loop, and chemical shifts resemble those for the D-stemloop in the isolated form (see Supplementary Info 4). (d),(e) Sensitivity of the tRNA$^{Pro}$ elbow tertiary interaction to magnesium divalent salt. The data show the downfield shift of the U$_{53}$ base in TΨC loop and the characteristic signal for G$_{16}$, G$_{18}$ that arises as the D-loop becomes structured upon elbow interaction formation. In contrast, the lower right panel shows the insensitivity of the isolated TΨC loop to divalent salts.

**Extended Data Figure 8:** (a) 2D-NOESY of a G$^H$ sample of the T2$_M$ construct wherein only the guanosines were protonated and the other three nucleotides were deuterated. This mutant was chosen to unambiguously assign the G resonances from the D-loop and the variable loop by reducing the spectral complexity from the G-rich anticodon loop. (b) Strips from fully protonated and G-protonated 2D NOESY spectra showing direct evidence for D-loop:TΨC interaction (see Supplemental Info 4). The left panel is data collected at low temperature that allows us to confirm the long-range assignment because the A$_{38}$,H1’ NOE spin diffuses to the aromatic proton of G$_{18}$ via G$_{18}$. (c) A portion of 2D-NOESY spectrum showing H8/H1’ correlations for the selectively protonated T2$_M$-G$^H$ tRNA$^{Pro}$ sample. Data for the T2$_M$ construct are shown to demonstrate that the observed structural changes are due solely to NC binding to site T1 rather than site T2. Addition of only 0.1 NC equivalent results in immediate perturbation of specific signals (G$_6$ and G$_9$), confirming the location of the first NC binding site. (d) Portion of 2D-NOESY spectra collected with 0 (black) and 0.3 (red) NC equivalents, showing the preservation
of stacking interaction between the D-stem and anticodon stem (lower panel), whereas the walk between the acceptor stem and TΨC stem is disrupted. (e) Portion of 2D-NOESY spectra collected with 0 (black) and 0.3 (red) NC equivalents. Selective perturbation of the helical walk between the tenth and eleventh anti-PBS residues, $^{10}C_{67}$ and $^{11}A_{66}$, is shown. In contrast, the typical minor groove connectivity of the $A_{69}$ H2 shows the preservation of adjacent intramolecular associations of the seventh ($^7G_{68}$), eighth ($^8A_{69}$) and ninth ($^9G_{70}$) anti-PBS residues. (f) Strips from fully protonated sample showing the NOE walk from the D-stem to the loop residue G15 that forms the critical Levitt basepair with C48. This arrangement leads to an unusual downfield shift of the C48 H1’ (labeled in red); see Supplementary Info 4.

**Extended Data Figure 9:** (a) Imino resonances for full-length tRNA$^{Pro}$ indicate proper tertiary structure formation. Portions of the $^1$H-$^1$N 2D HSQC spectra for U- (top) and G-labeled (center) full-length tRNA$^{Pro}$ constructs, with imino assignments indicated. A portion of the $^1$H-$^1$H 2D-NOESY spectrum showing imino-to-imino NOEs is shown at the bottom. (b) A portion of 2D-NOESY spectra collected in D$_2$O for a 1:1-Ψ:NC complex (black) and tRNA$^{Pro}$ T$_2$M:NC complex (red). The complete match of the two data sets indicates that, similar to Ψ: NC interaction, the NC tails continue to exist in a random coil conformation upon complex formation$^{19}$. (c) Intermolecular NOEs characteristic of the fourth G of an NNNG motif entering the hydrophobic pocket of the NC protein zinc finger are observed for G9 of the GUUG$_9$; An r(GUUG) construct essentially gives the same NOE pattern as the tRNA$^{Pro}$ GUUG$_9$ upon interacting with NC, and was used as a guide for assignments. This technique has been used previously for the genome-Ψ-packaging:NC complex. The tRNA$^{Pro}$ T$_2$M construct was used for assignments since it has no effect on site T1 binding but allows for unambiguous assignments; i.e., it ensures that no NC is bound to the second site at 1:1 titration. Nevertheless, we have confirmed via HMQCs that identical site T1 binding chemical shifts are observed in the native and the T$_2$M mutant. The packing of U$_7$ against the Tyr28 side chain protons leads to a downfield shift of the H5 and H1’ protons of U$_7$.

**Extended Data Figure 10:** 2D NOESY characterization of NC binding to site T2 and site T3. (a) Characteristic anticodon G$_{37}$ residue from the AGG$_{37}$ binding site to NC zinc-finger intermolecular NOEs. The isolated anticodon (SL) construct essentially gives the same NOE
pattern as the site T2 tRNA\textsubscript{Pro} binding upon interacting with NC. However, this pattern is observed only upon titration of NC over 1:1 in the tRNA\textsubscript{Pro} construct, demonstrating the sequential binding involved in NC-mediated remodeling. (b) Characteristic anticodon G\textsubscript{23} residue from the UAUG\textsubscript{23} binding site to NC zinc-finger intermolecular NOEs. The tRNA\textsubscript{Pro} T\textsubscript{1M}T\textsubscript{2M} construct NOE pattern was an exact match to that of NC: r(UAUG)\textsubscript{23} complex, explicitly confirming the third NC binding site T3. The Tyr28.hd proton of NC protein displays an intermolecular NOE to the downfield-shifted H5 proton of U\textsubscript{20}, which indicates packing of RNA protons against the Tyr28 side chain protons. Furthermore, the presence of characteristic intermolecular NOEs between H8 proton of G\textsubscript{23} and Trp35 aromatic H5 and H6 protons indicates specific insertion of G\textsubscript{23} into the hydrophobic pocket of zinc knuckle domain of the NC protein. (c) Structure of NC bound to tRNA\textsubscript{Pro} T\textsubscript{1M}T\textsubscript{2M}. (d), (e) Statistics for the NMR-derived structures for U5-PBS and tRNA\textsubscript{Pro} in the free form and in various NC-complexed states, respectively.