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Cardiovascular Event Prediction and Risk Reclassification by Coronary, Aortic, and Valvular Calcification in the Framingham Heart Study

Udo Hoffmann, MD, MPH; Joseph M. Massaro, PhD; Ralph B. D’Agostino, Sr, PhD; Sekar Kathiresan, MD; Caroline S. Fox, MD, MPH; Christopher J. O’Donnell, MD, MPH

Background—We determined whether vascular and valvular calcification predicted incident major coronary heart disease, cardiovascular disease (CVD), and all-cause mortality independent of Framingham risk factors in the community-based Framingham Heart Study.

Methods and Results—Coronary artery calcium (CAC), thoracic and abdominal aortic calcium, and mitral or aortic valve calcium were measured by cardiac computed tomography in participants free of CVD. Participants were followed for a median of 8 years. Multivariate Cox proportional hazards models were used to determine association of CAC, thoracic and abdominal aortic calcium, and mitral and aortic valve calcium with end points. Improvement in discrimination beyond risk factors was tested via the C-statistic and net reclassification index. In this cohort of 3486 participants (mean age 50 ± 10 years; 51% female), CAC was most strongly associated with major coronary heart disease, followed by major CVD, and all-cause mortality independent of Framingham risk factors. Among noncoronary calciﬁcations, mitral valve calcium was associated with major CVD and all-cause mortality independent of Framingham risk factors and CAC. CAC signiﬁcantly improved discriminatory value beyond risk factors for coronary heart disease (area under the curve 0.78–0.82; net reclassiﬁcation index 32%, 95% CI 11–53) but not for CVD. CAC accurately reclassiﬁed 85% of the 261 patients who were at intermediate (5–10%) 10-year risk for coronary heart disease based on Framingham risk factors to either low risk (n=172; no events observed) or high risk (n=53; observed event rate 8%).
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Cardiovascular disease (CVD), the leading cause of death in men and women,1 is preceded by calcified atherosclerotic plaques in multiple major vascular beds and calcification in the heart valves. Atherosclerosis is systemic disease of the arterial vascular wall. Asymptomatic forms of “subclinical” atherosclerosis, including calcified plaques, can be detected as early as adolescence and progress with age.2 Development and progression of atherosclerosis are strongly associated with major cardiovascular risk factors. Subclinical atherosclerosis manifesting as coronary artery calcification (CAC) is associated with future coronary heart disease (CHD), independent of traditional risk factors, and CAC improves discrimination and classiﬁcation of CHD risk overall and in persons at intermediate risk by placing more persons in the most extreme risk categories.3,4 Similar associations with incident CHD and/or CVD have been reported for noncoronary calciﬁcations including the thoracic and abdominal aorta using either plain radiographs5–9 or computed tomography.
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(CT) scans\textsuperscript{10–12} and for aortic sclerosis using echocardiography.\textsuperscript{13} Nevertheless, it remains largely unclear whether calcium measures obtained in multiple vascular beds and the major left heart valves are incremental to CAC for reclassification of risk for cardiovascular events.

The primary objective of the current study, in a large prospective community-based white cohort, was to determine prediction, discrimination, and reclassification of risk for cardiovascular events by CAC and by noncoronary aortic and valvular calcifications, reflecting the systemic nature of atherosclerotic disease, and to determine whether associations seen for CHD can be extended to CVD end points and overall mortality.

Methods

Details regarding the Framingham Heart Study population, the selection criteria and design of the Framingham multidetector CT (MDCT) imaging study, and the method of calcium measurements have been published and described elsewhere.\textsuperscript{14–17}

Study Population

Participants for this study were drawn from the Offspring and Third Generation cohorts of the community-based Framingham Heart Study. Participants in the analysis attended the Offspring seventh examination cycle (1998–2001) or the Third Generation first examination cycle (2002–2005) and had complete risk factor information. Inclusion in the Framingham MDCT study was weighted toward participants from larger Framingham Heart Study families and those who resided in the Greater New England area. Participating men were aged \( \geq 35 \) years, and women were aged \( \geq 40 \) years. In addition, women were not pregnant (confirmed by a urine pregnancy test), and all participants weighed \(< 350 \) lb according to MDCT scanner specifications. The institutional review boards of the Boston University Medical Center and Massachusetts General Hospital approved the study. All participants provided written consent.

