The exact constant in the Rosenthal inequality for random variables with mean zero

The Harvard community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Published Version</td>
<td><a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/S0040585X97978762">http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/S0040585X97978762</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citable link</td>
<td><a href="http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:2623703">http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:2623703</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terms of Use</td>
<td>This article was downloaded from Harvard University’s DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <a href="http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA">http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE EXACT CONSTANT IN THE ROSENTHAL INEQUALITY FOR RANDOM VARIABLES WITH MEAN ZERO∗

R. IBRAHIMOV† AND SH. SHARAKHMETOV‡

(Translated by V. A. Vatutin)

Abstract. Let $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$ be independent random variables with $E\xi_i = 0$, $E|\xi_i|^t < \infty$, $t > 2$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and let $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i$. In the present paper we prove that the exact constant $C(2m)$ in the Rosenthal inequality

$$E|S_n|^t \leq C(t) \max \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|\xi_i|^t, \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\xi_i^2 \right)^{t/2} \right)$$

for $t = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, is given by

$$C(2m) = (2m)! \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \sum_{r=1}^{m} \prod_{k=1}^{r} \frac{(m_k!)^{-j_k} j_k!}{j!},$$

where the inner sum is taken over all natural $m_1 > m_2 > \cdots > m_r > 1$ and $j_1, \ldots, j_r$ satisfying the conditions $m_1 j_1 + \cdots + m_r j_r = 2m$ and $j_1 + \cdots + j_r = j$. Moreover

$$C(2m) = E(\theta - 1)^{2m},$$

where $\theta$ is a Poisson random variable with parameter 1.
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Let $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n$ be independent random variables (r.v.’s) with $E\xi_i = 0$, $E|\xi_i|^t < \infty$, $t > 2$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i$. The following inequality is valid [1]:

$$E|S_n|^t \leq C(t) \max \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} E|\xi_i|^t, \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} E\xi_i^2 \right)^{t/2} \right),$$

where $C(t)$ is a constant depending only on $t$. A number of papers (see, for instance, [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], and [18]), deal with refinements and generalizations of inequality (1) and its analogues. It is shown in [8] that the unimprovable constant $C(t)$ in inequality (1) has the order of growth $t/\log t$. Papers [9], [10], and [18] contain the following explicit expressions (being obtained independently) for the exact constant $C(t)$ in (1) for symmetrically distributed r.v.’s: $C_s(t) = 1 + 2^{t/2} \Gamma((t + 1)/2) / \sqrt{\pi}$, $2 < t < 4$, $C_s(t) = E|\theta_1 - \theta_2|^t$, $t \geq 4$, where $\Gamma(a) = \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{a-1} e^{-x} dx$ and $\theta_1$, $\theta_2$ are independent Poisson r.v.’s with parameter 0.5. In [11] the exact value of the constant is found in an analogue of inequality (1) for nonnegative r.v.’s. The same paper also contains some results related
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to the exact constants in the inequality $E |S_n|^t \leq C_1(t) \sum_{i=1}^n E |\xi_i|^t + C_2(t) (\sum_{i=1}^n E \xi_i^2)^{t/2}$, which, like estimate (1), is often called the Rosenthal inequality.

Papers [12], [13], and [14] investigate the problems of finding the exact bounds for the moments of sums of independent r.v.’s in terms of characteristics of particular summands which are closely related to problems of unimprovable constants in moment inequalities. Additional information about basic inequalities for moments of sums of independent r.v.’s as well as a list of relevant papers can be found in [15].

The present paper is close in spirit to the paper of Pinelis and Utev [13] and is devoted to finding the exact constant in a general Rosenthal inequality (assuming no symmetry of the r.v.’s in question) for an integer even $t$. The results of the paper were announced in [16].

The following theorem is valid.

**Theorem.** For $t = 2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the exact constant $\overline{C}(2m)$ in inequality (1) has the form

$$\overline{C}(2m) = (2m)! \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \sum_{r=1}^{j} \prod_{k=1}^{r} \frac{(m_k!)^{-j_k}}{j_k!},$$

where the internal sum is taken over all natural $m_1 > m_2 > \cdots > m_r > 1$ and $j_1, \ldots, j_r$, satisfying the conditions $m_1j_1 + \cdots + m_rj_r = 2m$, $j_1 + \cdots + j_r = j$. Moreover,

$$\overline{C}(2m) = E (\theta - 1)^{2m},$$

where $\theta$ is a Poisson random variable with parameter 1.

**Remark 1.** Clearly, (2) may be rewritten in the following form which looks a bit simpler:

$$\overline{C}(2m) = (2m)! \sum_{k=1}^{2m-1} \frac{1}{jk! ((k+1)!)^{j_k}},$$

where the sum is taken over all integer-valued solutions of $2j_1 + 3j_2 + \cdots + 2mj_{2m-1} = 2m$.