CT Imaging of the Chest and Abdomen

Participants were imaged on an 8-slice MDCT scanner (LightSpeed Ultra; General Electric) using established non-contrast imaging protocols for the heart (slice thickness 1.25 mm) and the abdomen (slice thickness 2.5 mm), aided by landmarks identified in an initial scout film.\textsuperscript{14} Cardiac CT scanning was performed during breath hold with the acquisition triggered to the cardiac cycle, resulting in diastolic images.\textsuperscript{18} Immediately after chest imaging was completed, the participant was repositioned, and abdominal imaging was performed in spiral CT mode covering 15 cm cranial from the top of the S1 vertebral body. The effective radiation exposure was 1.0 to 1.25 mSv imaging of the heart and 2.7 mSv for the abdomen.

Measurements of Vascular and Valvular Calcifications

All MDCT scans were read independently by experienced readers using a dedicated offline workstation (Aquarius; Terarecon). All calcifications were measured using published, highly reproducible methods, as described previously, based on a modified Agatston score (AS).\textsuperscript{19} CAC was defined as calcification along the course of the coronary arteries.\textsuperscript{14} Thoracic aortic calcification was defined as calcification within the aortic wall above the diaphragm, and abdominal aortic calcification (AAC) was defined as calcification above the iliac bifurcation and below the diaphragm.\textsuperscript{15} Aortic valve calcium was defined as calcium deposits of the aortic cusps or nodular deposits at the coaptation points of the aortic cusps. Mitral valve calcium (MVC) was defined as calcium deposits in the region of the annulus and/or the mitral valve leaflets.\textsuperscript{17} Participants and their care providers were informed of the findings only for CAC >90th percentile.

Risk Factor Measurements

The standard clinic examination at the Offspring seventh cycle or the Third Generation first examination cycle included a physician interview, a physical examination, and laboratory tests. Body mass index was defined as weight (in kilograms) divided by the square of height (in meters) and was measured at each index examination. Adult-onset diabetes was defined as fasting glucose \( \geq 126 \) mg/dL at a Framingham examination or treatment with either insulin or a hypoglycemic agent. Participants were considered to be current smokers if they smoked at least 1 cigarette per day for the previous year. Blood pressure was measured in the left arm of the seated participant using a standardized protocol. Systolic and diastolic blood pressures were obtained using standardized protocols, as reported previously.\textsuperscript{20} Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure \( \geq 140 \) mm Hg, diastolic blood pressure \( \geq 90 \) mm Hg, or use of antihypertensive drug treatment. Total and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol measurements were obtained using standardized protocols, as reported previously.\textsuperscript{20} Hypercholesterolemia was defined as total cholesterol \( \geq 240 \) mg/dL or use of lipid-lowering drug treatment.

Patients with prior stroke, congestive heart failure, myocardial infarction, coronary insufficiency, coronary artery bypass grafting, valve replacement, or percutaneous coronary stent placement were excluded from the analyses.
CVD Outcomes

In the Framingham Heart Study, CVD in previous risk algorithms was defined as CHD (ie, a fatal coronary event, myocardial infarction) or a cerebrovascular event (ie, ischemic stroke, hemorrhagic stroke).21 For the purpose of this study, major CHD events included recognized myocardial infarction and death from CHD, and major CVD events included major CHD events and ischemic stroke, in accordance with atherosclerotic CVD end points defined in the 2013 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association risk assessment guidelines.22 In addition, we determined all-cause mortality. All study participants were under continuous surveillance for the development of CVD events and death, and information about CVD events on follow-up was obtained with the aid of medical histories, physical examinations at the study clinic, hospitalization records, and communication with personal physicians. All suspected new events were reviewed by a panel of 3 experienced investigators who evaluated all pertinent medical records. A separate review committee that included a neurologist adjudicated cerebrovascular events, and a heart study neurologist examined most participants with suspected stroke.

Statistical Analysis

The primary outcome of interest was major CHD. Additional outcomes were major CVD and all-cause mortality.

CAC, AAC, thoracic aortic calcification, MVC, and aortic valve calcium were the primary exposures. CAC was stratified into categories of 0, 1 to 100, 101 to 300, and >300. All other measures of calcification were treated as continuous variables with natural logarithmic transformation of the modified AS. Log-transformed continuous CAC was a secondary exposure.

For each outcome analysis, participants for whom the outcome was already prevalent at baseline were excluded.

After checking the assumption of proportional hazards for both calcium measures and outcomes (all P>0.10), we used Cox proportional hazards regression models to relate each calcification measure to time to event. Multivariable models were initially adjusted for Framingham risk factors (FRFs), as reported by D’Agostino et al21 (age, sex, systolic blood pressure, prevalence of antihypertensive treatment, prevalence of diabetes, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and current smoking status), followed by a second model for extracoronary calcifications, which was also adjusted for the natural logarithm of CAC.

The discriminatory ability of the Cox models associated with primary outcomes after multivariable adjustment models was assessed with the use of the C-statistic.23 Specifically, the C-statistic from FRF-adjusted multivariate models were compared with risk factors plus the log of coronary calcium–adjusted models.

We further calculated the net reclassification index (NRI) and its 2-sided 95% CI, as done by Pencina et al,24 to assess the incremental discriminatory ability of calcium measures above traditional FRFs. To determine NRI, we used the cut points of 2.5%, 5%, and 10% for major CHD and 2.5%, 6.5%, and 10% for major CVD.

Analyses were performed with the use of SAS software, version 9.2 (SAS Institute). P values were considered significant using a 2-sided 0.05 level of significance and were 2-sided. There was no adjustment for multiple comparisons.

Results

Study Population

Of the 3529 participants undergoing MDCT, 3505 attended Offspring examination 7 or Third Generation examination 1. Of these, 3486 had an evaluable result for at least 1 of the 5 calcium measures of interest, had a complete risk factor profile, and were available for analysis. Table 1 displays descriptive statistics for the cohort that was free of any CVD (major or otherwise; n=3217). Because participants with CHD or CVD outcomes at baseline were excluded from the analyses, there were slightly different sample sizes for major CHD and CVD analyses. Participants had a mean age of 50 years, half were women, and nearly two-thirds were at low risk based on FRFs (<6%) (Table 1). Abdominal aorta calcification was most prevalent (51.2%), followed by CAC (42.5%), whereas calcifications of the thoracic aorta (20.8%) and the valves (7.0–14.5%) were less frequent. All calcium measures were significantly correlated with each other, with the highest correlation observed between CAC and AAC (r=0.10–0.37; all P<0.0001 after adjustment for age and sex).

Outcomes

During a mean follow-up of 8 years, we observed 59 major CHD events (1.7%; 55 myocardial infarction and 4 CHD death); 107 major CVD events (3.1%; 59 CHD events and 48 stroke); and 152 deaths (4.4%), including 74 deaths from cancer, in participants with at least 1 calcium measure.

Prediction of Major CHD, CVD, and Mortality by Calcifications

Major CHD

Figure 1 demonstrates the unadjusted Kaplan–Meier curves by CAC categories for 8 years of follow-up for participants without prevalent major CHD and with an available value for coronary...
artery calcium (n = 3340). Event rates increased with category of CAC (P < 0.001), from 0.5% in participants with AS of 0 (n = 1884, 56.4%) to 0.9% in participants with an AS of 1 to 100 (n = 851, 25.5%), 4.5% in participants with an AS of 101 to 300 (n = 287, 8.6%), and 8.5% in participants with an AS > 300 (n = 318, 9.5%). In both unadjusted and multivariate-adjusted analysis, an AS of 1 to 100 did not carry a significantly elevated event risk (multivariate-adjusted hazard ratio [HR] 1.46, 95% CI 0.57–3.75; P = 0.43), whereas AS of both 101 to 300 and > 300 carried significantly elevated risk for CHD (HR 4.63, 95% CI 1.73–12.40, and HR 9.36, 95% CI 3.60–24.40, respectively; P ≤ 0.002). Log-continuous AS was also significantly associated with an increased risk for major CHD (multivariate-adjusted HR per 1-SD log [AS]: 2.46, 95% CI 1.75–3.48; P < 0.001). Notably, all noncoronary calcifications also predicted major CHD events independent of age, sex, and traditional FRFs (Table 2); however, after further adjustment for CAC, the HR for each noncoronary measure was attenuated and was not statistically significant for prediction of CHD events.