**Remark 2.** It is interesting to note that the exact constant $\overline{C}(2m)$ in the general Rosenthal inequality does not coincide with the exact constant $\overline{C}_s(2m)$ in the Rosenthal inequality for r.v.’s with symmetric distributions. According to Remark 1 and relation (7.4) in [17], $\overline{C}(2m)$ is equal to the number of partitions of a set consisting of $2m$ elements into the parts each of which contains more than one element, while $\overline{C}_s(2m)$ (see [10]) is equal to the number of the partitions of the set into the parts each of which contains an even number of elements.

To demonstrate the theorem we need a number of auxiliary results.

Let $U_1, U_2$ be independent r.v.’s with distributions $P\{U_i = 1\} = P\{U_i = -1\} = \frac{1}{2}$, $i = 1, 2$, let $G$ be a finite positive $\sigma$-additive measure on the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ of Borel subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, and let $T(G)$ be an r.v. with characteristic function

$$E e^{itT(G)} = \exp \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (e^{itx} - 1) \, dG(x) \right).$$

Repeating completely the line of reasoning used in [14] (see also [11]) we establish the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous nonnegative function satisfying the conditions

$$f(x) + E f(a_1U_1 + a_2U_2 + x) \geq E f(a_1U_1 + x) + E f(a_2U_1 + x), \quad a_1, a_2, x \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$|f(a_1 + a_2)| \leq C(1 + |f(a_1)|) (1 + |f(a_2)|), \quad a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R},$$

($C$ is a constant). If $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \, dG(x) < \infty$, then

$$\sup_{n, \xi_k} E f(S_n) = E f(T(G)),$$
where \( \sup \) is taken over all independent zero mean random variables \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \) such that \( \sum_{i=1}^n P\{\xi_i \in A \setminus \{0\}\} = G(A), A \in B(\mathbb{R}) \).

**Remark 3.** By analogy with Remark 1 in [11] it is easy to show that if \( f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is a twice differentiable function, then (4) is a corollary of the convexity of \( f'(x) \) which implies the L-superadditivity of the function \( g(a_1, a_2, x) = E f(a_1 U_1 + a_2 U_2 + x) \) (see also [14]).

Put \( A_{k,n} = A_{k,n}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n E \xi_i^k, k = 1, 2, \ldots, 2m, B_n = B_n(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) = A_{1/2}^{1/2} \). By Lemma 1 with \( f(x) = x^{2m} \) and by the Bruno formula for the derivative of the composite function \( \exp(g(t) - g(0)) \), where \( g(t) = \exp(\int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{itx}dG(x)) \), we obtain that for fixed \( A_{k,n}, k = 2, \ldots, 2m, \)

\[
\sup E S_n^{2m} = (2m)! \sum_{r=0}^{2m} \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{A_{mk,n}(m_k!)^{-j_k}}{j_k!},
\]

where the internal sum is taken over all natural \( m_1 > m_2 > \cdots > m_r > 1 \) and \( j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_r \), meeting the condition \( m_1 j_1 + \cdots + m_r j_r = 2m \).

Let some \( A_{2m}, B, D > 0 \) be given. Denote \( M_1(m, A_{2m}, B) = \sup_{n, \xi_n} E S_n^{2m}, \) where the \( \sup \) is taken over all independent r.v.'s \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \) with zero mean and such that \( A_{2m,n} \leq A_{2m}, B_n \leq B; M_2(m, D) = \sup_{n, \xi_n} E S_n^{2m}, \) where the \( \sup \) is taken over all independent r.v.'s \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \) with zero mean and fixed \( \max(A_{2m,n}, B_{2m}^{2m}) = D. \)

**Lemma 2.** For any integer \( s \in (2, 2m), \)

\[
|A_{s,n}| \leq (A_{2m,n}^{s-2} B_{2m}^{(2m-s)})^{1/(2m-2)},
\]

and for any \( m > 1, A_{2m} > 0, B > 0 \) there exists a sequence of series of random variables \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n, n \geq n_0, \) with \( E \xi_i = 0, i = 1, \ldots, n, \) being independent within each series, such that \( A_{2m,n} \leq A_{2m}, B_n(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) = B, \) and

\[
A_{s,n}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \to (A_{2m}^{s-2} B_{2m}^{(2m-s)})^{1/(2m-2)} \quad n \to \infty,
\]

for all integer \( s \in (2, 2m). \)