**Major CVD**

Associations for major CVD followed a similar pattern as for major CHD, but associations of CAC with major CVD were generally weaker than for CHD. Figure 2 demonstrates the unadjusted Kaplan–Meier curves by AS categories over 8 years of follow-up. Event rates increased with category of CAC (P < 0.001), from 1.1% in participants with CAC 0% to 2.5% in participants with an AS of 1 to 100, 8.8% in participants with an AS of 101–300, and 13.3% in participants with an AS > 300. In both unadjusted and multivariate-adjusted analysis, an AS of 1 to 100 did not carry a significantly elevated event risk (multivariate-adjusted HR 1.36, 95% CI 0.70–2.63),
whereas AS of both 101 to 300 and >300 carried significantly elevated risk for CVD (HR 3.73, 95% CI 1.86–7.47, and HR 4.27, 95% CI 2.08–8.78, respectively; \( P < 0.001 \)). Log-continuous AS was also significantly associated with an increased risk for major CVD (multivariate HR 1.75, 95% CI 1.37–2.44; \( P < 0.001 \)). MVC is the only noncoronary calcium that predicted major CVD events independent of age and sex, FRFs, and CAC (HR 1.18, 95% CI 1.06–1.32; \( P = 0.003 \)). AAC predicted major CVD events independent of age and sex and FRFs but not independent of CAC, whereas thoracic aortic calcification and aortic valve calcium were not associated with major CVD, adjusting for FRFs and FRFs plus CAC.

Although there were subgroups that carried higher risk for major CHD and CVD within this population (ie, male compared with female and Offspring compared with Third Generation), the associations between calcifications and events were similar, and there was no interaction with sex or age by cohort (detailed results are presented in the supplement).

**All-cause mortality**

There was no increased risk for all-cause mortality between those with CAC of 0 and categorical CAC; however, continuous CAC score was significantly associated with an increased risk for death independent of age, sex, and FRFs, albeit with a lower HR compared with cardiac-specific outcomes. Moreover, the multivariate-adjusted HR per 1-SD increase in log-CAC for CVD mortality was 2.43 (95% CI 1.30–4.56; \( P = 0.006 \)). In addition, both MVC and thoracic aortic calcification remained significantly associated with all-cause mortality even after adjustment for age and sex, FRFs, and CAC (Table 2).

**Sensitivity Analyses**

If results were adjusted simply for the global Framingham risk score instead of individual risk factors contributing to the Framingham risk score, associations between calcification measures and Framingham risk score were even stronger. Results remained unchanged if additional adjustments for cholesterol medication use or body mass index were performed. In addition, smoking status was associated with CAC because former smokers had more CAC than those who never smoked and those who currently smoke. In further analyses, however, there were no significant interactions between smoking status and CAC with respect to outcomes.

**Discrimination and Reclassification for Major CHD and CVD**

**Major CHD risk prediction**

Adding log-CAC to FRFs significantly increased discriminatory ability for major CHD over 8 years of follow-up (C-statistic for
The highest net reclassification of events and non-events occurred in the model of log-continuous CAC and FRFs (NRI 32%, 95% CI 11–53%). In addition, significant reclassification was conferred by categorical CAC (NRI 22%, 95% CI 1–42%) and log-AAC (NRI 12%, 95% CI 1–24%).
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Adding CAC to FRFs did not significantly increase discriminatory ability for major CVD over 8 years of follow-up (C-statistic FRFs only: 0.80; C-statistic after addition of CAC: 0.82 for continuous and 0.82 for categorical CAC; P value for difference between models >0.05). The highest net reclassification of events and nonevents occurred in the model of log-continuous CAC and FRF (NRI 25%, 95% CI 8–41%). In addition, there was significant reclassification conferred by log-continuous CAC (NRI 25%, 95% CI 8–41%) and log-AAC (NRI 12%, 95% CI 2–22) (Table 4).

**Extent and accuracy of reclassification for major CHD and major CVD**

The extent and accuracy of reclassification for 8-year risk for major CHD and CVD by log-continuous CAC incremental to FRFs is shown in Tables 5 and 6, respectively. The addition of CAC score resulted in more accurate prediction of event rates across categories of CAC.

**Major CHD events**

The clinically relevant group of participants at intermediate risk at 2.5% to <5% for major CHD by FRFs (n=261) had an observed event rate of 2.0%. CAC reclassified more than half of these patients (66%) to higher risk (n=53, observed event rate 8.0%) or lower risk (n=119; observed event rate 0.0%) (Table 5).
Table 4. Discrimination and Reclassification of Coronary, Aortic, and Valvular Calcification for Major CVD Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major CVD Model: NRI Using Risk Categories</th>
<th>Major CVD C-Statistic</th>
<th>Major CVD NRI (95% CI)</th>
<th>Proportion of Events/Nonevents Classified Correctly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RF only</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF+log CAC</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.25 (0.08–0.41)</td>
<td>0.27/–0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF+CAC cat</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.20 (0.03–0.37)</td>
<td>0.21/–0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF+log AAC</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.12 (0.02–0.22)</td>
<td>0.14/–0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF+log TAC</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.04 (–0.06 to 0.15)</td>
<td>0.04/0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF+log MVC</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>–0.05 (–0.20 to 0.07)</td>
<td>–0.06/0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF+log AVC</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>–0.02 (–0.13 to 0.10)</td>
<td>–0.01/–0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF+log CAC+log MVC</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.19 (0.04–0.36)</td>
<td>0.20/–0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF+CAC cat+log MVC</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.13 (–0.02 to 0.29)</td>
<td>0.12/0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF+all calcifications</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.21 (0.05–0.38)</td>
<td>0.22/–0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF+CAC cat+all noncoronary</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.16 (–0.004 to 0.32)</td>
<td>0.16/–0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AAC indicates abdominal aorta calcification; AVC, aortic valve calcification; CAC, coronary artery calcification; cat, categorical; CVD, cardiovascular disease; MVC, mitral valve calcification; NRI, net reclassification index; RF, adjusted for Framingham risk factors; TAC, thoracic aorta calcification.

Major CVD events

The reclassification for major CVD was slightly less efficient than for major CHD. The addition of log-CAC to FRFs reclassified 13% participants (432 of 3319) (Table 6).

Among the clinically relevant group of 481 participants at intermediate event risk based on FRFs (2.5% to <6.5% over 8 years; observed event rate 2.4%), CAC reclassified 26.4% to lower risk (n=127; observed event rate 1.6%) and 17.5% to higher risk (n=84; observed event rate 7.6%).

Discussion

In this large, community-based, white cohort, CAC was associated with major CHD, major CVD, and all-cause mortality independent of FRFs, with the strongest associations for CHD, followed by CVD and mortality. Among noncoronary calcifications, MVC was associated with major CVD and all-cause mortality independent of FRFs and CAC. Using categories of CAC, more than half of participants at intermediate risk were correctly reclassified to higher or lower risk for both CHD and CVD, with an emphasis on correct reclassification of events.