**Proof.** Since \( \sum_{i=1}^n E |\xi|^s = \int_{-\infty}^\infty |x|^s dG(x) \), inequality (7) follows from the Lyapunov inequality. For \( n \geq (B_{2m}/A_{2m})^{2/(m-1)} \) put

\[
a_n = \sqrt{n} \left( \frac{\sqrt{n} + 1}{n^{2(m-1)/2} + 1} \right)^{1/(2m-2)} \left( \frac{A_{2m}}{B^2} \right)^{1/(2m-2)},
b_n = \left( \frac{\sqrt{n} + 1}{n^{2(m-1)/2} + 1} \right)^{1/(2m-2)} \left( \frac{A_{2m}}{B^2} \right)^{1/(2m-2)},
p_n = \left( \frac{n^{(2m-1)/2} + 1}{n^{3/2} \sqrt{n} + 1} \right)^{1/(m-1)} \left( \frac{B^{2m}}{A_{2m}} \right)^{1/(m-1)},
q_n = \left( \frac{n^{(2m-1)/2} + 1}{n^{(2m-1)/2} + 1} \right)^{1/(m-1)} \left( \frac{B^{2m}}{A_{2m}} \right)^{1/(m-1)}.
\]

Clearly, \( p_n + q_n \leq n^{-1/2} (B_{2m}/A_{2m})^{1/(m-1)} \leq 1. \) Let \( P\{\xi_i = a_n\} = p_n, P\{\xi_i = -b_n\} = q_n, P\{\xi_i = 0\} = 1 - p_n - q_n, \) \( i = 1, \ldots, n. \) It is not difficult to check that \( E \xi_i = 0, i = 1, \ldots, n, A_{2m,n}(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) = A_{2m}, B_n(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) = B, \) and for all integer \( s \in (2, 2m), \) relation (8) is valid.

**Lemma 2** and relation (6) imply the following statement.

**Lemma 3.** For \( A_{2m}, B > 0 \)

\[
M_i(m, A_{2m}, B) = (2m)! \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \left( \sum_{r=1}^i \sum_{k=1}^r \frac{(m_k!)^{-j_k}}{j_k!} \right) (A_{2m}^{m-j} B_{2m}^{(m-j)1/(m-1)}), \quad i = 1, 2,
\]
where the internal sum is extended over all natural \( m_1 > m_2 > \cdots > m_r > 1 \) and \( j_1, \ldots, j_r \) satisfying the conditions \( m_1 j_1 + \cdots + m_r j_r = 2m, j_1 + \cdots + j_r = j \).

Proof of the theorem. Lemma 3 and the obvious inequality \( M_1(m, D, D^{1/2m}) \leq M_2(m, D, D^{1/2m}) \) yield

\[
M(m, D) = (2m)! \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \sum_{r=1}^{j} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \frac{(m_k!)^{-j_k} D}{j_k!},
\]

where the internal sum is taken over all natural \( m_1 > m_2 > \cdots > m_r > 1 \) and \( j_1, \ldots, j_r \), satisfying the conditions \( m_1 j_1 + \cdots + m_r j_r = 2m, j_1 + \cdots + j_r = j \). Hence, taking into account the equality \( C(2m) = \sup_{D>0} M(m, D)/D \), we obtain (2).

We show that relations (2) and (3) are equivalent. Let \( \theta \) be a Poisson r.v. with parameter 1. Denote by \( T_m \) the number of partitions of a set consisting of \( m \) elements into the parts each of which contains more than one element. According to formula (7.21) in [17] the following relation is valid:

\[
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} T_m \frac{t^m}{m!} = e^t - t - 1.
\]

Since \( E e^{\theta t} = (1/e) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{\theta k}/k! = e^{\theta t} - 1 \), it follows from (9) that \( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} T_m t^m/m! = E e^{(\theta - 1)t} \) or

\[
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} T_m \frac{t^m}{m!} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E (\theta - 1)^m \frac{t^m}{m!}.
\]

Representation (10) implies \( T_m = E (\theta - 1)^m \). Since \( C(2m) = T_{2m} \) by Remark 2, it follows that \( C(2m) = E (\theta - 1)^{2m} \). The theorem is proved.

A hypothesis. Coincidence of a number of results in [10] and [11] established for r.v.’s having symmetric distributions with known results for r.v.’s with zero mean (see Remark 4 in [10] and Remark 5 in [11]) makes plausible the hypothesis that for \( 2 < t < 4 \) the exact constant \( C(t) \) in the general Rosenthal inequality (1) coincides with the exact constant \( C_2(t) \) in inequality (1) for r.v.’s having symmetric distributions. In light of the results of the present paper it seems plausible that \( C(t) = E |\theta - 1|^t \) for \( t \geq 4 \), where \( \theta \) is a Poisson r.v. with parameter 1.
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