Our results further strengthen and validate CAC as an independent and effective measure to reclassify risk for CHD in white US persons (area under the curve 0.80 versus 0.84, P<0.05) and are consistent with observations in white European participants in the Rotterdam Heart study3,25 and the MESA study (area under the curve 0.76–0.79, P=0.11).4

Importantly, we demonstrated that CAC accurately reclassifies more than half of participants (58%) at intermediate risk correctly, based on the FRF score, to higher risk (n=39, observed event rate 16.6%) or lower risk (n=145; observed event rate 0.0%). CAC was effective in both reclassification toward higher risk (1.2–6.7%) and lower risk (2.0–1.2%) across risk score categories for CHD nearly equally (41.5% and 54.5% being reclassified to lower risk for CHD and CVD, respectively), suggesting that most efficient use of CAC will occur if both scenarios will change patient management. These data are in accordance with reports from MESA26,27 that demonstrate participants with CAC of 101–300 and >300 have event rates (8% and 12%, respectively, for CVD; 4% and 8%, respectively, for CHD; and 8% and 15%, respectively, for death after 8-year follow-up) close to a 10-year risk of ≥20%, which is traditionally considered a “CHD risk equivalent” and thus may be eligible for risk factor modification to the same extent as secondary-prevention patients.

Interestingly but not surprisingly, we found that associations of CAC were stronger for CHD than for CVD and all-cause mortality. These findings are consistent with the systemic nature of atherosclerosis but also emphasize the preeminent importance of local findings, as reported from the Heinz Nixdorf Recall study28 and the MESA study.29 In comparison to MESA, the Framingham Heart Study cohort has a higher prevalence of participants with CAC >300, although this is particularly true for men because women also have lower prevalence of CAC >300 (14.2% versus 4.2%). This may be explained by the recruitment of participants from 2 Framingham Heart Study generations in the CT substudy; however, despite these differences, we found no interaction of the association of CAC with MACE by age and sex (Table S1).
Our study further confirms findings of studies that demonstrated that noncoronary calcifications predict CHD events independently and incrementally to FRFs. In particular, we reinforced the strong independent prediction of risk provided by AAC, thoracic aortic calcification, and MVC and suggest that reporting the extent of aortic calcifications in the absence of information on CAC may be helpful and clinically relevant. It is interesting that MVC emerged in our analyses as an independent risk factor for CVD and all-cause mortality beyond FRFs and CAC. Prior evidence is sparse for MVC as an independent predictor of CVD risk in persons not selected for higher risk conditions such as atrial fibrillation or renal failure, so this finding warrants further research.

Strengths of our analysis include assessment of a community-based cohort with standardized and highly reproducible physician-based assessment of cardiovascular risk factors and prospectively determined clinical outcomes.

Our study has several limitations. The coverage of the thoracic aorta excluded the aortic arch and thus may underestimate the amount of calcification; however, our protocol reflects clinical practice. Consequently, our results are applicable to patients undergoing CT for coronary calcium. Results in white US persons may not be generalizable to other ethnic groups, although it should be noted that the reported significant differences in associations among risk factors, CAC, and outcomes in white compared with other ethnic groups suggest that ethnic group–specific prediction rules may be required. Another limitation is that relatively few persons aged >60 years (16%) were included. We chose cut points for NRI and reclassification that were different than those in the Pooled Cohort Equations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5. Accuracy of Reclassification of 5-Year Risk for Major CHD by CAC: Predicted Versus Observed Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>5-Year Risk Model Without CAC</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No. of participants</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No. of events</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5-Year estimate (95% CI)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2.5% to &lt;5%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No. of participants</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No. of events</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5% to &lt;10%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No. of participants</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No. of events</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>≥10%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No. of participants</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No. of events</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No. of events</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5-Year estimate (95% CI)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAC indicates coronary artery calcification; CHD, coronary heart disease.
Conclusions

CAC predicted CHD, CVD, and death independent of FRFs in asymptomatic community-dwelling white persons and accurately reclassified about half of the cohort, including the intermediate-risk group, to either higher or lower risk for CHD events. Furthermore, CAC identified ≈20% of the cohort with a CAC score >100 with an event risk that may be eligible for risk factor modification to the same extent as secondary prevention. In the absence of information on CAC, the extent of noncoronary calcifications also identifies persons at higher risk for CHD and CVD independent of risk factors.
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